CORRECTION

Correction to: Sample size estimation for randomised controlled trials with repeated assessment of patient-reported outcomes: what correlation between baseline and follow-up outcomes should we assume?



Open Access

Stephen J. Walters^{*}, Richard M. Jacques, Inês Bonacho dos Anjos Henriques-Cadby, Jane Candlish, Nikki Totton and Mica Teo Shu Xian

Correction to: Trials (2019) 20: 566 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3671-2

Following publication of the original article [1], we have been notified that one of an error in the Conclusions section of the Abstract.

The range of correlations should read "0.4 to **0.6**" instead of "0.4 to **-0.6**" in the phrase "There is a general consistency in the correlations between the repeated PROMs, with the majority 31 being in the range of 0.4 to 0.6."

The original article has been corrected.

Published online: 28 October 2019

Reference

 Walters SJ, et al. Sample size estimation for randomised controlled trials with repeated assessment of patient-reported outcomes: what correlation between baseline and follow-up outcomes should we assume? Trials. 2019; 20:566. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3671-2.

* Correspondence: s.j.walters@sheffield.ac.uk

School of Health and Related Research (ScHARR), University of Sheffield, 30 Regent Street, Sheffield S1 4DA, UK



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which pernits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.