RESEARCH

Open Access



Serum HBV RNA correlated with intrahepatic sccDNA more strongly than other HBV markers during peg-interferon treatment

Xiaomei Wang¹, Xiumei Chi¹, Ruihong Wu¹, Hongqin Xu¹, Xiuzhu Gao¹, Lei Yu², Longgen Liu³, Mingxiang Zhang⁴, Youwen Tan⁵, Junqi Niu¹ and Qinglong Jin^{1*}¹⁰

Abstract

Background: Serum hepatitis B virus RNA (HBV RNA) has been reported to be a surrogate marker of intrahepatic cccDNA during nucleos(t)ide analogs therapy. However, in HBeAg-positive patients treated with peg-interferon (peg-IFN), whether HBV RNA is superior to other HBV markers in reflecting cccDNA profile is still unclear.

Methods: Serum HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg were longitudinally assessed among 30 HBeAg-positive patients during 48-week peg-IFN treatment. Besides, intrahepatic cccDNA was detected at baseline and week 48 respectively. Then, the individual correlations between HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, HBsAg, and cccDNA were statistically analyzed.

Results: HBV RNA levels decreased more rapidly in patients with HBeAg seroconversion than those without HBeAg seroconversion. Among all patients, cccDNA correlated better with HBV RNA than with HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg at baseline. After 48 weeks peg-IFN treatment, cccDNA still correlated more strongly with HBV RNA than other HBV markers. Further analysis indicated that in patients with HBeAg seroconversion cccDNA strongly correlated with HBV RNA and HBcrAg, whereas not correlate with HBV DNA and HBsAg. While in patients without HBeAg seroconversion, cccDNA highly correlated with HBV RNA and HBV DNA, moderately correlated with HBcrAg, and not correlated with HBSAg.

Conclusion: Compared to HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg, serum HBV RNA correlated more strongly with intrahepatic cccDNA levels before and after 48-week peg-IFN treatment. The level of serum HBV RNA may be a superior surrogate marker in reflecting the intrahepatic cccDNA profile in HBeAg-positive patients during peg-IFN treatment.

Trial registration ClinicalTrials, NCT03546530. Registered 1 January 2015. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=&term=NCT03546530.

Keywords: Hepatitis B virus, HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, cccDNA, Interferon

Background

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major global health problem. Chronic HBV infection greatly increases the

*Correspondence: qinglongjinjlu@163.com

¹ Department of Hepatology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, 71

Xinmin Street, Changchun 130021, Jilin Province, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



risk of terminal liver diseases, such as cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation, and hepatocellular carcinoma, which contribute to more than 780,000 deaths every year world-wide [1, 2]. Currently, the goal for the treatment of HBV is to suppress viral replication to the lowest possible level thus halting disease progression. Nowadays, the approved agents for the treatment of chronic HBV infections mainly belong to two classes: pegylated interferon

© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

(peg-IFN) and nucleotide/nucleoside analogs (NAs) [3]. Among these agents, peg-IFN, which has the effects of suppressing HBV replication and immunomodulation, is still widely used in the clinic as the first-line therapeutic option for patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B (CHB) [4].

Although antiviral agents can effectively reduce the serum HBV DNA level in CHB patients, complete elimination of HBV is difficult due to the existence of intrahepatic covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) [5]. HBV cccDNA, which serves as the template for the transcription of a 3.5-kb pregenomic RNA, can produce progeny viral DNA and proteins even in the absence of detectable HBV DNA or HBsAg in the blood [6-8]. Low levels of intrahepatic cccDNA predict a sustained virologic response after cessation of antiviral treatment. Therefore, quantitation of intrahepatic cccDNA is suggested to be a valuable marker in evaluating the cure of CHB and assessing treatment endpoints. However, the invasive nature of liver biopsy is the major obstacle for quantitation of cccDNA, which greatly limits the use of cccDNA as a marker in real-world clinical practice. Therefore, finding non-invasive surrogate markers of intrahepatic cccDNA is clinically meaningful.

Several traditional serum markers, such as quantitative HBsAg and HBV DNA have been proposed to reflect the intrahepatic cccDNA profile [9, 10]. However, the correlations between cccDNA and those traditional markers are too weak to apply them in clinical practice [11].

Recently, serum HBV RNA, which is transcribed from cccDNA, has been reported as a potential intrahepatic cccDNA surrogate marker in CHB patients, as well as during NAs therapy [12–14]. Serum HBV RNA was also identified as a good predictor of HBeAg seroconversion in HBeAg-positive patients during therapy with peg-IFN [4]. In addition, a mouse model experiment revealed that HBV RNA correlated positively with cccDNA before treatment, whereas no correlation between them after 6 weeks of peg-IFN therapy [12].

HBV core-related antigen (HBcrAg) is another new potential marker of HBV infection, which consists of three species of related proteins, including hepatitis B core antigen, hepatitis B e antigen, and a truncated 22KDa precore protein [15]. Serum HBcrAg was also considered to be correlated with cccDNA activity and a good biomarker in predicting HBeAg seroconversion in patients treated with peg-IFN [16, 17].

Despite the findings above, it remains unclear whether HBV RNA or HBcrAg could offer better predictive performance compared with traditional serum viral markers such as HBV DNA or HBsAg in reflecting the intrahepatic cccDNA among HBeAg-positive patients during peg-IFN treatment.

Aim of the study

In the present study, our aim was to find a better surrogate marker of cccDNA in HBeAg-positive patients with peg-IFN treatment through evaluating the correlations of HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg with cccDNA. At the same time, the dynamic changes of serum HBV RNA and HBV DNA were also investigated.

Materials and methods

Study participants

A total of 30 HBeAg-positive, noncirrhotic CHB patients were recruited from a multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial between Mar. 2015 and Dec. 2017 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03546530). All recruited patients completed the full course of 48 weeks treatment, also had paired liver biopsy at baseline and week 48 and serial serum during treatment. The patients were treated with peg-IFN (Kawin Technology, China) at a dosage of 1.5 μ g/kg body weight once weekly for 48 weeks. Briefly, the inclusion criteria were as follows: 18 to 60 years old; positive HBsAg for at least 6 months; HBeAg-positive and anti-HBe negative; HBV DNA $\geq 10^5$ IU/mL; ALT ≥ 2 and $< 10 \times$ the upper limit of normal; no treatment history. The criteria for exclusion were: positivity for antibodies against HCV, HDV, or HIV; other inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, or autoimmune hepatitis; hypertension; kidney disease; or a recent history of infectious disease.

The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Jilin University and other participating institutions, according to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Written informed consent forms were obtained from all patients.

Samples

Peripheral serum samples obtained from all patients at baseline, week 4, week 12, week 24, week 36, and week 48 during treatment were stored at -80 °C for virological analyses. Paired liver biopsies were collected at baseline and week 48 and were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen until cccDNA analyses.

Standard laboratory assessments

Serum HBV DNA was quantified by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using a Roche COBAS AmpliPrep/COBAS TaqMan system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) with a lower detection limit of 20 IU/mL. HBV genotypes were determined by realtime PCR with a commercial kit (Shanghai ZJ Bio-Tech, China). HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBe, and anti-HBc were quantitated by chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassays using the Architect i2000SR platform and Abbott Architect reagents (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL) according to manufactures' instruction. Laboratory assessments were performed at baseline, week 4, week 12, week 24, week 36, and week 48.

Serum HBV RNA quantification

Serum HBV RNA was quantitatively measured in the period of therapy, at baseline, week 4, week 12, week 24, week 36, and week 48 by previously reported methods [18, 19]. Briefly, HBV RNA was extracted from 200 μ L of serum, and then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min with DNase I to digest HBV DNA. cDNA was synthesized using a Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Then, quantitative PCR was performed with 2 × RealStar Power Probe Mixture (Gen Star, Beijing, China) with a linear range of 5 × 10³ copies/mL to 5 × 10⁹ copies/mL and a lower detection limit of 1 × 10³ copies/mL.

Intrahepatic HBV cccDNA quantification

Intrahepatic HBV cccDNA was quantified by fluorescent probe quantitative PCR assays (SUPBIO Biotechnology, Guangzhou, China). DNA was extracted from approximately 5 mg of frozen liver biopsy tissue using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and then the DNA was denatured at 85 °C for 5 min. Plasmid-safe ATP-dependent DNase was used to digest HBV rcDNA, replicative dsDNA, and ssDNA [20]. HBV cccDNA was quantified by qPCR with a primer pair and a probe targeting the gap region of the HBV genome. The quantification range of cccDNA was 10 to 1×10^6 copies/uL. For normalization of the number of intrahepatic cccDNA, a set of primers and a probe were used to quantitatively detect the human β -globin gene by qPCR [19].

Serum HBcrAg quantification

HBcrAg was measured using a fully automated Lumipulse chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) analyzer (Fujirebio Inc., Tokyo, Japan), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Since the general analytic measurement range of this assay is from 1000 U/mL (3log10 U/mL) to 1×10^7 U/mL (7log10 U/mL), serial dilutions of the serum samples are required when the serum qHBcrAg level is above the detection limit of the assay.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of the study participants are presented as the proportions, means, or medians. Comparisons between the patients with HBeAg seroconversion and without HBeAg seroconversion were performed using the chi-squared test for categorical data and the Mann–Whitney U-test for continuous data. Serum HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, HBsAg, and intrahepatic cccDNA were expressed in the logarithm. Differences in mean log-transformed values were calculated using Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA where it is appropriate. The Pearson's correlation analysis was applied to the log-transformed values of intrahepatic and serum markers. *P* values of <0.05 were considered significant. Analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS version 18 for Windows PC (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics at baseline

Our study cohort consisted of 30 patients (18 males and 12 females). The mean age was 26.57 ± 4.17 years. After 48 weeks of peg-IFN treatment, 9 of the 30 patients achieved HBeAg seroconversion. For further comparison, the patients were categorized into two groups: SR group (patients achieving HBeAg seroconversion response, n=9), NSR group (patients not achieving HBeAg seroconversion response, n=21).

As shown in Table 1, the comparison of the baseline values between the SR and NSR groups are listed as follows: HBV RNA (7.72±1.42 log₁₀ copies/mL vs. 7.75±1.09 log₁₀ copies/mL, P=0.959), cccDNA (median 30.0 copies/cell vs. median 34.4 copies/cell, P=0.946), HBcrAg (5.24±1.45 log₁₀ KU/mL vs. 5.48±1.16 log₁₀ KU/mL, P=0.636), HBV DNA (7.52±1.05 log₁₀ IU/ mL vs. 7.59±1.15 log₁₀ IU/mL, P=0.878), and HBsAg (3.59±0.49 log₁₀ IU/mL vs. 3.86±0.69 log₁₀ IU/mL, P=0.310). From the above results, it could be seen that there were no significant differences in HBV RNA, cccDNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg at baseline between the SR and NSR groups. In addition, no significant differences in age, HBV genotype, or ALT (all P>0.05) were observed between the two groups.

Dynamic changes in HBV RNA, HBV DNA, and cccDNA levels during 48 weeks of peg-IFN treatment

Among all patients, all the levels of serum HBV RNA, HBV DNA, and intrahepatic cccDNA showed a rapid decline from baseline to week 48 (from $7.73 \pm 1.18 \log_{10}$ copies/mL to $4.66 \pm 1.56 \log_{10}$ copies/mL for HBV RNA; from $7.57 \pm 1.10 \log_{10}$ IU/mL to $4.22 \pm 2.45 \log_{10}$ IU/mL for HBV DNA; from median 33.8 copies/cell to 2.53 copies/cell for cccDNA). In SR group, the HBV RNA levels decreased more rapidly than NSR group. At week 48, serum HBV RNA levels were significantly lower in the SR group than the NSR group ($3.56 \pm 0.64 \log_{10}$ copies/

Characteristic	All (N = 30)	SR group (N = 9)	NSR group (N=21)	<i>P</i> value (SR vs. NSR)
Gender (male/female)	18/12	8/1	10/11	0.03
HBV genotype (%)				0.614
В	10 (33.3%)	3 (33.3%)	7 (33.3%)	
С	20 (66.7%)	6 (66.7%)	14 (66.7%)	
ALT (U/L, median (range))	120 (66.8–174.0)	131.0 (96.7–181.0)	115.0 (59.0–174.0)	0.380
HBV DNA (log ₁₀ IU/mL)	7.57 ± 1.10	7.52 ± 1.05	7.59 ± 1.15	0.878
HBsAg (log ₁₀ IU/mL)	3.78 ± 0.64	3.59 ± 0.49	3.86 ± 0.69	0.310
HBcrAg (log ₁₀ KU/mL)	5.41 ± 1.23	5.24 ± 1.45	5.48 ± 1.16	0.636
HBV RNA (log ₁₀ copies/mL)	7.73 ± 1.18	7.72 ± 1.42	7.75 ± 1.09	0.959
HBV cccDNA (copies/cell, median (range))	33.8 (15.4–76.4)	30.0 (13.2–100.8)	34.4 (13.7–66.7)	0.946

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of all peg-IFN-treated CHB patients

mL vs. $5.00 \pm 1.60 \log_{10}$ copies/mL, P = 0.002) (Fig. 1a). In the SR group, serum HBV RNA levels decreased more slowly than serum HBV DNA levels, whereas both HBV RNA and HBV DNA levels decreased similarly in the NSR group (Fig. 1a). During the 48-week peg-IFN treatment period, the cccDNA levels decreased significantly from a median value of 30.2 copies/cell to 1.94 copies/cell in the SR group and from a median value of 34.4 copies/ cell to 3.23 copies/cell in the NSR group. No difference in cccDNA decline was observed between the SR and NSR groups (Fig. 1b).

Correlations of cccDNA with HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg before and after 48 -week peg-IFN treatment

To further evaluate the performance of HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, HBsAg in reflecting cccDNA profile, the correlation values of each parameter were calculated. Among all patients, intrahepatic cccDNA was positively correlated with serum HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg at baseline. However, cccDNA correlated better with HBV RNA (r=0.781, P<0.001) than with HBcrAg (r=0.741, P<0.001), HBV DNA (r=0.664, P<0.001), and HBsAg (r=0.484, P=0.005) (Fig. 2a–d). At 48 weeks after peg-IFN treatment, intrahepatic cccDNA was still significantly correlated with serum HBV RNA (r=0.728, P<0.001, Fig. 3a), HBV DNA(r=0.721, P<0.001, Fig. 3b) and HBcrAg (r=0.655, P<0.001, Fig. 3c), but no correlations were observed between cccDNA and HBsAg levels (r=0.361, P=0.064, Fig. 3d).

Through analyzing the different groups of CHB patients separately at week 48, we found that in the SR group there were significant positive correlations of intrahepatic cccDNA with serum HBV RNA (r=0.709, P=0.020, Fig. 4a) and HBcrAg (r=0.735, P=0.014, Fig. 4b), but only weak correlations with HBV DNA (r=0.335, P=0.102, Fig. 4c) or HBsAg (r=0.354, P=0.349, Fig. 4d). Different from the SR group, cccDNA correlated strongly with HBV RNA (r=0.766, P<0.001, Fig. 5a) and HBV DNA (r=0.818, P<0.001, Fig. 5c),





moderately with HBcrAg (r=0.622, P=0.008; Fig. 5b), but not with HBsAg (r=0.330, P=0.094, Fig. 5d) in the NSR group.

In addition, we also analyzed the correlation between HBV RNA and other serum markers among all patients. The results showed that serum HBV RNA levels strongly correlated with HBcrAg (r=0.793, P<0.001, Fig. 6a) and HBV DNA(r=0.594, P<0.001, Fig. 6b) at baseline, and after 48 weeks peg-IFN treatment the correlations of HBV RNA with HBcrAg (r=0.673, P<0.001, Fig. 6d) and HBV DNA (r=0.907, P<0.001, Fig. 6e) were still positive. However, HBV RNA only moderately correlated with HBsAg both at baseline (r=0.457, P=0.010, Fig. 6c) and after 48 weeks of treatment (r=0.314, P=0.090, Fig. 6f).

Discussion

In previous studies, serum HBV RNA and HBcrAg have been reported to be potential surrogate biomarkers of cccDNA in predicting the outcomes of peg-IFN or NAs treatments [4, 21, 22]. The correlation of cccDNA with HBV RNA before and after NAs treatment has also been studied [5, 22, 23]. However, it is still unclear whether serum HBV RNA or HBcrAg is a better marker of cccDNA in patients treated with peg-IFN.

With the aim to determine the best surrogate marker of intrahepatic cccDNA during peg-IFN therapy, we analyzed the correlations of intrahepatic cccDNA with serum HBV RNA, HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg before and after peg-IFN treatment in HBeAg-positive CHB patients. Additionally, the kinetics of HBV RNA and intrahepatic cccDNA among all patients were analyzed.

The results showed that there was no difference of serum HBV RNA or HBcrAg in patients with and without HBeAg seroconversion at baseline (all *P*>0.05), which indicated that HBV RNA and HBcrAg at baseline may be not associated with HBeAg-seroconversion in HBeAgpositive patients treated with peg-IFN. During peg-IFN treatment, HBV RNA declined more rapidly in patients with HBeAg seroconversion than those without HBeAg seroconversion. After 48-week peg-IFN treatment, serum HBV RNA declined smaller than serum HBV DNA in the SR group, whereas both of them decreased parallel in the NSR group. Our study showed that peg-IFN could profoundly reduce the load of cccDNA after 1 year



of treatment, which was similar to previous studies [24, 25]. Besides, we found that the cccDNA decreased more in the SR group than that in the NSR group. We deduced that the discrepancy might be as a result of peg-IFN suppressing the replication and transcription of cccDNA, which resulted in the increasing clearance rates of HBV RNA, HBcrAg, and cccDNA [26].

Our study also showed that intrahepatic cccDNA levels correlated best with serum HBV RNA (r=0.781, P<0.001) than with HBcrAg (r=0.741, P<0.001), HBV DNA (r=0.664, P<0.001), and HBsAg (r=0.484, P=0.006) at baseline. After 48 weeks of peg-IFN treatment the correlation between cccDNA and HBV RNA was still strong (r=0.728, P<0.001), while HBsAg did not correlate with cccDNA (r=0.361, P=0.064). These data indicated that serum HBV RNA might be a superior serological marker of intrahepatic cccDNA during peg-IFN treatment. In Gao's previous study, serum HBV RNA was identified only having a weak correlation with cccDNA in a 96-week NAs treatment, which was different from our

results [5]. The difference may be attributed to the different antiviral mechanisms of NAs and peg-IFN [27]. Besides, an animal experiment in HBV-infected mice indicated that there was no correlation between serum HBV RNA and intrahepatic cccDNA after 6 weeks of peg-IFN therapy [12]. The discrepancies might because the mouse model could not fully reflect the real conditions in clinical patients.

A few reports believed that serum HBcrAg was better than HBV RNA in reflecting intrahepatic cccDNA levels in treatment-naive patients [17, 28]. However, our study showed that the correlation of cccDNA with HBcrAg became weaker than with HBV RNA after 48 weeks of peg-IFN therapy, although HBcrAg and HBV RNA have a similar correlation with cccDNA at baseline.

We further compared the correlations of cccDNA with HBV RNA, HBV DNA, and HBsAg between patients with and without HBeAg seroconversion after 48 weeks of peg-IFN treatment. The results showed that HBV RNA and HBcrAg were positively correlated with cccDNA



both in the SR and NSR groups at week 48 (HBV RNA: r=0.709, P=0.002 in the SR group; r=0.766, P<0.001 in the NSR group. HBcrAg: r=0.735, P=0.014 in the SR group; r=0.662, P=0.008 in the NSR group). HBV DNA was strongly correlated with cccDNA in the NSR group (r=0.818, P<0.001), but no positive correlation was observed in the SR group (r=0.335, P=0.102). The correlation between HBsAg and cccDNA was not found in either the SR group (r=0.354, P=0.349) or the NSR group (r=0.374, P=0.094). The results indicated that HBV RNA and HBcrAg were better markers than HBV DNA and HBsAg in reflecting cccDNA no matter the results of antiviral treatment.

Although previous studies have shown that serum HBsAg quantification may reflect the level of intrahepatic cccDNA both in HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB patients [29, 30], our study showed that cccDNA weakly correlated with HBsAg before treatment and did not correlate with HBsAg after 48 weeks of peg-IFN therapy. This pattern possibly because HBsAg could be produced not only from cccDNA in infected hepatocytes but also from viral sequences integrated into the host genome [31]. These data indicated that serum HBsAg

level could not accurately reflect the level of intrahepatic cccDNA in the cohort of our study.

Our results also suggested that serum HBV RNA correlated well with HBcrAg at baseline and at week 48 with peg-IFN treatment. As we know, HBcrAg was translated from preC mRNA which was also transcribed from cccDNA, and thus HBcrAg may have a better correlation intensity with HBV RNA [17]. HBV RNA showed a better correlation with serum HBV DNA at week 48 than at baseline (r=0.907 vs. r=0.594). For those HBV DNA with baseline levels higher than the upper detection range 1×10^8 IU/mL (8log10 IU/mL), their values were defined as 8log 10 IU/mL. The data adjustment may slightly affect the correlation of HBV DNA and HBV RNA at baseline. Our results also showed that the HBV RNA level decreased significantly, whereas the HBsAg level remained stable after 48 weeks of treatment. As a result, the correlation between HBV RNA and HBsAg (r=0.314, P=0.090) after 48 weeks of peg-IFN treatment was not significant although they had a moderate correlation at baseline (r = 0.457, P = 0.01).

Several limitations exist in this study. Due to the difficulty of acquiring liver samples both at baseline and after





48 weeks of peg-IFN therapy, the sample size in our study was relative small and the patients enrolled were mainly those infected with HBV genotype B or C. So studies on larger sample size cohort are required to confirm the present findings in the future.

Conclusions

Both Serum HBV RNA and HBcrAg correlated significantly with intrahepatic cccDNA before and after 48-week peg-IFN treatment in HBeAg-positive patients. However, serum HBV RNA correlated with cccDNA more strongly than HBcrAg, HBV DNA, and HBsAg, irrespective of treatment results. HBV RNA also correlated well with HBcrAg before and after peg-IFN therapy. The study indicated that serum HBV RNA might be an ideal surrogate non-invasive marker in reflecting the intrahepatic cccDNA profile during peg-IFN treatment.

Abbreviations

HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HBV RNA: Hepatitis B virus RNA; HBcrAg: HBV corerelated antigen; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; cccDNA: Covalently closed circular DNA; CHB: Chronic hepatitis B; HBeAg: Hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen; Peg-IFN: Pegylated interferon; NAs: Nucleotide/ nucleoside analogs.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for all subjects who participated in this study.

Authors' contributions

XW performed the research. XC, XG, LY, LL, MZ, and YT acquired the data. RW and HX analyzed the data. JN and QJ edited, reviewed, and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Science and Technology Major Project of China (2018ZX10732101, 2014ZX10002002) and the Program for JLU Science and Technology Innovative Research Team (2017TD-08).

Availability of data and materials

The data analyzed in the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Jilin University and other participating institutions, conducted following the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave written informed consent for their participation in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Author details

¹ Department of Hepatology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, 71 Xinmin Street, Changchun 130021, Jilin Province, China. ² Department of Infectious Diseases, The Fourth Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin 150001, Heilongjiang Province, China. ³ Department of Infectious Diseases, The Third Hospital of Changzhou, Changzhou 213001, Jiangsu Province, China. ⁴ Department of Hepatology, Shenyang Sixth People's Hospital, Shenyang 110006, Liaoning Province, China. ⁵ Department of Hepatology, The Third People's Hospital of Zhenjiang, Zhenjiang 212021, Jiangsu Province, China. Received: 12 October 2020 Accepted: 15 December 2020 Published online: 06 January 2021

References

- 1. Ganem D, Prince AM. Hepatitis B virus infection–natural history and clinical consequences. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1118–29.
- Lau GK, Piratvisuth T, Luo KX, Marcellin P, Thongsawat S, Cooksley G, Gane E, Fried MW, Chow WC, Paik SW, et al. Peginterferon Alfa-2a, lamivudine, and the combination for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:2682–95.
- Liver EAFTSOT. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol. 2012;57:167–85.
- Jia W, Zhu MQ, Qi X, Wang T, Wen X, Chen PD, Fan QQ, Zhang WH, Zhang JM. Serum hepatitis B virus RNA levels as a predictor of HBeAg seroconversion during treatment with peginterferon alfa-2a. Virol J. 2019;16:61.
- Gao Y, Li Y, Meng Q, Zhang Z, Zhao P, Shang Q, Li Y, Su M, Li T, Liu X, Zhuang H. Serum hepatitis B virus DNA, RNA, and HBsAg: which correlated better with intrahepatic covalently closed circular DNA before and after nucleos(t)ide analogue treatment? J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55:2972–82.
- Tuttleman JS, Pourcel C, Summers J. Formation of the pool of covalently closed circular viral DNA in hepadnavirus-infected cells. Cell. 1986;47:451–60.
- Will H, Reiser W, Weimer T, Pfaff E, Buscher M, Sprengel R, Cattaneo R, Schaller H. Replication strategy of human hepatitis B virus. J Virol. 1987;61:904–11.
- Sung JJ, Wong ML, Bowden S, Liew CT, Hui AY, Wong VW, Leung NW, Locarnini S, Chan HL. Intrahepatic hepatitis B virus covalently closed circular DNA can be a predictor of sustained response to therapy. Gastroenterology. 2005;128:1890–7.
- Li J, Sun X, Fang J, Wang C, Han G, Ren W. Analysis of intrahepatic total HBV DNA, cccDNA and serum HBsAg level in Chronic Hepatitis B patients with undetectable serum HBV DNA during oral antiviral therapy. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol. 2017;41:635–43.
- Lin LY, Wong VW, Zhou HJ, Chan HY, Gui HL, Guo SM, Wang H, Huang L, Bao SS, Xie Q, Chan HL. Relationship between serum hepatitis B virus DNA and surface antigen with covalently closed circular DNA in HBeAgnegative patients. J Med Virol. 2010;82:1494–500.
- Chen EQ, Feng S, Wang ML, Liang LB, Zhou LY, Du LY, Yan LB, Tao CM, Tang H. Serum hepatitis B core-related antigen is a satisfactory surrogate marker of intrahepatic covalently closed circular DNA in chronic hepatitis B. Sci Rep. 2017;7:173.
- 12. Giersch K, Allweiss L, Volz T, Dandri M, Lutgehetmann M. Serum HBV pgRNA as a clinical marker for cccDNA activity. J Hepatol. 2017;66:460–2.
- Lesmana CR, Jackson K, Lim SG, Sulaiman A, Pakasi LS, Gani RA, Hasan I, Sulaiman AS, Lesmana LA, Hammond R, et al. Clinical significance of hepatitis B virion and SVP productivity: relationships between intrahepatic and serum markers in chronic hepatitis B patients. United Eur Gastroenterol J. 2014;2:99–107.
- Rokuhara A, Matsumoto A, Tanaka E, Umemura T, Yoshizawa K, Kimura T, Maki N, Kiyosawa K. Hepatitis B virus RNA is measurable in serum and can be a new marker for monitoring lamivudine therapy. J Gastroenterol. 2006;41:785–90.
- Mak LY, Wong DK, Cheung KS, Seto WK, Lai CL, Yuen MF. Review article: hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg): an emerging marker for chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2018;47:43–54.
- Wang ML, Liao J, Wei B, Zhang DM, He M, Tao MC, Chen EQ, Tang H. Comparison of hepatitis B virus core-related antigen and hepatitis B surface antigen for predicting HBeAg seroconversion in chronic hepatitis B patients with pegylated interferon therapy. Infect Dis (Lond). 2018;50:522–30.
- Chen EQ, Wang ML, Tao YC, Wu DB, Liao J, He M, Tang H. Serum HBcrAg is better than HBV RNA and HBsAg in reflecting intrahepatic covalently closed circular DNA. J Viral Hepat. 2019;26:586–95.
- Wang J, Shen T, Huang X, Kumar GR, Chen X, Zeng Z, Zhang R, Chen R, Li T, Zhang T, et al. Serum hepatitis B virus RNA is encapsidated pregenome RNA that may be associated with persistence of viral infection and rebound. J Hepatol. 2016;65:700–10.

- Wang X, Wang Z, Chi X, Wu R, Jin Q, Xu H, Gao X, Yu L, Chen Y, Shang J, et al. Efficacy of a combination of HBV RNA and HBeAg in predicting HBeAg seroconversion in patients treated with entecavir for 144 weeks. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;99:171–8.
- Long Q, Yan R, Hu J, Cai D, Mitra B, Kim ES, Marchetti A, Zhang H, Wang S, Liu Y, et al. The role of host DNA ligases in hepadnavirus covalently closed circular DNA formation. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13:e1006784.
- Zhang M, Li G, Shang J, Pan C, Zhang M, Yin Z, Xie Q, Peng Y, Mao Q, Xiao X, et al. Rapidly decreased HBV RNA predicts responses of pegylated interferons in HBeAg-positive patients: a longitudinal cohort study. Hepatol Int. 2020;14:212–24.
- 22. Luo H, Tan N, Kang Q, Pan J, Chen H, Xi H, Yu M, Xu X. Hepatitis B virus pregenomic RNA status can reveal the long-term prognoses of chronic hepatitis B patients treated with nucleos(t)ide analogues. J Viral Hepat. 2020;27:323–8.
- 23. Wong DK, Seto WK, Cheung KS, Chong CK, Huang FY, Fung J, Lai CL, Yuen MF. Hepatitis B virus core-related antigen as a surrogate marker for covalently closed circular DNA. Liver Int. 2017;37:995–1001.
- Wong DK, Seto WK, Fung J, Ip P, Huang FY, Lai CL, Yuen MF. Reduction of hepatitis B surface antigen and covalently closed circular DNA by nucleos(t)ide analogues of different potency. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013;11(1004–1010):e1001.
- Bowden S, Locarnini S, Chang TT, Chao YC, Han KH, Gish RG, de Man RA, Yu M, Llamoso C, Tang H. Covalently closed-circular hepatitis B virus DNA reduction with entecavir or lamivudine. World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21:4644–51.
- Belloni L, Allweiss L, Guerrieri F, Pediconi N, Volz T, Pollicino T, Petersen J, Raimondo G, Dandri M, Levrero M. IFN-alpha inhibits HBV transcription

and replication in cell culture and in humanized mice by targeting the epigenetic regulation of the nuclear cccDNA minichromosome. J Clin Investig. 2012;122:529–37.

- Zoulim F, Durantel D. Antiviral therapies and prospects for a cure of chronic hepatitis B. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2015;5:a021501.
- Testoni B, Lebosse F, Scholtes C, Berby F, Miaglia C, Subic M, Loglio A, Facchetti F, Lampertico P, Levrero M, Zoulim F. Serum hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg) correlates with covalently closed circular DNA transcriptional activity in chronic hepatitis B patients. J Hepatol. 2019;70:615–25.
- Martinot-Peignoux M, Lapalus M, Asselah T, Marcellin P. HBsAg quantification: useful for monitoring natural history and treatment outcome. Liver Int. 2014;34(Suppl 1):97–107.
- Chan HL, Wong VW, Tse AM, Tse CH, Chim AM, Chan HY, Wong GL, Sung JJ. Serum hepatitis B surface antigen quantitation can reflect hepatitis B virus in the liver and predict treatment response. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007;5:1462–8.
- Chuaypen N, Sriprapun M, Praianantathavorn K, Payungporn S, Wisedopas N, Poovorawan Y, Tangkijvanich P. Kinetics of serum HBsAg and intrahepatic cccDNA during pegylated interferon therapy in patients with HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. J Med Virol. 2017;89:130–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

