



Behavioural response of a migratory songbird to geographic variation in song and morphology

Mortega *et al*.



RESEARCH



Open Access

Behavioural response of a migratory songbird to geographic variation in song and morphology

Kim G Mortega^{1,2,4*}, Heiner Flinks³ and Barbara Helm^{2,4}

Abstract

Introduction: Sexually selected traits contribute substantially to evolutionary diversification, for example by promoting assortative mating. The contributing traits and their relevance for reproductive isolation differ between species. In birds, sexually selected acoustic and visual signals often undergo geographic divergence. Clines in these phenotypes may be used by both sexes in the context of sexual selection and territoriality. The ways conspecifics respond to geographic variation in phenotypes can give insights to possible behavioural barriers, but these may depend on migratory behaviour. We studied a migratory songbird, the Stonechat, and tested its responsiveness to geographic variation in male song and morphology. The traits are acquired differently, with possible implications for population divergence. Song can evolve quickly through cultural transmission, and thus may contribute more to the establishment of geographic variation than inherited morphological traits. We first quantified the diversity of song traits from different populations. We then tested the responses of free-living Stonechats of both sexes to male phenotype with playbacks and decoys, representing local and foreign stimuli derived from a range of distances from the local population.

Results: Both sexes discriminated consistently between stimuli from different populations, responding more strongly to acoustic and morphological traits of local than foreign stimuli. Time to approach increased, and time spent close to the stimuli and number of tail flips decreased consistently with geographic distance of the stimulus from the local population. Discriminatory response behaviour was more consistent for acoustic than for morphological traits. Song traits of the local population differed significantly from those of other populations.

Conclusions: Evaluating an individual's perception of geographic variation in sexually selected traits is a crucial first step for understanding reproductive isolation mechanisms. We have demonstrated that in both sexes of Stonechats the responsiveness to acoustic and visual signals decreased with increasing geographic distance of stimulus origin. These findings confirm consistent, fine discrimination for both learned song and inherited morphological traits in these migratory birds. Maintenance or further divergence in phenotypic traits could lead to assortative mating, reproductive isolation, and potentially speciation.

Keywords: Sexual selection, Population divergence, Reproductive isolation, Phenotypic traits, Geographic clines, Simulated territorial intrusion, *Saxicola torquata*, Songbird, Behavioural isolation barrier

Introduction

Phenotypic traits involved in signalling, for example aspects of song and morphology, are known to contribute to reproductive isolation between diverging populations [1,2]. Specifically, signalling in the context of mate attraction or territoriality may promote reproductive isolation

* Correspondence: kmortega@orn.mpg.de

through assortative mating and settlement patterns [3-5]. In birds, both sexes can be actively involved in signalling and also in discrimination of local conspecifics as potential sexual partners or sexual competitors [6].

In most songbirds, songs are a key component of signalling and are culturally transmitted across generations via vocal learning [7]. Young birds learn to produce or recognize song early in life, while still in their natal region. The geographic variation of such song traits is thought to result from the effect of imperfect song copying [8]. Accordingly, song dialects, i.e. the unique repertoire of shared songs within a population, combined with female



© 2014 Mortega et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Department of Migration and Immuno-Ecology, Max Planck Institute for Ornithology, 78315 Radolfzell, Germany

²Department of Ornithology, University of Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

preference for a local dialect due to parental imprinting, may lead to reproductive divergence [9-12]. Female preference for familiar vocalizations has been shown in captive and field experiments by increased copulation-solicitation displays to standardized playback [13-16].

Often not only vocalizations but a suite of selected traits of different sensory modalities contribute to the establishment and maintenance of reproductive isolation [17]. For example, morphological traits are also proposed to facilitate pre-mating isolation barriers between related avian lineages [18]. Such traits often include plumage coloration, e.g. redness in house finches, Carpodacus mexicanus [19]. In golden-collared manakins, Manacus ssp., the golden is preferred over the white phenotype [20]. Genetically inherited visual signals may therefore facilitate diversification [21,22]. In contrast, sexually selected traits that are inherited culturally, notably learned avian vocalizations, can change instantaneously without requiring genetic change. They may therefore be a more efficient mechanism for reproductive isolation than inherited traits [23-26].

By promoting isolation, geographically differentiated signals are thought to aid local adaptation. The local adaptation hypothesis predicts that birds which select mates from their natal regions will gain fitness advantages because their offspring will more likely express adaptations to local ecological conditions [27], for example adaptations of seasonal activities associated with local climates, or morphologies tailored to specific lifestyles [28,29]. In North American crossbills (Loxia curvirostra - complex) distinct song types are associated with incipient speciation [30-32]. Interestingly, the differences in song types are coupled with morphological differences relating to ecological speciation. However, the processes of local adaptation and associated signalling may be sensitive to movement behaviour [33]. Migration may counteract population divergence [34] because: a) migration is thought to correlate positively with dispersal distance, which in turn generally promotes gene exchange [33,35]; b) migrants are typically under pressure to make rapid reproductive decisions, implying that female migrants may be less choosy than female residents [36], and may therefore not pair with the best (i.e., locally adapted) mate available [37]; c) relating to acoustic signals, migratory departure after breeding limits opportunities for young males and females to learn or imprint to the local dialect. Earlier studies have reported lower song discrimination in migrant than resident species, but have also indicated mechanisms by which migrants could nonetheless learn local song dialects after dispersal [34,38].

To better understand processes of local differentiation, in particular in migratory birds, we investigated discriminatory abilities in Stonechats (*Saxicola torquata* and closely related lineages [39]). The *Saxicola* complex has a wide distribution range, comprising substantial local differentiation in seasonal and morphological traits [40]. We focused on the short-distance migrant European stonechat (Saxicola torquata), which is socially monogamous with seasonal pair bonds selected by females [41]. During the entire breeding season, males defend their territory with distinct behavioural responses. Females also actively respond to conspecific intruders [42]. The fact that males sometimes "punish" their mates for their response to intruders indicates a sexual context to female interest [43]. The female responsiveness allowed us to examine discriminatory abilities in both sexes. We studied song variation between Stonechat populations and tested the behavioural response of the focal European population to song recordings and stuffed decoys. Early in the breeding season we obtained and analysed song repertoires of the local population and additional populations that breed 90 and 180 km away. We experimentally tested the responsiveness of local Stonechats to song from these populations and to stimuli from African Stonechats and a control species by conducting simulated territorial intrusions with playbacks. We also conducted a decoy experiment simulating a territorial intrusion by presenting a taxidermic mount of phenotypes from populations with differing geographic distances. The experiments focused on male response, but we also report data on the latency of the female response to the stimuli. All experiments were conducted during the breeding season at defined breeding stages in the presence of both pair mates.

In view of the geographic differentiation within Stonechats, we hypothesised that despite their migratory behaviour female and male Stonechats i) can discriminate between phenotypes of geographically distinct populations during playback and decoy experiments, ii) respond most strongly to local population stimuli, and iii) may show a consistent decline in their responsiveness with geographic distance. Furthermore, we hypothesised that songs may elicit stronger responses than morphological traits in both sexes because they may have diverged more rapidly.

Results

Song traits

The Stonechat populations differed in their song traits from each other. A principal component analysis of seven traits (Table 1a) showed that several principal components explained the variation in song (PC1 = 37.26, PC2 = 29.17, PC3 = 21.62, Figure 1). Based on the first principal component, the focal population differed significantly from the neighbouring population (90 km). Differences increased further with geographic distance from the local population (Additional file 1: Table S1, Figure S1), although Stonechats from 90 km and 180 km were not significantly different from each other (Table 1b, Figure 1).

Table 1 Song traits

(a)				
	PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	
Song duration	0.40	0.33	0.46	
No. of elements	0.46	0.38	0.29	
Element rate	-0.27	-0.29	0.46	
Peak frequency	-0.02	0.30	-0.63	
Min. frequency	-0.23	0.61	-0.04	
Max. frequency	0.53	-0.08	-0.27	
Bandwidth	0.47	-0.44	-0.14	
Eigenvalue	2.61	2.04	1.51	
% variance	37.26	29.17	21.62	
(b)				
Fixed effects	estimate	s.e.m	t	р
Intercept	-1.76	0.12	-14.89	<0.001
90 km	2.28	0.29	7.97	<0.001
180 km	2.38	0.18	13.52	<0.001
African	0.64	0.19	3.44	<0.001
Control	4.79	0.19	25.45	<0.001

(a) Factor loadings of the principal component analysis for seven song traits of European Stonechats from the local population, a population from 90 km distance, a population from 180 km distance, African stonechats, and the winter wren. (b) Results of general linear model testing whether the first principal component (PC1) differed between songs from different locations, estimated by maximum likelihood methods. Estimates for the different song locations refer to differences from the intercept estimate, which represents song traits of the local population. Subjects were included as random intercepts to control for repeated measures. 'Significant' differences are shown in bold.

Playback and decoy experiments

Stonechats of the local population responded differently to stimuli from distinct populations, measured by the time they took to approach the caller or decoy (i.e., latency to approach within 5 m). In response to playback, males discriminated significantly between origins of the stimulus (z = -8.42, p <0.001, Table 2a, Figure 2). The males' latency to approach the caller was lowest when exposed to the local song and increased with distance of stimulus origin (Table 2a, Figure 2). Breeding stage, trial order (Additional file 1: Figure S4), date and time of day showed no significant effect on the males' latency to approach (Table 2a).

Likewise, females also differed significantly in their behavioural response to different playback stimuli (z = -6.28 p < 0.001, Table 2b, Figure 3). The females' latency to approach the caller was lowest when presented with the local song and increased with geographic distance of the stimulus origin (Table 2b, Figure 3). Breeding stage, trial order, date and time had no significant effects on the females' latency to approach the caller (Table 2b).

During the decoy experiment, the males' discrimination was less consistent than during the playback experiment (z = -4.93, p <0,001, Table 2c, Figure 4). The latency to



approach local decoy stimuli did not differ from other European (z = -1.03, p = 0.30, Table 2c, Figure 4) and African stimuli (z = -1.52, p = 0.13, Table 2c, Figure 4), but males approached the control decoy significantly later than all others (z = -4.62, p < 0.001, Table 2c, Figure 4). Breeding stage, trial order, date and time had no significant effect on the latency to approach (Table 2c).

Females showed finer discrimination (z = 4.84, p < 0.001, Table 2d, Figure 3). They approached the local decoy with lower latency than decoys of populations from greater geographic distances (Table 2d, Figure 3). Breeding stage, trial order, date and time showed no significant effect on the females' latency to approach the decoy (Table 2d).

There were no significant differences between different pairs, neither during the playback (z = -0.54, p = 0.59, Additional file 1: Table S2a, Figure 5) nor the decoy experiments (z = -0.39, p = 0.70, Additional file 1: Table S2b, Figure 5). Males approached the stimuli with

Table 2 Latency to approach within 5 m

	Fixed effects	Estimate	Hazard ratio	s.e.m	z	р
Playback						
(a) males	Origin	-0.86	0.42	0.10	-8.42	<0.001
	90 km	-1.30	0.27	0.31	-4.17	<0.001
	180 km	-2.17	0.11	0.34	-6.36	<0.001
	African	-2.32	0.10	0.35	-6.66	<0.001
	Control	-4.18	0.02	0.53	-7.85	<0.001
	Breeding stage	0.60	1.82	0.59	1.02	0.31
	Trial order	0.04	1.04	0.07	0.52	0.61
	Date	0.08	1.09	0.08	1.06	0.29
	Time	0.004	1.00	0.03	0.16	0.88
(b) females	Origin	-1.36	0.26	0.22	-6.28	<0.001
	90 km	-2.11	0.12	0.49	-4.32	<0.001
	180 km	-2.40	0.09	0.54	-4.45	<0.001
	African	-4.51	0.01	0.85	-5.32	<0.001
	Control	-6.88	0.009	0.97	-7.84	<0.001
	Breeding stage	-0.75	0.47	0.41	-1.84	0.06
	Trial order	0.16	1.18	1.27	1.29	0.20
	Date	0.02	1.02	0.07	0.23	0.82
	Time	-0.09	0.91	0.06	-1.60	0.11
Decoy						
(c) males	Origin	-0.78	0.46	0.16	-4.93	<0.001
	European	-0.43	0.65	0.41	-1.03	0.30
	African	-0.65	0.52	0.43	-1.52	0.13
	Control	-2.95	0.05	0.64	-4.62	<0.001
	Breeding stage	0.08	1.08	0.17	0.46	0.65
	Trial order	-0.18	0.83	0.16	-1.18	0.24
	Date	-0.05	0.95	0.11	-0.49	0.62
	Time	-0.001	0.99	0.009	-0.19	0.85
(d) females	Origin	-0.79	0.45	0.16	-4.84	<0.001
	European	-1.50	0.22	0.56	-2.65	0.007
	African	-1.70	0.18	0.59	-2.87	0.004
	Control	-3.19	0.04	0.84	-3.78	<0.001
	Breeding stage	-0.10	0.10	0.18	-0.54	0.59
	Trial order	-0.10	0.10	0.16	-0.59	0.55
	Date	-0.15	0.86	0.10	-1.44	0.15
	Time	-0.01	0.99	0.009	-1.50	0.13

Results of cox mixed-effects model with estimates, hazard ratio, standard error, z-value, and p-value fitted by maximum likelihood for playback in (a) males and (b) females, and decoy experiment in (c) males and (d) females. Estimates refer to differences from the intercept estimate, which represents the latency to approach of the local population (not shown). 'Origin' represents the overall estimate of differences between populations. 'Significant' differences are shown in bold.

significantly lower latency than females during both, the playback (z =4.78, p <0.001, Additional file 1: Table S2a, Figure 5) and decoy experiment (z =5.88, p <0.001, Additional file 1: Figure S2b, Figure 5). Breeding stage, date and time did not influence the response patterns of pairs (Additional file 1: Table S2). Trial order had no

influence on the behavioural response of pairs during the playback, and only a slight but significant effect during the decoy experiment (Additional file 1: Table S2). Birds tended to approach the stimulus with lower latency in the first two compared to later trials (Additional file 1: Figure S4). A Spearman's correlation test was run to determine





the relationship between the behavioural response of female and male mates within a pair. The latency to approach was correlated between females and males during the playback experiment ($r_s = 0.51$, p <0.001, n =15, Additional file 1: Table S3, Figure S2), but not during the decoy experiment ($r_s = 0.23$, p <0.103, n =14, Additional file 1: Table S3, Figure S2).

Further behaviours of males also differed in response to stimuli from different populations. Males remained significantly longer within 5 m of the stimulus of the local population than of all other populations during the playback (Table 3a, Figure 2) and decoy experiment (Table 3b, Figure 4). Males of the local population also discriminated between origin of the stimuli in the number of tail flips, an indicator of agitation. In response to playback, the number of tail flips differed significantly between stimulus origins (estimate =16.65, t = -10.58, CI = -19.82, 13.45, Table 4a, Figure 2). The number of tail flips was highest when males were exposed to the local song and decreased with geographic distance of stimulus origin (Table 4a, Figure 2). Breeding stage, trial order, date and time showed no significant effect on the number of tail flips (Table 4a). Similarly, males also differed significantly in their number of tail flips during the presentation of decoy stimuli (estimate = -18.43, t = -1.30, CI = -48.35, 11.16, Table 4b, Figure 4). During trials of the local stimuli, males significantly flipped their tails more often than during all other trials (Table 4b, Figure 4). Breeding stage, trial order, date and time showed no significant effect on the number of tail flips (Table 4b).

Discussion

This study reports clear differentiation in song traits of migratory European Stonechats over relatively short distances (90 km and 180 km from the focal population). By testing the behavioural responses to acoustic and morphological stimuli, we have also demonstrated the Stonechats' ability to discriminate between geographic origins of sexually selected traits in two modalities. The responses of both sexes during playback and decoy experiments were graded and declined with increasing geographic distance from the local population. The concordance of these responses and the significant preference for the closest population suggests potential for



the evolution of reproductive isolation although at present this is confirmed only for a single population.

Male and female Stonechats were similar in their behavioural discrimination, in contrast to results from other species. A recent study on Rufous-collared sparrows, Zonotrichia capensis, also reported discrimination between local and foreign stimuli, but the sexes differed in behaviour [16]. Females were presented with songs of the local, nearby nonlocal, and distant nonlocal dialect, and a control song from another bird species. They preferred the males' local song dialect to all other dialects tested, showing significantly more copulation solicitation displays. In contrast, males displayed only a low discrimination ability between dialects of geographically close populations [16]. Similarly, in White-crowned sparrows, Zonotrichia leucophrys, females were more sensitive to geographic variation in song than males [44]. A study on hybridizing Pied flycatcher, Ficedula hypoleuca, and Collared flycatcher, Ficedula albicollis, revealed that females quickly recognise male species identity by song and have a strong preference for conspecific males resulting in assortative mating, and thus preventing further hybridisation [45,46]. In contrast, males of both species courted the heterospecific female and the conspecific female with similar intensity, thereby promoting hybridisation. This lack of species recognition could be due to mating being less costly in males, which can inseminate several females over a short period, while females are constrained by the number of their eggs. Females, therefore, should not make mistakes in mate choice [37]. The fine discrimination ability of Stonechats indicates that females may mate assortatively, while males may use the fine discrimination to fight off particularly attractive sexual competitors with local dialects. We cannot disentangle male and female responses because we conducted simulated territorial intrusions in presence of both pair members. An influence of the mate is suggested by the correlation between mates during the playback experiment (Additional file 1: Table S3, Figure 5) and has been shown previously in Stonechats [42]. Therefore, a crucial future step for a better understanding of the response to acoustic and morphological traits in Stonechats is to conduct experiments separately on females and males.

The local differentiation and consistent behavioural discrimination of song by origin of Stonechats, which migrate, was similar to that of resident species (e.g., indigobird *Vidua sp.* [47], Galapagos Sharp-beaked ground finch, *Geospiza difficilis* [6], and song sparrow, *Melospiza melodia* [24]), but differed from findings in some migratory species. Among *Zonotrichia* sparrows, long distance migrants (e.g., *Z. l. gambelii*) do not form dialects [34], whereas in sedentary *Zonotrichia* subspecies (e.g., *Z. l. nutalli*) geographic song variation occurs [13,15]. The corresponding lack of genetic diversification in *Zonotrichia* migrants, in contrast to significant genetic structuring among dialect areas in non-migrants, supports the idea that migration may counteract population divergence and isolation [48-50].

Although the fine acoustic discrimination ability of Stonechats suggests potential behavioural barriers, its implications for geographic isolation are not fully clear, partly depending on song plasticity, and ultimately on the mechanisms involved in song learning. In passerine birds, song is typically learned during a sensitive period early in life. In species like Stonechats that show geographic discrimination, males that subsequently disperse into ranges of other populations would face reduced mating prospects if an acoustic signature of the natal population remains in their repertoire [40]. However, this could be offset if the males were able to learn new songs after the sensitive phase. For example, migratory



Figure 4 Decoy experiment in males. Behavioural response for
(a) latency to approach, (b) time spent within 5 m, and (c) number
of tail flips to (1) local, (2) European, (3) African, and (4) control
stimuli (European robin). Males discriminated between local and
foreign stimuli by responding more strongly to decoys of their own
population. Detailed description of boxplots as in Figure 2; $n = 16$.

nightingales were able to acquire new song types in their first singing season [51,52]. In some species plastic song is based on an initial overproduction of learned songs during ontogeny [53]. Such overproduction of learned songs has been suggested to be correlated with a migratory lifestyle [38,54]. If present in Stonechats, plastic song learning could therefore enable dispersing males to be sexually selected by local females, although benefits of local song in sexual selection could be partly offset by the greater aversive response of local males. Dispersing females, in turn, may have no choice but to mate with a male singing a foreign dialect, and this might reduce population divergence. A modelling study by Ellers and Slabbekoorn suggests that evolutionary implications of song dialects are not straightforward [55]. Although in the majority of scenarios genetic and vocal divergence were concordant, the type of song learning and intrasexual competition in males affected the evolutionary outcome. For Stonechats, to answer this question unambiguously would require population genetic analyses alongside analyses of song traits among populations [56].

In our study, we found that Stonechats were also able to discriminate by morphological traits. Most studies of sexual selection do not explicitly test the role of simultaneous signalling with different sensory modalities, and instead focus on a single divergent signal or a suite of signals of the same modality [57-59]. In contrast, explicitly testing for effects of multiple signals enables the detection of divergent signal use in discrimination [60,61]. In Stonechats, we expected that culturally transmitted song may evolve more quickly, and thus could play a more important role for geographic clines than do morphological traits. We found that discrimination by song was more consistent than by morphological traits. The discrimination by song was sensitive to a geographic distance of only 90 km, whereas the decoy against which the birds visually discriminated originated from a population which breeds 1,000 km away. A caveat in the interpretation of these differences are the different breeding stages during which the stimuli were tested: song stimuli were applied during egg-laying and incubation stages, when birds may be particularly responsive, whereas decoys were tested during nestling and fledgling stages. However, Stonechats are multi-brooded, and females may initiate additional clutches while males take care of fledglings, so that male intruders may well gain reproductive benefits at this time. Moreover, in a study on closely related African stonechats



with similar experimental designs, but conducted during simultaneous breeding stages for playback and decoy experiments, the birds' discrimination by song was more consistent than that by morphology (unpublished data by KGM). Overall, our data cautiously suggest that song may be indeed the stronger discriminatory signal for Stonechats.

In the chestnut-bellied flycatcher, *Monarcha castaneiventris*, plumage colour played a greater role than song for the intensity of aggressive response by territory-owners, although both signals mattered [62]. Chestnut-bellied flycatchers display more variation in plumage colour than in song, which may indicate that plumage is more emphasised in sexual selection than song structure. The relative advantages of signalling with several modalities may be driven by the environment [63]. In general,

Table 3 Time spent within 5 m of the stimulus

	Fixed effects	Estimate	s.d.	CI 2.50%	CI 97.50%
(a) playback	local	0.84	0.24	0.37	1.29
	90 km	0.18	0.21	-0.22	0.62
	180 km	-0.28	0.21	-0.70	0.14
	African	-0.37	0.22	-0.80	0.05
	control	-1.21	0.31	-1.82	-0.63
(b) decoy	local	-1.93	0.45	-2.75	-0.97
	European	-2.33	0.38	-3.03	-1.55
	African	-1.97	0.36	-2.65	-1.24
	control	0.59	0.36	-0.14	1.29

Results of generalized linear mixed model with estimates, standard deviation, and credible intervals using WinBUGS for (a) playback and (b) decoy experiment. Stimulus is defined as random factor to compare paths of all stimuli and thus correct for multiple testing. A behavioural response differs significantly from the local population if its credible intervals do not include the mean of the local population. Significant results are shown in bold. acoustic signals can be transmitted over long distances and are ideal for long-range communication, whereas visual signals can be more limited and therefore be more suitable for short-range communication [64]. For Stonechats, which breed in open habitats, both signalling modes may be important.

Conclusions

Our study on Stonechats reveals geographic differentiation of sexually selected traits in a migratory songbird. Song traits differed significantly in populations of distinct geographic origin. Consistently, both sexes distinguished local morphological and especially acoustic phenotypes from those of foreign populations. These data demonstrate that variation in sexually selected traits of different modalities may contribute to geographic isolation over relatively short distances, and thereby aid local adaptation. The sexes had similar sensitivity to incipient behavioural barriers. Maintenance or further divergence in these phenotypic traits could lead to assortative mating, reproductive isolation, and potentially speciation, in migratory Stonechats.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Stonechats inhabit open habitats across a large extent of the Palearctic [65]. The study population of European stonechats, *Saxicola torquata rubicola*, is located in northwest Germany (51°N, 6°30'E) and overwinters in the Mediterranean region, predominantly in north Africa [40,66]. The study population has been observed, measured and colour-banded for individual recognition since 1976. Stonechats arrive at the breeding grounds early in spring (late February/March), establish a territory, and form seasonal pair bonds with two to three broods per season

Table 4 Number of tail flips

	Fixed effects	Estimate	s.e.m	t	CI 2.50%	CI 97.50%
(a) playback	stimulus	-16.65	1.57	-10.58	-19.82	-13.45
	local	21.34	25.35	0.84	-30.85	73.32
	90 km	-37.68	6.18	-6.09	-49.77	-25.20
	180 km	-57.62	6.16	-9.35	-70.01	-45.05
	African	-54.67	6.17	-8.86	-67.06	-42.01
	control	-74.16	6.46	-11.48	-87.36	-60.74
	breeding stage	23.13	10.37	2.23	1.93	44.08
	trial order	-3.10	1.44	-2.16	-5.97	0.44
	date	2.75	1.45	1.89	-0.18	5.69
	time	0.49	0.50	0.97	-0.54	1.51
(b) decoy	stimulus	-18.43	14.13	-1.30	-48.35	11.16
	local	91.14	75.87	0.80	-102.65	224.57
	European	-10.80	43.36	-0.25	-106.25	83.18
	African	-52.38	43.82	-1.20	-146.92	40.28
	control	-48.50	44.02	-1.10	-144.07	46.80
	breeding stage	-2.78	20.12	-0.14	-46.04	39.67
	trial order	59.11	16.39	3.61	24.44	93.31
	date	-15.99	11.76	-1.36	-41.19	8.33
	time	-0.28	1.00	-0.28	-2.40	1.82

Results of general linear mixed model with estimates, standard error, t-value, and credible intervals fitted by maximum likelihood. Estimates for the stimulus locations refer to differences from the intercept estimates, which represent the number of tail flips of the local population. Subjects were included as random intercepts to control for repeated measures. A behavioural response differs significantly from the local population if its credible intervals do not include the mean of the local population. Significant results are shown in bold.

[40]. After the postnuptial moult they start migrating towards the wintering grounds in early autumn [41,67]. In the present study, all focal pairs were ringed. We conducted regular checks twice per week to monitor the breeding activity and to define the breeding stage of each pair.

To test the discrimination ability of the local population, we collected songs from the local population (Düffel) and two nearby European Stonechat populations at distances of 90 km (Heubach) and 180 km (Wahner Heide) from the study area. Furthermore, we used songs and decoys of African stonechats from Kenya [distance 4,000 km, [68]] and decoys from Stonechats from Austria [distance 1,000 km, 41]. Control species are explained below.

Recording method and song analysis

Stonechats, in common with most passerines, spend a higher proportion of their time singing just before dawn than at other times of day [69]. During the onset of the breeding season, we recorded the dawn song of a minimum of 28 individuals from each European stonechat population (n =3) for about ten minutes, using a Marantz PMD 661 solid state recorder (Osnabrück, Germany) and Sennheiser ME66/K6 directional microphones with windbreak (Georgsmarienhütte, Germany). To expand our set of stimuli, we also obtained 28 songs per species from African stonechats, *Saxicola torquata axillaris*, and

Winter wrens, *Troglodytes hiemalis*, from the Macaulay Library (www.macaulaylibrary.org). These song recordings were conducted in the Great Rift Valley (Kenya) for African stonechats and New York State (United States) for Winter wrens.

We analysed the songs of all five stimuli origins (sampling frequency: 44.1 kHz; resolution: 16 bit, Figure 6) with the software Avisoft Sound Analysis Pro, version 5.1.09 (Raimund Specht, Berlin, Germany). We examined the song duration, number of elements per song, element rate (number of elements per second), minimum and maximum frequency, peak frequency (frequency of the highest amplitude sound), and bandwidth for all populations (Additional file 1: Figure S3). With the automatic parameter measurements setup, we obtained the minimum and maximum frequency values measuring at a standard decibel threshold (here -20 dB, total option) below the peak in the power spectra [70].

To assess the song repertoire size, we analysed 100 consecutive songs of each male Stonechat (n = 20) from the local population. In Stonechats, a song typically consists of a sequence of motifs, and these in turn each contain several consecutive elements (Additional file 1: Figure S3). They are stereotypically repeated at a constant rate, and thereby distinguishable from all other song types. In general, song motifs, rather than complete songs, are shared within a



population. The mean song repertoire consists of 16 ± 3.06 unique song types.

Playback experiment

To reveal behavioural responsiveness of male and female Stonechats to songs of different dialects, we performed a field-based playback experiment by simulating a territorial intrusion with songs of distinct dialects during the egg laying or incubation stage $(13^{th} - 26^{th} \text{ April}, 2011)$. Each subject received five playback trials with the sequence of exposure determined by a randomized block design created by Randlist 1.2 (DatInf GmbH, Tübingen, Germany) to account for bias by trial order effects. Stimuli strings consisted of songs from the three European and the single African Stonechat populations. As a control, we used song of heterospecific Winter wrens, *Troglodytes hiemalis*. This species was chosen following the rationale by Grant and Grant [6], using a species that is similar in note structure and frequency range, but has never been heard by the tested birds.

To avoid inclusion of rare motifs, we selected song types with defined common motifs, which are shared between members of a population, and thus are representative of each population. However, this implies that the interpretation of song discrimination between populations should be taken with caution. The standardized stimuli selection of common and locally shared songs most likely excluded overlapping songs among populations. Their incorporation may have led to a slight decrease in the discrimination ability, and thus a potential overestimation of the responsiveness. To increase the number of independent samples, and thus improve the reliability and external validity, we generated a unique stimulus for each trial [71]. Each stimulus song was only used once for the entire study. We tested females and males simultaneously on their territories, and therefore each stimulus string was used once for both sexes (i.e. $28 \times 5 = 140$ unique playback stimuli). Each stimulus comprised song types from one individual's recording following the natural syntax of Stonechat song. We used 25 unique songs in total for each stimulus string, which were filtered (1 kHz high-pass filter) and normalised in peak amplitude (i.e. the amplitude of each song was adjusted to 75 % of the maximum amplitude). Songs were divided by pauses of 4.5 seconds. A trial comprised all five population stimuli played back consecutively in a random order, each

with a duration of 150 seconds. Each stimulus string was followed by at least 150 seconds of silence. To ascertain a comparable behavioural response of the latency to approach for each stimulus, playback strings only started when the focal bird (males =28, females =15) was at a distance of at least 10 m from the caller (longest silence between consecutive strings 285 s). Hence, each trial was performed on an individual bird for a period of about 25 minutes in total depending on the start times of the consecutive playback stimuli. Stimuli were broadcasted with the caller Foxpro Scorpion X1B (digital game caller, FOXPRO Inc. Lewistown, USA), which could be operated with a remote control. It was mounted on top of a bush in the central area of a territory such that it was widely audible. Response songs were recorded during the entire trial. However, acoustic responses to the playback were rare, and thus were not included in further analysis.

Decoy experiment

We conducted a second experiment to test the responsiveness towards morphological traits by using a stuffed decoy simulating a territorial intrusion. During the nestling or fledgling stage we placed the decoy (male in full adult plumage protected by an inconspicuous cage) in the centre of respective territories for ten minutes in total for each trial. Decoy stimuli consisted of males from (a) local Stonechats, (b) European Stonechats from Austria [distance 1,000 km, 41], (c) African Stonechats from Kenya [distance 4,000 km, [68]], and as a control (d) European Robins, Erithacus rubecula. From extensive observations, we know that Stonechats aggressively chase off other small insectivorous passerines with similar feeding habits. European robins meet this criterion but their preference of deciduous wooded habitats limits their familiarity to Stonechats. To avoid pseudo-replication we randomly chose from five different decoys per stimulus for each trial. Each focal Stonechat (male =16, female =14) received all stimuli in a randomized and balanced order. We conducted each trial on a different date (5th-18th May, 2011) during morning hours with two days pause between trials.

Behavioural measurements

All behavioural responses were observed from a distance of about 30 m and were documented continuously by dictating to the Marantz PMD 661. To quantify behaviour, we used descriptors that are commonly used to measure responses to territorial intrusions and mate attraction [72], including studies in Stonechats [43]. Specifically, we measured the latency of a bird to approach the playback or decoy within 5 m; the time a bird spent within this 5 m zone; and the number of tail flips, which are defined as up- and downward movements of the entire tail and indicate agitation in Stonechats [65]. The descriptive statistics of all behavioural responses can be found in the supplements (Additional file 1: Table S4).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the software R v. 3.1.0 [73]. Tests were two-tailed and significance was accepted at $\alpha = 0.05$. We used principal component analyses (PCA, R package FactoMineR [74]) to compare song traits between groups (with and without both control groups) and then tested the first principal component in a general linear model (LM, R package lme4 [75]) to identify the relationship between song traits and geographic distance of song origins from the local population. The latencies to approach within 5 m to the different stimuli were analysed using mixed-effects cox models (survival model) fitted by maximum likelihood accounting for breeding stage, randomized trial order, date and time (coxme, R package survival [76]). Subjects were included as random intercepts to control for repeated measures. A Spearman's correlation was run to determine the relationship of the behavioural response between paired females and males. For the time spent within 5 m we used a generalized linear mixed model with a beta distribution and stimulus as random factor using WinBUGS software 1.4 (GLMM, R package R2WinBUGS [77,78]). In WinBUGS we focussed exclusively on differences between stimuli. We defined stimulus as a random factor to compare paths of all stimuli, and thus correct for multiple testing. The response number of tail flips in males was analysed with a general linear mixed model fitted by maximum likelihood methods (LMMs, R package lme4 [75]) controlling for breeding stage, trial order, date and time. Subjects were included as random intercepts to control for repeated measures. Predictions from the general and generalized linear mixed models (Bayesian methods) were calculated as the median of their posterior distributions, and the 2.5 and 97.5% credible intervals (CI).

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Geographic variation in the song of European stonechats as quantified by principal component analysis. Table S1 Song traits of three European Stonechat populations. (a) Factor loadings of the principal component analysis for seven song traits. (b) Results of a general linear model testing whether the first principal component (PC1) differed between songs from different locations. Figure S2 Female and male behavioural responses. The figures show the latency to approach within 5 m of a stimulus in females and males during (a) playback experiments and (b) decoy experiments. Table S2 Behavioural response between pairs. Table S3 Correlation of behavioural responses between females and males during playback and decoy experiment. Figure S3 Spectrogram of an exemplary song in European stonechats. Indicated are typical measured song traits of Stonechats, i.e. song duration, total number of elements, minimum and maximum frequency, and bandwidth. Table S4 Descriptive statistics of behavioural responses for a) playback and b) decoy experiment. Figure S4 Trial order for the latency to approach during the playback experiment in (a) males and (b) females, and during the decoy experiment in (c) males and (d) females.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

KGM and BH conceived the study. KGM designed the experimental set-up. KGM and HF executed the experiments. KGM analysed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. KGM and BH completed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

All procedures follow NIH guidelines for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals and were conducted under the permission of the responsible authorities (West Münsterland, Kreis Borken, North-Rhine-Westphalia, Germany). The authors thank Martin Wikelski for providing equipment. We also thank Davide M. Dominoni, Fränzi Korner-Nievergelt, Beate Apfelbeck, Wolfgang Goymann, Manfred Gahr, Michaela Hau, Jim Caryl and three anonymous reviewers for providing valuable discussion and feedback on the manuscript. We are also very grateful for the splendid cover image of a male European stonechat by Beate Apfelbeck. KGM is a member of the International Max Planck Research School for Organismal Biology and is funded through the German Research Foundation (DFG grant HE3488/5-1).

Author details

¹Department of Migration and Immuno-Ecology, Max Planck Institute for Ornithology, 78315 Radolfzell, Germany. ²Department of Ornithology, University of Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany. ³Am Kuhm 19, 46325 Borken, Germany. ⁴Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow, G12 8QQ Glasgow, UK.

Received: 13 March 2014 Accepted: 4 November 2014 Published online: 28 November 2014

References

- Coyne JA, Orr HA: Speciation. Sunderland MA: Sinauer Associates Inc; 2004:545.
- Marler P: Specific distinctiveness in the communication signals of birds. Behaviour 1957, 11:13–39.
- Podos J: Acoustic discrimination of sympatric morphs in Darwin's finches: a behavioural mechanism for assortative mating? *Philos Trans R Soc B* 2010, 365:1031–1039.
- Edwards SV, Kingan SB, Calkins JD, Balakrishnan CN, Jennings WB, Swanson WJ, Sorenson MD: Speciation in birds: Genes, geography, and sexual selection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005, 102:6550–6557.
- Price TD, Sol D: Introduction: Genetics of colonizing species. Am Nat 2008, 172:S1–S3.
- 6. Grant BR, Grant PR: Simulating secondary contact in allopatric speciation: an empirical test of premating isolation. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2002, **76**:545–556.
- Slabbekoorn H, Smith TB: Bird song, ecology and speciation. Phil Trans R Soc Lond B 2002, 357:493–503.
- Baker MC, Cunningham MA: The biology of bird-song dialects. Behav Brain Sci 1985, 8:85.
- 9. Marler P, Tamura M: Song "dialects" in three populations of White-crowned Sparrows. Condor 1962, 64:368–377.
- Nottebohm F: The "critical period" for song learning. Ibis (Lond 1859) 1969, 111:386–387.
- 11. Baker MC: Song dialects and genetic differences in white-crowned sparrows (Zonotrichia leucophrys). Evolution (N Y) 1975, 29:226–241.
- Searcy WA: Song repertoire and mate choice in birds. Am Zool 1992, 32:71–80.
- Baker MC: Vocal dialect recognition and population genetic consequences. Am Zool 1982, 22:561–569.
- Baker MC: The behavioral response of female nuttalis White-crowned sparrows to male song of natal and alien dialects. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 1983, 12:309–315.
- 15. Searcy WA, Nowicki S, Hughes M, Peters S: Geographic song discrimination in relation to dispersal distances in song sparrows. *Am Nat* 2002, **159**:221–230.
- Danner JE, Danner RM, Bonier F, Martin PR, Small TW, Moore IT: Female, but not male, tropical sparrows respond more strongly to the local song dialect: implications for population divergence. *Am Nat* 2011, 178:53–63.

- Uy JAC, Moyle RG, Filardi CE: Plumage and song differences mediate species recognition between incipient flycatcher species of the Solomon Islands. Evolution (N Y) 2009, 63:153–164.
- Seddon N, Botero CA, Tobias JA, Dunn PO, Macgregor HEA, Rubenstein DR, Uy JAC, Weir JT, Whittingham LA, Safran RJ: Sexual selection accelerates signal evolution during speciation in birds. Proc R Soc B 2013, 280:20131065.
- McGraw K, Stoehr A: Plumage redness predicts breeding onset and reproductive success in the house finch: a validation of Darwin's theory. *J Avian* 2001, 1:90–94.
- Stein A, Uy J: Unidirectional Introgression of a Sexually Selected Trait across an Avian Hybrid Zone: A Role for Female Choice? *Evolution (N Y)* 2006, 60:1476–1485.
- 21. Price T: Sexual selection and natural selection in bird speciation. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 1998, **353**:251–260.
- 22. Kirschel ANG, Blumstein DT, Smith TB: Character displacement of song and morphology in African tinkerbirds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, 106:8256–8261.
- Baker MC, Mewaldt LR: Song dialects as barriers to dispersal in whitecrowned sparrows, Zonotrichia leucophrys nuttalli. Evolution (N Y) 1978, 32:712–722.
- 24. Patten MA, Rotenberry JT, Zuk M: Habitat selection, acoustic adaptation, and the evolution of reproductive isolation. *Evolution (N Y)* 2004, **58**:2144–2155.
- 25. Grant BR, Grant PR: Cultural inheritance of song and its role in the evolution of Darwins finches. *Evolution (N Y)* 1996, **50**:2471–2487.
- 26. Grant PR, Grant BR: The secondary contact phase of allopatric speciation in Darwin's finches. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:20141–20148.
- 27. Kawecki TJ, Ebert D: Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecol Lett* 2004, 7:1225–1241.
- Baldwin MW, Winklerà H, Organ CL, Helm B: Wing pointedness associated with migratory distance in common- garden and comparative studies of stonechats (Saxicola torquata). J Evol Biol 2010, 23:1050–1063.
- 29. Helm B, Schwabl I, Gwinner E: Circannual basis of geographically distinct bird schedules. J Exp Biol 2009, 212:1259–1269.
- Benkman CW: Adaptation to single resources and the evolution of crossbill (Loxia) diversity. Ecol Monogr 1993, 63:305–325.
- 31. Benkman CW: Divergent selection drives the adaptive radiation of crossbills. *Evolution (N Y)* 2003, **57**:1176–1181.
- 32. Smith JW, Benkman CW: A coevolutionary arms race causes ecological speciation in crossbills. *Am Nat* 2007, **169**:455–465.
- Helbig AJ: Evolution of Migration: A Phylogenetic and Biogeographic Perspective. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 2003:3–20.
- 34. Nelson DA: Ecological influences on vocal development in the white-crowned sparrow. *Anim Behav* 1999, **58:**21–36.
- 35. Paradis E, Baillie SR, Sutherland WJ, Gregory RD: Patterns of natal and breeding dispersal in birds. J Anim Ecol 1998, 67:518–536.
- Reed JM, Boulinier T, Danchin E, Oring LW: Current Ornithology: Informal Dispersal: Prospecting by Birds for Breeding Sites. New York: Kluwer Academic/ Plenum Publishers; 1999:189–259.
- 37. Randler C: Avian hybridization, mixed pairing and female choice. Anim Behav 2002, 63:103–119.
- Nelson DA, Marler P, Morton ML: Overproduction in song development: an evolutionary correlate with migration. *Anim Behav* 1996, 51:1127–1140.
- Illera JC, Richardson DS, Helm B, Atienza JC, Emerson BC: Phylogenetic relationships, biogeography and speciation in the avian genus Saxicola. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2008, 48:1145–1154.
- Helm B, Fiedler W, Callion J: Movements of European Stonechats (Saxicola torquata) according to ringing recoveries. Ardea 2006, 94:33–44.
- Flinks H, Helm B, Rothery P: Plasticity of moult and breeding schedules in migratory European Stonechats Saxicola rubicola. Ibis (Lond 1859) 2008, 150:687–697.
- 42. Canoine V, Gwinner E: The hormonal response of female European Stonechats to a territorial intrusion: the role of the male partner. *Horm Behav* 2005, **47**:563–568.
- Canoine V, Gwinner E: Seasonal differences in the hormonal control of territorial aggression in free-living European stonechats. *Horm Behav* 2002, 41:1–8.
- Nelson DA, Soha JA: Male and female white-crowned sparrows respond differently to geographic variation in song. *Behaviour* 2004, 141:53–69.
- Sætre GP, Král M, Bureš S: Differential species recognition abilities of males and females in a flycatcher hybrid zone. J Avian Biol 1997, 28:259–263.

- Haavie J, Borge T, Bures S, Garamszegi LZ, Lampe HM, Moreno J, Qvarnström A, Török J, Saetre G-P: Flycatcher song in allopatry and sympatry - convergence, divergence and reinforcement. J Evol Biol 2004, 17:227–237.
- Balakrishnan CN, Sorenson MD: Song discrimination suggests premating isolation among sympatric indigobird species and host races. *Behav Ecol* 2006, 17:473–478.
- Nelson DA, Soha JA: Perception of geographical variation in song by male Puget Sound white-crowned sparrows, *Zonotrichia leucophrys* pugetensis. Anim Behav 2004, 68:395–405.
- MacDougall-Shackleton E, MacDougall-Shackleton S: Cultural and genetic evolution in mountain white-crowned sparrows: song dialects are associated with population structure. Evolution (N Y) 2001, 55:2568–2575.
- Soha JA, Nelson DA, Parker PG: Genetic analysis of song dialect populations in Puget Sound white-crowned sparrows. *Behav Ecol* 2004, 15:636–646.
- Kiefer S, Spiess A, Kipper S, Mundry R, Sommer C, Hultsch H, Todt D: First-Year Common Nightingales (*Luscinia megarhynchos*) Have Smaller Song-Type Repertoire Sizes Than Older Males. *Ethology* 2006, 112:1217–1224.
- Todt D, Geberzahn N: Age-dependent effects of song exposure: song crystallization sets a boundary between fast and delayed vocal imitation. *Anim Behav* 2003, 65:971–979.
- Kiefer S, Sommer C, Scharff C, Kipper S: Singing the popular songs? Nightingales share more song types with their breeding population in their second season than in their first. *Ethology* 2010, 116:619–626.
- Marler P, Peters S: Developmental overproduction and selective attrition: new processes in the epigenesis of birdsong. *Dev Psychobiol* 1982, 15:369–378.
- 55. Ellers J, Slabbekoorn H: Song divergence and male dispersal among bird populations: a spatially explicit model testing the role of vocal learning. *Anim Behav* 2003, **65**:671–681.
- Mortega KG, Horsburgh GJ, Illera JC, Dawson DA: Characterization of microsatellite markers for Saxicola species. *Conserv Genet Resour* 2014, 10.1007/s12686-014-0355-9.
- 57. Uy JAC, Borgia G: Sexual selection drives rapid divergence in bowerbird display traits. *Evolution (N Y)* 2000, 54:273–278.
- 58. Irwin DE, Bensch S, Price TD: Speciation in a ring. Nature 2001, 409:333-337.
- Seddon N, Tobias JA: Song divergence at the edge of Amazonia: an empirical test of the peripatric speciation model. *Biol J Linn Soc* 2007, 90:173–188
- Podos J: Correlated evolution of morphology and vocal signal structure in Darwin's finches. Nature 2001, 409:185–188.
- Baker MC, Baker AEM: Reproductive behavior of female buntings: Isolating mechanisms in a hybridizing pair of species. Evolution (N Y) 1990, 44:332–338.
- Uy JAC, Moyle RG, Filardi CE, Cheviron ZA: Difference in plumage color used in species recognition between incipient species is linked to a single amino acid substitution in the melanocortin-1 receptor. Am Nat 2009. 174:244–254.
- 63. Rowe C, Guilford T: The evolution of multimodal warning displays. *Evol Ecol* 1999, **13**:655–672.
- Bradbury JW, Vehrencamp SL: Principles of Animal Communication. Sinauer: Boston, MA; 1998.
- 65. Urquhart ED: *Stonechats. A Guide to the Genus Saxicola*. London: Christopher Helm; 2002.
- Flinks H, Pfeifer F: Brutzeit, Gelegegröße und Bruterfolg beim Schwarzkehlchen (Saxicola torquata). Charadrius 1987, 23:128–140.
- Schwabl H, Flinks H, Gwinner E: Testosterone, reproductive stage, and territorial behavior of male and female European stonechats Saxicola torquata. Horm Behav 2005, 47:503–512.
- Dittami JP, Gwinner E: Annual cycles in the African stonechat Saxicola torquata axillaris and their relationship to environmental factors. J Zool 1985, 207:357–370.
- Zollinger SA, Podos J, Nemeth E, Goller F, Brumm H: On the relationship between, and measurement of, amplitude and frequency in birdsong. *Anim Behav* 2012, 84:e1–e9.
- Kroodsma DE: Suggested experimental designs for song playbacks. Anim Behav 1989, 37:600–609.
- McGregor PK: Quantifying responses to playback: one, many, or composite multivariate measures. In *Play Stud Anim Commun.* New York: Plenum; 1992:79–96.

- R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2013;618–622.
- 73. Husson F, Josse J, Le S, Mazet J: *Multivariate Exploratory Data Analysis and Data Mining with R.* R Package Version 1; 2014:102–123.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S: Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. R package version 1.0-6. J Stat Softw 2014, 55:1–9.
- 75. Therneau T: coxme: mixed effects Cox models. R package version 2.2-3. 2011, See http://cran.r-project.org.
- Sturtz S, Ligges U, Gelman A: R2WinBUGS: a package for running WinBUGS from R. J Stat Softw 2005, 12:1–16.
- Kacelnik A, Krebs JR: The dawn chorus in the great tit (*Parus major*): proximate and ultimate causes. *Behaviour* 1982, 83:287–309.
- Lunn DJ, Thomas A, Best N, Spiegelhalter D: WinBUGS A Bayesian modelling framework: Concepts, structure, and extensibility. *Stat Comput* 2000, 10:325–337.

doi:10.1186/s12983-014-0085-6

Cite this article as: Mortega *et al.*: Behavioural response of a migratory songbird to geographic variation in song and morphology. *Frontiers in Zoology* 2014 11:85.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit