


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Having few remaining teeth is associated with a low nutrient intake and low serum albumin levels in middle-aged and older Japanese individuals: findings from the NIPPON DATA2010

Mieko Nakamura^{1*} , Toshiyuki Ojima¹, Tomomi Nagahata², Imako Kondo³, Toshiharu Ninomiya⁴, Katsushi Yoshita⁵, Yusuke Arai⁶, Takayoshi Ohkubo⁷, Keiko Murakami^{7,8}, Nobuo Nishi⁹, Yoshitaka Murakami¹⁰, Naoyuki Takashima¹¹, Nagako Okuda¹², Aya Kadota^{11,13}, Naoko Miyagawa¹¹, Keiko Kondo¹¹, Tomonori Okamura¹⁴, Hirotsugu Ueshima^{11,13}, Akira Okayama¹⁵, Katsuyuki Miura^{11,13} and for the NIPPON DATA2010 Research Group

Abstract

Background: Oral health is thought to be associated with diet quality, and socioeconomic status (SES) affects both oral health and diet. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between the number of teeth and dietary intake as well as nutritional biomarker, considering the subjects' SES.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of data from 2049 individuals aged ≥ 50 years from the National Integrated Project for Prospective Observation of Non-communicable Disease and its Trends in the Aged 2010. The number of remaining teeth was categorized into age-specific quartiles (Q1 to Q4). We assessed the adjusted means and 95% confidence intervals for dietary variables by the number of teeth using analysis of covariance. Stratified analyses by SES were also conducted.

Results: The intake of grain products was 31 g higher, and those of vegetables and meat were 30 g and 8 g lower, respectively, in Q1 (fewer teeth) than in Q4 (more teeth). Carbohydrate intake was higher whereas protein, minerals (potassium, magnesium, and zinc), vitamins (vitamins A, E, B₁, B₆, β -carotene, and folic acid), and dietary fiber intakes were lower among individuals with fewer teeth. Adjusted mean serum albumin levels were low in Q1. The associations between the number of teeth and dietary intake were more evident in individuals with a low SES.

Conclusions: Having few remaining teeth was associated with a low nutrient intake and low serum albumin levels in middle-aged and older Japanese adults, and these associations were more evident in individuals with low SES.

Keywords: Oral health, Diet, Albumin, Socioeconomic status, Older people

* Correspondence: miekons@hama-med.ac.jp

¹Department of Community Health and Preventive Medicine, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 431-3192, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Introduction

Diet is closely related to health [1]. Oral health is thought to be associated with diet quality, especially in older people [2]. Previous reports suggest that there is an association between poor oral health and a low intake of certain types of foods [3–12]. A low intake of such foods can result in a reduced intake of some nutrients [3–7, 9, 10, 12–15] and low levels of nutritional biomarkers such as serum albumin [16, 17]. Maintaining an adequate serum albumin concentration is especially important for older people, because a low serum albumin concentration has been reported to be a predictive factor for frailty [18] and lower survival [19]. However, the association between poor oral health and nutritional biomarker levels has not been well documented [4, 16, 17, 20].

Socioeconomic status (SES) is also associated with oral health [21] and dietary intake [22–24]. Individuals with a low SES tend to have a poorer diet quality, including a low intake of vitamins and minerals [22]. The reason for this finding might be that foods with a cheaper cost per energy, which are frequently chosen by individuals with a low SES, tend to be energy-dense but nutrient-poor. Therefore, it is important to consider SES in studies investigating the association between oral health and dietary intake.

However, to date, only a few studies have reported the association between oral health and dietary intake considering the subjects' SES [8]. Accordingly, this study aimed to evaluate the associations between oral health, as measured by the number of remaining teeth, and dietary intake, and the associations after stratifying by SES, in a representative population of middle-aged and older Japanese adults.

Materials and methods

Study design

The National Integrated Project for Prospective Observation of Non-communicable Disease and its Trends in the Aged 2010 (NIPPON DATA2010), which was initiated in 2010, is a prospective cohort study that investigates cardiovascular disease in Japan. The baseline survey of this study was conducted using the National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHNS) in November 2010 and the Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions (CSLC) in June 2010, both of which were implemented by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The details of the NHNS 2010 [25, 26], CSLC 2010 [27, 28], and the NIPPON DATA2010 [29] have been described elsewhere.

Participants

Briefly, 8815 individuals aged ≥ 1 year residing in 300 randomly selected districts throughout Japan participated in the NHNS 2010. Of 7229 individuals aged ≥ 20

years, 3873 underwent blood examinations in the NHNS 2010. Of these, 2898 agreed to participate in the NIPPON DATA2010. The data of 7 persons who could not be merged with the NHNS 2010 were excluded from the dataset; thus, the data of 2891 participants were included. We analyzed the data of 2049 participants aged ≥ 50 years, because the rate of tooth loss is very low in the younger generation, and complete tooth loss was only seen in some individuals in their 50s and in those who were older in the NIPPON DATA2010.

Number of teeth, SES, and other variables

Self-administered questionnaires were used to obtain information on the number of teeth, smoking status, use of antidiabetic medications, annual household income, and the number of family members (NHNS 2010); equivalent household expenditure per month (CSLC 2010); and educational attainment (NIPPON DATA2010). All information was carefully reviewed by trained interviewers. Blood samples were taken after 4 h of fasting in the NHNS 2010. Tooth counts were obtained using the question: "How many natural teeth do you have? The natural teeth include dental crowns, but do not include wisdom teeth (third molars), dentures, bridges, and implants." with the answer: "I have (blank space) natural teeth." The self-reported number of remaining teeth was divided into age-specific quartiles to control for the confounding of age, because the number of teeth is highly age-dependent.

SES was divided into high and low, using annual household income, equivalent household expenditure per month, and educational attainment. Annual household income in the previous year was obtained as the categorical variable and classified into low (< 2 million Japanese yen [JPY]) and high (≥ 2 million JPY). Participants who answered "do not know" were excluded from the analysis. In the analysis of the annual household income, the square root of the number of household members was adjusted for. Equivalent household expenditure per month was obtained by using the household expenses in May 2010 divided by the square root of the number of household members, and dichotomized using the median (low, < 133 thousand JPY; high, ≥ 133 thousand JPY). Educational attainment was categorized into low (up to high school) and high (college or higher).

Dietary assessment

Dietary intake was assessed with the "1-day household-based food weighing with approximated proportions method" in the NHNS 2010 [25, 30]. This method was developed to monitor the nationwide nutritional status of individual participants in Japan using a household-based dietary survey [25, 30]. Nutrient intake was estimated based on the food composition table in Japan [31]. Grain products were divided into rice, bread, noodles, and others. The proportion of total

energy (% energy) was used for energy-yielding nutrients, and the energy-adjusted intake by density method (/1000 kcal) was used for other nutrients and foods.

Statistical analysis

We examined the differences in participant characteristics by the number of teeth by analysis of variance for continuous variables and the chi-squared test for categorical variables. Then, adjusted means and 95% confidence intervals for the intakes of food groups and nutrients as well as serum albumin and hemoglobin levels by the number of teeth were obtained by analysis of covariance. We adjusted the model for age, sex, smoking status, and the use of antidiabetic medications, because preliminary stratified analyses by sex did not show any significant differences in the association between dietary variables and the number of teeth. On the other hand, sub-group analyses by sex were performed for serum albumin and hemoglobin concentrations, because the association between these variables and the number of teeth was somewhat different by sex.

Sub-group analyses by SES were performed for the intakes of grain products, vegetables, and meat, as well as serum albumin concentration. The interaction between the number of teeth and SES was examined by inserting the number of teeth*SES as an interaction term.

Pairwise deletion was used for missing data. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM, New York, USA). All tests of significance were 2-tailed, with $P < 0.05$ considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of the subjects

We determined the age-specific quartiles for the number of teeth (Q1 to Q4). Characteristics of the subjects by the number of teeth are shown in Table 1. The age differences among the four groups were statistically significant but very small. The proportion of low SES (household income, equivalent household expenditure, and educational attainment) was higher in participants with fewer teeth than in those with more teeth.

Food group intake by the number of teeth

The adjusted means for food group intake by the number of teeth are shown in Table 2 (crude intake) and Additional file 1: Table S1 (energy-adjusted intake). The number of teeth was inversely associated with the intake of grain products. The crude intake of grain products was higher in individuals with fewer teeth (31 g higher for Q1 than Q4). This inverse association was significant for rice but not for bread and noodles. The number of teeth was positively associated with meat and vegetable intake. The intakes of vegetables and meat were 30 g

and 8 g lower, respectively, in participants with fewer teeth (Q1) than in those with more teeth (Q4).

Nutrient intake by the number of teeth

The adjusted means for the intake of nutrients by the number of teeth are shown in Table 3 (crude intake) and Additional file 1: Table S2 (energy-adjusted intake). The number of teeth was inversely associated with the energy-adjusted intake of carbohydrates. In contrast, it was positively associated with the crude and energy-adjusted intakes of protein (total and animal), minerals (potassium, magnesium, and zinc), some vitamins (vitamins A, E, B₁, B₆, β -carotene, and folic acid), and dietary fiber. However, the number of teeth was not associated with the intakes of total energy, fat except mono-unsaturated fatty acids, and sodium in both crude and energy-adjusted (other than total energy) intake. Sex stratification did not substantively change these results (data not shown).

Blood biomarker levels by the number of teeth

Few individuals (2.7%) had clinically low serum albumin levels (< 4.0 g/dL). Table 4 shows the association between serum albumin and hemoglobin levels and the number of teeth.

Adjusted mean serum albumin levels tended to be lower in individuals with the fewest teeth than in the rest of the participants, although the mean serum albumin levels were within normal limits, and the difference was rather small. This association was significant in men but not in women. In contrast, adjusted mean hemoglobin levels did not differ by the number of teeth.

Associations according to SES

We employed SES stratification for the intakes of grain products, vegetables, and meat as well as serum albumin levels (Table 5) as these variables showed significant associations with the number of teeth in the preceding analyses. The positive association between the number of teeth and serum albumin levels (trend p value: $p = 0.06$ in individuals with a low income and $p = 0.36$ in individuals with a high income)/meat intake (trend p value: $p < 0.01$ in individuals with a low income and $p = 0.48$ in individuals with a high income) tended to be more evident in individuals with a low income (interaction p value: $p = 0.07$, 0.08 , respectively). The positive association between the number of teeth and meat intake (trend p value: $p < 0.01$ in individuals with a low household expenditure and $p = 0.50$ in individuals with a high household expenditure) tended to be more evident in participants with a low household expenditure (interaction p value: $p = 0.09$). Meat intake was the highest among Q4 in participants with high educational attainment (interaction p value: $p = 0.02$),

Table 1 Characteristics of the subjects by the number of teeth (brackets contain percent)

| | Number of teeth | | | | <i>p</i> value ¹ |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Number of teeth, range | | | | | |
| 50–59 | 0–19 | 20–25 | 26–27 | 28 | |
| 60–69 | 0–14 | 15–21 | 22–26 | 27–28 | |
| 70–79 | 0–6 | 7–17 | 18–24 | 25–28 | |
| 80– | 0 | 1–7 | 8–19 | 20–28 | |
| <i>n</i> | 493 | 485 | 529 | 542 | |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Men | 242 (49.1) | 204 (42.1) | 223 (42.2) | 239 (44.1) | 0.09 |
| Women | 251 (50.9) | 281 (57.9) | 306 (57.8) | 303 (55.9) | |
| Age, mean, SD | 68.5, 9.4 | 66.5, 9.3 | 66.9, 8.5 | 66.0, 9.2 | < 0.01 |
| Age group | | | | | |
| 50–59 | 97 (19.7) | 131 (27.0) | 94 (17.8) | 143 (26.4) | < 0.01 |
| 60–69 | 190 (38.5) | 161 (33.2) | 240 (45.4) | 195 (36.0) | |
| 70–79 | 145 (29.4) | 153 (31.5) | 146 (27.6) | 152 (28.0) | |
| 80– | 61 (12.4) | 40 (8.2) | 49 (9.3) | 52 (9.6) | |
| Smoking | | | | | |
| Former smoker | 110 (22.4) | 92 (19.0) | 114 (21.6) | 110 (20.3) | < 0.01 |
| Current smoker | 93 (18.9) | 64 (13.2) | 52 (9.8) | 46 (8.5) | |
| Use of antidiabetic medications | 65 (13.2) | 43 (8.9) | 36 (6.8) | 42 (7.8) | < 0.01 |
| Household income ² | | | | | |
| Low | 148 (33.9) | 117 (26.5) | 108 (22.5) | 94 (18.9) | < 0.01 |
| High | 289 (66.1) | 324 (73.5) | 372 (77.5) | 404 (81.1) | |
| Equivalent household expenditure ³ | | | | | |
| Low | 273 (61.1) | 227 (51.6) | 209 (42.1) | 233 (45.3) | < 0.01 |
| High | 174 (38.9) | 213 (48.4) | 287 (57.9) | 281 (54.7) | |
| Educational attainment ⁴ | | | | | |
| Low | 414 (84.5) | 381 (78.9) | 408 (77.1) | 385 (71.0) | < 0.01 |
| High | 76 (15.5) | 102 (21.1) | 121 (22.9) | 157 (29.0) | |

¹Analysis of variance for continuous variable and chi-square test for categorical variable

²Low, < 2 million JPY; high, ≥ 2 million JPY

³Low, < 133 thousand JPY; high, ≥ 133 thousand JPY

⁴Low, up to high school; high, college or more

although the trend analysis showed an insignificant association between the number of teeth and meat intake for both low and high educational attainment (trend *p* value: *p* = 0.09 and *p* = 0.05, respectively).

Discussion

This nationwide cross-sectional study revealed that having fewer teeth was associated with a poorer nutritional status: a lower intake of vegetables and meats as well as animal protein, vitamins, minerals, and dietary fiber. Moreover, it was associated with lower mean blood

albumin levels. These associations tended to be more evident in individuals with a low SES.

The associations between oral health, dietary intake, and nutritional status observed in this study are mostly consistent with findings from previous studies in Western countries [3–5, 7–9, 13–17, 20] and Japan [6, 10–12], although there are some methodological differences in study design (cross-sectional vs. longitudinal), assessment of oral health status (self-reported questionnaire, interview, or clinical examination), and dietary assessment (food frequency questionnaire, dietary record, or dietary recall).

Table 2 Adjusted means of food group intake by the number of teeth (brackets contain 95% confidence intervals)

| | Number of teeth | | | | Trend <i>p</i> value |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Grain products, g | 460 (447–474) | 446 (433–459) | 427 (414–440) | 429 (417–442) | < 0.01 |
| Rice, g | 342 (328–357) | 338 (324–353) | 316 (302–330) | 326 (312–340) | 0.03 |
| Bread, g | 39 (35–43) | 36 (32–40) | 38 (34–42) | 34 (30–38) | 0.18 |
| Noodles, g | 62 (53–71) | 59 (51–68) | 58 (50–67) | 52 (44–60) | 0.10 |
| Potatoes, g | 61 (55–68) | 63 (57–70) | 60 (54–66) | 64 (58–70) | 0.72 |
| Soy and soy products, g | 74 (66–81) | 71 (64–78) | 75 (68–81) | 73 (66–79) | 0.95 |
| Vegetables, g | 301 (285–318) | 328 (312–344) | 340 (324–355) | 331 (316–347) | < 0.01 |
| Fruits, g | 136 (124–148) | 143 (131–155) | 151 (139–162) | 143 (132–155) | 0.30 |
| Fishes and Shellfishes, g | 91 (84–97) | 91 (84–97) | 98 (92–105) | 91 (85–98) | 0.54 |
| Meats, g | 60 (55–65) | 62 (57–67) | 66 (61–71) | 68 (63–73) | 0.01 |
| Eggs, g | 34 (31–37) | 37 (34–40) | 38 (35–41) | 35 (32–37) | 0.75 |
| Milks, g | 95 (84–106) | 113 (102–124) | 117 (106–128) | 109 (99–120) | 0.07 |
| Beverages, g | 719 (676–762) | 730 (688–773) | 760 (719–801) | 747 (707–788) | 0.23 |

Age, sex, smoking status, and the use of antidiabetic medications were adjusted

Hildebrandt et al. showed that a reduced number of functional units tended to be associated with chewing difficulty, as shown by an avoidance of stringy foods (including meat), crunchy foods (including vegetables), and dry solid foods (including bread) in older people [32]. Other quantitative studies reported that poor oral health was associated with a low intake of certain food groups (fruits, vegetables, meat, beans, and oil) [3–12], a poor nutrient intake (protein, vitamins, carotenoids, dietary fiber, and calcium) [3–7, 9, 10, 12–15], and low blood concentrations of albumin, carotenoids, α -tocopherol, hydroxyvitamin D, vitamin B₆, vitamin B₁₂, folate, and ascorbic acid [4, 16, 17, 20].

Poor oral health was also shown to be associated with a high intake of grain products (mainly rice) in a previous Japanese study [10] and our study, whereas bread was considered a “hard-to-chew food” in a previous study from the USA [32]. The intake of dietary fats was shown to have an inverse association with the number of teeth [3, 7, 9, 13]; however, this association was not observed in our study. Moreover, both inverse [3, 9, 10] and null [5] associations between total energy intake and the number of teeth have been reported, and a significant association was not observed in our study.

The association between having fewer teeth and a lower protein (specifically, animal protein) intake as well as lower serum albumin levels is a notable finding, because a low serum albumin level may be a modifiable risk factor for frailty [18], sarcopenia [33], and mortality [19] in older people. Stratified analysis by sex showed that the significant association between having fewer teeth and low serum albumin levels was observed only in men. Although we assume that the difference in serum albumin levels

between Q1 and others among women may be too small to detect a significant difference, it should be further investigated in future studies. The present study included few persons with hypoalbuminemia, likely because the participants were community-dwelling independent adults, including middle-aged individuals; however, lower serum albumin levels, associated with having fewer teeth, should be further investigated to prevent frailty and sarcopenia at an older age.

The association between having fewer teeth and poor nutritional status was particularly evident among individuals with a low SES, which raises an important public health issue. In Japan, dental prostheses are covered by the universal healthcare insurance; however, a social gradient in dental prosthesis use in older adults has been observed in a previous study [34]. We could not obtain information on use of dentures, bridges, or implants in this study; however, it is possible that promoting adequate dental management may improve diet quality in individuals with a low SES and few remaining teeth.

This study has some limitations. Because of its cross-sectional design, we cannot infer a causal relationship between the number of teeth and dietary intake. As we employed a single administration of 1-day dietary records, we could not account for day-to-day variations in dietary intake. Moreover, the use of self-reported tooth counts may not reflect the actual number of teeth. However, previous studies reported a reasonable validity for self-reported tooth counts when compared to tooth counts attained by clinical examination in Japanese adults aged 40–56 years [35] and older adults aged 65 or over [36]. Yamamoto et al. reported that Pearson's correlation coefficients between self-reported number of

Table 3 Adjusted means of nutrient intake by the number of teeth (brackets contain 95% confidence intervals)

| | Number of teeth | | | | Trend <i>p</i> value |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Total energy, kcal | 1908 (1866–1949) | 1915 (1873–1956) | 1948 (1909–1988) | 1914 (1874–1953) | 0.61 |
| Carbohydrate, g | 276.8 (270.3–283.3) | 274.3 (267.9–280.8) | 272.5 (266.3–278.7) | 271.3 (265.1–277.4) | 0.20 |
| Dietary fiber, g | 16.3 (15.7–17.0) | 17.0 (16.4–17.6) | 17.5 (16.9–18.2) | 17.3 (16.7–17.9) | 0.01 |
| Protein, g | 70.0 (68.1–71.8) | 70.9 (69.0–72.8) | 74.0 (72.3–75.8) | 72.5 (70.7–74.3) | 0.01 |
| Animal protein, g | 34.8 (33.3–36.3) | 36.2 (34.7–37.7) | 39.4 (37.9–40.8) | 38.0 (36.5–39.4) | < 0.01 |
| Vegetable protein, g | 35.1 (34.2–36.1) | 34.7 (33.7–35.6) | 34.7 (33.8–35.6) | 34.5 (33.6–35.4) | 0.39 |
| Fat, g | 49.7 (47.9–51.5) | 51.1 (49.3–52.9) | 53.2 (51.4–54.9) | 51.3 (49.6–53.0) | 0.11 |
| SFA, g | 13.0 (12.5–13.6) | 13.5 (12.9–14.0) | 13.9 (13.3–14.4) | 13.4 (12.8–13.9) | 0.28 |
| MUFA, g | 16.3 (15.6–17.0) | 17.0 (16.3–17.7) | 17.6 (17.0–18.3) | 17.2 (16.6–17.9) | 0.04 |
| PUFA, g | 11.7 (11.2–12.2) | 11.9 (11.4–12.4) | 12.0 (11.5–12.4) | 11.9 (11.4–12.3) | 0.66 |
| Sodium, mg | 4422 (4269–4574) | 4451 (4300–4603) | 4584 (4438–4729) | 4386 (4242–4530) | 0.98 |
| Potassium, mg | 2460 (2377–2543) | 2602 (2519–2685) | 2685 (2605–2764) | 2639 (2560–2718) | < 0.01 |
| Calcium, mg | 565 (539–590) | 582 (557–608) | 612 (588–637) | 592 (568–616) | 0.06 |
| Magnesium, mg | 266 (257–274) | 276 (267–284) | 284 (276–293) | 279 (271–287) | 0.01 |
| Iron, mg | 8.5 (8.3–8.8) | 8.7 (8.4–9.0) | 9.1 (8.8–9.4) | 8.9 (8.6–9.2) | 0.04 |
| Zinc, mg | 7.9 (7.7–8.1) | 8.1 (7.8–8.3) | 8.3 (8.1–8.5) | 8.2 (8.0–8.5) | < 0.01 |
| Vitamin A, µgRE | 528 (478–579) | 572 (522–623) | 629 (580–677) | 627 (579–675) | < 0.01 |
| β-carotene, µg | 4306 (3976–4636) | 4764 (4436–5093) | 5072 (4757–5387) | 5048 (4735–5360) | < 0.01 |
| Vitamin D, µg | 9.1 (8.3–9.9) | 9.1 (8.3–10.0) | 9.7 (8.9–10.5) | 9.4 (8.6–10.2) | 0.46 |
| Vitamin E, mg | 8.7 (7.0–10.5) | 8.6 (6.9–10.4) | 11.2 (9.5–12.9) | 11.4 (9.7–13.1) | < 0.01 |
| Vitamin K, µg | 266 (248–284) | 272 (254–290) | 282 (265–299) | 287 (270–304) | 0.07 |
| Vitamin B ₁ , mg | 1.73 (0.91–2.55) | 2.08 (1.26–2.89) | 2.32 (1.54–3.11) | 2.92 (2.14–3.70) | 0.04 |
| Vitamin B ₂ , mg | 1.58 (1.11–2.05) | 1.58 (1.11–2.05) | 1.86 (1.41–2.31) | 2.09 (1.65–2.54) | 0.08 |
| Vitamin B ₆ , mg | 1.76 (0.91–2.60) | 2.47 (1.63–3.31) | 2.79 (1.98–3.59) | 3.43 (2.63–4.23) | < 0.01 |
| Vitamin B ₁₂ , µg | 6.9 (6.3–7.5) | 7.0 (6.4–7.6) | 7.3 (6.7–7.9) | 7.6 (7.0–8.2) | 0.08 |
| Folic acid, µg | 327 (313–341) | 349 (335–363) | 356 (343–369) | 360 (347–373) | < 0.01 |
| Vitamin C, mg | 133 (120–146) | 135 (122–147) | 149 (137–162) | 146 (134–158) | 0.07 |

Age, sex, smoking status, and the use of antidiabetic medications were adjusted

Table 4 Adjusted means of blood biomarker levels by the number of teeth (brackets contain 95% confidence intervals)

| | Number of teeth | | | | Trend <i>p</i> value |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Serum albumin, g/dl ¹ | 4.40 (4.38–4.43) | 4.44 (4.42–4.46) | 4.44 (4.41–4.46) | 4.44 (4.42–4.47) | 0.03 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dl ¹ | 13.6 (13.5–13.7) | 13.6 (13.5–13.7) | 13.7 (13.6–13.8) | 13.6 (13.5–13.8) | 0.50 |
| Men ² | | | | | |
| Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.38 (4.35–4.42) | 4.45 (4.42–4.49) | 4.44 (4.40–4.47) | 4.45 (4.41–4.48) | 0.02 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dl | 14.4 (14.2–14.5) | 14.4 (14.2–14.6) | 14.4 (14.2–14.6) | 14.4 (14.3–14.6) | 0.55 |
| Women ² | | | | | |
| Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.42 (4.39–4.45) | 4.43 (4.40–4.46) | 4.44 (4.41–4.47) | 4.44 (4.41–4.47) | 0.36 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dl | 13.0 (12.9–13.2) | 13.0 (12.8–13.1) | 13.2 (13.1–13.3) | 13.0 (12.9–13.1) | 0.57 |

¹Age, sex, smoking status, and the use of antidiabetic medications were adjusted²Age, smoking status, and the use of antidiabetic medications were adjusted

Table 5 Adjusted means of selected factors according to socioeconomic status (brackets contain 95% confidence intervals)

| | Number of teeth | | | | Trend <i>p</i> value |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
| Household income ^{1,2,3} | | | | | |
| Low Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.37 (4.33–4.41) | 4.44 (4.39–4.49) | 4.38 (4.33–4.43) | 4.46 (4.40–4.51) | 0.06 |
| Grain products, g | 467 (442–492) | 462 (434–490) | 420 (391–449) | 420 (388–452) | < 0.01 |
| Vegetables, g | 280 (249–312) | 310 (275–345) | 339 (302–375) | 333 (293–372) | 0.02 |
| Meats, g | 42 (33–51) | 56 (46–66) | 62 (52–72) | 61 (49–72) | < 0.01 |
| High Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.42 (4.39–4.45) | 4.44 (4.42–4.47) | 4.46 (4.43–4.49) | 4.44 (4.41–4.46) | 0.36 |
| Grain products, g | 451 (434–468) | 445 (429–461) | 432 (417–447) | 434 (419–448) | 0.08 |
| Vegetables, g | 317 (297–338) | 336 (316–355) | 334 (316–352) | 330 (312–347) | 0.50 |
| Meats, g | 68 (61–75) | 66 (60–72) | 70 (64–76) | 70 (64–76) | 0.48 |
| Equivalent household expenditure ^{4,5,6} | | | | | |
| Low Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.40 (4.37–4.43) | 4.46 (4.43–4.50) | 4.42 (4.39–4.46) | 4.44 (4.40–4.47) | 0.22 |
| Grain products, g | 469 (450–488) | 467 (447–488) | 454 (432–475) | 455 (435–476) | 0.23 |
| Vegetables, g | 300 (278–323) | 329 (305–353) | 340 (315–366) | 313 (288–337) | 0.34 |
| Meats, g | 52 (46–59) | 61 (54–69) | 64 (57–72) | 65 (58–72) | < 0.01 |
| High Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.40 (4.36–4.44) | 4.41 (4.38–4.45) | 4.45 (4.42–4.48) | 4.45 (4.42–4.49) | 0.02 |
| Grain products, g | 444 (423–465) | 423 (405–442) | 413 (397–429) | 409 (393–426) | 0.01 |
| Vegetables, g | 308 (281–335) | 331 (306–355) | 345 (324–366) | 346 (324–367) | 0.03 |
| Meats, g | 70 (62–79) | 61 (54–69) | 68 (61–75) | 71 (64–77) | 0.50 |
| Educational attainment ^{5,7,8} | | | | | |
| Low Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.40 (4.37–4.43) | 4.44 (4.41–4.46) | 4.42 (4.40–4.45) | 4.43 (4.41–4.46) | 0.14 |
| Grain products, g | 458 (443–472) | 454 (439–469) | 429 (414–443) | 437 (422–452) | < 0.01 |
| Vegetables, g | 298 (280–316) | 330 (312–348) | 335 (317–353) | 321 (303–340) | 0.06 |
| Meats, g | 57 (51–62) | 60 (54–66) | 67 (61–72) | 62 (56–67) | 0.09 |
| High Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.39 (4.34–4.44) | 4.46 (4.41–4.50) | 4.48 (4.43–4.52) | 4.48 (4.44–4.52) | 0.01 |
| Grain products, g | 467 (433–501) | 414 (386–443) | 424 (397–450) | 415 (392–438) | 0.06 |
| Vegetables, g | 321 (279–362) | 324 (289–360) | 354 (321–386) | 357 (328–385) | 0.08 |
| Meats, g | 70 (56–84) | 71 (59–83) | 65 (54–76) | 86 (77–96) | 0.05 |

¹Interaction between the number of teeth and household income: serum albumin, $p = 0.07$; grain products, $p = 0.29$; vegetables, $p = 0.38$; meats, $p = 0.08$

²Age, sex, smoking status, the use of antidiabetic medications, and the square root of the number of household members were adjusted

³Low, < 2 million JPY; high, ≥ 2 million JPY

⁴Interaction between the number of teeth and equivalent household expenditure: serum albumin, $p = 0.13$; grain products, $p = 0.90$; vegetables, $p = 0.52$; meats, $p = 0.09$

⁵Age, sex, smoking status, and the use of antidiabetic medications were adjusted

⁶Low, < 133 thousand JPY; high, ≥ 133 thousand JPY

⁷Interaction between the number of teeth and educational attainment: serum albumin, $p = 0.59$; grain products, $p = 0.30$; vegetables, $p = 0.45$; meats, $p = 0.02$

⁸Low, up to high school; high, college or more

teeth and actual number of teeth were 0.81 among older adults [36]. Because we added the definition of “natural teeth” in the questionnaire, and we used quartiles of the number of teeth in the statistical analyses, we believed that misclassification of the rank of the number of teeth would exist but would be acceptable. Finally, we could not adjust for the use of dentures, bridges, or implants in the statistical model because of a lack of information on these variables. Wearing dentures or receiving treatment such as bridges or implants is expected to improve masticatory performance and dietary intake. Generally, people with less teeth are more likely to use dentures,

bridges, or implants and more likely to improve masticatory performance and dietary intake. Therefore, adjusting the use of dentures, bridges, or implants would result in larger difference in dietary intake between people with less and more teeth. On the other hand, people with high SES are more likely to use dentures, bridges, or implants and more likely to improve masticatory performance and dietary intake. Therefore, adjusting the use of dentures, bridges, or implants would result in smaller difference in dietary intake between people with high and low SES. In other words, the use of dentures, bridges, or implants would be possible

mediating factors in the association between the number of teeth and dietary intake.

This study also has some strengths, including the use of a nationally representative dataset of middle-aged as well as older men and women; a considerable sample size; models that were controlled for age, total energy intake, smoking, and diabetes status; and quantitative dietary assessment using weighed dietary records. It has been pointed that various oral-health factors such as tooth loss, chewing ability, oral pain, xerostomia, and altered taste are associated with dietary intake [36, 37]. When collecting information by self-administered questionnaire survey, tooth loss seems to be more reliable than other factors, because it is more objective than other factors, and several studies evaluated its validity [35, 36] in spite of the limitation caused by self-report as mentioned above.

Conclusions

Having few remaining teeth was associated with a low nutrient intake and low serum albumin levels. Our findings highlight the importance of promoting oral health in middle-aged and older people to help them maintain adequate nutritional status. Because the association between the number of teeth and dietary intake tended to be more evident in individuals with a low SES, SES should be considered when promoting oral health and dietary strategies.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Adjusted means of food group intake by the number of teeth (brackets contain 95% confidence intervals).

Table S2. Adjusted means of nutrient intake by the number of teeth (brackets contain 95% confidence intervals). (DOCX 47 kb)

Abbreviations

JPY: Japanese yen; MUFA: Mono-unsaturated fatty acids; NIPPON DATA2010: National Integrated Project for Prospective Observation of Non-communicable Disease and its Trends in the Aged 2010; PUFA: Poly-unsaturated fatty acids; SES: Socioeconomic status; SFA: Saturated fatty acids

Acknowledgements

We deeply appreciate the Japanese Association of Public Health Center Directors and the Japan Medical Association for their support with NIPPON DATA2010's baseline and follow-up survey. We also appreciate Shionogi Co. Ltd. for their support measuring brain natriuretic peptide. The authors thank Japanese public health centers and medical examination institutions listed in the Appendix of the reference 29 for their support with NIPPON DATA2010's baseline survey.

Funding

This study was funded by Health and Labour Sciences Research Grants of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan (Comprehensive Research on Life-Style Related Diseases including Cardiovascular Diseases and Diabetes Mellitus [H22-Junkankitou-Seishuu-Sitei-017, H25-Junkankitou-Seishuu-Sitei-022]).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets are not open to the public.

Authors' contributions

K. Miura and AO are the representatives of NIPPON DATA2010; UH developed the NIPPON DATA; MN, T. Ojima, T. Nagahata, IK, T. Ninomiya, KY, YA, T. Ohkubo, and K. Murakami developed the research questions; K. Miura, AK, NO, NN, and T. Ohkubo designed the study; K. Miura, AK, NO, NN, T. Ohkubo, KY, YM, N. Takashima, NM, KK, TO, and AO collected the data; MN analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript; all authors critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent from each participant was obtained before enrolment. The NIPPON DATA2010 protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Shiga University of Medical Science (No. 22-29, 2010).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Community Health and Preventive Medicine, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 431-3192, Japan. ²Department of Nutrition, School of Health and Nutrition, Tokaigakuen University, Nagoya, Japan. ³Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chubu University, Kasugai, Japan. ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan. ⁵Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan. ⁶Department of Nutrition, Chiba Prefectural University of Health Sciences, Chiba, Japan. ⁷Department of Hygiene and Public Health, Teikyo 451 University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan. ⁸Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan. ⁹International Center for Nutrition and Information, National Institute of Health and Nutrition, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Tokyo, Japan. ¹⁰Department of Medical Statistics, Toho University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan. ¹¹Department of Public Health, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan. ¹²Department of Health and Nutrition, University of Human Arts and Sciences, Saitama, Japan. ¹³Center for Epidemiologic Research in Asia, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan. ¹⁴Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, Keio University, Tokyo, Japan. ¹⁵Research Institute of Strategy for Prevention, Tokyo, Japan.

Received: 27 September 2018 Accepted: 29 November 2018

Published online: 05 January 2019

References

1. Willett WC. Diet and health: what should we eat? *Science*. 1994;264:532–7.
2. Walls AWG, Steele JG. The relationship between oral health and nutrition in older people. *Mech Ageing Dev*. 2004;125:853–7.
3. Josphipura KJ, Willett WC, Douglass CW. The impact of edentulousness on food and nutrient intake. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1996;127:459–67.
4. Sheiham A, Steele J. Does the condition of the mouth and teeth affect the ability to eat certain foods, nutrient and dietary intake and nutritional status amongst older people? *Public Health Nutr*. 2001;4:797–803.
5. Lee JS, Weyant RJ, Corby P, Kritchevsky SB, Harris TB, Rooks R, et al. Edentulism and nutritional status in a biracial sample of well-functioning, community-dwelling elderly: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79:295–302.

6. Yoshihara A, Watanabe R, Nishimuta M, Hanada N, Miyazaki H. The relationship between dietary intake and the number of teeth in elderly Japanese subjects. *Gerodontology*. 2005;22:211–8.
7. Hung H, Colditz G, Joshipura KJ. The association between tooth loss and the self-reported intake of selected CVD-related nutrients and foods among US women. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2005;33:167–73.
8. Tsakos G, Herrick K, Sheiham A, Watt RG. Edentulism and fruit and vegetable intake in low-income adults. *J Dent Res*. 2010;89:462–7.
9. Savoca MR, Arcury TA, Leng X, Chen H, Bell RA, Anderson AM, et al. Severe tooth loss in older adults as a key indicator of compromised dietary quality. *Public Health Nutr*. 2010;13:466–74.
10. Wakai K, Naito M, Naito T, Kojima M, Nakagaki H, Umemura O, et al. Tooth loss and intakes of nutrients and foods: a nationwide survey of Japanese dentists. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2010;38:43–9.
11. Kagawa R, Ikebe K, Inomata C, Okada T, Takeshita H, Kurushima Y, et al. Effect of dental status and masticatory ability on decreased frequency of fruit and vegetable intake in elderly Japanese subjects. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2012;25:368–75.
12. Iwasaki M, Yoshihara A, Ogawa H, Sato M, Muramatsu K, Watanabe R, et al. Longitudinal association of dentition status with dietary intake in Japanese adults aged 75 to 80 years. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2016;43:737–44.
13. Hung H, Willett W, Ascherio A, Rosner BA, Rimm E, Joshipura KJ. Tooth loss and dietary intake. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2003;134:1185–92.
14. Appollonio I, Carabellese C, Frattola A, Trabucchi M. Influence of dental status on dietary intake and survival in community-dwelling elderly subjects. *Age Ageing*. 1997;26:445–56.
15. Krall E, Hayes C, Garcia R. How dentition status and masticatory function affect nutrient intake. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1998;129:1261–9.
16. Mojon P, Budtz-Jørgensen E, Rapin C. Relationship between oral health and nutrition in very old people. *Age Ageing*. 1999;28:463–8.
17. Semba RD, Blaum CS, Bartali B, Xue QL, Ricks MO, Guralnik JM, et al. Denture use, malnutrition, frailty, and mortality among older women living in the community. *J Nutr Heal Aging*. 2006;10:161–7.
18. Kitamura K, Nakamura K, Nishiwaki T, Ueno K, Nakazawa A, Hasegawa M. Determination of whether the association between serum albumin and activities of daily living in frail elderly people is causal. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2012;17:164–8.
19. Goldwasser P, Feldman J. Association of serum albumin and mortality risk. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1997;50:693–703.
20. Nowjack-Raymer RE, Sheiham A. Association of edentulism and diet and nutrition in US adult. *J Dent Res*. 2003;82:123–6.
21. Murakami K, Ohkubo T, Nakamura M, Ninomiya T, Ojima T, Shirai K, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in oral health among middle-aged and elderly Japanese: NIPPON DATA2010. *J Epidemiol*. 2018;28(Suppl 3):S59–65.
22. James WPT, Nelson M, Ralph A, Leather S. Socioeconomic determinants of health. The contribution of nutrition to inequalities in health. *BMJ*. 1997;314:1545–9.
23. Nishi N, Horikawa C, Murayama N. Characteristics of food group intake by household income in the National Health and Nutrition Survey, Japan. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2017;26:156–9.
24. Nagahata T, Nakamura M, Ojima T, Kondo I, Ninomiya T, Yoshita K, et al. Relationships among food group intakes, household expenditure, and education attainment in a general Japanese population: NIPPON DATA2010. *J Epidemiol*. 2018;28(Suppl 3):S23–8.
25. Ikeda N, Takimoto H, Imai S, Miyachi M, Nishi N. Data resource profile: the Japan National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHNS). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44:1842–9.
26. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. National Health and Nutrition Survey. http://www.mhlw.go.jp/bunya/kenkou/kenkou_eiyou_chousa.html. Accessed 24 Aug 2018.
27. Ikeda N, Shibuya K, Hashimoto H. Improving population health measurement in national household surveys: a simulation study of the sample design of the comprehensive survey of living conditions of the people on health and welfare in Japan. *J Epidemiol*. 2011;21:385–90.
28. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions. <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/list/20-21.html>. Accessed 24 Aug 2018.
29. Kadota A, Okuda N, Ohkubo T, Okamura T, Nishi N, Ueshima H, et al. The National Integrated Project for Prospective Observation of Non-communicable Disease and its Trends in the Aged 2010 (NIPPON DATA2010): objectives, design, and population characteristics. *J Epidemiol*. 2018;28(Suppl 3):S2–9.
30. Iwaoka F, Yoshiike N, Date C, Shimada T, Tanaka H. A validation study on a method to estimate nutrient intake by family members through a household-based food-weighing survey. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol*. 2001;47:222–7.
31. Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. Standard tables of food composition in Japan. Fifth Revised and Enlarged Edition. 2005. http://www.mext.go.jp/a_menu/syokuhinseibun/1365297.htm. Accessed 24 Aug 2018.
32. Hildebrandt GH, Dominguez BL, Schork MA, Loesche WL. Functional units, chewing, swallowing, and food avoidance among the elderly. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1997;77:588–95.
33. Houston DK, Nicklas BJ, Ding J, Harris TB, Tylavsky FA, Newman AB, et al. Dietary protein intake is associated with lean mass change in older, community-dwelling adults: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition (Health ABC) Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;87:150–5.
34. Matsuyama Y, Aida J, Takeuchi K, Tsakos G, Watt RG, Kondo K, et al. Inequalities of dental prosthesis use under universal healthcare insurance. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2014;42:122–8.
35. Ueno M, Zaitu T, Shinada K, Ohara S, Kawaguchi Y. Validity of the self-reported number of natural teeth in Japanese adults. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2010;1:79–84.
36. Yamamoto T, Kondo K, Fuchida S, Aida J, Nakade M, Hirata Y. Validity of self-reported oral health variables: Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES) project. *Health Sci Health Care*. 2012;12:4–12.
37. Ritchie C, Joshipura K, Hung H, Douglass C. Nutrition as a mediator in the relation between oral and systemic disease: associations between specific measures of adult oral health and nutrition outcomes. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 2002;13:291–300.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

