

RESEARCH

Open Access

# A new mapping for finding a common element of the sets of fixed points of two finite families of nonexpansive and strictly pseudo-contractive mappings and two sets of variational inequalities in uniformly convex and 2-smooth Banach spaces

Atid Kangtunyakarn\*

\*Correspondence:  
beawrock@hotmail.com  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's  
Institute of Technology Ladkrabang,  
Bangkok 10520, Thailand

## Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new mapping in a uniformly convex and 2-smooth Banach space to prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings and the set of fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudo-contractive mappings and two sets of solutions of variational inequality problems. Moreover, we also obtain a strong convergence theorem for a finite family of the set of solutions of variational inequality problems and the set of fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudo-contractive mappings by using our main result.

**Keywords:** nonexpansive mapping; strictly pseudo-contractive mapping; variational inequality problem

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we use  $E$  and  $E^*$  to denote a real Banach space and a dual space of  $E$ , respectively. For any pair  $x \in E$  and  $f \in E^*$ ,  $\langle x, f \rangle$  instead of  $f(x)$ . The duality mapping  $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  is defined by  $J(x) = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2, \|x\| = \|x^*\|\}$  for all  $x \in E$ . It is well known that if  $E$  is a Hilbert space, then  $J = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity mapping. Recall the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1** A Banach space  $E$  is said to be uniformly convex iff for any  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ , the inequalities  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|y\| \leq 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$  imply there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| \leq 1 - \delta$ .

**Definition 1.2** A Banach space  $E$  is said to be smooth if for each  $x \in S_E = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ , there exists a unique functional  $j_x \in E^*$  such that  $\langle x, j_x \rangle = \|x\|$  and  $\|j_x\| = 1$ .

It is obvious that if  $E$  is smooth, then  $J$  is single-valued which is denoted by  $j$ .

**Definition 1.3** Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Then a function  $\rho_E : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be the modulus of smoothness of  $E$  if

$$\rho_E(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x+y\| + \|x-y\|}{2} - 1 : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = t \right\}.$$

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be uniformly smooth if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_E(t)}{t} = 0.$$

It is well known that every uniformly smooth Banach space is smooth.

Let  $q > 1$ . A Banach space  $E$  is said to be  $q$ -uniformly smooth if there exists a fixed constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\rho_E(t) \leq ct^q$ . It is easy to see that if  $E$  is  $q$ -uniformly smooth, then  $q \leq 2$  and  $E$  is uniformly smooth.

A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called a nonexpansive mapping if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ .

$T$  is called an  $\eta$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping if there exists a constant  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \eta \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

for every  $x, y \in C$  and for some  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ . It is clear that (1.1) is equivalent to the following:

$$\langle (I - T)x - (I - T)y, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \eta \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \tag{1.2}$$

for every  $x, y \in C$  and for some  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ . We give some examples for a strictly pseudo-contractive mapping as follows.

**Example 1.1** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a real line endowed with the Euclidean norm and let  $C = (0, \infty)$ . Define the mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  by

$$Tx = \frac{2x^2}{3 + 2x}, \quad \forall x \in C.$$

Then  $T$  is a  $\frac{1}{9}$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping.

**Example 1.2** (See [1]) Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a real line endowed with the Euclidean norm. Let  $C = [-1, 1]$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [-1, 0]; \\ x - x^2 & \text{if } x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $T$  is a  $\lambda$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping where  $\lambda \leq \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda_2 < 1$ .

Let  $C$  and  $D$  be nonempty subsets of a Banach space  $E$  such that  $C$  is nonempty closed convex and  $D \subset C$ , then a mapping  $P : C \rightarrow D$  is sunny [2] provided  $P(x + t(x - P(x))) = P(x)$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $t \geq 0$ , whenever  $x + t(x - P(x)) \in C$ . A mapping  $P : C \rightarrow D$  is called a retraction if  $Px = x$  for all  $x \in D$ . Furthermore,  $P$  is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $C$  onto  $D$  if  $P$  is a retraction from  $C$  onto  $D$  which is also sunny and nonexpansive.

Subset  $D$  of  $C$  is called a sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $C$  if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $C$  onto  $D$ .

An operator  $A$  of  $C$  into  $E$  is said to be *accretive* if there exists  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

A mapping  $A : C \rightarrow E$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -*inverse strongly accretive* if there exist  $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

**Remark 1.3** From (1.1) and (1.2), if  $T$  is an  $\eta$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping, then  $I - T$  is  $\eta$ -inverse strongly accretive.

The variational inequality problem in a Banach space is to find a point  $x^* \in C$  such that for some  $j(x - x^*) \in J(x - x^*)$ ,

$$\langle Ax^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \tag{1.3}$$

This problem was considered by Aoyama *et al.* [3]. The set of solutions of the variational inequality in a Banach space is denoted by  $S(C, A)$ , that is,

$$S(C, A) = \{u \in C : \langle Au, J(v - u) \rangle \geq 0, \forall v \in C\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Several problems in pure and applied science, numerous problems in physics and economics reduce to finding an element in (1.4); see, for instance, [4–6].

Recall that normal Mann’s iterative process was introduced by Mann [7] in 1953. The normal Mann’s iterative process generates a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in the following manner:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n Tx_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ . If  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point and the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is chosen so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by normal Mann’s iterative process (1.5) converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$ .

In 1967, Halpern has introduced the iteration method guaranteeing the strong convergence as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_1 + \alpha_n Tx_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ . Such an iteration is called *Halpern iteration* if  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point. He also pointed out that the conditions  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  are necessary for the strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to a fixed point of  $T$ .

Many authors have modified the iteration (1.6) for a strong convergence theorem; see, for instance, [8–10].

In 2008, Zhou [11] proved a strong convergence theorem for the modification of normal Mann's iteration algorithm generated by a strict pseudo-contraction in a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space as follows.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $\lambda$ -strict pseudo-contraction such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Given  $u, x_0 \in C$  and sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  in  $(0, 1)$ , the following control conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $a \leq \alpha_n \leq \frac{\lambda}{K^2}$  for some  $a > 0$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (v)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n < 1$ .

Let a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \alpha_n T x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \beta_n u + \gamma_n x_n + \delta_n y_n, \quad n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^* \in F(T)$ , where  $x^* = Q_{F(T)}(u)$  and  $Q_{F(T)} : C \rightarrow F(T)$  is the unique sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $C$  onto  $F(T)$ .

In 2006, Aoyama *et al.* introduced a Halpern-type iterative sequence and proved that such a sequence converges strongly to a common fixed point of nonexpansive mappings as follows.

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space whose norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable and let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings of  $C$  into itself such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^N F(T_i)$  is nonempty and let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of  $C$  defined as follows:  $x_1 = x \in C$  and*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|T_{n+1}z - T_n z\| : z \in B\} < \infty$  for any bounded subset  $B$  of  $C$ . Let  $T$  be a mapping of  $C$  into itself defined by  $Tz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n z$  for all  $z \in C$  and

suppose that  $F(T) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ . If either

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$  or
- (ii)  $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}},$

then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $Qx$ , where  $Q$  is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $E$  onto  $F(T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ .

In 2005, Aoyama *et al.* [3] proved a weak convergence theorem for finding a solution of problem (1.3) as follows.

**Theorem 1.6** *Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space and let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $Q_C$  be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $E$  onto  $C$ , let  $\alpha > 0$  and let  $A$  be an  $\alpha$ -inverse strongly accretive operator of  $C$  into  $E$  with  $S(C, A) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $x_1 = x \in C$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is given by*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Q_C(x_n - \lambda_n A x_n)$$

for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$ . If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  are chosen so that  $\lambda_n \in [a, \frac{a}{K^2}]$  for some  $a > 0$  and  $\alpha_n \in [b, c]$  for some  $b, c$  with  $0 < b < c < 1$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some element  $z$  of  $S(C, A)$ , where  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smoothness constant of  $E$ .

In 2009, Kangtunyakarn and Suantai [12] introduced the  $S$ -mapping generated by a finite family of mappings and real numbers as follows.

**Definition 1.4** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of a real Banach space. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of mappings of  $C$  into itself. For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ . Define the mapping  $S : C \rightarrow C$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= I, \\ U_1 &= \alpha_1^1 T_1 U_0 + \alpha_2^1 U_0 + \alpha_3^1 I, \\ U_2 &= \alpha_1^2 T_2 U_1 + \alpha_2^2 U_1 + \alpha_3^2 I, \\ U_3 &= \alpha_1^3 T_3 U_2 + \alpha_2^3 U_2 + \alpha_3^3 I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{N-1} &= \alpha_1^{N-1} T_{N-1} U_{N-2} + \alpha_2^{N-1} U_{N-2} + \alpha_3^{N-1} I, \\ S = U_N &= \alpha_1^N T_N U_{N-1} + \alpha_2^N U_{N-1} + \alpha_3^N I. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

This mapping is called the  $S$ -mapping generated by  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ .

For every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , put  $\alpha_3^i = 0$  in (1.7), then the  $S$ -mapping generated by  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$  reduces to the  $K$ -mapping generated by  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  and  $\alpha_1^1, \alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_1^N$ , which is defined by Kangtunyakarn and Suantai [13].

Recently, Kangtunyakarn [14] introduced an iterative scheme by the modification of Mann's iteration process for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a finite family of variational inequality problems and the set of fixed points of an  $\eta$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping and a nonexpansive mapping as follows.

**Theorem 1.7** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$ . Let  $Q_C$  be the sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $E$  onto  $C$ . For every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , let  $A_i : C \rightarrow E$  be an  $\alpha_i$ -inverse strongly accretive mapping. Define a mapping  $G_i : C \rightarrow C$  by  $Q_C(I - \lambda_i A_i)x = G_i x$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , where  $\lambda_i \in (0, \frac{\alpha_i}{K^2})$ ,  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of  $E$ . Let  $B : C \rightarrow C$  be the  $K$ -mapping generated by  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_N$  and  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_N$ , where  $\rho_i \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$  and  $\rho_N \in (0, 1]$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping and  $S : C \rightarrow C$  be an  $\eta$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping with  $\mathcal{F} = F(S) \cap F(T) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N S(C, A_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a mapping  $B_A : C \rightarrow C$  by  $T((1 - \alpha)I + \alpha S)x = B_A x$ ,  $\forall x \in C$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\eta}{K^2})$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by  $x_1 \in C$  and*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n Bx_n + \delta_n B_A x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is a contractive mapping and  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n = 1$  and satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\} \subseteq [c, d] \subset (0, 1)$  for some  $c, d > 0$  and  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n| < \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ .

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $q \in \mathcal{F}$ , which solves the following variational inequality:

$$\langle q - f(q), j(q - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Question** How can we prove a strong convergence theorem for the set of fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings and the set of fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudo-contractive mappings and the set of solutions of variational inequality problems in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space?

Motivated by the  $S$ -mapping, we define a new mapping in the next section to answer the above question, and from Theorems 1.4, 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 we modify the Halpern iteration for finding a common element of two sets of solutions of (1.3) and the set of fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings and the set of fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space. Moreover, by using our main result, we also obtain a strong convergence theorem for a finite family of the set of solutions of (1.3) and the set of fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudo-contractive mappings.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect and prove the following lemmas to use in our main result.

**Lemma 2.1** (See [15]) *Let  $E$  be a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space with the best smooth constant  $K$ . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, J(x) \rangle + 2\|Ky\|^2$$

for any  $x, y \in E$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (See [16]) *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space and  $B_r = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$ ,  $r > 0$ . Then there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z\|^2 \leq \alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\|y\|^2 + \gamma\|z\|^2 - \alpha\beta g(\|x - y\|)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in B_r$  and all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (See [3]) *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space  $E$ . Let  $Q_C$  be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $E$  onto  $C$  and let  $A$  be an accretive operator of  $C$  into  $E$ . Then, for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,*

$$S(C, A) = F(Q_C(I - \lambda A)).$$

**Lemma 2.4** (See [15]) *Let  $r > 0$ . If  $E$  is uniformly convex, then there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in B_r(0) = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq r\}$  and for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\|^2 \leq \alpha\|x\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|y\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)g(\|x - y\|)$ .*

**Lemma 2.5** (See [17]) *Let  $C$  be a closed and convex subset of a real uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with a nonempty fixed point  $F(T)$ . If  $\{x_n\} \subset C$  is a bounded sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$ . Then there exists a unique sunny nonexpansive retraction  $Q_{F(T)} : C \rightarrow F(T)$  such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Q_{F(T)}u, J(x_n - Q_{F(T)}u) \rangle \leq 0$$

for any given  $u \in C$ .

**Lemma 2.6** (See [18]) *Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying*

$$s_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)s_n + \delta_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty,$$

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_n}{\alpha_n} \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty.$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ .

From the  $S$ -mapping, we define the mapping generated by two sets of finite families of the mappings and real numbers as follows.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be two finite families of mappings of  $C$  into itself. For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ . We define the mapping  $S^A : C \rightarrow C$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= T_1 = I, \\ U_1 &= T_1(\alpha_1^1 S_1 U_0 + \alpha_2^1 U_0 + \alpha_3^1 I), \\ U_2 &= T_2(\alpha_1^2 S_2 U_1 + \alpha_2^2 U_1 + \alpha_3^2 I), \\ U_3 &= T_3(\alpha_1^3 S_3 U_2 + \alpha_2^3 U_2 + \alpha_3^3 I), \\ &\vdots \\ U_{N-1} &= T_{N-1}(\alpha_1^{N-1} S_{N-1} U_{N-2} + \alpha_2^{N-1} U_{N-2} + \alpha_3^{N-1} I), \\ S^A &= U_N = T_N(\alpha_1^N S_N U_{N-1} + \alpha_2^N U_{N-1} + \alpha_3^N I). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

This mapping is called the  $S^A$ -mapping generated by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ .

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of  $\kappa_i$ -strict pseudo-contractions of  $C$  into itself and let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings of  $C$  into itself with  $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with  $K^2 \leq \kappa$ , where  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of  $E$ . Let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1^j \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_2^j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_3^j \in (0, 1)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $S^A$  be the  $S^A$ -mapping generated by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Then  $F(S^A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$  and  $S^A$  is a nonexpansive mapping.

*Proof* Let  $x_0 \in F(S^A)$  and  $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 &= \|T_N(\alpha_1^N S_N U_{N-1} + \alpha_2^N U_{N-1} + \alpha_3^N I)x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\alpha_1^N (S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_2^N (U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_3^N (x_0 - x^*)\|^2 \\ &= \left\| (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) + \frac{\alpha_2^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^N (x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) + \frac{\alpha_2^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N}\right) (U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 \\
 & + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - U_{N-1} x_0) + U_{N-1} x_0 - x^* \right\|^2 + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 \leq & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \\
 & + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \langle S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - U_{N-1} x_0, j(U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) \rangle \\
 & + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right)^2 \|S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \left. \right) + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \langle S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*, j(U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) \rangle \right. \\
 & + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \langle x^* - U_{N-1} x_0, j(U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*) \rangle \\
 & + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right)^2 \|S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \left. \right) + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 \leq & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \\
 & + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} (\|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 - \kappa \|(I - S_N)U_{N-1} x_0\|^2) \\
 & - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \|x^* - U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right)^2 \|S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \left. \right) \\
 & + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \kappa \|(I - S_N)U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \right. \\
 & + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right)^2 \|S_N U_{N-1} x_0 - U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \left. \right) + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \\
 & - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right) \right) \|(I - S_N)U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \left. \right) + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 \leq & (1 - \alpha_3^N) \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 \leq & (1 - \alpha_3^N) ((1 - \alpha_3^{N-1}) \|U_{N-2} x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_3^{N-1} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2) + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & \prod_{j=N-1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|U_{N-2} x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=N-1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & \vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|U_2 x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|T_2(\alpha_1^2 S_2 U_1 + \alpha_2^2 U_1 + \alpha_3^2 I)x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|\alpha_1^2 (S_2 U_1 x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_2^2 (U_1 x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_3^2 (x_0 - x^*)\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|(1 - \alpha_3^2) \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} (S_2 U_1 x_0 - x^*) + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} (U_1 x_0 - x^*)\right) \\
 &\quad + \alpha_3^2 (x_0 - x^*)\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} (S_2 U_1 x_0 - x^*) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} (U_1 x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} (S_2 U_1 x_0 - x^*) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2}\right) (U_1 x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} (S_2 U_1 x_0 - U_1 x_0) + U_1 x_0 - x^* \right\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left( \|U_1 x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \langle S_2 U_1 x_0 - U_1 x_0, j(U_1 x_0 - x^*) \rangle \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \right) \|S_2 U_1 x_0 - U_1 x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) (\|U_1 x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \right) \right) \|(U - S_2) U_1 x_0\|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (1 - \alpha_3^2) (\|U_1 x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2) \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|U_1 x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( \alpha_1^1 (S_1 U_0 x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_2^1 (U_0 x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_3^1 (x_0 - x^*) \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( \alpha_1^1 (S_1 x_0 - x^*) + (1 - \alpha_1^1) (x_0 - x^*) \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( \alpha_1^1 (S_1 x_0 - x_0) + x_0 - x^* \right)^2 + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_1^1 \langle S_1 x_0 - x_0, j(x_0 - x^*) \rangle) \\
 &\quad + 2K^2 (\alpha_1^1)^2 \|S_1 x_0 - x_0\|^2 + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_1^1 \langle S_1 x_0 - x^*, j(x_0 - x^*) \rangle) \\
 &\quad + 2\alpha_1^1 \langle x^* - x_0, j(x_0 - x^*) \rangle \\
 &\quad + 2K^2 (\alpha_1^1)^2 \|S_1 x_0 - x_0\|^2 + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_1^1 (\|x_0 - x^*\| - \kappa \|S_1 x_0 - x_0\|) \\
 &\quad - 2\alpha_1^1 \|x^* - x_0\|^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2K^2(\alpha_1^1)^2 \|S_1x_0 - x_0\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_1^1(\kappa - K^2\alpha_1^1) \|S_1x_0 - x_0\|^2) \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) 2\alpha_1^1(\kappa - K^2\alpha_1^1) \|S_1x_0 - x_0\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_0 - x^*\|^2. \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

For every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and (2.2), we have

$$\|U_jx_0 - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x_0 - x^*\|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

For every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$  and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 &\leq \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|U_kx_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|T_k(\alpha_1^k S_k U_{k-1} + \alpha_2^k U_{k-1} + \alpha_3^k I)x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|\alpha_1^k (S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_2^k (U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_3^k (x_0 - x^*)\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left\| (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left( \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) + \frac{\alpha_2^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^k (x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) + \frac{\alpha_2^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) \right\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k}\right) \|U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left\| \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - U_{k-1}x_0) + U_{k-1}x_0 - x^* \right\|^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 \leq & \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left( \|U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \langle S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - U_{k-1}x_0, j(U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) \rangle \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \right)^2 \|S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - U_{k-1}x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 = & \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left( \|U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \langle S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*, j(U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) \rangle \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \langle x^* - U_{k-1}x_0, j(U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*) \rangle \right. \\
 & \left. + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \right)^2 \|S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - U_{k-1}x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 \leq & \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left( \|U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} (\|U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*\|^2 - \kappa \|(I - S_k)U_{k-1}x_0\|) \right) \right. \\
 & \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \|x^* - U_{k-1}x_0\|^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + 2K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \right)^2 \|S_k U_{k-1}x_0 - U_{k-1}x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + \left(1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left( \|U_{k-1}x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \right) \right) \|(I - S_k)U_{k-1}x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^k) \left( \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^k}{1 - \alpha_3^k} \right) \right) \|(I - S_k)U_{k-1}x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=k+1}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$U_{k-1}x_0 = S_k U_{k-1}x_0 \tag{2.4}$$

for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ .

From (2.2), it implies that  $x_0 = S_1 x_0$ , that is,  $x_0 \in F(S)$ . From the definition of  $S^A$ , we have

$$U_1 x_0 = T_1 (\alpha_1^1 S_1 U_0 x_0 + \alpha_2^1 U_0 x_0 + \alpha_3^1 x_0) = T_1 x_0 = x_0. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) and  $U_1 x_0 = x_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 &\leq \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left( \|U_1 x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \right) \right) \|(I - S_2)U_1 x_0\|^2 \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left( \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \right) \right) \|(I - S_2)x_0\|^2 \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) + \left( 1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (1 - \alpha_3^2) \left( \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \right) \right) \|(I - S_2)x_0\|^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \alpha_3^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & = \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2}{1 - \alpha_3^2} \right) \right) \|(I - S_2)x_0\|^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It implies that  $x_0 = S_2x_0$ .

From the definition of  $S^A$  and  $x_0 = S_2x_0$ , we have

$$U_2x_0 = T_2(\alpha_1^2 S_2U_1 + \alpha_2^2 U_1 + \alpha_3^2 I)x_0 = T_2x_0. \tag{2.6}$$

From the definition of  $U_3$  and (2.4), we have

$$U_3x_0 = T_3(\alpha_1^3 S_3U_2 + \alpha_2^3 U_2 + \alpha_3^3 I)x_0 = T_3((1 - \alpha_3^3)U_2x_0 + \alpha_3^3 x_0). \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.2), (2.6), (2.7) and  $E$  is uniformly convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 & \leq \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|U_3x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \left(1 - \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & = \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|T_3((1 - \alpha_3^3)U_2x_0 + \alpha_3^3 x_0) - x^*\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & \leq \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|(1 - \alpha_3^3)(U_2x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_3^3(x_0 - x^*)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & = \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \|(1 - \alpha_3^3)(T_2x_0 - x^*) + \alpha_3^3(x_0 - x^*)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 & \leq \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) \left( (1 - \alpha_3^3) \|T_2x_0 - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_3^3 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right) \\
 & \quad - \alpha_3^3 (1 - \alpha_3^3) g_2(\|T_2x_0 - x_0\|) \\
 & \quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j) (\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - \alpha_3^3 (1 - \alpha_3^3) g_2(\|T_2 x_0 - x_0\|)) \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \prod_{j=4}^N (1 - \alpha_3^j)\right) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$g_2(\|T_2 x_0 - x_0\|) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Assume that  $T_2 x_0 \neq x_0$ , then we have  $\|T_2 x_0 - x_0\| > 0$ . From the properties of  $g_2$ , we have

$$0 = g(0) < g(\|T_2 x_0 - x_0\|) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

This is a contradiction. Then we have  $T_2 x_0 = x_0$ . From (2.6), we have  $x_0 = T_2 x_0 = U_2 x_0$ .

From the definition of  $U_3$ , we have

$$U_3 x_0 = T_3((1 - \alpha_3^3)U_2 x_0 + \alpha_3^3 x_0) = T_3 x_0.$$

By using the same method as above, we have

$$x_0 = U_3 x_0 = T_3 x_0.$$

Continuing on this way, we can conclude that

$$x_0 = U_i x_0 = T_i x_0 \tag{2.10}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ . From (2.2) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|U_{N-1} x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right) \right) \|(I - S_N)U_{N-1} x_0\|^2 \right) + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_3^N) \left( \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - 2 \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \left( \kappa - K^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1^N}{1 - \alpha_3^N} \right) \right) \|(I - S_N)x_0\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_3^N \|x_0 - x^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$x_0 = S_N x_0. \tag{2.11}$$

From the definition of  $S^A$  and (2.10), we have

$$x_0 = S^A x_0 = U_N x_0 = T_N(\alpha_1^N S_N U_{N-1} + \alpha_2^N U_{N-1} + \alpha_3^N I)x_0 = T_N x_0.$$



Then we have

$$x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(U_i). \tag{2.12}$$

Since  $S_k U_{k-1} x_0 = U_{k-1} x_0$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$  and  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(U_i)$ , then we have

$$S_k x_0 = x_0$$

for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ . From (2.11), it implies that

$$x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i). \tag{2.14}$$

Hence,  $F(S^A) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i)$ . It is easy to see that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \subseteq F(S^A)$ . Applying (2.2), we have that the mapping  $S^A$  is nonexpansive.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8** [19] *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space  $E$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two nonexpansive mappings from  $C$  into itself with  $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a mapping  $S$  by*

$$Sx = \lambda T_1 x + (1 - \lambda) T_2 x, \quad \forall x \in C,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant in  $(0, 1)$ . Then  $S$  is nonexpansive and  $F(S) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ .

Applying Lemma 2.8, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space  $E$ . Let  $T_1, T_2$  and  $T_3$  be three nonexpansive mappings from  $C$  into itself with  $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \cap F(T_3) \neq \emptyset$ . Define a mapping  $S$  by*

$$Sx = \alpha T_1 x + \beta T_2 x + \gamma T_3 x, \quad \forall x \in C,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  is a constant in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ . Then  $S$  is nonexpansive and  $F(S) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \cap F(T_3)$ .

*Proof* For every  $x \in C$  and the definition of the mapping  $S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Sx &= \alpha T_1 x + \beta T_2 x + \gamma T_3 x \\ &= \alpha T_1 x + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} T_2 x + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \alpha} T_3 x \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha T_1 x + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} T_2 x + \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} \right) T_3 x \right) \\
 &= \alpha T_1 x + (1 - \alpha) S_1 x,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where  $S_1 = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} T_2 + (1 - \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}) T_3$ . From Lemma 2.8, we have  $F(S_1) = F(T_2) \cap F(T_3)$  and  $S_1$  is a nonexpansive mapping. From Lemma 2.8 and (2.15), we have  $F(S) = F(T_1) \cap F(S_1)$  and  $S$  is a nonexpansive mapping. Hence we have  $F(S) = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \cap F(T_3)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$ . Let  $Q_C$  be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $E$  onto  $C$  and let  $A, B$  be  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -inverse strongly accretive mappings of  $C$  into  $E$ , respectively. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of  $\kappa_i$ -strict pseudo-contractions of  $C$  into itself and let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings of  $C$  into itself with  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap S(C, A) \cap S(C, B) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with  $K^2 \leq \kappa$ , where  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of  $E$ . Let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1^j \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_2^j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_3^j \in (0, 1)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $S^A$  be the  $S^A$ -mapping generated by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by  $x_1, u \in C$  and*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n Q_C(I - aA)x_n + \delta_n Q_C(I - bB)x_n + \eta_n S^A x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n + \eta_n = 1$  and satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty,$
- (ii)  $\{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \subseteq [c, d] \subset (0, 1), \quad \text{for some } c, d > 0, \forall n \geq 1,$
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|,$   
 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty,$
- (iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1,$
- (v)  $a \in \left(0, \frac{\alpha}{K^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad b \in \left(0, \frac{\beta}{K^2}\right).$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z_0 = Q_{\mathcal{F}} u$ , where  $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $C$  onto  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof* First we show that  $Q_C(I - aA)$  and  $Q_C(I - bB)$  are nonexpansive mappings. Let  $x, y \in C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Q_C(I - aA)x - Q_C(I - aA)y\|^2 &\leq \|x - y - a(Ax - Ay)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2a\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle + 2K^2 a^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2a\alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2 + 2K^2 a^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\
 &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2a(\alpha - K^2 a) \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x - y\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then we have  $Q_C(I - aA)$  is a nonexpansive mapping. By using the same methods as (3.2), we have  $Q_C(I - bB)$  is a nonexpansive mapping.

Let  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ . From Lemma 2.3, we have  $x^* \in F(Q_C(I - aA))$  and  $x^* \in F(Q_C(I - bB))$ . By the definition of  $x_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \alpha_n \|u - x^*\| + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\| + \gamma_n \|Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\quad + \delta_n \|Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x^*\| + \eta_n \|S^A x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n \|u - x^*\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \max\{\|u - x^*\|, \|x_1 - x^*\|\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have  $\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \max\{\|u - x^*\|, \|x_1 - x^*\|\}$ . We can imply that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and so are  $\{S^A x_n\}$ ,  $\{Q_C(I - aA)x_n\}$  and  $\{Q_C(I - bB)x_n\}$ .

Next, we show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$ . From the definition of  $x_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &= \|\alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n Q_C(I - aA)x_n + \delta_n Q_C(I - bB)x_n + \eta_n S^A x_n \\
 &\quad - \alpha_{n-1} u - \beta_{n-1} x_{n-1} - \gamma_{n-1} Q_C(I - aA)x_{n-1} - \delta_{n-1} Q_C(I - bB)x_{n-1} \\
 &\quad - \eta_{n-1} S^A x_{n-1}\| \\
 &\leq |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u\| + \beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1}\| \\
 &\quad + \gamma_n \|Q_C(I - aA)x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_{n-1}\| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|Q_C(I - aA)x_{n-1}\| \\
 &\quad + \delta_n \|Q_C(I - bB)x_n - Q_C(I - bB)x_{n-1}\| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}| \|Q_C(I - bB)x_{n-1}\| \\
 &\quad + \eta_n \|S^A x_n - S^A x_{n-1}\| + |\eta_{n-1} - \eta_n| \|S^A x_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u\| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1}\| \\
 &\quad + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|Q_C(I - aA)x_{n-1}\| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}| \|Q_C(I - bB)x_{n-1}\| \\
 &\quad + |\eta_{n-1} - \eta_n| \|S^A x_n\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^A x_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

From the definition of  $x_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n (u - x^*) + \beta_n (x_n - x^*) + \gamma_n (Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x^*) \\
 &\quad + \delta_n (Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x^*) + \eta_n (S^A x_n - x^*)\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\| \beta_n(x_n - x^*) + \gamma_n(Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x^*) + (\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n) \left( \frac{\alpha_n(u - x^*)}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\delta_n(Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x^*)}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} + \frac{\eta_n(S^A x_n - x^*)}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} \right) \right\|^2 \\
 &= \left\| \beta_n(x_n - x^*) + \gamma_n(Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x^*) + c_n z_n \right\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_n = \alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n$  and  $z_n = \frac{\alpha_n(u - x^*)}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} + \frac{\delta_n(Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x^*)}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} + \frac{\eta_n(S^A x_n - x^*)}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n}$ .

From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \gamma_n \|Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x^*\|^2 + c_n \|z_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n \gamma_n g_1(\|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\|) \\
 &\leq (\beta_n + \gamma_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \beta_n \gamma_n g_1(\|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\|) \\
 &\quad + c_n \left( \frac{\alpha_n \|u - x^*\|^2}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} + \frac{\delta_n \|Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x^*\|^2}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} + \frac{\eta_n \|S^A x_n - x^*\|^2}{\alpha_n + \delta_n + \eta_n} \right) \\
 &\leq (\beta_n + \gamma_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \beta_n \gamma_n g_1(\|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\|) \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n \|u - x^*\|^2 + (\delta_n + \eta_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \beta_n \gamma_n g_1(\|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\|) + \alpha_n \|u - x^*\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_n \gamma_n g_1(\|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\|) &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_n \|u - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq (\|x_n - x^*\| + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|) \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n \|u - x^*\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.3) and condition (i), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_1(\|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\|) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

From the property of  $g_1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Q_C(I - aA)x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

By using the same method as (3.7), we can imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Q_C(I - bB)x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S^A x_n\| = 0.$$

Define  $Gx = \alpha S^A x + \beta Q_C(I - aA)x + \gamma Q_C(I - bB)x$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ . From Lemma 2.9, we have  $F(G) = F(Q_C(I - aA)) \cap F(Q_C(I - bB)) \cap F(S^A)$ . From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.7, we have  $\mathcal{F} = F(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap S(C, A) \cap S(C, B)$ . By the definition of  $G$ , we obtain

$$\|Gx_n - x_n\| \leq \alpha \|S^A x_n - x_n\| + \beta \|Q_C(I - aA)x_n - x_n\| + \gamma \|Q_C(I - bB)x_n - x_n\|.$$

From (3.4), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Gx_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

From Lemma 2.5 and (3.8), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - z_0, j(x_n - z_0) \rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $z_0 = Q_{\mathcal{F}}u$ . Finally, we prove strong convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  to  $z_0 = Q_{\mathcal{F}}u$ . From the definition of  $x_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z_0\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(u - z_0) + \beta_n(x_n - z_0) + \gamma_n(Q_C(I - aA)x_n - z_0) \\ &\quad + \delta_n(Q_C(I - bB)x_n - z_0) + \eta_n(S^A x_n - z_0)\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \alpha_n(u - z_0) + (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \frac{\beta_n(x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} + \frac{\gamma_n(Q_C(I - aA)x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\delta_n(Q_C(I - bB)x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} + \frac{\eta_n(S^A x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| (1 - \alpha_n) \left( \frac{\beta_n(x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} + \frac{\gamma_n(Q_C(I - aA)x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\delta_n(Q_C(I - bB)x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} + \frac{\eta_n(S^A x_n - z_0)}{1 - \alpha_n} \right) \right\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - x_0, j(x_{n+1} - z_0) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - z_0\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - x_0, j(x_{n+1} - z_0) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 and condition (i), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_0\| = 0$ . This completes the proof. □

### 4 Applications

From our main results, we obtain strong convergence theorems in a Banach space. Before proving these theorem, we need the following lemma which is the result from Lemma 2.7 and Definition 1.4. Therefore, we omit the proof.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of  $\kappa_i$ -strict pseudo-contractions of  $C$  into itself with  $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with  $K^2 \leq \kappa$ , where  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of  $E$ . Let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1^j \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_2^j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_3^j \in (0, 1)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $S$  be the  $S$ -mapping generated by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Then  $F(S) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i)$  and  $S$  is a nonexpansive mapping.*

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$ . Let  $Q_C$  be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $E$  onto  $C$  and let  $A, B$  be  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -inverse strongly accretive mappings of  $C$  into  $E$ , respectively. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of  $\kappa_i$ -strict pseudo-contractions of  $C$  into itself with  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap S(C, A) \cap S(C, B) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with  $K^2 \leq \kappa$ , where  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of  $E$ . Let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ ,*

$\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1^j \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_2^j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_3^j \in (0, 1)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $S$  be the  $S$ -mapping generated by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by  $x_1, u \in C$  and

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n Q_C(I - aA)x_n + \delta_n Q_C(I - bB)x_n + \eta_n Sx_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n + \eta_n = 1$  and satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \subseteq [c, d] \subset (0, 1)$  for some  $c, d > 0$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|$ ,  
 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n|$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ,
- (v)  $a \in \left(0, \frac{\alpha}{K^2}\right)$  and  $b \in \left(0, \frac{\beta}{K^2}\right)$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z_0 = Q_{\mathcal{F}}u$ , where  $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $C$  onto  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof* Put  $I = T_1 = T_2 = \dots = T_N$  in Theorem 3.1. From Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1 we can conclude the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space  $E$ . Let  $Q_C$  be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from  $E$  onto  $C$ . For every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , let  $A_i, A, B$  be  $\alpha_i$ -,  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -inverse strongly accretive mappings of  $C$  into  $E$ , respectively. Define a mapping  $G_i : C \rightarrow C$  by  $Q_C(I - \lambda_i A_i)x = G_i x$ , where  $\lambda_i \in (0, \frac{\alpha_i}{K^2})$ ,  $K$  is the 2-uniformly smooth constant of  $E$ , for all  $x \in C$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a finite family of  $\kappa_i$ -strict pseudo-contractions of  $C$  into itself and with  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N S(C, A_i) \cap S(C, A) \cap S(C, B) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\kappa = \min\{\kappa_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with  $K^2 \leq \kappa$ . Let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1^j \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha_2^j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_3^j \in (0, 1)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $S^A$  be the  $S^A$ -mapping generated by  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_N$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by  $x_1, u \in C$  and

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n Q_C(I - aA)x_n + \delta_n Q_C(I - bB)x_n + \eta_n S^A x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n + \eta_n = 1$  and satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ,

(ii)  $\{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \subseteq [c, d] \subset (0, 1)$  for some  $c, d > 0, \forall n \geq 1$ ,

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty,$$

(iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ,

(v)  $a \in \left(0, \frac{\alpha}{K^2}\right)$  and  $b \in \left(0, \frac{\beta}{K^2}\right)$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z_0 = Q_{\mathcal{F}}u$ , where  $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of  $C$  onto  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof* By using the same method as (3.2), we can conclude that  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a nonexpansive mapping. From Lemma 2.3, we have  $F(G_i) = S(C, A_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . From Theorem 3.1 we can conclude the desired conclusion.  $\square$

#### Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Research Administration Division of King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang.

Received: 26 January 2013 Accepted: 28 May 2013 Published: 18 June 2013

#### References

- Sahua, DR, Petru'lel, A: Strong convergence of iterative methods by strictly pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 6012-6023 (2011)
- Reich, S: Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **44**, 57-70 (1973)
- Aoyama, K, Iiduka, H, Takahashi, W: Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2006**, Article ID 35390 (2006). doi:10.1155/FPTA/2006/35390
- Chang, SS, Joseph Lee, HW, Chan, CK: A new method for solving equilibrium problem fixed point problem and variational inequality problem with application to optimization. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 3307-3319 (2009)
- Cai, G, Bu, S: Strong convergence theorems for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces. *J. Glob. Optim.* (2012). doi:10.1007/s10898-012-9923-2
- Jaillet, P, Lamberton, D, Lapeyre, B: Variational inequalities and the pricing of American options. *Acta Appl. Math.* **21**, 263-289 (1990)
- Mann, WR: Mean value methods in iteration. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **4**(3), 506-510 (1953)
- Buong, N, Lang, ND: Hybrid Mann-Halpern iteration methods for nonexpansive mappings and semigroups. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 2459-2466 (2011)
- Song, Y: A new sufficient condition for strong convergence of Halpern type iterations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **198**(2), 721-728 (2007)
- Qin, X, Cho, YJ, Kang, SM, Zhou, H: Convergence of a modified Halpern-type iteration algorithm for quasi- $\phi$ -nonexpansive mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 1051-1055 (2009)
- Zhou, H: Convergence theorems for  $\lambda$ -strict pseudo-contractions in 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 3160-3173 (2008)
- Kangtanyakarn, A, Suantai, S: Hybrid iterative scheme for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of finite family of nonexpansive mappings. *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **3**, 296-309 (2009)
- Kangtanyakarn, A, Suantai, S: A new mapping for finding common solutions of equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of finite family of nonexpansive mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 4448-4460 (2009)
- Kangtanyakarn, A: Iterative scheme for a nonexpansive mapping, an  $\eta$ -strictly pseudo-contractive mapping and variational inequality problems in a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 23 (2013)
- Xu, HK: Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **16**, 1127-1138 (1991)
- Cho, YJ, Zhou, HY, Guo, G: Weak and strong convergence theorems for three-step iterations with errors for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **47**, 707-717 (2004)
- Zhou, H: Convergence theorems for  $\lambda$ -strict pseudocontractions in 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 3160-3173 (2008)

18. Xu, HK: An iterative approach to quadratic optimization. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **116**, 659-678 (2003)
19. Bruck, RE: Properties of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **179**, 251-262 (1973)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-157

**Cite this article as:** Kangtunyakarn: A new mapping for finding a common element of the sets of fixed points of two finite families of nonexpansive and strictly pseudo-contractive mappings and two sets of variational inequalities in uniformly convex and 2-smooth Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013 **2013**:157.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---