

## Erratum to: Numerical solutions of thin-film equations for polymer flows

Thomas Salez<sup>1,a</sup>, Joshua D. McGraw<sup>2</sup>, Sara L. Cormier<sup>2</sup>, Oliver Bäumchen<sup>2</sup>, Kari Dalnoki-Veress<sup>2</sup>, and Elie Raphaël<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie Théorique, UMR CNRS Gulliver 7083, ESPCI, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics & Astronomy and the Brockhouse Institute for Materials Research, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

Original article: Eur. Phys. J. E (2012) **35**: 114, DOI: 10.1140/epje/i2012-12114-x

Received 22 August 2013

Published online: 30 September 2013 – © EDP Sciences / Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2013

Equation (36h) must be replaced by

$$\partial_T \mathcal{H}_3 = \frac{8}{3} \frac{\mathcal{A}_3 \mathcal{H}_{\bar{R}\bar{R}\bar{R},3} - \mathcal{A}_4 \mathcal{H}_{\bar{R}\bar{R}\bar{R},4}}{\Delta R},$$

which corresponds to the limit of eq. (28) when  $R \rightarrow 0$ .

Note that the  $4/3$  factor between the two expressions applies on a single point of the grid, the others being described by the full eq. (33). We have verified that this error is insignificant up to a typical  $10^{-4}$  relative error on the output profiles, with the chosen spatial increment ( $\Delta R = 0.01$ ) reported in table 2. The results and figures of the article are thus unchanged.

However, the missing  $4/3$  factor may lead to larger discrepancies for a coarser grid.

We take advantage of this *Erratum* to mention also that the conditions of eqs. (36a), (36d) and (36e) are not needed in the algorithm.

We thank Matilda Backholm and Michael Benzaquen for a recent independent study that contributed to raise this issue.

---

<sup>a</sup> e-mail: thomas.salez@espci.fr