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#### Abstract

In the chiral unitary approach, we have studied the single Cabibbo-suppressed decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$ and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$by taking into account the $s$-wave meson-meson interaction as well as the contributions from the intermediate vectors $\phi$ and $\rho^{0}$. Our theoretical results for the ratios of the branching fractions of $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \bar{K}^{* 0}$ and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \omega$ with respect to the one of $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi$ are in agreement with the experimental data. Within the picture that the scalar resonances $f_{0}(500), f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}(980)$ are dynamically generated from the pseudoscalarpseudoscalar interactions in $s$-wave, we have calculated the $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions respectively for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. One can find a broad bump structure for the $f_{0}(500)$ and a narrow peak for the $f_{0}(980)$ in the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. For the $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution, in addition to the narrow peak for the $\phi$ meson, there is an enhancement structure near the $K^{+} K^{-}$ threshold mainly due to the contribution from the $f_{0}(980)$. Both the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distributions are compatible with the BESIII measurement. We encourage our experimental colleagues to measure these two decays, which would be helpful to understand the nature of the $f_{0}(500)$, $f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}(980)$.


## 1 Introduction

The non-leptonic decays of the lightest charmed baryon $\Lambda_{c}$ play an important role in the study of strong and weak interac-

[^0]tions [1-6]. In the last decades, lots of the information about the $\Lambda_{c}$ decays has been accumulated [7-11], which provides a good platform to investigate the possible final state interference effects where some resonances can be dynamically generated [12-17].

Recently, the BESIII Collaboration has reported the branching fractions of the $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}, p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)} & =(1.81 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.13) \%,  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}\right)_{\text {non- }}}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)} & =(9.36 \pm 2.22 \pm 0.71) \%,  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)} & =(6.70 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.25) \%, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and also measured the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distributions, respectively [18], where one can find a broad bump around 500 MeV for the scalar resonance $f_{0}(500)$ and a narrow peak around 980 MeV for the scalar resonance $f_{0}(980)$ in the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution, in addition to the peak for the $\rho^{0}$ meson. Later, the LHCb Collaboration has also reported these ratios using the proton-proton collision data [11],

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)}=(1.70 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03) \%  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)}=(7.44 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.18) \% \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Before the BESIII and LHCb results, the above two decay modes have also been observed by the NA32 [19], E687 [20], CLEO [21], and Belle Collaborations [7].

Within the chiral unitary approach, the scalar resonances $f_{0}(500), f_{0}(980), a_{0}(980)$, and $K_{0}^{*}(700)$ [known as $\left.\kappa(800)\right]$ appear as composite states of meson-meson, automatically
dynamically generated by the interaction of pseudoscalarpseudoscalar where the kernel for the Bethe-Salpter equation is taken from the chiral Lagrangians [22-27]. The productions of $f_{0}(500), f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}(980)$ have been recently studied with the chiral unitary approach and the final state interactions in the decays of the $D^{0}$ [28], $D_{s}^{+}$[29], $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}_{s}$ [30-33], $\chi_{c 1}[34,35], \tau^{-}[36]$, and $J / \psi$ [37].

In this work, we perform the calculations for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$taking into account the meson-meson interaction in coupled channels and also the contributions from the intermediate vector mesons $\phi$ and $\rho^{0}$. The final states interaction of the pseudoscalarpseudoscalar in the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$can propagate in $s$-wave, which will generate the $f_{0}(500)$ and $f_{0}(980)$ resonances, and for the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$, the $f_{0}(980)$ and $a_{0}(980)$ resonances dynamically generated from the $s$-wave final state interaction will result in an enhancement structure close to the $K^{+} K^{-}$threshold.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we present the formalism and ingredients for the decays of the $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p K^{+} K^{-}$and $p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$decays. Numerical results for the $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions and discussions are given in Sect. 3, followed by a short summary in the last section.

## 2 Formalism

In this section, we will present the formalism for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. For the three-body decays of $\Lambda_{c}$, the $s$-wave final state interactions of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ or $K^{+} K^{-}$will dynamically generate the scalar resonances $f_{0}(500), f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}(980)$. In addition, the three-body decays can happen via the intermediate vector mesons $\rho^{0}$ or $\phi$. We first introduce the formalism for the mechanism of final state interactions of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$or $K^{+} K^{-}$in $s$-wave in Sect. 2.1, then we show the details for the mechanism of the $\Lambda_{c}$ decays via the intermediate vector mesons $\rho^{0}$ and $\phi$ in Sect 2.2.

## $2.1 s$-wave final state interactions of $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

Following Refs. [38-41], we take the decay mechanism of the internal $W$ emission mechanism for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$as depicted in Fig. 1a, b. For the weak decays of $\Lambda_{c}$, the $c$ quark decays into a $W^{+}$boson and an $s$ (or $d$ ) quark, then the $W^{+}$boson decays into an $\bar{s} u$ (or $\bar{d} u$ ) pair. In order to give rise to the final states of $p K^{+} K^{-}$(or $p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$), the $s \bar{s}$ (or $d \bar{d}$ ) quark pair need to hadronize together with the $\bar{q} q(=\bar{u} u+\bar{d} d+\bar{s} s)$ produced in the vacuum, which are given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{(a)}= & V^{(a)} s(\bar{u} u+\bar{d} d \\
& +\bar{s} s) \bar{s} u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u d-d u)=V^{(a)}\left(M^{2}\right)_{33} p  \tag{6}\\
H^{(b)}= & V^{(b)} d(\bar{u} u+\bar{d} d \\
& +\bar{s} s) \bar{d} u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u d-d u)=V^{(b)}\left(M^{2}\right)_{22} p, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V^{(a)}$ and $V^{(b)}$ are the weak interaction strengths. We use $|p\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|u(u d-d u)\rangle$, and $\left|\Lambda_{c}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|c(u d-d u)\rangle$. $M$ is the $q \bar{q}$ matrix,
$M=\left(\begin{array}{lll}u \bar{u} & u \bar{d} & u \bar{s} \\ d \bar{u} & d \bar{d} & d \bar{s} \\ s \bar{u} & s \bar{d} & s \bar{s}\end{array}\right)$.

The matrix $M$ in terms of pseudoscalar mesons can be written as,
$M \Rightarrow P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{\eta^{\prime}}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi^{0}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{\eta^{\prime}}{\sqrt{6}} & K^{0} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{2 \eta^{\prime}}{\sqrt{6}}\end{array}\right)$.

Then, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{(a)}= & V^{(a)}\left(M^{2}\right)_{33} p \\
= & V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left(K^{-} K^{+}+K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}+\frac{1}{3} \eta \eta\right) p  \tag{9}\\
H^{(b)}= & V^{(b)}\left(M^{2}\right)_{22} p \\
= & V_{P} V_{c d} V_{u d}\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}+\frac{1}{2} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3} \eta \eta-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \pi^{0} \eta+K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right) p \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we neglect the $\eta^{\prime}$ because of its large mass. $V_{P}$ is the strength of the production vertex, and contains all dynamical factors, which is assumed to be same for Fig. 1a, b within the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ flavor symmetry. In the following, we will see that this hypothesis is also reasonable by comparing the predicted ratios of the two body decays of $\Lambda_{c}$ with the experimental measurements. In this work we take $V_{c d}=V_{u s}=-0.22534$, $V_{c s}=V_{u d}=0.97427$ [42].

On the other hand, the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$can also proceed with the color favored external $W$ emission mechanism: (i) the charmed quark turns into $W^{+}$ and the $s$ (or $d$ ) quark, with the $K^{+}$or $\pi^{+}$emission from the $W^{+}$; (ii) the remaining quarks $s$ (or $d$ ) and $u d$ in the $\Lambda_{c}$, together with the $u \bar{u}$ pair created from the vacuum, hadronize to the $K^{-} p$ (or $\pi^{-} p$ ), as depicted in Fig. 1c, d respectively. Thus, we have,

Fig. 1 The diagrams of the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, a the internal $W$ emission for $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}, \mathbf{b}$ the internal $W$ emission for $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \mathbf{c}$ the external $W$ emission for
$\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$, and d the external $W$ emission for $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

(a)
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Fig. 2 The mechanisms of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$, left) tree diagram, right) the $s$-wave final state interactions
$H^{(c)}=V^{(\mathrm{c})}(u \bar{s}) s \bar{u} u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u d-d u)=C \times V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s} K^{+} K^{-} p$,
$H^{(d)}=V^{(\mathrm{d})}(u \bar{d}) d \bar{u} u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u d-d u)=C \times V_{P} V_{c d} V_{u d} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p$,
where we take the same normalization factor $V_{P}$ as Eqs. (9) and (10), the color factor $C$ accounts for the relative weight of the external emission mechanism with respect to the one of the internal emission mechanism [41,43]. The value of $C$ should be around 3, because the quarks from the $W$ decay in the external emission diagram (for example, the $u$ and $\bar{s}$ of Fig. 1c) have three choices of the colors (we take $N_{c}=3$ ), while the quarks from the $W$ decay in the internal emission diagram (for example, the $u$ and $\bar{s}$ of Fig. 1a) have the fixed colors. We will keep this factor in the following formalism, and present our results by varying its value in Sect. 3.

After the production of a meson-meson pair, the final state interaction in the $s$-wave between the mesons takes place, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$ and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. Since the isospin of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$system is $I=0$, we will take into account the contributions from all the mechanisms of Fig. 1, except the $\pi^{0} \eta p$ states of Eq. (10)


Fig. 3 The mechanisms of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, left) tree diagram, right) the $s$-wave final state interactions
because of the isospin violation, and the amplitude is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{s-\text { wave }}=t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{(a)}+t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{(b)} \\
& \quad+t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{(c)}+t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{(d)} \\
&= V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right. \\
&\left.+G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}+\frac{1}{3} \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right] \\
&+V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[1+G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right. \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} G_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \tilde{t}_{\pi^{0}} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}} \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{3} \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}+G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right] \\
&+C \times V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right] \\
&+C \times V_{p} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[1+G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right] \\
&= V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[(1+C)+(1+C) G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right. \\
&+2 G_{K^{0}} \bar{K}^{0} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}+(1+C) G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}} \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2} G_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0}} \tilde{t}_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}+\frac{2}{3} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

For the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$, the amplitude is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{s-\text { wave }}=t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{(a)} \\
& +t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{(b)}+t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{(c)}+t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{(d)} \\
& =V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[1+G_{K^{+}} K^{-} t_{K^{+}} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}\right. \\
& +G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3} \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right] \\
& +V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} G_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} 0} \tilde{\pi}_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}} \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \times 2 \times \frac{1}{2} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow K^{+}} K^{-} \\
& \left.-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} G_{\pi^{0} \eta} t_{\pi^{0} \eta \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}+G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right] \\
& +C \times V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[1+G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right] \\
& +C \times V_{p} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right] \\
& =V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[(1+C)+G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right. \\
& +G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}+(1+C) G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} G_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0}} \tilde{t}_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}+\frac{2}{3} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right] \\
& +V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\left[C \times G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right. \\
& \left.+G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} G_{\pi^{0} \eta} t_{\pi^{0} \eta \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right], \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first term only contains the contribution from isospin $I=0$, and the second term has the contributions of $I=0$ and $I=1$ from the mechanisms of Fig. 1b, c. It is easily done taking $G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}=G_{K^{+} K^{-}}$, and rewriting $t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}$and $t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}$from the mechanisms of Fig. 1b, c as done in Ref. [32],

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} t_{K^{0}} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}+C \times G_{K^{+} K^{-}} t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}} \\
& =G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}\left[\frac{1+C}{2}\left(t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}+t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1-C}{2}\left(t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}-t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first two terms are in $I=0$ while the last two terms are in $I=1$.

Thus, the amplitude of Eq. (14) can be rewritten as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{s-\text { wave }}=V_{P} V_{c s} V_{u s}\{[(1+C) \\
& \quad+\frac{3+C}{2} G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}\left(t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}+t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right) \\
& \quad+(1+C) G_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} t_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} G_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0}} \tilde{t}_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}+\frac{2}{3} G_{\eta \eta} \tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right] \\
& +\left[\frac{1-C}{2} G_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}\left(t_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}-t_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} G_{\pi^{0} \eta} t_{\pi^{0} \eta \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}\right]\right\} \\
= & t^{I=0}+t^{I=1}, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the terms $t^{I=0}$ and $t^{I=1}$ correspond to the contributions from the $I=0$ and $I=1$, respectively.

In Eqs. (13) and (14), we include a factor of 2 from the two way to match the two identical particles of the operators in Eqs. (9) and (10) with the two mesons $\left(\pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right.$ and $\left.\eta \eta\right)$ produced, and a factor $1 / 2$ in the intermediate loops involving a pair of identical mesons [30,32]. The scattering matrix $t_{i \rightarrow j}$ has been calculated within the chiral unitary approach in Refs. [22,28,31,44,45], and we take $\tilde{t}_{\eta \eta \rightarrow i}=\sqrt{2} t_{\eta \eta \rightarrow i}$, $\tilde{t}_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow j}=\sqrt{2} t_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow j}$ for the two identical particles [44]. $G_{l}$ is the loop function for the two mesons propagator in the $l$ th channel, which is given as follows after the integration in $d q^{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{l} & =i \int \frac{d^{4} q}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{(p-q)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+i \epsilon} \frac{1}{q^{2}-m_{2}^{2}+i \epsilon} \\
& =\int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{q}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1} \omega_{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{s}+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{s}-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}+i \epsilon\right)} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sqrt{s}$ is the invariant mass of the meson-meson pair, and the meson energies $\omega_{i}=\sqrt{(\mathbf{q})^{2}+m_{i}^{2}}(i=1,2)$. The integral on $\mathbf{q}$ in Eq. (17) is performed with a cutoff $\left|\mathbf{q}_{\max }\right|=600 \mathrm{MeV}$, as used in Refs. [28,31,44]. The transition amplitude $t_{i j}$ is obtained by solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation in coupled channels,
$T=[1-V G]^{-1} V$,
where five channels $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}(1), \pi^{0} \pi^{0}(2), K^{+} K^{-}(3), K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$ (4), and $\eta \eta$ (5) are included for $I=0$, and three channels $K^{+} K^{-}$(1), $K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$, (2) and $\pi^{0} \eta$ (3) are included for $I=1$. The elements of the diagonal matrix $G$ are given by the loop function of Eq. (17), and $V$ is the matrix of the interaction kernel corresponding to the tree level transition amplitudes obtained from phenomenological Lagrangians [22]. The explicit expressions for $I=0$ can be expressed as [44],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}=-\frac{1}{2 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}} \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} f^{2}}\left(s-m_{\pi}^{2}\right), \quad V_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}=-\frac{1}{4 f^{2}} s, \\
& \quad V_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}=-\frac{1}{4 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \eta \eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & -\frac{1}{3 \sqrt{2} f^{2}} m_{\pi}^{2}, \quad V_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}}=-\frac{1}{2 f^{2}} m_{\pi}^{2} \\
& V_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}=-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2} f^{2}} s, \quad V_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} \\
= & -\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2} f^{2}} s, \quad V_{\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \eta \eta}=-\frac{1}{6 f^{2}} m_{\pi}^{2} \\
& V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}=-\frac{1}{2 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}} \\
= & -\frac{1}{4 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow \eta \eta} \\
= & -\frac{1}{12 \sqrt{2} f^{2}}\left(9 s-6 m_{\eta}^{2}-2 m_{\pi}^{2}\right), \\
& V_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}=-\frac{1}{2 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow \eta \eta} \\
= & -\frac{1}{12 \sqrt{2} f^{2}}\left(9 s-6 m_{\eta}^{2}-2 m_{\pi}^{2}\right), \\
& V_{\eta \eta \rightarrow \eta \eta}=-\frac{1}{18 f^{2}}\left(16 m_{K}^{2}-7 m_{\pi}^{2}\right), \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and the ones for $I=1$ are [28],

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}=-\frac{1}{2 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}=-\frac{1}{4 f^{2}} s, \\
& V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta}=\frac{-\sqrt{3}}{12 f^{2}}\left(3 s-\frac{8}{3} m_{K}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} m_{\pi}^{2}-m_{\eta}^{2}\right), \\
& V_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}}=-\frac{1}{2 f^{2}} s, \quad V_{K^{0} \bar{K}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta} \\
& =-V_{K^{+} K^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta}, \quad V_{\pi^{0} \eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta}=-\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{3 f^{2}}, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=f_{\pi}=93 \mathrm{MeV}$ is the pion decay constant, and $m_{\pi}, m_{K}$, and $m_{\eta}$ are the averaged masses of the pion, kaon, and $\eta$ mesons, respectively [42].

With the amplitudes of Eqs. (14) and (13), we can write the differential decay width for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$ and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$in $s$-wave,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Gamma^{s-\text { wave }}}{d M_{\mathrm{inv}}}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{p_{p} \tilde{k}}{4 M_{\Lambda_{c}}^{2}}\left|t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}, p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{s-\text { wave }}\right|^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\mathrm{inv}}$ is the invariant mass of the $K^{+} K^{-}$or $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}, p_{p}$ is the momentum of the proton in the $\Lambda_{c}$ rest frame, and $\tilde{k}$ is the momentum of the $K^{+}$(or $\left.\pi^{+}\right)$in the rest frame of the $K^{+} K^{-}\left(\right.$or $\left.\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)$system,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{p} & =\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(M_{\Lambda_{c}}^{2}, M_{p}^{2}, M_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}\right)}{2 M_{\Lambda_{c}}}, \\
\tilde{k} & =\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(M_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}, m_{K^{+} / \pi^{+}}^{2}, m_{K^{-} / \pi^{-}}^{2}\right)}{2 M_{\mathrm{inv}}}, \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

with the Källen function $\lambda(x, y, z)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-2 x y-$ $2 y z-2 z x$. The masses of the baryons and mesons involved in our calculations are taken from PDG [42].
$2.2 \Lambda_{c}$ decays via the intermediate vector mesons $\phi$ and $\rho^{0}$
In this section, we will present the formalism for the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$via the intermediate mesons $\phi$ and $\rho^{0}$. The quark level diagrams for the two-body decays of $\Lambda_{c}$ into a proton and a vector meson are shown in Fig. 4.

At the quark level, the quark components of the vector mesons are,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \bar{u}-d \bar{d}), \quad \phi=s \bar{s} \\
& \omega=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d}), \quad \bar{K}^{* 0}=s \bar{d} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The amplitudes can be written as,
$t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \rho^{0}}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{P}^{\prime} V_{c d} V_{u d}, \quad t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi}=V_{P}^{\prime} V_{c s} V_{u s}$,
$t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \omega}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V_{P}^{\prime} V_{c d} V_{u d}, \quad t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \bar{K}^{* 0}}=V_{P}^{\prime} V_{c s} V_{u d}$,
where $V_{P}^{\prime}$ is a normalization factor for the $\Lambda_{c}$ decay into proton and a vector meson. The factor of $1 / \sqrt{2}$ in the above amplitudes comes from the quark component of the $\rho^{0}$ and $\omega$. With those amplitudes, the decay width for the two-body decay of $\Lambda_{c}$ into proton and a vector meson in $s$-wave is,
$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p V}=\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(M_{\Lambda_{c}}^{2}, m_{V}^{2}, M_{p}^{2}\right)}{16 \pi M_{\Lambda_{c}}^{3}}\left|t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p V}\right|^{2}$,
where $V$ stands for the vector mesons $\rho^{0}, \phi, \omega$, and $\bar{K}^{* 0}$.
The $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions respectively for the $\phi$ and $\rho^{0}$ mesons can be obtained by converting the total rate for vector production into a mass distribution as Refs. [30,46],

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \rho^{0}, \rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}}{d M_{\mathrm{inv}}} & =\frac{2 m_{\rho}^{2}}{\pi} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\rho} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \rho^{0}}}{\left(M_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\rho}^{2}}  \tag{27}\\
\frac{d \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}}}{d M_{\mathrm{inv}}} & =\frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{\pi} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\phi} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi}}{\left(M_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}-m_{\phi}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\phi}^{2} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\phi}^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have considered that the $K^{+} K^{-}$decay accounts for $1 / 2$ of the $K \bar{K}$ decay width of the $\phi$ meson. Since $\rho^{0} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $\phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$are in $p$-wave, we take
$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\rho}=\Gamma_{\rho^{0}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{M_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}-4 m_{\pi}^{2}}}{\sqrt{m_{\rho}^{2}-4 m_{\pi}^{2}}}\right)^{3}$,
$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\phi}=\Gamma_{\phi}\left(\frac{\sqrt{M_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}-4 m_{K}^{2}}}{\sqrt{m_{\phi}^{2}-4 m_{K}^{2}}}\right)^{3}$,

Fig. 4 The quark level diagrams for the two-body decays of $\Lambda_{c}$, a $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \rho^{0}$, and $p \omega, \mathrm{~b} \Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi$, and c $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \bar{K}^{* 0}$

(a)


(b)



Fig. 5 The $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p \phi \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$with $\left(V_{P}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c}}=4.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MeV}$
and one can find that $R_{1}^{\text {th }}$ and $R_{2}^{\text {th }}$ are consistent with the experimental results [42],

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1}^{\exp } & =\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi\right)} \\
& =\frac{(1.94 \pm 0.27) \%}{(1.06 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}}=18.3 \pm 3.5  \tag{33}\\
R_{2}^{\exp } & =\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \omega\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi\right)} \\
& =\frac{(9 \pm 4) \times 10^{-4}}{(1.06 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}}=0.85 \pm 0.39 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that it is reasonable to take the same value of $V_{P}^{\prime}$ for the mechanisms of Fig. 4. By fitting to the branching fractions of the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \bar{K}^{* 0}, \Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi$, and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p \omega$, we can obtain the $\left(V_{P}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c}}=(4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MeV}$. With this value, the branching fraction of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p \rho^{0}$ is estimated to be $\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \rho^{0}\right)=(6.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$, and the $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \phi \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \rho \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ are easily calculated as shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively.


Fig. 6 The $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p \rho \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$with $\left(V_{P}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c}}=4.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MeV}$

In addition to the factor $V_{P}$, we have also the free parameter $C$, the relative weight of the external emission mechanism with respect to the internal emission mechanisms. The value of $C$ should be around 3 because we take the number of the colors $N_{c}=3$, and the relative sign of $C$ is not fixed. We present the $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions with different values of $C=3,2,-2,-3$ in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. In the $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution, one can see that the contributions from the isospin $I=1$ are
much smaller than the ones of the isospin $I=0$ for the positive values of $C$, while both contributions from the isospin $I=0$ and $I=1$ are comparable for the negative values of $C$. This is because the coefficients of the terms $t^{I=0}$ and $t^{I=1}$ have the opposite sign before the $C$, and the contributions from the $\pi^{0} \eta$ and $(K \bar{K})_{I=1}$ [see Eq. (16)] have the negative interference for positive values of $C$. In both cases, one can find an enhancement structure close to the threshold, which is stronger for the positive values of $C$ and weaker for the negative values of $C$. For the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution of Fig. 8, we can see a clear bump structure around 500 MeV , and a sharp peak around 980 MeV , which correspond to the $f_{0}(500)$ and $f_{0}(980)$, respectively. Both the signals are clearer for the positive value of $C$, and weaker for the negative value of $C$.

It should be stressed that although the BESIII Collaboration has reported the $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions, we can not fit our model to to BESIII data which contain the background in the sideband region [18]. In addition, we must bear in mind that the chiral unitary approach only makes reliable predictions up to $1100-1200 \mathrm{MeV}$. With the value of $\left(V_{P}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c}}=4.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MeV}$ obtained above, we present the $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions by summing the contributions from the decays in $s$ -


Fig. 7 The $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$in $s$-wave with different values of $C=3,2,-2,-3$ and an arbitrary normalization factor $V_{P}$. The curves labeled as 'both', ' $I=0$ ', and ' $I=1^{\prime}$ ' correspond to the contributions from the term of $t_{\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}}^{s-\text { wave }}, t^{I=0}$, and $t^{I=1}$, respectively


Fig. 8 The $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow$ $p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$in $s$-wave with different values of $C=3,2,-2,-3$ and an arbitrary normalization factor $V_{P}$
wave and the intermediate vector mesons incoherently, as shown in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. For comparison, the BESIII data [18] have been adjusted to the strength of our theoretical calculations. We take the parameter $C=2$ and $\left(V_{P}\right)^{2} / \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c}}=0.2 \mathrm{MeV}^{-1}$, in order to give rise to the sizeable signals of the $f_{0}(500)$ and $f_{0}(980){ }^{1}$. Both the parameters can be obtained by fitting to the experimental data, when more precise measurement of the processes is available in future. For the $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution, our model produces an enhancement structure close to the threshold mainly due to the resonance $f_{0}(980)$, and a clear peak of the $\phi$, which are in good agreement with the BESIII data. It is worth mentioning that, in the $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\chi_{c J} \rightarrow p \bar{p} K^{+} K^{-}$measured by the BESIII Collaboration [47], one can find an enhancement structure close to the threshold, which can be associated to the resonance $f_{0}(980)$ and $a_{0}(980)$. A similar structure can also be found in the decay $D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+}$measured by the BABAR Collaboration [48].

For the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distribution of the decay $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$as shown in Fig. 10, one can see a clear peak around 770 MeV , corresponding to the vector meson $\rho^{0}$, and a broad peak around 500 MeV , which can be associated to the scalar meson $f_{0}(500)$, dynamically generated from the meson-meson interactions in $s$-wave. In addition, there is a narrow sharp peak around 980 MeV for the scalar state $f_{0}(980)$. We can see that the broad peak for $f_{0}(500)$, the peak for $\rho^{0}$, and a narrow sharp one for $f_{0}(980)^{2}$ of our results are compatible with the BESIII measurement [18].

[^1]

Fig. 9 The $K^{+} K$ invariant mass distribution of the $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$ decay compared with the experimental data from Ref. [18]. The green dotted curve stands for the contribution from the meson-meson interaction in $s$-wave, the blue dashed curve corresponds to the results for the intermediate vector $\phi$, and the red solid line shows the total contributions


Fig. 10 The $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions of the $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ decay compared with the experimental data from Ref. [18]. The green dotted curve stands for the contribution from the meson-meson interaction in $s$-wave, the blue dashed curve corresponds to the results for the intermediate vector $\rho^{0}$, and the red solid line shows the total contributions

From Figs. 9 and 10, one can find that the results with $C=2$ are in reasonable agreement with the BESIII measurements [18], which implies that the $W$ external emission mechanism is more important than the $W$ internal emission mechanism. According to the topological classification of the weak decays in Refs. $[49,50]$, the strength of $W$ external emission is larger than the one of $W$ internal emission. It should be stressed that our results strongly depend on the sign of $C$, and the present measurements of the BESIII Collaboration favor $C=2$ and a much smaller contribution from the $a_{0}(980)$. Indeed, if there is a sizeable contribution from the $a_{0}(980)$ in $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$, it implies that we can observe the process $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{0} \eta$, and the signal of the $a_{0}(980)$ in the $\pi^{0} \eta$ mass distribution experimentally, however there are no any report about this process [42].

## 4 Conclusions

In this work, we have studied the decays $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$and $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, by taking into account contributions of the intermediate vector mesons, and the $s$-wave meson-meson interactions within the chiral unitary approach, where the $f_{0}(500), f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}(980)$ resonances are dynamically generated.

The $K^{+} K^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$invariant mass distributions for these two decays are calculated. In the $K^{+} K^{-}$invariant mass distribution, one can find a narrow peak for the $\phi$, and an enhancement structure close to the $K^{+} K^{-}$threshold, which should be the reflection of the $f_{0}(980)$ and $a_{0}(980)$ resonances. For the $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$mass distribution, in addition to the broad peak of the $\rho^{0}$, one can find a bump structure around 500 MeV for the $f_{0}(500)$, and a narrow sharp peak around 980 MeV for the $f_{0}(980)$, in agreement with the BESIII measurement.

According to our calculations, the present measurements of the BESIII Collaboration favor a much smaller contribution from the $a_{0}(980)$. As we discussed, if there is a sizeable contribution from the $a_{0}(980)$ in $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p K^{+} K^{-}$, it implies that we can observe the process $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow p \pi^{0} \eta$, and the signal of the $a_{0}(980)$ in the $\pi^{0} \eta$ mass distribution experimentally, however there are no any report about this process [42].

We encourage our experimental colleagues to measure these two decays, which can be used to test the molecular nature of the scalar resonances $f_{0}(500), f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}$ (980).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It should be pointed out that the dimensions of $V_{P}$ and $V_{P}^{\prime}$ are '1', and ' MeV ' in our formalism, respectively, thus one can not obtain the relative weight of the three-body decay and two-body decay of the $\Lambda_{c}$ by comparing the $V_{P}$ with $V_{P}^{\prime}$.
    2 This peak would be a bit broader with the peak strength reduced if it is folded with the experimental resolution.

