

Erratum on “Boundary Conditions for Scalar Conservation Laws, from a Kinetic Point of View”

A. Nouri, A. Omrane, and J. P. Vila

This note corrects a Gronwall argument in the proof of the uniform L^∞ bound on $u_\epsilon(t, x) := \int f_\epsilon(t, x, v) dv$ given in ref. 2. The main result of the paper, i.e., Theorem 1, still holds and now even in an appropriate L^∞ setting not requiring the earlier BV assumption of ref. 2. In the statement of Theorem 1, “Under some technical assumptions (of Proposition 4), the function u_ϵ converges in $L^\infty(0, T; L^1_{loc}(\Omega))$ to a function $u \in L^\infty(0, T; BV(\Omega))$...” should be replaced by “Under the assumption $|\{v \in \mathbb{R}; a(v) \cdot \sigma = u\}| = 0, \sigma \in S^{N-1}, u \in \mathbb{R}$ and in the setting of Theorem 2, the function u_ϵ converges in $L^\infty(0, T; L^1_{loc}(\Omega))$ to a function $u \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega)$...” Theorem 2 should be completed by the following.

Finally, under the assumption that f_0 and \tilde{f} are L^∞ functions with $\|f_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, f_0(\cdot, v) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \geq 0, \tilde{f}(\cdot, v) \operatorname{sgn}(v) \geq 0$, and compact supports in v, u_ϵ is bounded in $L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega)$ uniformly w.r.t. ϵ .

The following is a proof for $\Omega =]0, +\infty[$. In the proof of Theorem 2, a Banach fixed point argument in $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}))$ was performed for the map \mathcal{F} that maps f into F solution to $\partial_t F + a_1(v) \partial_x F = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\chi_{u_f} - F)$ with the same initial and boundary data as in (10). Under the previous assumptions on f_0 and \tilde{f} , there is a positive number M such that $\chi_{-M}(v) \leq f_0(x, v) \leq \chi_M(v)$ and $\chi_{-M}(v) \leq \tilde{f}(t, v) \leq \chi_M(v)$. Prove that if $\|u_f\|_\infty \leq M$ then $\|u_{\mathcal{F}(f)}\|_\infty \leq M$.

For $x - ta_1(v) > 0$,

$$F(t, x, v) = f_0(x - ta_1(v), v) e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{s-t}{\epsilon}} \chi_{u_f(s, x+(s-t)a_1(v))}(v) ds.$$

For $x - ta_1(v) < 0$,

$$F(t, x, v) = \tilde{f}\left(t - \frac{x}{a_1(v)}, v\right) e^{-\frac{x}{\epsilon a_1(v)}} + \int_{t - \frac{x}{a_1(v)}}^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{s-t}{\epsilon}} \chi_{u_f(s, x+(s-t)a_1(v))}(v) ds.$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int F(t, x, v) dv &\leq e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} \int_{a_1(v) < \frac{x}{t}} f_0(x - ta_1(v), v) dv \\
 &+ \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{s-t}{\epsilon}} \int_{a_1(v) < \frac{x}{t}} \chi_{u_f(s, x + (s-t)a_1(v))}(v) dv ds \\
 &+ \int_{a_1(v) > \frac{x}{t}} \tilde{f}\left(t - \frac{x}{a_1(v)}, v\right) e^{-\frac{x}{\epsilon a_1(v)}} dv \\
 &+ \int_{a_1(v) > \frac{x}{t}} \int_{t - \frac{x}{a_1(v)}}^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{s-t}{\epsilon}} \chi_{u_f(s, x + (s-t)a_1(v))}(v) ds dv \\
 &\leq e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} \int_{a_1(v) < \frac{x}{t}} \chi_M(v) dv + (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}}) \int_{a_1(v) < \frac{x}{t}} \chi_M(v) dv \\
 &+ \int_{a_1(v) > \frac{x}{t}} \chi_M(v) e^{-\frac{x}{\epsilon a_1(v)}} dv \\
 &+ \int_{a_1(v) > \frac{x}{t}} \chi_M(v) (1 - e^{-\frac{x}{\epsilon a_1(v)}}) dv = M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\int F(t, x, v) dv \geq -M$.

Proposition 3(iii), Propositions 4 and 5 are skipped. The second and third lines of p. 795 no more follow from Proposition 5 but from the strong convergence in L^1 of f_ϵ to χ_u derived from now classical arguments. Indeed, (f_ϵ) being uniformly bounded in $L^1 \cap L^\infty$, converges (up to a subsequence) to some f in L^2 weak. By an averaging lemma (ref. 1, p. 23), u_ϵ converges strongly in L^1 to $u(t, x) := \int f(t, x, v) dv$. By the integral representation of f_ϵ , f_ϵ converges strongly in L^1 to χ_u (ref. 3, p. 81). The reference to Helly's theorem p. 795 should be skipped. The rest of the paper is unchanged.

REFERENCES

1. F. Bouchut, F. Golse, and M. Pulvirenti, *Kinetic Equations and Asymptotic Theory* (Gauthier-Villars, 2000).
2. A. Nouri, A. Omrane, and J. P. Vila, Boundary conditions for scalar conservation laws from a kinetic point of view, *J. Stat. Phys.* **94**:779–804 (1999).
3. B. Perthame, *Kinetic Formulations of Conservation Laws* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002).