



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Theory in Biosciences 124 (2005) 87–88

Theory in  
Biosciences

[www.elsevier.de/thbio](http://www.elsevier.de/thbio)

## ERRATUM

### Erratum to “Aggregation of variables and system decomposability: Applications to fitness landscape analysis” [Theory in Biosciences 123 (2004) 33–68]

Max Shpak<sup>a,\*</sup>, Peter Stadler<sup>b</sup>, Gunter P. Wagner<sup>c</sup>,  
Joachim Hermisson<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA*

<sup>b</sup>*Institute of Bioinformatics, University of Leipzig, Kreuzstrasse 7b, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8106, USA*

<sup>d</sup>*Department of Biology, University of Munich, Luisenstrasse 14, D-80333 Munich, Germany*

---

During the course of typesetting, a number of notational and typographic errors appeared in the manuscript which were not corrected. Most are of a fairly trivial nature, for instance, the upper-case  $K$  was supposed to have been used (from p. 57 on) as the number of reference vertices, to avoid confusion with the lower case  $k$  which was used as in index in series sums and lists.

Somewhat more serious are the following errors in the equations, which may lead to confusion in interpretation:

Page 33: See above for current address and contact information of the senior author.

Page 47: Theorem 2.1 should read “If  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are the row and column matrices for  $\mathbf{A}/\pi \dots$ ” rather than  $\mathbf{A}, \pi$ .

Page 49: The last expression for the definition of  $M$  should read  $M = 0$  if  $d(i, j) \geq 2$ .

---

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.thbio.2004.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thbio.2004.02.001)

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 865 974 4605; fax: +1 865 974 3067.

E-mail address: [mshpak@tiem.utk.edu](mailto:mshpak@tiem.utk.edu) (M. Shpak).

Page 52 (3rd paragraph, and elsewhere): The term  $\binom{n}{d}$  should be the combinatoric  $\binom{n}{d}$ . This should be clear from the context and examples.

Page 58: Eq. (4.3) is one place where the use of index  $k$  may be confusing. On the left-hand side, the lower-case  $k$  is simply an index denoting a vertex, while on the right-hand side, the “ $K$ ” should be upper-case and denote the number of reference vertices.

Page 59: The indices in Eq. (4.4) may lead to some confusion, in that the subscripts  $12 \dots v$  are inconsistent with the use of  $v$  as an indicator of a set (what was meant here was the set of vertices  $12 \dots j$  which are members of the set  $v$ ). Only the subscript  $v$  should appear for  $n_v$  and  $d_v$ .

Page 63 (Second paragraph from the bottom of the page): The expression  $s = f_{IJ}(\varepsilon)$  should read  $s = f_{IJ}(x)$ . Also, the expression on the 3rd line from the bottom of the paragraph should read  $\{f_{IJ} \in F \mid s = f_{IJ}(x)\}$ , with the set “element of” symbol  $\in$  rather than the Greek letter  $\varepsilon$ .

Page 67 (Second paragraph from the bottom of the page): The last sum prior to the factorial equation should read

$$\sum_r d'_{C_l} = n_{C_l},$$

i.e.  $n_{C_l}$  the number of loci in the overlap class, rather than  $n$ , the total number of loci in a genotype.

Page 67 (Equation on the last line): The denominator should have  $n_C!$  rather than  $n$ , as can be seen from the previous equation, i.e.

$$\prod_{C_l} \binom{n_{C_l} + L - 1}{n_{C_l}} = \prod_{C_l} \frac{(n_{C_l} + L - 1)!}{n_{C_l}!(L - 1)!}.$$

The following correction refers to an error in the second paper in the series (Shpak, Stadler, Wagner, and Altenberg 2004. Simon-Ando decomposability and fitness landscapes. Theory in Biosciences 123: 139–180.). Page 158: In Eq. (28), the last term should be

$$\frac{W_1}{k(W_1 + W_2)} \gg \mu.$$