



“Unholy Alliance”: Identification and prevention of ideological and religious frames between right-wing populism and christianity in Poland

Mariusz Chrostowski 

Received: 2 September 2022 / Revised: 30 November 2022 / Accepted: 13 January 2023 / Published online: 8 February 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract Since 2015, power in Poland has been exercised by the right-wing populist coalition, led by the socially conservative Law and Justice (PiS) party. The deconfliction mark of these governments became the “unholy alliance” with Christianity, which was a movement that used populist manipulation based on exacerbating social dichotomy, campaigning against the LGBT community, nationalism, xenophobia, attitudes of rejection, anti-pluralism and anti-establishment. In this context, the aim of this article is to theoretically analyse the ideological and religious frames between right-wing populism and Christianity in Poland. The considerations begin with the presentation of the theoretical background, that is, the relationship that exists between the populist “thin-core ideology” and Christianity within the framework of ideological appropriation of religion by populists. Furthermore, the author will make an attempt to decipher mutual influences and seek answers to the question: How did PiS “hijack” the Catholic Church in Poland? The next step will present a comparative identification of convergent and divergent frameworks between right-wing populists and the Catholic Church in Poland. Finally, the article will outline indications for the socio-pastoral prevention of populism and a summary of the main results.

Keywords Right-wing populism · Christianity · Catholic Church · Poland · Politics · Democracy

Availability of data and material Not applicable

Code availability Not applicable

✉ Mariusz Chrostowski
Theologische Fakultät, Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt,
Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, Bayern, Germany
E-Mail: Mariusz.Chrostowski@ku.de

1 Introduction

Since the United Right (*Zjednoczona Prawica*), led by the socially conservative Law and Justice (*Prawo i Sprawiedliwość*; PiS) party,¹ took power in Poland in 2015, scientific interest in the problem of right-wing populism² in this country (e.g., Beylin 2015; Fomina and Kucharczyk 2016; Stępińska et al. 2017; Koszykowska 2018; Kotwas and Kubik 2019; Stanley and Cześniak 2019; Rogalewski 2020; Gwiazda 2021; Kurylo 2022) and its relationship with Christianity, and more precisely with the Catholic Church (e.g., Stanley 2016; Krotofil and Motak 2018; Modrzejewski 2017; Herbert 2019; Turska-Kawa and Wojtasik 2020; Szelewa 2021; Sitarz 2021; Chrostowski 2022b), has increased significantly. This situation is primarily related to the fact that PiS leaders have effectively built, and continue to increase, their political potential around the populist discourse associated with the “unholy alliance” with Christianity (Steinmetz-Jenkins and Jäger 2019; Chrostowski 2021b), whose primary task is to defend the religion and teaching of the Catholic Church, Christian tradition and culture, the Catholic family and conservative values, Polishness and patriotism (Kowalczyk 2015a, b).

Consequently, only what the right-wing rulers consider “Christian”, “Catholic”, and thus “Polish” and “patriotic” has become a determinant of the populist devaluation of people living and thinking differently. These are Muslim immigrants (Krzyżanowska and Krzyżanowski 2018; Cap 2018), the LGBT community and supporters of gender theory (Yermakova 2021; Mole et al. 2021; Korolczuk 2020; Korolczuk and Graff 2017) or people and institutions representing liberal political and ethical-moral views (Hall 2019; Csehi and Zgut 2020; Stambulski 2022). In this sense, to ensure effective influence on the minds and hearts of citizens, and to be able to construct a narrowly understood tradition, stability and identity (Courau et al. 2019; Lesch 2017, 2020; Chrostowski 2021b), right-wing populists in Poland, but also in many other European countries and around the world (Haynes 2020; Schwörer and Romero-Vidal 2020; Yilmaz and Morieson 2021), are constantly “hijacking” religion (Marzouki et al. 2016). Furthermore, this relationship of populism and religion is very complex and difficult to decipher so some researchers describe it as “religious populism” (Zúquete 2017; Mabilie 2019; Herbst and Menne 2020; Chrostowski 2021b).

¹ Detailed information about the history of the rising ideology and programme assumptions of the PiS party, can be found, among others, in publications by P. Borowiec and A. Tyszkiewicz (2021), A. Gwiazda (2021) and A. Folvarčný and L. Kopeček (2020).

² The scientific debate on populism in Poland is focused on its right-wing variety, because left-wing populism is a phenomenon of marginal importance (Przyłęcki 2012; Chrostowski 2022b). In this context, as M. Mikołajczyk notes, it should be remembered that the decomposition, fragmentation and disappearance of the Polish left is primarily related to the shrinking of the range of influence of social democratic parties, as well as the political environment itself identifying with left-wing ideas in Poland (Mikołajczyk 2015). Additionally, in Poland right-wing and left-wing views have mixed and, in many cases, overlap, which is related to the specificity of burdening Polish society with communism (more on this subject, e.g., Dzikowska and Sudra 2013; Przyłęcki 2012). This article therefore draws attention to the use of populist strategies by right-wing parties and organisations in Poland, which include Law and Justice, Kukiz’15 and also earlier the League of Polish Families and Self-Defence (Lipiński and Stępińska 2020a).

In this scientific-cognitive context, the aim of this article is to theoretically analyse the ideological and religious frames between the right-wing populism and Christianity in Poland. The author will start with the extended theoretical background that focuses on the relationship between the populist “thin-core ideology” and Christianity within the ideological appropriation of religion by populists. Next, the author will make an attempt to decipher mutual influences and seek answers to the question: How did PiS “hijack” the Catholic Church in Poland? Then, a comparative identification of convergent and divergent frameworks between right-wing populists and the Catholic Church and the Catholic Church in Poland will be presented. Lastly, the considerations will end with indications for the social-pastoral prevention of populism, followed by a summary of the most important conclusions.

2 Theoretical Background: Thin-Core Ideology of Populism and Christianity

Although many authors emphasise populism is a phenomenon that is difficult to define and imprecise (Lipiński and Stępińska 2020b; Tushnet 2019; Gidron and Bonikowski 2013; Mudde and Kaltwasser 2012; Pauwels 2011; Przyłęcki 2004; Mény and Surel 2002; Taggart 2000), it should be noted that in recent years, the definition proposed by C. Mudde (2004) has gained recognition due to its ease of operationalisation and denotational clarity (Lipiński and Stępińska 2020b; Aslanidis 2016). In this definitional approach, populism is considered to be “a thin-centred ideology that considers society to be ultimately separated into two homogenous and antagonistic groups, ‘the pure people’ versus ‘the corrupt elite,’ and which argues that politics should be an expression of the *volonté générale* (general will) of the people” (Mudde 2004, p. 543).

Within the cited understanding of populism, six distinct but interrelated components of this phenomenon can be identified: 1. the existence of two homogeneous individuals: the people and the elite; 2. the antagonistic relationship between the people and the elite; 3. the idea of popular sovereignty; 4. the positive assessment of the people and the negative assessment of the elite; 5. the affirmation of one’s own culture and the exclusion of the “other”; and 6. the unity of the populist leader with the people (Chrostowski 2021b; Lipiński 2020; Aslanidis 2016; Albertazzi and McDonnell 2015). The conceptualisation of populism based on these elements is constructed not only in the belief of the key role of the people in the populist discourse, but that it also constitutes a kind of selection tool within the framework of anti-elitism and exclusivism.³ Accordingly, populists always stand on the side of the “good” people and position themselves against the “bad” elites who are detached from reality and the lives of ordinary citizens. Besides, they watch over the

³ It is also worth noting that, according to these assumptions, populism understood as a “thin-core ideology” can now occur in four different configurations, which depend on its references to the people, anti-elitism and exclusivism: 1. complete populism: a combination of all elements; 2. excluding populism—combining references to the people with the exclusion of external groups; 3. anti-elitist populism—combining references to the people with anti-establishment; 4. empty populism—only references to the people (Jagers and Walgrave 2007; Lipiński and Stępińska 2020b).

homogeneity of the populist people at all costs, which is possible by excluding all of those who have any signs of “otherness”, for example, ethnic, worldviews or religious differences. In effect, they stigmatise and exclude certain social categories defined as a threat or burden to the “good” and “true” people and present them as the cause and source of all negative phenomena in a given society (Lipiński and Stepińska 2020b; Jagers and Walgrave 2007).

The origins of the above definition of populism can be seen primarily in the morphological approach to the study of ideology developed by M. Freedon (1996, 1998, 2003, 2013), which distinguishes between “full” ideologies and ideologies with a “thin core” (Lipiński and Stepińska 2020b; Chrostowski 2021b). The “full” ideologies aim to answer all questions about the life of a given community and include, for example, conservatism, liberalism, and socialism. The “thin core” ideologies refer only partially to selected problems of socio-political life and cover just a small number of political concepts (Freedon 1998, p. 750; Gidron and Bonikowski 2013, p. 6; Lipiński and Stepińska 2020b, p. 9). Populism appears as a phenomenon devoid of holistic ideological projects (Betz 1994, p. 107) and is based on interaction with other ideologies (e.g., xenophobia, fundamentalism or nationalism), and always occurs in some combination with them (Chrostowski 2021b; Mudde and Kaltwasser 2011; Stanley 2008).

Likewise, to interact with holistic ideological projects, populists try, as already noted in the introduction, to “hijack” religion for national and personal purposes (Marzouki et al. 2016). In Europe and the USA, this is particularly observed for Christianity (Haynes 2020; Schwörer and Romero-Vidal 2020), as a complex political game (Wiedemann 2016; Zúquete 2017; Palaver 2018), which entails the threat of violating the internal security of states in their cultural area (Softys 2015; more on this topic: Otis et al. 2013; Friedman and Randeria 2004).

In light of the above analysis, understanding the basis of the ideologisation of Christianity by right-wing populists is possible by becoming aware of the following three contexts in which the Christian religion functions in relation to populism (Rebenstorf 2018; Chrostowski 2021b):

- a) *Theological-doctrinal context*: The Christian religious doctrine, which is built around the teaching of the love of God and neighbours (Mt 22: 36–40), contains three main catalysts for populist, antisocial and fascist attitudes: a) the doctrine of election, which assumes the division into persons belonging and not belonging to a given religious group; b) the doctrine of revelation and the associated claims to exclusivity for the possession of truth; and c) the question of theocracy or secularity of the state (Allport 1966; Rebenstorf 2018; Chrostowski 2021b, 2022b; Steinmann 2022).
- b) *Socio-cultural context*: Religions adapt to the social, national, ethnic, or structural tensions taking place in a given country and society (Rebenstorf 2018; Chrostowski 2021b). Furthermore, it should be remembered that religious and populist doctrines clash deeply at the level of socio-cultural authoritarianism. This is characterised by anti-pluralistic policies towards minorities (e.g., religious, sexual, ethnic), a conservative image of the family, rejection of gender mainstreaming, the fight against abortion, or the rhetoric of the ruthless “Law & Order” (Hidalgo and

Yendell 2019). In this sense, Christianity is reduced by populists to the role of a “defensive factor” against what is “different” and is thus relegated to the level of a purely cultural religion (Hidalgo and Yendell 2019; Hidalgo 2020; Chrostowski 2021b).

- c) *Personality-psychological context*: This is about the level of importance of religion, as well as religious beliefs and practices in the personal lives of individuals (Rebenstorf 2018; Allport 1966; Allport and Ross 1967). In this context, as Batson and Stocks’ (2005) study points out, extrinsic and intrinsic religiosity are not two poles of one dimension, but independent of each other, and there is even a third dimension of religiosity—quest religiosity (such people see their religion as a search for truth; Batson and Stocks 2005, p. 417). Furthermore, it is not only important how one believes (extrinsic, intrinsic, quest) but also what one believes (ibid., p. 424f). With regard to right-wing populism, it is worth noting the fact that people with extrinsic religiosity are passive towards religion and are characterised by a lack of connection between their declared religiosity and their daily attitudes. In addition, they isolate themselves from people who differ from them, remain prejudiced against them and are relatively intolerant of others. They conform to religious norms because of social obligations. Such people easily change their views, attitudes and are unstable. In the extroverted orientation of religiosity, God is treated as a means to satisfy one’s own needs and does not occupy an important place in the value system (Dziedzic 2016; Mambo 2018; Wysocka 2019; Khorakian et al. 2020). Moreover, people with an extroverted religious orientation have no need for regular religious practices. Their moral life has little to do with public religion, and they view the church only as an influential institution (Allport and Ross 1967; Titov 2013). As part of this understanding, it is also worth bearing in mind that the existence of a link between populism and low, non-intermediate levels of religiosity is confirmed by numerous empirical studies (Siegiers and Jedinger 2020; Turska-Kawa and Wojtasik 2020; Huber and Yendell 2019; Montgomery and Winter 2015). In addition, researchers also point to the fact that people with intrinsic religiosity (i.e., faith is a value in itself) may also be full of prejudice and strong rejection of certain groups, mainly homosexuals, feminists, but also members of other religions, various ethnic or social groups (Evangelische Kirche in Deutschland 2022; Carlson et al. 2019; Klein et al. 2018).

Right-wing populist parties, within each of the above-mentioned ideological contexts of the interaction between populism and Christianity, seek above all to appropriate Christian symbols, content, terms, beliefs, and values (Marzouki et al. 2016; Becker 2018). Moreover, a certain degree of religious homogeneity is intended to prevent the disintegration and assimilation of a nation, or to influence the process of building or reconstructing a nation in accordance with the implicit and implicitly accepted populist ideological principles (Molle 2018).

It should also be remembered that the sacralisation of policy also plays an important role in this respect (Rouhana and Shalhoub-Kevorkian 2021). The aim of this phenomenon is to create a specific religious framework around political activity, for example: supporters of a given party are representatives of good, while opponents will identify themselves with evil; a political leader assumes the role of a charismatic

clergyman who leads the people to the “promised land” (Zúquete 2017; Mancini and Rosenfeld 2020; Chrostowski 2021b). The main purpose of sacralising politics in relation to right-wing populism is therefore to perpetuate existing antagonisms between “enemies”, such as the establishment and “others” on the one hand and “real” people on the other hand, as well as to defend “authentic” norms or values and ensure the primacy of selected populist interest groups (Yabanci 2020). An important result of such action is also the strengthening of the performative side of right-wing populism, which is possible using rituals and myths aimed at displacing and replacing civic and democratic participation (Yabanci 2020; Moffitt 2016; Aslanidis 2016).

3 An Attempt to Decipher Mutual Influence: How did PiS “hijack” the Catholic Church in Poland?

The theoretical background outlined here reveals the complexity of the relationship that occurs between the thin-core ideology of populism and Christianity. This problem cannot in fact be reduced to the purely theoretical side and, consequently, it is necessary to try to answer the question: How did PiS “hijack” the Catholic Church in Poland?

The PiS party, like other conservatives in post-communist countries, has been forced by history, so to speak, to restore the traditional value system (Antoszewski 2009, p. 132; Kowalczyk 2015c, p. 175), which is also related to the political strategy they have chosen. Since the establishment of the PiS party in 2001 around Lech and Jarosław Kaczyński, the party intended to move the entire electorate over to the right (including national Catholics) and the centre-right diversity of political currents was emphasised accordingly. Then, in the years between 2005 and 2011, ideological ties with the social teaching of the Church and the Catholic clergy in Poland began to intensify. At that time, the values central to PiS became the state and the nation embedded in the independence, solidarity and Catholic traditions. The new moral order was to be built on the basis of a Christian and explicitly conservative canon of values (Sanecka Tyczynska 2011, p. 390; Kowalczyk 2015c, p. 176). Moreover, the defence of religion, tradition, patriotism and the family was considered one of the key challenges facing PiS, and the party itself began to appear as a defender and political depository of the teaching of the Catholic Church in Poland. Thus, the PiS party rejected the concept of worldview neutrality of the state, as it was considered a facade for axiological nihilism. Since then, the party’s position towards the Church has oscillated (and continues to do so) between extremes, the best example of which is PiS’s changing views on abortion, that is, from introducing a total ban on abortion to maintaining the existing compromise. This state of affairs is due to the fact that in the PiS party there are both “radicals”, upholding the purity of Catholic doctrine, and representatives of the axiologically moderate group (Kowalczyk 2015c, p. 176–177).

In this context, it should also be noted that the attitude towards PiS in the Catholic Church in Poland is not uniform. On the one hand, there is a conservative wing within the Catholic Church in Poland that supports the actions of the conservative right-wing PiS party, and on the other, a liberal wing that supports the opposition

(Makowski 2006; Baniak 2011; Kobyliński 2017). In the public domain, there is talk in this regard of a conservative “Toruń-Church” centred, among others, around Archbishop Marek Jędraszewski, Father Tadeusz Rydzyk, “Radio Maryja” and “Telewizja Trwam” (e.g., Tronina 2020; Kałabunowska 2017), as well as a liberal “Łagiewniki-Church”, which is “patronised” by, among others, Cardinal Stanisław Dziwisz, “Tygodnik Powszechny” and “open” Catholic reviews (e.g., Baniak 2011; Kusiak 2020). Indeed, as the prominent Polish sociologist J. Baniak notes, no one officially announced this division, and on the contrary, church and secular authorities initially denied its existence (Baniak 2011, p. 108).

This polarisation in the Church became particularly evident in 2010, that is, after the tragic death of 96 people, among them the then President of Poland Lech Kaczyński, in the Tu-154M plane crash in Smoleńsk (Russia) (Baniak 2011; Kolodziejczak 2015; Paluchowski and Podemski 2019). Shortly after this tragic news was made public, a large wooden cross was erected on the street in front of the Presidential Palace in Warsaw, which quickly became a political symbol (Krzemiński 2017, p. 50) and a sign of a determined effort to uncover the truth about the plane crash (Jaskułowski 2016, p. 80). Since then, PiS has effectively divided the Church and the people into two antagonistic groups, which is characteristic of populist actions, of fundamentalist defenders and staunch opponents of the placement of the cross in the typically secular space of the Polish state (Baniak 2011, p. 108). However, this division also had a relevant “hidden function”, which was built on the basis of the “Smoleńsk myth”⁴ and thus consisted of creating and strengthening a political formation (Paluchowski and Podemski 2019, pp. 254, 266). It was about assembling a community around values such as “Catholicism” and “Polishness”, of which “truth” is particularly important. Repeatedly recurring in Jarosław Kaczyński’s speeches, the idiom of “getting closer to the truth” played a mobilising role, initially for activities aimed at explaining the causes of the plane crash, and later for gaining power, maintaining and consolidating it (ibid.). An apt assessment of this phenomenon was made by the Polish economist, journalist and politician Waldemar Kuczyński, which is worth quoting here about Jarosław Kaczyński:

“The chairman of the Law and Justice party is full of emotions, and very bad ones, but they are emotions with a method (...). He is betting on mobilising a large part of society around this and directing it against the authorities, the current state and inferior Poles.” (Kuczyński 2010)

What is more, the aforementioned “Toruń-Church” and especially the right-wing media centred around the right-wing electorate and the Catholic circles centred around “Radio Maryja” and “Telewizja Trwam” were also helpful in PiS’s fight for

⁴ Myth, like the concept of populism itself, is not an unambiguous term in political theory, and there will probably never be a full consensus in science on its essence and relevance to modern societies. Simplifying, we can divide, following P. Przyłęcki (2013), myths into timeless myths of universal significance (e.g., myths of genesis, or myths of freedom, equality) and ad hoc myths, often created for the needs of the moment. These ad hoc myths include political myths, i.e., those that are functional in relation to the socio-political system, and include, among other things, aspects related to power and ways of legitimising it. In this sense, the myth of the Smolensk catastrophe was an attempt to build a populist people, and through its constant ritualisation it is mainly used in the political strategy of the PiS party (ibid., p. 213).

the hardline Catholic electorate (Puzio 2019, p. 43). They were most involved in both the presidential campaigns (2015 and 2020) and the subsequent parliamentary campaigns (2015 and 2019), assisting Andrzej Duda and the PiS party on their way to victory in the elections (Puzio 2019, p. 43).

PiS and the “defenders of the cross” clustered around this party use arguments and a vision of the world that build the identity of the “Toruń-Church” and “Radio Maryja”, that is, the religious national identity to which Jarosław Kaczyński directly appeals (Rokicki 2012, p. 62). Indeed, as already mentioned, not all the hierarchy share such a position, but on the other hand, however, there are some characteristic elements that make the “hijacking” of the Catholic Church in Poland by the PiS party politically acceptable to the silent majority of “church people” (Leśniczak 2020, pp. 175–176):

- PiS declarations express ostentatious respect for the Church, fidelity to its teachings and equating Polish identity with Christianity itself (Hall 2017, p. 91; Leśniczak 2020, p. 175);
- the bishops and priests of the “Toruń-Church” did not limit their mission to the metapolitical level, but gave their support and recommendation to Jarosław Kaczyński’s group (Hall 2017, p. 90; Leśniczak 2020, p. 175);
- most of the church hierarchs gathered around the “Toruń-Church” legitimise the politics of PiS, receiving legal and economic privileges in return (Nosowski 2015, p. 129–139; Zuber 2017, p. 153–160; p. Leśniczak 2020, p. 175);
- the position of the ultra-right-wing Redemptorist and director of “Radio Maryja” Tadeusz Rydzyk, who associates the conservative current of Catholicism with an attitude of defensive patriotism and nationalism, has strengthened significantly in the Catholic Church and PiS political circles in Poland (Leśniczak 2019, p. 279; 2020, p. 176);
- the Catholic Church in Poland after PiS seized power was assured that in matters of worldview, morals, as well as formal relations between the state and the Church, there would be no changes in the law incompatible with its teachings, and the preservation of a certain status quo ensured the Church’s electoral support of PiS (Hall 2017, p. 91; Leśniczak 2020, p. 176).

4 Concretisation: Comparative Identification of Ideological and Religious Frames Between PiS and the Catholic Church in Poland

Based on the above analysis, it is worth pointing out here the three main and basic characteristics of the Polish cultural-social and political-ecclesiastical situation. These features are not only a concretisation of the problem of right-wing populism and its relations with the Church in Poland, but also indicate its legitimacy and timeliness:

- a) The roots and specificity of the phenomenon of right-wing populism in Poland can be seen in history, culture or social conditions, but above all in association with Catholicism (Lipiński and Stępińska 2020a; Stępińska et al. 2017; Pankowski 2010). In this sense, Poland is a moderate quasi-religious state (Kowalczyk

- 2015b), the essence of which is a formally secular character with the real influence of the Catholic Church on public life and education (Žuk and Žuk 2019; Stanley and Stanley 2020; Szelewa 2021; Chrostowski 2021a, 2022a, b).
- b) Since PiS took power, democracy in Poland (in light of the analyses of *Freedom House* and *The Economist*) has clearly departed from the standards of liberal democracy and is gradually moving towards a hybrid regime (the government puts pressure on the political opposition, there is harassment and pressure on journalists, the judiciary is not independent) and authoritarianism (Tyrafa 2020; Chrostowski 2022b). In addition, there is a deepened “alliance of the throne and the altar” (Leśniczak 2020, p. 175), which translates into the fact that the institution of the church, in exchange for numerous legal and economic privileges, legitimises, often harmful in the socio-religious sense, the actions of the rulers in Poland (Leśniczak 2017, 2020; Zuber 2017; Hall 2017; Nosowski 2015).
- c) After 2015, the mission of many Polish bishops and priests has taken on a distinctly political orientation, which affects the divergence of consumerist lifestyle patterns and the secularisation of culture, the weakening of religious practices, as well as aggressive anti-clericalism in the public space (Leśniczak 2020; Hall 2017). Additionally, the issue of the involvement of the Catholic Church in Poland in politics is badly assessed by 55% of Poles (Centre for Social Opinion Research 2019), which also translates into changes in their level of trust in the Church, which in 2010 amounted to about 50% and began to fall year by year, down to 42% in 2020, with 47% of Poles declaring a complete lack of trust in the Church (Catholic Information Agency 2021).

Bearing in mind the specificity of the Polish political and religious situation, but also the fact that right-wing populists are spectacularly successful where they manage to establish direct contact with the everyday life of “ordinary” people (Gidron and Bonikowski 2013), it is worth focusing here on what divides and unites right-wing populists and the Catholic Church in Poland, and thus make a comparative identification of the convergent and divergent frames of references in order to deepen understanding of the problem under discussion.

The following analysis cannot in any way be considered an exhaustive approach, since the holistic approach to this issue goes far beyond the scope of this article. In this regard, the content presented within the framework of the following three points should be seen much more as an attempt to identify the most important dependencies in regards to the challenges associated with right-wing populism in Poland.

4.1 Catholic “Polishness” as a Determinant of Belonging to the “True” People

As many as 91.9% of Poles identify today with Catholicism (Catholic Information Agency 2021). In the past, when Poland was often divided and oppressed by foreign powers, for example, in 1772–1918 by Russia, Austria-Hungary and Prussia, 1939–1945 by Nazi Germany and Soviet Russia, as well as from the end of World War II until 1989 by the communists (Herbert 2019), religion was of great importance to the Polish population (Morawska 1984; Borowik 2002). National identity and religious beliefs were and continue to remain connected with Polish history. The

dominance of Catholic values, which in fact are largely related to Polish identity (Müller and Neundorf 2012; Libiszowska-Żótkowska 2015; Herbert 2019; Balcerzak 2020), are realised in the popular slogan “God, Honour, Homeland” (Koba 2020, p. 54). This state of things is clearly conducive to the fact that right-wing populists have been building their political power for years on the basis of the basic stereotypical “truth” that “all Poles are Catholics” (Gidron and Bonikowski 2013, p. 7).

In Poland, over the past few years, there has been a regular progression of the multifaceted “ideological thickening of populism” (Kotwas and Kubik 2019, p. 40), which could not develop so effectively without the religious factor enabling the increasing density of Polish public culture (*ibid.*). Among the most astonishing manifestations of populist density are at least two actions of a religious nature, which can be largely used to support the policies of right-wing populists. Firstly, this is about the prayer action “Rosary to the Borders” which took place on 7 October 2017 with the participation of 320 parish churches in 22 dioceses (Kotwas and Kubik 2019; Stachowska 2019). The second action was the “Poland Under the Cross” event, the central part of which occurred on 14 September 2019 and gathered about 60,000 participants (Stachowska 2019). In both cases, the mass religious rituals were not only aimed at nationalist protection of “Polishness” as the most important attribute of the “people” who defend their Catholic identity, but also expressed their readiness to undertake the mission of re-Christianising Europe in the face of the influx of Muslim refugees and the “hostile” policies of liberal elites (Kotwas and Kubik 2019). Such actions were a response to the outbreak of the migration crisis in 2015 in Europe. This clearly corresponded with the policy of multiplying the fear propagated by right-wing populists (Goździak and Márton 2018) and the attitude of Poles towards the admission of refugees. Research by the Centre for Social Opinion Research (2017) indicates that as many as 74% of surveyed Poles opposed the admission of refugees at that time, and for those respondents aged 18–24 it was as much as 87%.⁵

The symbolic sense of fear and threat caused by the announcement of the relocation of refugees from the Middle East and Africa within the European Union (EU) (Krzyżanowski 2020; Krzyżanowska and Krzyżanowski 2018; Visvizi 2017; Starnawski 2017) has contributed, in favour of right-wing populists, to strength-

⁵ Such a high level of opposition to the issue of accepting refugees coming mainly from Middle Eastern and African countries among Poles in 2017 was related to the ongoing relocation of refugees in the EU at that time from the earlier migration crisis, the source of which was the so-called Arab Spring and the conflicts that followed (in Syria, Lebanon, Libya, among others). Terrorist attacks in Europe carried out (or inspired) by ISIS also played a significant role in this regard. It is worth noting that in 2021, according to a Centre for Public Opinion Research (CBOS) survey, the belief that migrants from the Middle East and Africa residing at the Polish-Belarusian border should be allowed to apply for asylum in Poland is expressed by only one-third of Poles (33%), while more than half are strongly opposed (52%) (CBOS 2017, 2021). In this context, it is important to remember that Poland is a relatively culturally homogeneous country, and the problem for Poles is not immigration per se, but who the immigrants are and what they are like. Indeed, immigrants from the same or culturally close area are much more positively perceived than immigrants from foreign cultures (Głowiak 2021). For example, the attitude of Poles toward granting asylum to Ukrainians from areas of armed conflict was quite different. More than half of the respondents in 2018, i.e., 56%, are in favour of accepting refugees from the neighboring country (*ibid.*, p. 159–160).

ening the position of extremist nationalist-fundamentalist groups in Poland. These groups included the “All-Polish Youth” (*Młodzież Wszepolska*)⁶ (Łubiński 2016). As noted by D. Tronina:

“Młodzież Wszepolska is one of the main organisers of the annual Independence March held on November 11, which is known for attracting many far-right groups that do not suppress their ultranationalist or racist ideologies, chanting slogans such as ‘Death to the enemies of the fatherland!’ or ‘Pure Poland, white Poland’.” (Tronina 2020, p. 69)

A particular problem in this regard, however, is the fact that this extremist organisation is supported by some church actors, for example, the Catholic radio station “Radio Maryja” (e.g., Tronina 2020; Kałabunowska 2017; Kasprovicz 2015; Sroczyńska and Kochanowski 2014). Church authorities primarily facilitate the activities of “All-Polish Youth” by making resources such as communication networks and physical space available (Tronina 2020) and thus support the aggression of members of this organisation against minorities, for example, Muslims and left-wing elites (Tronina 2020; Meyer Resende and Hennig 2021).

The nationalist, exclusivist, xenophobic and, at the same time, populist approach to “Polishness” is clearly contrary to the official teaching of the Polish Bishops’ Conference (2017) contained in the document entitled “The Christian Shape of Patriotism” (*Chrześcijański kształt patriotyzmu*). This document emphasises the value of shaping a model of hospitable patriotism in Polish culture, open to the richness and achievements of the whole and diverse European culture (Polish Bishops’ Conference 2017, no. 4–6). Therefore, Polish bishops criticize the existence of national egoism and nationalism, which cultivates a sense of their own superiority and closure to other national communities and to the universal human community (Fiałkowski 2018; Gocko 2017). However, they also remain somehow “powerless” against the populist activities of the Catholic radio station “Radio Maryja” which for many years has been aggressively using anti-Muslim rhetoric (Wilczyńska and Wilczyński 2020; Narkowicz 2018; Bobako 2018). It continues to spread the radical belief among Poles that Muslims have only one goal that they want to achieve through violence or peaceful means, that is, to absorb Europe into Dar al-Islam⁷ (Loredo 2021).

⁶ “All-Polish Youth” (*Młodzież Wszepolska*) is an organisation that was reactivated in December 1989. Its sources can be seen in the previous formation work in the ranks of the organisation, which were founded and led by activists of the Polish Youth Union “Zet”, founded in 1886, in whose ranks there were later leaders and ideologues of the National Movement in Poland: Zygmunt Balicki and Roman Dmowski (Łubiński 2016; Kulińska 2012). “One of the most important goals of ‘Zet’ was primarily to strive to rebuild a united and independent Polish State by awakening national aspirations in all layers of the Nation, strengthening faith in one’s own strength and opposing class—socialist influences” (Łubiński 2016, p. 198).

⁷ “The Hanafi scholar Kuhistani (d. 950/1544) characterises Dar al-Islam as any land under the power of a Muslim ruler. It is also defined as the land under the control of Islamic law” (Eris 2000, p. 5).

4.2 The Anti-Pluralistic and Authoritarian Need to Defend the Strict Principles of the Catholic Moral Order in a Democratic State

Another important and at the same time overreaching goal of the “unholy alliance” with Christianity in Poland (Steinmetz-Jenkins and Jäger 2019; Chrostowski 2021b), which connects right-wing populists with the Catholic Church, is to defend the strict principles of the moral order and the patriarchal model of the family, as well as attitudes expressed in the traditional roles performed by individuals (Lipiński and Stepińska 2020a; Pankowski 2010). What is more, the Catholic Church in Poland, after the takeover of power by PiS, get a promise that in matters of worldview and morals, there will be no changes in the law inconsistent with its teaching (Leńniczak 2020; Hall 2017). In this sense, the struggle for the rights of homosexual persons and the right to abortion should be considered as exemplary.

a) *The rights of homosexual persons*

The Catholic Church condemns homosexual acts as contrary to the natural law, morally wrong and internally disordered (Catechism of the Catholic Church 1992, no. 2357–2359), “while in itself ‘the inclination of a homosexual person’ is not a sin, but a weaker or stronger propensity to do evil from a moral point of view” (Chrostowski 2022b, p. 53). In Poland, it is quite the opposite; LGBT people have become the symbol of the lack of morality and sinfulness, as well as the hostility of the ruling party and the Catholic Church itself towards the “others” (Cudak 2022; Bielska 2021; Yermakova 2021; Witkowski 2021). In this context, the statements of the Archbishop of Krakow and Vice-President of the Polish Episcopal Conference, Marek Jędraszewski, “that a ‘rainbow plague’ is afflicting the country” (Duffy 2019), as well as the President of the Republic of Poland, Andrzej Duda, that “they try to tell us that [LGBT] are people, but it is an ideology” and should be considered harmful (Tilles 2020). It should be remembered that the voice of Archbishop M. Jędraszewski, as a high representative of the Catholic Church in Poland, is not isolated in this respect. As P. Witkowski (2021) also emphasises, he was supported in this perception of homosexual people by, among others, the Council of Diocesan Bishops in Poland, Archbishop Stanisław Gądecki (President of the Episcopal Conference Polish), Archbishop Wacław Depo (Bishop of the Diocese of Częstochowa), and Bishop Kazimierz Gurda (Bishop of the Diocese of Siedlce) (Witkowski 2021, p. 214–215). As a result, with the support of some bishops and in the name of defending tradition, the family and the overarching role of Catholic values, the PiS government during the last few years has more clearly intensified its statements against the LGBT community and its rights (ibid.; ILGA-Europe 2022).

b) *The right to abortion*

Another common point that connects virtually all lines of the Polish populist and extremist right with the teaching of the Catholic Church is the issue of a complete ban on abortion (Hall 2019; Yatsyk 2020; Witkowski 2021). The position of the Catholic Church on abortion is widely known (Borowik and Koralewska 2018) and

it clearly opposes the permissibility of abortion, which is defined as the killing of a child in the prenatal phase. Furthermore, only God is constructed and understood as the giver of life, deciding on its beginning and end (Catechism of the Catholic Church 1992, no. 2270–2275; Polish Bishops’ Conference 2013).

As part of the Catholic teaching on abortion, as mentioned by the sociologists of religion I. Borowik and I. Koralewska (2018), among Polish hierarchs and clergy the image of God dominates, which not only requires complete obedience and submission to his will, but also expects from believers the humility of the biblical Job in accepting divine judgements. Additionally, the bishops perceive Polish society as a “monolith” and thus require fidelity to Catholic principles in the process of creating law and making political decisions (Borowik and Koralewska 2018, p. 215–216). The widespread identification of Catholics, the Polish nation and Polish society as interchangeable terms (Borowik 2002) results in the fact that right-wing populist groups associated with the Catholic Church and its orthodoxy consider abortion as a return to Nazi eugenics. Those demanding the right to choose in this respect are equated with the characteristics of Bolshevism and Hitlerism (Witkowski 2021). Abortion is therefore not only a sin for right-wing populists, but also a tool for depopulating the Polish nation (*ibid.*).

As J. Wyleżałek (2020) notes, the dichotomous nature of divisions in relation to the rights of homosexual persons and the right to abortion in Poland, as well as the related social moods, cause the mutual resentment and emotional character of interpersonal relations to be initiated and supported more and more often by public figures. Political conflict is becoming the basis of social movements and activities,⁸ as well as from the perspective of strategic political and populist actions, with a more and more clearly systemically “useful” effect every year. Incitement of conflicts, and above all those of a philosophical nature, prevents a proper interpretation of the sources of social divisions and an attempt to focus on the most important problems. This is used by various political groups and organisations in various versions of the political worldview struggle (Wyleżałek 2020).

4.3 Silent Consent to the Advanced “Dismantling” of Polish Democracy

Using the slogan “good change”, with strong support from a part of society since 2015,⁹ the populist PiS party has been implementing the “dismantling” of liberal democracy in Poland in a clearly non-liberal direction (Koba 2020). This has led to the development of a “neo-authoritarianism” (Gdula 2018) which is largely characterised by the populist resentment towards the elites and the escalation of hostility (Tyrała 2020, p. 21). In this context, the key influence on the destabilisation of democracy in Poland is the actions of the authorities, including the centralisation of power, politicisation of the media, government control over the justice system, unjust

⁸ At this point, it is worth pointing out the problem of mutual insult by provocation and polarisation of the vision of the world with religion in the background as new and increasing social phenomena in Poland (more on this topic: Leszczuk-Fiedziukiewicz 2021).

⁹ In 2015, in the parliamentary elections in Poland, 37.6% of valid votes were cast for PiS (Kowalski 2018, p. 232), while in 2019 this was 43.6% (Wilczyński 2019).

decommunisation, attack on non-governmental organisations, as well as violations of parliamentary procedures (Chapman 2017; Tyrała 2020; Koba 2020; Bernhard 2021; Meyer Resende and Hennig 2021). Not without significance, it is also the negative influence of populist politics on the education system (Chrostowski 2022a; Szelewa 2021; Pilch 2020) including higher education (Kulas 2020; Kołaczkowski 2019). Against the background of these disputes, Poland began to create its image as a “carrier” of alternative and often anti-democratic values on the old continent (Wojtaszczyk 2018), which inexorably leads to a “collision course with the EU” as a community of values (Colyer 2021, p. 13).

Indeed, the ruling party in Poland cannot be deprived of merit for social programmes, such as the 500+ programme, setting an hourly minimum wage or raising the level of the lowest pensions (Polakowski et al. 2017, 2019; Betka 2019), which aroused the recognition of citizens and the Church itself. Much more surprising, however, is the silence of Polish bishops in the face of PiS’s disregard for the constitution and the law (Hall 2017, p. 92; Leśniczak 2020, p. 176). As P. Kusiak (2020) explains, the second half of 2017 especially was marked by controversy in Poland related to laws changing the rules of the functioning of the justice system. At that time, many circles expected the Church to take an unequivocal position on this matter, that is, supporting or condemning the adopted legal solutions. Representatives of the Polish Episcopal Conference, however, avoided statements on the subject for a long time, and those that appeared can be described as clearly cautious, conservative and mainly calling for cross-party agreement and silencing emotions in public debate¹⁰ (Kusiak 2020; see also: Gądecki 2017; Polak 2017; Rytel-Adrianik 2017). In the face of the silence of the Polish bishops, an article published in the Vatican daily newspaper “L’Osservatore Romano” (21.07.2022) was comprehensively echoed in the Polish media, in which it was clearly indicated that the Polish government adopted “a controversial reform of the justice system, which de facto abolishes the autonomy of the judiciary” (Kusiak 2020, p. 186; see also: KAI 2017).

On the other hand, it should also be noted that since the publication of the critical text mentioned above in the Vatican daily, strong statements in support of Polish President Andrzej Duda’s veto of changes in the judiciary and calling for respect for the constitutional order, as well as changes within the “Polish order”, or demands for reparations from Germany, have begun to appear among Polish bishops (see, among others, Krzyzak 2018; Kazimierczuk 2021). In this context, it is worth noting two statements in particular. First, the Polish Bishops’ Committee for Contact with the German Bishops’ Conference published an appeal on 8 September 2017, in which five Polish bishops, that is, Bishop Henryk Muszyński, Bishop Jan Kopiec, Archbishop Wiktor Skworc, Cardinal Kazimierz Nycz and Bishop Tadeusz Lityński, warn that the value of Polish-German reconciliation is easily lost by ill-considered decisions and even by too hastily spoken words. The bishops call for “prudent diplomacy”, which should sustain the hard-won trust rather than nullify

¹⁰ For example, Archbishop S. Gądecki in his letter addressed to the President of the Republic of Poland of 24.07.2017 calls for an agreement to preserve the separation of powers, but at the same time acknowledges the need to reform the Polish judiciary, even against the will of (some) voters, provided that it is about achieving “decent” goals (Kusiak 2020, p. 185).

it by stirring up negative emotions (Polish Bishops' Committee for Contact with the German Bishops' Conference 2017). Secondly, a significant reverberation was gained from an interview by Bishop Jan Kopiec, the Ordinary of Gliwice, in which the hierarch admitted that a kind of sin of negligence on the part of the Church “is too much indulgence in the process of ‘partyisation’ of the state by one option” (Przeciszewski 2018).

Summarising the above comparative analysis, it should be noted that the leading structural element connecting right-wing populists and some circles of the Catholic Church in Poland is a kind of Manichaeism (Dylus 2019, p. 135). Such an approach to the world and man is clearly characterised by a black and white image and is associated primarily with fundamentalism and moral conservatism. People are divided into allies (“real” Poles) and enemies (“others”, “elites”), who are always representatives of two dichotomous groups: people with “impeccable virtue” or people who can be described as “evil” and “immoral”. In this context, the daily necessity of right-wing populists is to save what is “Polish” and “Christian” from liberal elites or crypto-communists. Consequently, “Catholic” Poland, the inability to make broadly understood compromises and alliances reigns, is something like a “besieged fortress”, which must be constantly defended from the “dictatorship of relativism” and the destructive cultural tendencies coming from the liberal EU (Dylus 2019, p. 135–136; Hall 2017, p. 89–93).

5 Indications for the Social-Pastoral Prevention of Populism in Poland

The politics and actions of right-wing populists are related to the use of religion to achieve their own goals (Herbert 2019; Turska-Kawa and Wojtasik 2020; Szelewa 2021; Chrostowski 2022b). In addition, the entanglement of the Catholic Church in the politics of PiS and the associated lack of a sufficient and unambiguous response of church hierarchs to the destruction of the democratic order, dichotomies, collective fears and anxieties are related to the currently observed social changes in Polish society (Leśniczak 2020; Wyleżałek 2020; Dylus 2019; Hall 2017). This leads to the question of possible and future-oriented social-pastoral indications for the prevention of right-wing populism in Poland. The presented pastoral preventive indications are particularly relevant in the Polish context for at least two reasons. First, the Catholic Church in Poland, as a religious institution for as many as 91.9% of Poles (Catholic Information Agency 2021), has an enormous social impact and contributes to the consolidation of social capital (Sadłoń 2014). Moreover, the Church comes out—in conjunction with social indications—to address the tensions and dilemmas discussed above with regard to its entanglement in politics and the actions of right-wing populists. From the collective actors of the public sphere—such as the Church, but also universities, media and theatres—the democratic community expects political detachment, through which they can shape the invaluable metapolitical space of political culture, rationality and responsibility for democracy (Sowinski 2013/2014, p. 49).

In a methodological sense, it is primarily a matter of indicating the main paths of prevention and pointing to possible strategies for comprehensive action, which are an

aid to the setting of goals and their implementation in counteracting the “hijacking” of Christianity by right-wing populists. Therefore, the following guidelines cannot be understood as ready-made solutions that can be directly implemented in Polish reality, but should be seen as a starting point for an in-depth discussion, a driver and a kind of “signpost”. The following three theses present in this sense a general characterisation of the main indicative prerogatives for preventive actions:

1. *Ecclesiastical and state steps to disintegrate the destructive identification of the Catholic Church with right-wing populists and prevent further “alliance of the throne and the altar”*

In Poland, steps of an ecclesiastical and state nature should be taken to break the pernicious identification of the Catholic Church with right-wing populists or with the political parties governing the country, as well as to formally prevent the possibility of a rebirth of a strong alliance between church and state in the future (Leśniczak 2020; Dylus 2019; Hall 2017; Nosowski 2015).

To begin, the church hierarchy must move away from an attitude of passivity, silence and institutional conjuncturalism and stand courageously on the side of democratic values and thus condemn the abuses of right-wing populists (Kusiak 2020). The church must act in the same way as was done for extreme nationalist attitudes among Poles (Polish Bishops’ Conference 2017). The right approach seems to be to follow the path set by the German bishops (Sekretariat der Deutschen Bischofskonferenz 2019), and to set up a group of interreligious and ecumenical experts to prepare official instructions on the handling of right-wing populist tendencies in the Catholic Church. Such a document could help the faithful and clergy in talks and debates with right-wing populists in Poland, but it would also be a clear sign of opposition to the populist policy of hatred and contempt (Sekretariat der Deutschen Bischofskonferenz 2019). In addition, a nationwide strategy should be developed for an effective solution to the issue of support for right-wing populists by individuals, institutions and organisations associated with the Catholic Church (Wysocka 2008; Kutyló 2010; Esser et al. 2017; Stępińska 2020).

Next, the state authorities must not only uphold the principles of democracy, but also respect the religious freedom of citizens, maintain neutrality in matters of worldview and be guided by the principle of respect for a pluralistic society (Steczkowski 2018). In this regard, it is necessary to renounce any attempt to give a privileged position to a particular religion. The principle of respect for the mutual autonomy and independence of each party in its own field and the principle of cooperation for respect for “human dignity” and the “common good” are also of fundamental importance (Steczkowski 2018; Stępień 2021). From the point of view of the philosophy of the state and the rule of law, both of the above concepts, that is, “human dignity” and “common good” constitute a certain, axiological ground for a democratic state governed by the rule of law. However, it should also be remembered that the legal order of the state, due to the existence of worldview pluralism, cannot duplicate any specific religious ethical-moral system (Steczkowski 2018). Otherwise, as A. Zoll observes, to give a single system of moral norms the status of a state law is to force those who do not accept that moral system to receive

it against their own conscience and the principles which guide them in their daily lives. Furthermore, it would lead to the enslavement of people subordinate to the legislator, which is a model characteristic of states accepting the fundamentalisms of totalitarian states (Zoll 2001, p. 64–65).

2. *Active support of the “culture of encounter” and the idea of sustainable development*

As Pope Francis notes:

“The only way for individuals, families and societies to grow, the only way for the life of peoples to progress, is via the culture of encounter, a culture in which all have something good to give and all can receive something good in return”. (Francis 2013)

The “culture of encounter” must become a sign of opposition to the further politicisation of Catholicism, which has been practiced in Poland for many years, as well as contributing to the strengthening of the democratic structures of the state and improving the situation of marginalised groups (Obirek 2014). However, it will not be fully possible without the realisation of the idea of sustainable development, which is understood as “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs” (United Nations General Assembly 1987, p. 43). In the face of the challenges of right-wing populism in the Polish, European and global perspective (Stockemer 2019; Moffitt 2016), sustainable development should be seen as a tool for not only changing lifestyles and attitudes, but also for rebuilding the human living environment on the basis of coexistence as friends and neighbours (Babicki 2021; Francis 2020).

3. *Maturing social and civic competences among citizens—children, young people and adults—based on actual scientific knowledge of the dangers of right-wing populism*

Democratic progress of Polish society based on the thoughts presented in the first and second thesis will not be possible without appropriate educational activities. As part of educational offers for adults (e.g., workshops, projects, discussion panels) and within school education (including religious education), an important element is to create an extra-curricular and inter-curricular path of critical education. It is also about developing individuals’ social and civic competences based on factual, scientific knowledge about right-wing populism and related threats. As a result, the acquired knowledge will equip adults and students with the right information and competences to take a personal position on this phenomenon (Chrostowski 2021a, b, 2022a, b; Szelewa 2021; Estellés and Castellví2020; Danner 2017, 2020). It should also be pointed out that various offers within the framework of educational activities can provide an opportunity to learn about the values of different cultures, support tolerance and peaceful coexistence, as well as eliminate the cultural, religious and social prejudices and dichotomies on which right-wing populists base their discourse (Jungkamp 2017; Chrostowski 2021a, 2022b). Additionally, education itself

in the face of the challenges of populism must be understood broadly as cognition, understanding and critical confrontation with the world, as well as a personal determination of one's own position and place in the world. The basic priority of education and all pedagogical activities is therefore not only to change the horizons of thought of a given individual, but even more to change themselves (Chrostowski 2021a; Danner 2017).

4. *Multifaceted activation of civil society and promotion of pro-democracy political action*

In the face of the challenges posed by right-wing populism, one of the most distinctive features of the democratic system, which is the promotion of the idea of a participatory society, in which citizens collectively make decisions related to the functioning of their state, should gain significantly (Boguszewski 2018). First of all, the focus should be on strengthening political participation by promoting the following activities, for example, through projects, conferences or workshops (Marchlewska et al. 2022, p. 84): *strengthening pro-democracy cooperation* (broadening awareness that more can be done together, and strengthening both the motivation and ability to cooperate with others); *strengthening political knowledge* (building knowledge concerning both political facts, for example, about how the political system works and how right-wing populists operate, as well as the processes—how one can get involved and influence pro-democracy policies); *strengthening the sense of political competence* (it is necessary to strengthen the feeling in citizens that they have political competence, that they can deepen it, that everyone can gain the knowledge and skills needed to get involved in strengthening democracy); *strengthening pro-democracy attitudes towards politics* (politics should be understood as “playing to a common goal”, and not just as competition and fighting for one's particular goals. Such an approach breaks the association of politics exclusively with political sides and conflicts effectively fuelled by right-wing populists. It also shows that politics can be interesting, can be an area for interaction and can bring people together); *strengthening pro-democracy participation* (this is about building individual skills in individuals aimed at boldly engaging in the political life of the country in the future).

6 Summary

In conclusion, it should be emphasised that not every person who stands up for the people, the Church and Catholic values in a pluralistic society is a right-wing populist. Subsequently, it is always the one, regardless of whether they are a qualified politician, church hierarch, clergyman or lay person, who, in the name of Catholic values, not only creates the image of the “other” (e.g., a follower of another religion, a representative of the LGBT community or a person with a different worldview) as a ruthless enemy. Such person also manipulates fear with the help of appropriate political tools and deepens the prevailing prejudices, social dichotomies, anti-establishment and anti-pluralism (Wysocka 2008).

A right-wing populist always reaches for religion to strengthen the ideology they present and, as a result, seek to politicise it or to subtly sacralise politics itself. Central in this regard are the various passions that underpin the formation of the political and national identities of citizens. Moreover, the expectations, desires and transcendent hope of “ordinary” people for a better future also play a significant role (Zúquete 2017), which become the basis for populist mobilisation based on the theological-doctrinal, socio-cultural and personality-psychological contexts of religion (Rebenstorf 2018; Chrostowski 2021b).

The dividing line between the ethnocentric, xenophobic and marginalising phenomena associated with right-wing populism and religion itself is fluid (Pickel 2018). This can be seen in the example of the current socio-political situation in Poland. Right-wing populists are manipulating the Christian message in favour of political mobilisation and thus the need to protect Poland and Europe from the “others” and the destruction that the liberal establishment and the supposed “anti-Polish”, “anti-family”, “anti-Christian” and “multi-culti” policy are trying to prepare. In this context, the Catholic Church in Poland, which should be a defender of the dignity and equality of people, as well as a voice critical of unjust and harmful actions of politicians, has adopted an attitude of submission, conformity and silence towards the actions of the PiS party. Some representatives of the church hierarchy, as well as institutions and organisations associated with the Catholic Church, actively support right-wing populists and thus legitimise their actions. Both Catholic “Polishness” as a determinant of belonging to the “true” people, the anti-pluralistic and authoritarian need to defend the strict principles of the Catholic moral order in a democratic state, as well as tacit consent to the advanced “dismantling” of Polish democracy, are somehow the main “faults” of the Catholic Church in Poland in relation to the destructive policy of right-wing populists.

“The alliance of the throne and the altar” in Poland today requires decisive social-pastoral preventive actions, which should include: 1. *Ecclesiastical and state steps to disintegrate the destructive identification of the Catholic Church with right-wing populists and prevent further alliance of the throne and the altar*; 2. *Active support of the “culture of encounter” and the idea of sustainable development*; 3. *Maturing social and civic competences among citizens based on actual scientific knowledge of the dangers of right-wing populism*; 4. *Multifaceted activation of civil society and promotion of pro-democracy political action*.

Furthermore, in the future it is necessary to work particularly intensively to ensure the young generations of Poles understand that the strong dependence of the Catholic Church on politics and politics on the Catholic Church is in no way conducive to building a pluralistic society, and even—more frequently—leads to the destruction of the Church itself, as well as the gradual building of a denominational or totalitarian state, in which only one fundamentalist “option” is always right. In this sense, the “unholy alliance” with Christianity in Poland, becomes nothing more than a “religiously coloured” overture to neo-authoritarianism or totalitarianism.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest M. Chrostowski declares that he has no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Albertazzi, Daniele, and Duncan McDonnell. 2015. *Populists in power*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Allport, Gordon W. 1966. The religious contest of prejudice. *Journal for the scientific study of religion* 5:447–457.
- Allport, Gordon W., and Michael J. Ross. 1967. Personal religious orientation and prejudice. *Journal of personality and social psychology* 5:432–443.
- Antoszewski, Andrzej. 2009. *Partie i systemy partyjne państw Unii Europejskiej na przełomie wieków*. Toruń: Wydawnictwo Adam Marszałek.
- Aslanidis, Paris. 2016. Is populism an ideology? A refutation and a new perspective. *Political Studies* 64:88–104.
- Babicki, Zbigniew. 2021. Idea zrównoważonego rozwoju w świetle encykliki papieża Franciszka Fratelli tutti. *Studia Ecologiae et Bioethicae* 2:29–40.
- Balcerzak, Agnieszka. 2020. *Zwischen Kreuz und Regenbogen. Eine Ethnografie der polnischen Protestkultur nach 1989*. Bielefeld: transcript.
- Baniak, Józef. 2011. Kościół instytucjonalny w krytycznym spojrzeniu dzisiejszych katolików polskich (I). *Przegląd Religioznawczy* 240:91–114.
- Batson, Daniel C., and Eric L. Stocks. 2005. Religion and Prejudice. In *On the nature of prejudice: fifty years after Allport*, ed. John F. Dovidio, Peter Glick, and Laurie A. Rudman, 413–427. Malden: Blackwell Publishing.
- Becker, Gudrun. 2018. Rechtspopulismus und Christentum. <http://www.proscientia.at/Datei-Download/402/Gudrun+BECKER%2C+Rechtspopulismus+und+Christentum%2C+22.10.2018%2C+Linz.pdf>. Accessed 26 June 2021.
- Bernhard, Michael. 2021. Democratic backsliding in Poland and Hungary. *Slavic Review* 80:585–607.
- Betka, Bartosz. 2019. Kierunki prowadzenia polityki społecznej w Polsce w latach 2007–2015 oraz 2015–2019. *Refleksje. Pismo naukowe studentów i doktorantów WNPiD UAM* 19–20:55–67.
- Betz, Hans-Georg. 1994. *Radical right wing populism in Western Europe*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Beylin, Marek. 2015. PiS: Vom sozialen zum national-katholischen Populismus in Polen. In *Rechtspopulismus in Europa. Gefahr für die Demokratie?*, ed. Ernst Hillebrand, 70–78. Bonn: Dietz.
- Bielska, Beata. 2021. 'How does the movement work? Above all, inefficiently': political out-comes of the Polish LGBT* movement. *Central Europe* 19:14–26.
- Bobako, Monika. 2018. Semi-peripheral Islamophobias: the political diversity of anti-Muslim discourses in Poland. *Patterns of Prejudice* 52:1–13.
- Boguszewski, Rafał (ed.). 2018. *Spółczesność obywatelskie w teorii i praktyce*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo SGGW.
- Borowiec, Piotr, and Adrian Tyszkiewicz (eds.). 2021. *Kampania parlamentarna 2019 roku*. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego.
- Borowik, Irena. 2002. The Roman Catholic Church in the process of democratic transformation: the case of Poland. *Social Compass* 49:239–252.
- Borowik, Irena, and Inga Koralewska. 2018. Analiza dokumentów Episkopatu Kościoła rzymskokatolickiego i wybranych tygodników opinii. *Przegląd Religioznawczy* 268:199–220.
- Cap, Piotr. 2018. 'We don't want any immigrants or terrorists here': the linguistic manufacturing of xenophobia in the post-2015 Poland. *Discourse & Society* 29:380–398.
- Carlson, Marianne Millen, Stacey E. McElroy, Jamie D. Aten, Edward B. Davi, Daryl Van Tongeren, Joshua N. Hook, and Don E. Davis. 2019. We welcome refugees? Understanding the relationship between religious orientation, religious commitment, personality, and prejudicial attitudes toward Syrian refugees. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion* 29:94–107.

- Catechism of the Catholic Church. 1992. https://www.vatican.va/archive/ENG0015/_INDEX.HTM. Accessed 26 June 2022.
- Catholic Information Agency. 2021. *Kościół w Polsce. Raport*. Warszawa: Katolicka Agencja Informacyjna.
- CBOS. 2017. Stosunek do przyjmowania uchodźców. Komunikat z badań. https://www.cbos.pl/SPISKOM.POL/2017/K_044_17.PDF. Accessed 16 June 2022.
- CBOS. 2021. Opinia publiczna wobec uchodźców i sytuacji migrantów na granicy z Białorusią. https://www.cbos.pl/SPISKOM.POL/2021/K_111_21.PDF. Accessed 24 Nov 2022.
- Center for Social Opinion Research. 2019. Oceny sytuacji Kościoła katolickiego w Polsce. Komunikat z badań. https://www.cbos.pl/SPISKOM.POL/2019/K_101_19.PDF. Accessed 17 Aug 2022.
- Chapman, Annabelle. 2017. Pluralism under attack: the assault on press freedom in Poland. https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2020-02/FH_Poland_Media_Report_Final_2017.pdf. Accessed 12 June 2022.
- Chrostowski, Mariusz. 2021a. Edukacja w obliczu populizmu: trudności i perspektywy. *Pedagogika Społeczna* 20:171–189.
- Chrostowski, Mariusz. 2021b. “Bezbożny sojusz”? populizm i wiodące religie monoteistyczne. *Przegląd Politologiczny* 26:89–103.
- Chrostowski, Mariusz. 2022a. O potrzebie wzmoczonej edukacji międzykulturowej w obliczu *prawicowego populizmu* w Polsce. *Edukacja Międzykulturowa* 18:191–203.
- Chrostowski, Mariusz. 2022b. The effects of religious education on prodemocratic positions in the face of the right-wing populism in Poland: theoretical analysis and clues for educational practices. *Journal of Religious Education* 70:43–62.
- Colyer, Isabel. 2021. The Polish law and justice party’s strategic approach to its relationship with the European Union. *Undergraduate Journal of Humanistic Studies* 11:1–19.
- Courau, Thierry-Marie, Susan Abraham, and Mile Babić. 2019. *Populism and religion*. London: SCM Press.
- Csehi, Robert, and Edit Zgut. 2020. ‘We won’t let Brussels dictate us’: Eurosceptic populism in Hungary and Poland. *European Politics and Society* 22:1–17.
- Cudak, Bartosz. 2022. Zdemaskować “dobrą zmianę” : triumf niewiedzy – język, afekty, aparaty ideologiczne. In *Performatyka: poza kanonem. Wiedza i niewiedza*, ed. Łucja Iwanczewska, Mateusz Chaberski, 45–62. Kraków: Wiele Kropki.
- Danner, Helmut. 2017. Bildung angesichts Fremdenfeindlichkeit und Populismus. *Vierteljahrsschrift für wissenschaftliche Pädagogik* 93:508–525.
- Danner, Helmut. 2020. Bildung als Widerstand gegen Populismus. <https://wbg-community.de/themen/helmut-danner-bildung-als-widerstand-gegen-populismus>. Accessed 22 June 2022.
- Duffy, Nick. 2019. Archbishop claims a ‘rainbow plague’ is afflicting Poland. <https://www.pinknews.co.uk/2019/08/02/archbishop-claims-rainbow-plague-afflicting-poland/>. Accessed 30 June 2022.
- Dylus, Aniela. 2019. Z troską o Kościele (ludowym) i o Polsce z nim związanej. *Chrześcijaństwo-Świat-Polityka* 22:131–140.
- Dziedzic, Jan. 2016. Religijność a postawy moralne polskiej młodzieży. Refleksja psychologiczno-pastoralna. *Polonia Sacra* 45:67–86.
- Dzikowska, Ewelina, and Ewelina Sudra. 2013. New Populism! – Przemiany polskiego populizmu. Recenzja książki Przyłęcki Paweł *Populizm w polskiej polityce. Analiza dyskursu polityki* Wydawnictwo Sejmowe, Warszawa 2012. *Władza Sądzenia* 2: 114–121.
- Eris, Ismail. 2000. The Dar al-islam and the Dar al-Harb: a discourse on the crafting of a muslim state. https://www.academia.edu/42723122/The_DAR_AL_ISLAM_The_DAR_AL_HARB_A_discourse_on_the_crafting_of_a_Muslim_state20200413_57832_19y6cfc?source=swp_share. Accessed 9 July 2022.
- Esser, Frank, Agnieszka Stepinska, and David N. Hopmann. 2017. Populism and the media: cross-national findings and perspectives. In *Populist political communication in Europe*, ed. Carsten Reinemann, Toril Aalberg, Frank Esser, Jesper Strömbäck, and Claes H. de Vreese, 365–380. New York: Routledge.
- Estellés, Marta, and Jordi Castellví. 2020. The educational implications of populism, emotions and digital hate speech: a dialogue with scholars from Canada, Chile, Spain, the UK, and the US. *Sustainability* 6034:1–16.
- Evangelische Kirche in Deutschland (ed.). 2022. *Zwischen Nächstenliebe und Abgrenzung. Eine interdisziplinäre Studie zu Kirche und politischer Kultur*. Leipzig: Evangelische Verlagsanstalt.
- Fiałkowski, Marek. 2018. Patriotyzm wyzwaniem dla Kościoła w Polsce. Na marginesie dokumentu pt. Chrześcijański kształt patriotyzmu. *Roczniki Teologiczne* 65:95–110.

- Folvarčný, Aadam, and Lubomír Kopeček. 2020. Which conservatism? The identity of the Polish law and justice party. *Politics in Central Europe* 16:159–188.
- Fomina, Joanna, and Jacek Kucharczyk. 2016. The specter haunting Europe: populism and protest in Poland. *Journal of Democracy* 27:58–68.
- Francis. 2013. Apostolic journey to Rio de Janeiro on the occasion of the XXVIII World Youth Day. Meeting with the Brazil's Leaders of Society. 27.07.2013. https://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/speeches/2013/july/documents/papa-francesco_20130727_gmg-classe-dirigente-rio.html. Accessed 11 July 2022.
- Francis. 2020. Fratelli Tutti: Encyclical letter on Fraternity and Social Friendship. 3.10.2020. https://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/encyclicals/documents/papa-francesco_20201003_encyclica-fratelli-tutti.html. Accessed 14 July 2022.
- Freeden, Michael. 1996. *Ideologies and political theory. A conceptual approach*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Freeden, Michael. 1998. Is nationalism a distinct ideology? *Political Ideologies* 46:748–765.
- Freeden, Michael. 2003. *Ideology. A very short introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Freeden, Michael. 2013. Morphological analysis of ideology. In *The oxford handbook of political ideologies*, ed. Michael Freeden, Lyman Tower Sargent, and Marc Stears, 115–137. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Friedman, Jonathan, and Shalini Randeria (eds.). 2004. *Worlds on the move: globalization migration and cultural security*. London, New York: I. B. Tauris & Co. Ltd.
- Gądecki, Stanisław. 2017. Przewodniczący Episkopatu dziękuje prezydentowi. <https://www.przewodnik-katolicki.pl/Archiwum/2017/Przewodnik-Katolicki-30-2017/Opinie/Przewodniczacy-Episkopatu-dziekuje-prezydentowi>. Accessed 7 July 2022.
- Gdula, Maciej. 2018. *Nowy autorytaryzm*. Warszawa: Krytyka Polityczna.
- Gidron, Noam, and Bart Bonikowski. 2013. Varieties of populism: literature review and research agenda. https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/gidron_bonikowski_populismlitreview_2013.pdf. Accessed 15 June 2022.
- Głowiak, Krzysztof. 2021. Stosunek Polaków do przyjmowania uchodźców przed i w warunkach europejskiego kryzysu migracyjnego. *Historia i Polityka* 35:147–162.
- Gocko, Jerzy. 2017. Reaktionen der Kirchen auf Populismus. Ein Blick aus der Perspektive der Kirche in Polen. *Roczniki Teologiczne* 64:63–77.
- Goździak, Elżbieta M., and Péter Márton. 2018. Where the wild things are: fear of islam and the anti-refugee rhetoric in Hungary and in Poland. *Central and Eastern European Migration Review* 7:125–151.
- Gwiazda, Anna. 2021. Right-wing populism and feminist politics: the case of Law and Justice in Poland. *International Political Science Review* 42:580–595.
- Hall, Aleksander. 2017. Rzeczy (nie)pospolite: Kościół wobec państwa PiS. *Więź* 668:9–93.
- Hall, Bogumiła. 2019. Gendering resistance to right-wing populism: black protest and a new wave of feminist activism in Poland? *American Behavioral Scientist* 63:1497–1515.
- Haynes, Jeffrey. 2020. Right-wing populism and religion in Europe and the USA. *Religions* 11:1–18.
- Herbert, David. 2019. Religion and the dynamics of right wing populism in Poland: impacts, causes, prospects. *Religion and Society in Central and Eastern Europe* 12:23–37.
- Herbst, Jan-Hendrik, and Andreas Menne. 2020. Vox populi vox dei? Theoretische Anhaltspunkte für religiöse Bildung in populistisch aufgeladenen Zeiten. *Theo-Web. Zeitschrift fuer Religionspaedagogik* 19:167–182.
- Hidalgo, Oliver. 2020. Politische Ideologien und Religionen als (normative) Vehikel demokratischer Ordnung? In *Religion, Ethik und Politik. Politik und Religion*, ed. Stefanie Hammer, Oliver Hidalgo, 79–107. Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Hidalgo, Oliver, and Alexander Yendell. 2019. Religion und Rechtspopulismus. https://www.buergergesellschaft.de/fileadmin/pdf/gastbeitrag_hidalgo_yendell_190619.pdf. Accessed 2 June 2022.
- Huber, Stefan, and Alexander Yendell. 2019. Does religiosity matter? Explaining right-wing extremist attitudes and the vote for the alternative for Germany (AfD). *Religion and Society in Central and Eastern Europe* 12:63–82.
- ILGA-Europe. 2022. Annual review of the human rights situation of lesbian, Gay, bisexual, trans and intersex people in Europe and central Asia. <https://www.ilga-europe.org/files/uploads/2022/04/annual-review-2022.pdf>. Accessed 17 July 2022.
- Jagers, Jan, and Stefaan Walgrave. 2007. Populism as political communication style: An empirical study of political parties' discourse in Belgium. *European Journal of Political Research* 46:319–345.
- Jaskułowski, Krzysztof. 2016. W obronie krzyża. Rzecz o używaniu i nadużywaniu symboli w polityce. *Dyskurs. Pismo Naukowo-Artystyczne ASP we Wrocławiu* 16:74–93.

- Jungkamp, Burkhard. 2017. Vorwort. In *Politische Bildung in der Schule. Zeitgemäße Ansätze in Zeiten des Populismus*, ed. Burkhard Jungkamp, Marei John-Ohnesorg, 5–6. Berlin: Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung.
- KAI. 2017. L'Osservatore Romano: parlament w Polsce zlikwidował autonomię sądownictwa. <https://misyjne.pl/losservatore-romano-parlament-w-polsce-zlikwidowal-autonomie-sadownictwa/>. Accessed 10 July 2022.
- Kalabunowska, Agata. 2017. Skrajna prawica a religia i Kościół katolicki w Polsce : wyjątek czy reguła w badaniach nad ekstremizmem politycznym? In *Naród w służbie Kościoła, Kościół w służbie narodu : więzi, relacje i zależności w XX i XXI wieku*, ed. Paweł Łubiński, 154–171. Kraków, Lublin: Katolicki Uniwersytet Lubelski Jana Pawła II. Katedra Pedagogiki Katolickiej.
- Kasprowicz, Dominika. 2015. The radical right in Poland—from the mainstream to the margins: a case of Interconnectivity. In *Transforming the transformation? The east European radical right in the political process*, ed. Michael Minkenberg, 157–183. London, New York: Routledge.
- Kazimierczuk, Agnieszka. 2021. Episkopat krytykuje “Polski Ład” PiS. <https://www.rp.pl/kraj/art18837581-episkopat-krytykuje-polski-lad-pis>. Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
- Khorakian, Alireza, David Hemsworth, Mostafa Jahangir, Yaghoob Maharati, Elahe Sadat Bagherpour, and Jonathan Muterera. 2020. The effects of religious orientations on malevolent creativity: role of positive emotions and spiritual intelligence. *Creativity Research Journal* 32:1–10.
- Klein, Constantin, Matthias Lühr, and Heinz Streib. 2018. Extant empirical research on religiosity and prejudice. In *Xenosophia and religion. Biographical and statistical paths for a culture of welcome*, ed. Heinz Streib, Constantin Klein, 23–84. Cham: Springer.
- Koba, Laura. 2020. *Prawa człowieka w polskiej transformacji systemowej*. Kraków: Instytut Spraw Publicznych UJ.
- Kobyliński, Andrzej. 2017. Między Kościołem toruńskim a Kościołem łagiewnickim. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331134882_Miedzy_Kosciolem_torunskim_a_Kosciolem_lagiewnickim. Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
- Kołaczkowski, Bartosz. 2019. *Analiza i ocena reform w szkolnictwie wyższym w latach 2015–2019. Dobrze zmiany?* Poznań: Wydawnictwo Naukowe UAM.
- Kołodziejczak, Małgorzata. 2015. Rocznicowe rytuały smoleńskie w perspektywie neodurkheimizmu. *Przegląd Politologiczny* 2:147–159.
- Korolczuk, Elżbieta. 2020. The fight against ‘gender’ and ‘LGBT ideology’: new developments in Poland. *European Journal of Politics and Gender* 3:165–167.
- Korolczuk, Elżbieta, and Agnieszka Graff. 2017. “Worse than communism and nazism put together”: war on gender in Poland. In *Anti-gender campaigns in Europe: mobilizing against equality*, ed. Roman Kuhar, David Paternotte, 175–194. London, New York: Rowman & Littlefield International.
- Koszykowska, Patrycja J. 2018. The rise of right-wing populism in Poland: comparative analysis of social structure and party strategy. https://academicworks.cuny.edu/bb_etds/81. Accessed 12 July 2022.
- Kotwas, Marta, and Jan Kubik. 2019. Symbolic thickening of public culture and the rise of right-wing populism in Poland. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/195314623.pdf>. Accessed 26 June 2022.
- Kowalczyk, Krzysztof. 2015a. Stanowiska polskich partii politycznych wobec religii i Kościoła. Propozycja typologii. *Studia Politicae Universitatis Silesiensis* 15:156–189.
- Kowalczyk, Krzysztof. 2015b. Kościół Rzymskokatolicki w Polsce. Jako podmiot oddziałujący na system polityczny. Casus ustawy o związkach partnerskich. *Colloquium* 4:61–82.
- Kowalczyk, Krzysztof. 2015c. Stanowiska polskich partii politycznych wobec religii i Kościoła. Propozycja typologii. *Studia Politicae Universitatis Silesiensis* 15:156–189.
- Kowalski, Mariusz. 2018. Wybory parlamentarne 2015. In *Atlas Wyborczy Polski*, ed. Mariusz Kowalski, Przemysław Śleszyński, 227–236. Warszawa: Instytut Geografii i Przestrzennego Zagospodarowania PAN.
- Krotofil, Joanna, and Dominika Motak. 2018. Between traditionalism, fundamentalism, and populism: a critical discourse analysis of the media coverage of the migration crisis in Poland. In *Religion in the European refugee crisis. Religion and global migrations*, ed. Ulrich Schmedel, Graeme Smith, 61–85. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Krzemiński, Ireneusz. 2017. Narodowo-katolicka mowa o Polsce. Obroncy krzyża przed Pałacem Prezydenckim. *Czas kultury* 195:50–64.
- Krzyżak, Tomasz. 2018. Czy biskupi nie lubią już Prawa i Sprawiedliwości? <https://www.rp.pl/polityka/art1820541-czy-biskupi-nie-lubia-juz-prawa-i-sprawiedliwosci>. Accessed 18 Nov 2021.
- Krzyżanowska, Natalia, and Michał Krzyżanowski. 2018. ‘Crisis’ and migration in Poland: discursive shifts, anti-pluralism and the Politicisation of exclusion. *Sociology* 52:612–618.
- Krzyżanowski, Michał. 2020. Discursive shifts and the normalisation of racism: imaginaries of immigration, moral panics and the discourse of contemporary right-wing populism. *Social Semiotics* 30:1–25.

- Kuczynski, Waldemar. 2010. Piromani nad Wisłą. 10 sierpnia 2010. <https://wyborcza.pl/7,76842,8234662,piromani-nad-wisla.html>. Accessed 24 Nov 2022.
- Kulas, Piotr. 2020. (Nie)przewidziane konsekwencje? Reforma edukacji oraz reforma nauki i szkolnictwa wyższego realizowane przez rząd PiS wobec obietnicy realizacji państwa dobrobytu. In *Miejsca sporu. Księga dedykowana profesorowi Pawłowi Śpiewakowi*, ed. Piotr Kulas, Krzysztof Świrek, 130–154. Warszawa: Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego.
- Kulińska, Lucyna. 2012. Związek Akademicki “Młodzież Wszechpolska” jako kuźnia intelektualna ruchu narodowego. In *Główne obozy polityczne II Rzeczypospolitej na tle wydarzeń epoki*, ed. Mieczysław Ryba, 187–194. Lublin: Wydawnictwo Katolickiego Uniwersytetu Lubelskiego.
- Kurylo, Bohdana. 2022. Counter-populist performances of (in)security: feminist resistance in the face of right-wing populism in Poland. *Review of International Studies* 48:262–281.
- Kusiak, Paweł. 2020. Kościół katolicki wobec konfliktu politycznego dt. reformy sądów w Polsce w roku 2017. *Przegląd Religioznawczy* 277:179–193.
- Kutyło, Łukasz. 2010. Populizm religijny w Polsce—przypadek Radia Maryja. Społeczne uwarunkowania zjawiska. *Wrocławskie Studia Politolologiczne* 11:204–216.
- Lesch, Walter. 2017. *Christentum und Populismus. Klare Fronten?* Freiburg, Basel, Wien: Herder.
- Lesch, Walter. 2020. Visible religion and populism: an explosive cocktail. *Religions* 11:1–11.
- Leśniczak, Rafał. 2017. Komunikowanie polityczne Konferencji Episkopatu Polski po zakończonych wyborach prezydenckich 2015 r. Priming i framing—analiza tygodników opinii “Newsweek Polska” i “Polityka”. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska* 24:257–277.
- Leśniczak, Rafał. 2019. *Wizerunek zgromadzeń zakonnych w prasie polskiej (2013–2016)*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo naukowe UKSW.
- Leśniczak, Rafał. 2020. Idea sekularyzmu w polskiej kampanii parlamentarnej 2019 roku. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska* 27:171–189.
- Leszczuk-Fiedziukiewicz, Anna. 2021. Hejt i symbole religijne. Obrażanie przez prowokację jako nowy problem społeczny. *Colloquia Theologica Ottoniana* 37:63–80.
- Libiszowska-Zółtkowska, Maria. 2015. Why are they leaving? Students say ‘no’ to the catholic church: analysis of empirical study results. In *Dilemmas of the catholic church in Poland*, ed. Tadeusz Buksinowski, 27–40. Washington: The Council for Research in Values and Philosophy.
- Lipiński, Artur. 2020. W poszukiwaniu ontologii populizmu – dylematy definicyjne. *Przegląd Politolologiczny* 25:131–148.
- Lipiński, Artur, and Agnieszka Stepińska. 2020a. Przegląd badań nad populizmem w Polsce. In *Badania nad dyskursem populistycznym: wybrane podejścia*, ed. Agnieszka Stepińska, Artur Lipiński, 23–48. Poznań: Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu.
- Lipiński, Artur, and Agnieszka Stepińska. 2020b. Współczesne badania nad populizmem – wybrane dylematy i kluczowe podejścia. In *Badania nad dyskursem populistycznym: wybrane podejścia*, ed. Agnieszka Stepińska, Artur Lipiński, 5–22. Poznań: Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu.
- Loredo, Julio. 2021. “Nasz Dziennik”: migracja czy inwazja? <https://www.radiomaryja.pl/informacje/nasz-dziennik-migracja-czy-inwazja/>. Accessed 11 June 2022.
- Łubiński, Paweł. 2016. Kontynuacja i rozwój idei narodowej w Polsce na przykładzie Stowarzyszenia Młodzież Wszechpolska. In *Kierunki badań naukowych*, ed. Jan Zimny, 197–217. Stalowa Wola: Katolicki Uniwersytet Lubelski Jana Pawła II.
- Mabille, François. 2019. Religious populism: the new avatar of political crisis. *Concilium* 2:50–60.
- Makowski, Jarosław. 2006. Dwa Kościoły: “toruński” i “łagiewnicki”. <https://wdrodze.pl/article/dwa-koscioly-toruński-i-lagiewnicki/>. Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
- Mambo, Alice W. 2018. Intrinsic, extrinsic and pro religious orientation of episcopal church adult learners in southern California. *Journal of Philosophy, Culture and Religion* 36:43–54.
- Mancini, Susanna, and Michel Rosenfeld. 2020. Nationalism, populism, religion, and the quest to reframe fundamental rights. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID3677401_code1717611.pdf?abstractid=3677401&mirid=1. Accessed 26 July 2022.
- Marchlewska, Marta, Zuzanna Molenda, Dagmara Szczepańska, Piotr Michalski, Aleksandra Furman, Marta Rogoza, Paulina Górska, and Dominika Maison. 2022. Aktywizacja obywatelska młodych Polek i młodych Polaków. Raport z badań psychologicznych. http://politicalcognition.psych.pan.pl/images/Raporty/DIALOG_raport.pdf. Accessed 24 Nov 2022.
- Marzouki, Nadia, Duncan McDonnell, and Olivier Roy (eds.). 2016. *Saving the people: how populists Hijack religion*. London: Hurst & Company.
- Mény, Yves, and Yves Surel. 2002. The constitutive ambiguity of populism. In *Democracies and the populist challenge*, ed. Yves Mény, Yves Surel, 1–21. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Meyer Resende, Madalena, and Anja Hennig. 2021. Polish catholic bishops, nationalism and liberal democracy. *Religions* 12:1–17.
- Mikołajczyk, Magdalena. 2015. “Znikająca lewica”. O dyskursie zawierającym diagnozę zmian polskiej sceny politycznej. *Studia Politologica* 25:89–114.
- Modrzejewski, Arkadiusz. 2017. Catholic and nationalist populism in the current Poland. *Perspective Politice* 10:21–31.
- Moffitt, Benjamin. 2016. *The global rise of populism: performance, political style, and representation*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Mole, Richard, Agnieszka Golec de Zavala, and Murat M. Ardag. 2021. Homophobia and national collective narcissism in populist Poland. *European Journal of Sociology* 62:37–70.
- Molle, Andrea. 2018. Religion and right-wing populism in Italy: using “Judeo-Christian roots” to kill the European Union. *Religion, State and Society* 47:151–168.
- Montgomery, Kathleen A., and Ryan Winter. 2015. Explaining the religion gap in support for radical right parties in Europe. *Politics and Religion* 8:379–403.
- Morawska, Ewa. 1984. Civil religion vs. state power in Poland. *Society* 4:29–34.
- Mudde, Cas. 2004. The populist zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition* 39:541–563.
- Mudde, Cas, and Cristobal R. Kaltwasser. 2011. Voices of the peoples: populism in Europe and Latin America compared. <https://kellogg.nd.edu/publications/workingpapers/WPS/378.pdf>. Accessed 17 July 2022.
- Mudde, Cas, and Cristobal R. Kaltwasser. 2012. Populism and (liberal) democracy: a framework for analysis. In *Populism in Europe and the Americas. Threat or corrective for democracy?*, ed. Cas Mudde, Cristobal R. Kaltwasser, 1–26. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Müller, Tim, and Anja Neundorff. 2012. The role of the state in the repression and revival of religiosity in central eastern Europe. *Social Forces* 2:559–582.
- Narkowicz, Kasia. 2018. ‘Refugees not welcome here’: state, church and civil society responses to the refugee crisis in Poland. *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society* 31:357–373.
- Nosowski, Zbigniew. 2015. Nowy sojusznik ohtarza z tronem? *Wież* 662:129–139.
- Obirek, Stanisław. 2014. Nowa odsłona filozofii dialogu: Jorge M. Bergoglio. *Etyka* 48:107–119.
- Otis, Pauletta, Chris Seiple, and Dennis R. Hoover (eds.). 2013. *The Routledge handbook of religion and security*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Palaver, Wolfgang. 2018. Populismus und Religion angesichts einer Politik der Angst. *Salzburger Theologische Zeitschrift* 23:35–45.
- Paluchowski, Władysław, and Krzysztof Podemski. 2019. Mowy miesięcznicowe Jarosława Kaczyńskiego jako spektakl władzy. *Ruch Prawniczy, Ekonomiczny i Socjologiczny* 81:253–268.
- Pankowski, Rafał. 2010. *The populist radical right in Poland: the patriots*. London: Routledge.
- Pauwels, Teun. 2011. Measuring populism: a quantitative text analysis of party literature in Belgium. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 21:97–119.
- Pickel, Gert. 2018. Religion als Ressource für Rechtspopulismus? Zwischen Wahlverwandtschaften und Fremdzuschreibungen. *Zeitschrift für Religion, Gesellschaft und Politik* 2:277–312.
- Pilch, Tadeusz. 2020. Myśl pedagogiczna i edukacja wobec anomii, bierności obywatelskiej, dysfunkcyjności władzy i demokracji proceduralnej. In *Pedagogika i edukacja wobec kryzysu zaufania, wspólnotowości i autonomii*, ed. Joanna Madalińska-Michalak, Anna Wiłkomirska, 153–176. Warszawa: Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego.
- Polak, Wojciech. 2017. Prymas Polski: Żadna reforma nie może być prowadzona przeciwko komukolwiek. <http://wiez.com.pl/2017/07/19/prymas-polski-zadna-reforma-nie-moze-byc-prowadzona-przeciwko-komukolwiek/>. Accessed 28 July 2022.
- Polakowski, Michał, Dorota Szelewa, Rafał Bakalarczyk, and Michał Sutowski. 2017. *Polityka społeczna rządu Prawa i Sprawiedliwości*. Warszawa: Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung, Przedstawicielstwo w Polsce wspólnie z Fundacją Międzynarodowe Centrum Badań i Analiz (ICRA).
- Polakowski, Michał, Maciej Sobociński, and Dorota Szelewa. 2019. *Inwestycje Społeczne*. Warszawa: Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung, Przedstawicielstwo w Polsce wspólnie z Fundacją Międzynarodowe Centrum Badań i Analiz (ICRA).
- Polish Bishops’ Committee for Contact with the German Bishops’ Conference. 2017. Apel Zespołu ds. Kontaktów z Konferencją Episkopatu Niemiec. <https://www.diecezja.kielce.pl/apel-zespołu-ds-kontaktów-z-konferencją-episkopatu-niemiec>. Accessed 21 Nov 2021.
- Polish Bishops’ Conference. 2013. O wyzwaniach bioetycznych, przed którymi stoi współczesny człowiek. <http://ruda-parafianin.pl/prae/episko4/01.htm>. Accessed 18 July 2022.

- Polish Bishops' Conference. 2017. Chrześcijański kształt patriotyzmu. <http://episkopat.pl/chrzescijanski-ksztalt-patriotyztu-dokument-konferencji-episkopatu-polski-przygotowany-przez-rade-ds-spolecznych/>. Accessed 18 July 2022.
- Przeciszewski, Marcin. 2018. Bp Kopiec: Kościół powinien bardziej towarzyszyć ludziom. <https://www.niedziela.pl/artykul/36860/Bp-Kopiec-Kosciol-powinien-bardziej>. Accessed 23 Nov 2021.
- Przyłęcki, Paweł. 2004. Populizm w aspekcie ideologiczno-historycznym. Na przykładzie Polski. In *Tożsamość kulturowa i polityczna Europy wobec wyzwań cywilizacyjnych*, ed. Danuta Walczak-Duraj, 187–215. Łódź: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego.
- Przyłęcki, Paweł. 2012. *Populizm w polskiej polityce. Analiza dyskursu polityki*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Sejmowe.
- Przyłęcki, Paweł. 2013. Rola mitów w dyskursie populistycznym. *Studia Politologiczne* 28:200–220.
- Puzio, Michał. 2019. Przekazy medialne jako mechanizmy kształtowania społecznej oceny polityków na przykładzie polskich wyborów prezydenckich w 2015 roku. *Studia Wýborcze* 28:39–54.
- Rebenstorf, Hilke. 2018. „Rechte“ Christen? – Empirische Analysen zur Affinität christlich-religiöser und rechtspopulistischer Positionen. *Zeitschrift Für Religion, Gesellschaft und Politik* 2:313–333.
- Rogalewski, Adam. 2020. Right wing populism in Poland: a challenge for trade unions. *International Centre for Trade Union Rights* 27:8–9.
- Rokicki, Jarosław. 2012. Powrót misji cierpienia. Społeczne tworzenie porządku po chaosie tragedii smoleńskiej. In *Polska w mediach, media w Polsce*, ed. Zbigniew Pucek, Joanna Bierówka, 47–67. Kraków: Oficyna Wydawnicza AFM.
- Rouhana, Nadim N., and Nadera Shalhoub-Kevorkian (eds.). 2021. *When politics are sacralized: comparative perspectives on religious claims and nationalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rytel-Adrianik, Paweł. 2017. Apel Kościoła w sprawie reformy sądownictwa. <https://www.gosc.pl/doc/4044272.Apel-Kosciola-w-sprawie-reformy-sadownictwa>. Accessed 18 July 2022.
- Sadłoń, Wojciech. 2014. *Religijny kapitał społeczny: Kapitał społeczny a Kościół katolicki w społecznościach lokalnych w Polsce*. Saarbrücken: Wydawnictwo Bezkresy Wiedzy.
- Sanecka Tyczyńska, Joanna. 2011. *Państwo obywatelskie i wspólnota polityczna. Studium o myśli politycznej Prawa i Sprawiedliwości*. Lublin: Wydawnictwo UMCS.
- Schwörer, Jakob, and Xavier Romero-Vidal. 2020. Radical right populism and religion: mapping parties' religious communication in Western Europe. *Religion, State and Society* 48:4–21.
- Sekretariat der Deutschen Bischofskonferenz. 2019. Dem Populismus widerstehen. Arbeitshilfe zum kirchlichen Umgang mit rechtspopulistischen Tendenzen. https://weltkirche.katholisch.de/Portals/0/Dokumente/DBK_Dem_Populismus_widerstehen.pdf. Accessed 10 June 2022.
- Siegers, Pascal, and Alexander Jedinger. 2020. Religious immunity to populism: Christian religiosity and public support for the alternative for Germany. *German Politics* 30:149–169.
- Sitarz, Olga. 2021. Protection of Christian values—penal populism or a rational decision on criminalization? https://yadda.icm.edu.pl/yadda/element/bwmeta1.element.ojs-doi-10_7420_AK2021_20/c/2199-2229.pdf. Accessed 7 July 2022.
- Sołtys, Andrzej. 2015. Polityczna ideologizacja religii zagrożeniem bezpieczeństwa państw w obszarze Basenu Morza Śródziemnego. *TEKA of Political Science and International Relations* 10:39–52.
- Sowiński, Sławomir. 2013. 2014. Kościół w sferze publicznej: pewna strategia i jej ograniczenia. *Chrześcijaństwo-Świat-Polityka* (15/16):38–53.
- Sroczyńska, Maria, and Jan Kochanowski. 2014. Das katholische Radio in deinem Haus. Das Phänomen des Radio Maryja in Polen. In *Zwischen Säkularisierung und religiöser Vitalisierung*, ed. Michael Hainz, Gert Pickel, Detlef Pollack, Maria Libiszowska-Żółtkowska, and Elżbieta Firlit, 157–167. Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Stachowska, Ewa. 2019. Mobilizacje religijne w Polsce. Ujęcie socjologiczne. *Przegląd Religioznawczy* 274:175–203.
- Stambulski, Michał. 2022. Constitutional populism and the rule of law in Poland. In *Anti-constitutional populism*, ed. Martin Krygier, Adam Czarnota, and Wojciech Sadurski, 336–365. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stanley, Ben. 2008. The thin ideology of populism. *Journal of Political Ideologies* 13:95–110.
- Stanley, Ben. 2016. Defenders of the cross: populist politics and religion in post-communist Poland. In *Saving the people: how populists hijack religion*, ed. Marzouki Nadia, Duncan McDonnell, and Olivier Roy, 109–128. London: Hurst & Company.
- Stanley, Ben, and Mikołaj Cześnik. 2019. Populism in Poland. In *Populism around the world: a comparative perspective*, ed. Daniel Stockemer, 67–87. Cham: Springer.
- Stanley, Bill, and Ben Stanley. 2020. Whose Poland is it to be? PiS and the struggle between monism and pluralism. *East European Politics* 36:378–394.

- Starnawski, Marcin. 2017. Populizm antyislamski a socjalizacja polityczna w kontekście polskim. *Praktyka Teoretyczna* 26:62–92.
- Steczowski, Piotr. 2018. Zasady relacji Kościół–Państwo według Soboru Watykańskiego II. *Biuletyn Stowarzyszenia Absolwentów i Przyjaciół Wydziału Prawa Katolickiego Uniwersytetu Lubelskiego* 15: 157–181.
- Steinmann, Jan-Philip. 2022. (K)eine immunisierende Wirkung? Eine binnendifferenzierte Analyse zum Zusammenhang zwischen christlicher Religiosität und der Wahl rechtspopulistischer Parteien. *Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie* 74:33–64.
- Steinmetz-Jenkins, Daniel, and Anton Jäger. 2019. The populist right is forging an unholy alliance with religion. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/jun/11/populists-right-unholy-alliance-religion>. Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
- Stępień, Jakub. 2021. Postulaty Soboru Watykańskiego II dotyczące stosunków pomiędzy Kościołem katolickim i państwem w nauczaniu papieża Franciszka. *Roczniki Nauk Prawnych* 31:199–214.
- Stępińska, Agnieszka (ed.). 2020. *Populist discourse in the Polish media*. Poznań: Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań.
- Stępińska, Agnieszka, Artur Lipiński, Agnieszka Hess, and Dorota Piontek. 2017. Poland. A fourth wave of populism? In *Populist political communication in europe*, ed. Toril Aalberg, Frank Esser, Carsten Reinemann, Jesper Strömbäck, and Claes H. de Vreese, 311–325. New York: Routledge.
- Stockemer, Daniel. 2019. *Populism around the world: a comparative perspective*. Cham: Springer.
- Szelewa, Dorota. 2021. Populism, religion and catholic civil society in Poland: the case of primary education. *Social Policy and Society* 20:310–325.
- Taggart, Paul. 2000. *Populism*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Tilles, Daniel. 2020. Polish president condemns LGBT “ideology of evil” in new speech as EU Commissioner issues criticism. <https://notesfrompoland.com/2020/06/15/polish-president-condemns-lgbt-ideology-of-evil-in-new-speech-as-eu-commissioner-issues-criticism/>. Accessed 12 July 2022.
- Titov, Roman S. 2013. Gordon Allport: the concept of personal religious orientations. *Cultural-Historical Psychology* 9:2–12.
- Tronina, Dominika. 2020. Unholy alliances? A case study of cooperation between churches and the radical right group Młodzież Wszepolska in Poland. *Polish Political Science Review* 8:68–86.
- Turska-Kawa, Agnieszka, and Waldemar Wojtasik. 2020. The importance of religiosity in the formation of populist attitudes: the case of Poland. *Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies* 55:34–52.
- Tushnet, Mark. 2019. Varieties of populism. *German Law Journal* 20:382–389.
- Tyrała, Marek. 2020. Wpływ populizmu na funkcjonowanie demokracji w Polsce na przykładzie partii PiS w latach 2015–2018. In *Polski system ochrony praw człowieka w dobie kryzysu demokracji liberalnej*, ed. Jerzy Jaskiernia, Kamil Spryszak, 13–24. Toruń: Wydawnictwo Adam Marszałek.
- United Nations. 1987. *Report of the world commission on environment and development: Our common future*. Oslo: United Nations General Assembly, Development and International Co-operation: Environment.
- Visvizi, Anna. 2017. *Querying the migration-populism nexus: Poland and Greece in focus*. Brussels: Institute of European Democrats (IED).
- Wiedemann, Felix. 2016. Das Verhältnis der extremen Rechten zur Religion. In *Handbuch Rechtsextremismus, Edition Rechtsextremismus*, ed. Fabian Virchow, Martin Langebach, and Alexander Häusler, 511–532. Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Wilczyńska, Anna, and Karol Wilczyński. 2020. In Poland the stranger threatens christianity: Polish catholics and their attitude towards refugees. In *Cosmopolitanism, migration and universal human rights*, ed. Mogens C. Jacobsen, Emmet B. Gebre, and Drago Župarić-Iljić, 91–102. Cham: Springer.
- Wilczyński, Piotr L. 2019w. Wybory parlamentarne 2019 w świetle wybranych sposobów przeliczania głosów na mandaty poselskie. *Przegląd Geopolityczny* 30:103–114.
- Witkowski, Przemysław. 2021. Główne narracje polskiej skrajnej prawicy w pierwszym roku pandemii COVID-19 – analiza narracyjna. *Rocznik Bezpieczeństwa Międzynarodowego* 15:205–235.
- Wojtaszczyk, Konstanty A. 2018. Wartości w Unii Europejskiej. In *Zmierzch demokracji liberalnej?*, ed. Konstanty A. Wojtaszczyk, Paweł Stawarz, and Justyna Wiśniewska Grzelak, 81–86. Warszawa: Oficyna Wydawnicza ASPRA-JR.
- Wyłęzałek, Joanna. 2020. Polityka globalna jako czynnik lokalnych aktywności i zmian społecznych. *Rocznik Lubuski* 46:23–34.
- Wysocka, Ewa. 2019. Religijność młodzieży studenckiej – przypisywane religii znaczenia w życiu codziennym (dwie dekady zmian). *Przegląd Religioznawczy* 274:105–120.
- Wysocka, Olga. 2008. Populizm i radio Maryja. *Znak* 640:61–69.

- Yabanci, Bilge. 2020. Fuzzy borders between populism and sacralized politics: mission, leader, community and performance in 'new' Turkey. *Politics, Religion & Ideology* 21:92–112.
- Yatsyk, Alexandra. 2020. Biopolitical populism in Poland: the case of PiS. *Populism* 3:148–164.
- Yermakova, Olena. 2021. PiS vs LGBT: the “othering” of the LGBT movement as an element of populist radical right party discourse in Poland. *Sprawy Narodościowe* 53:1–28.
- Yilmaz, Ihsan, and Nicholas Morieson. 2021. A systematic literature review of populism, religion and emotions. *Religions* 12:1–22.
- Zoll, Andrzej. 2001. Czy demokracja pomaga w stanowieniu prawego prawa? In *Sacrum i kultura: chrześcijańskie korzenie przyszłości*. Materiały Kongresu Kultury Chrześcijańskiej, Lublin, 15–17 września 2000., ed. Stanisław Zięba, Ryszard Rubinkiewicz, 59–72. Lublin: Towarzystwo Naukowe KUL.
- Zuber, Małgorzata. 2017. Partia Prawo i Sprawiedliwość jako reprezentacja polityczna światopoglądu tradycjonalistycznego we współczesnej Polsce. *Naukovi zapiski Nacional'nogo universitetu Ostroz'ka akademiâ. Seriâ: Kul'turologiâ* 18:153–160.
- Żuk, Piotr, and Paweł Żuk. 2019. Dangerous Liaisons between the Catholic Church and State: the religious and political alliance of the nationalist right with the conservative Church in Poland. *Journal of Contemporary Central and Eastern Europe* 27:11–22.
- Zúquete, Jose P. 2017. Populism and religion. In *The oxford handbook of populism*, ed. Cristóbal R. Kaltwasser, Paul Taggart, Paulina O. Espejo, and Pierre Ostiguy, 445–466. Oxford: Oxford University Press.