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#### Abstract

This article proves a case of the $p$-adic Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for Garrett $p$-adic $L$-functions of [6], in the exceptional zero setting of extended analytic rank 2.


## Résumé

Cet article prouve un cas de la conjecture $p$-adique de Birch et Swinnerton-Dyer pour les fonctions $L p$-adiques de Garrett formulée dans [6], dans le cadre de zéros exceptionnels de rang analytique étendu égal à 2 .
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## Introduction

Let $A$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbf{Q}$, having ordinary reduction at a rational prime $p>3$. Let $\varrho_{1}$ and $\varrho_{2}$ be odd, irreducible, two-dimensional Artin representations of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}$, which are unramified at $p$ and satisfy the self-duality condition

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

By modularity, the triple $\left(A, \varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ arises from a triple $(f, g, h)$ of cuspidal $p$-ordinary newforms of weights $w_{o}=(2,1,1)$. Let $f_{\alpha}$ be the ordinary $p$-stabilisation of $f$, and fix

[^0]$p$-stabilisations $g_{\alpha}$ and $h_{\alpha}$ of $g$ and $h$ respectively. Set $\varrho=\varrho_{1} \otimes \varrho_{2}$. In the recent paper [6] we proposed a $p$-adic analogue of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for the leading term at $w_{o}$ of the 3-variable Garrett-Hida $p$-adic $L$-function $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)=L_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ associated with the triple $\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ of Hida families specialising to $\left(f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}\right)$ at $w_{o}$. In this article we verify our conjecture in the analytic rank-zero exceptional cases, viz. when the complex Garrett $L$-function $L(A, \varrho, s)=L(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$ does not vanish at $s=1$ and $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ has an exceptional zero at $w_{o}$ in the sense of Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum (cf. Theorem 2.1 and Sect. 2.1 below). Moreover, when $L(A, \varrho, 1)=0$ and $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ has an exceptional zero, we propose a conjecture relating the value at $w_{o}$ of the fourth partial derivative of $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ along the $f$-direction to the $p$-adic logarithms of two global points on $A$ rational over the number field cut out by $\varrho$ (cf. Conjecture 2.3).

## 1 Setting and notations

Fix algebraic closures $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ respectively, and field embeddings $i_{p}: \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ and $i_{\infty}: \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. With the notations of the Introduction, let

$$
\xi=\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n}(\xi) \cdot q^{n} \in S_{u}\left(N_{\xi}, \chi \xi\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}
$$

denote one of the cuspidal newforms $f, g$ and $h$. Here $u$ and $N_{\xi}$ are the weight and the conductor of $\xi$ respectively, and $S_{u}\left(N_{\xi}, \chi_{\xi}\right)_{F}$ is the space of cuspidal modular forms of level $\Gamma_{1}\left(N_{\xi}\right)$, weight $u$, character $\chi_{\xi}$ and Fourier coefficients in the subfield $F$ of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$. Fix a number field $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ containing for any $\xi$ the Fourier coefficients $a_{n}(\xi)$, as well as the roots $\alpha_{\xi}$ and $\beta_{\xi}$ of the $p$ th Hecke polynomials $P_{\xi, p}=X^{2}-a_{p}(\xi) \cdot X+\chi \xi(p) \cdot p$. Let $V_{\varrho_{i}}$ be a two-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-vector space affording the representation $\varrho_{i}$, and let $K_{\varrho}$ be a Galois number field such that $\varrho_{i}$ factors through $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\varrho} / \mathbf{Q}\right)$. Set

$$
V_{\varrho}=V_{\varrho_{1}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_{\varrho_{2}} \text { and } V_{p}(A, \varrho)=V_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{\varrho}
$$

where $V_{p}(A)=H_{\hat{e} t}^{1}\left(A_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right)$ is the $p$-adic Tate module of $A$ with $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$-coefficients. Throughout this note we make the following

Assumption 1.1 1. (Self-duality) The characters $\chi_{g}$ and $\chi_{h}$ are inverse to each other.
2. (Local signs) The conductors $N_{g}$ and $N_{h}$ are coprime to $p \cdot N_{f}$.
3. (Étaleness) The forms $g$ and $h$ are cuspidal, $p$-regular and do not have RM by a real quadratic field in which $p$ splits.

The first condition is a reformulation of the self-duality condition mentioned in the Introduction, namely $\operatorname{det}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)^{-1}$. Recall that the form $\xi$ is $p$-regular if $P_{\xi, p}$ has distinct roots. Moreover, one says that a weight-one eigenform has $R M$ (real multiplication) if it is the theta series associated with a ray class character of a real quadratic field. Assumption 1.1.3 is equivalent to require that $V_{Q_{i}}$ is irreducible, not isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{\mathrm{Q}} \chi$ for a finite order character $\chi: G_{K} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*}$ of a real quadratic field $K$ in which $p$ splits, and that an arithmetic Frobenius at $p$ acts on $V_{\varrho_{i}}$ with distinct eigenvalues. For $\xi=g, h$, this assumption guarantees that the $p$-adic Coleman-Mazur-Buzzard eigencurve of tame level $N_{\xi}$ is étale over the weight space at the points corresponding to the $p$-stabilisations of $\xi$ (cf. [2]). It is used in [6] to construct the Garrett-Nekovár height $\left\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right.$ which appears in the main result of this note. To explain the relevance of Assumptions 1.1.1 and 1.1.2, let $\alpha_{f}$ be the unit root of $P_{f, p}$ and fix roots $\alpha_{g}$ and $\alpha_{h}$ of $P_{g, p}$ and $P_{h, p}$ respectively. Fix a finite extension
$L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ containing $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ and the roots of unity of order $\operatorname{lcm}\left(N_{f}, N_{g}, N_{h}\right)$. Let $\xi$ be one of $f, g$ and $h$, and let $u_{o}$ be the weight of $\xi$. According to the results of [2,10,18], there exists a unique Hida family

$$
\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}=\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot q^{n} \in \mathscr{O}_{\xi} \llbracket q \rrbracket
$$

which specialises at $u_{o}$ to the $p$-stabilised newform

$$
\xi_{\alpha}=\xi(q)-\frac{\chi \xi(p) p^{u-1}}{\alpha_{\xi}} \cdot \xi\left(q^{p}\right) \in S_{u_{o}}\left(p \cdot M_{\xi}, \chi \xi\right)_{L}
$$

Here $M_{\xi}=N_{\xi} / p^{\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(N_{\xi}\right)}$ is the tame level of $\xi$ (so that $M_{\xi}=N_{\xi}$ if $\xi=g, h$ ), and $\mathscr{O}_{\xi}$ is the ring of bounded analytic functions on a (sufficiently small) connected open disc $U_{\xi}$ in the $p$-adic weight space over $L$. For each classical weight $u$ in $U_{\xi} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 3}$, the weight- $u$ specialisation $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha, u}=\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right)(u) \cdot q^{n} \in L \llbracket q \rrbracket$ of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}$ is the $q$-expansion of the ordinary $p$-stabilisation of a newform $\xi_{u}$ in $S_{u}\left(M_{\xi}, \chi \xi\right)_{L}$. Since $f$ has a unique $p$-ordinary $p$-stabilisation $f_{\alpha}$, we simply write $\boldsymbol{f}$ for $\boldsymbol{f}_{\alpha}$.

Assumption 1.1.1 guarantees that for each classical triple $w=(k, l, m)$ in the set

$$
\Sigma=U_{f} \times U_{g} \times U_{\boldsymbol{h}} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}^{3}
$$

the complex Garrett $L$-function $L\left(f_{k} \otimes g_{l} \otimes h_{m}, s\right)$ admits an analytic continuation to all of $\mathbf{C}$ and satisfies a functional equation relating its values at $s$ and $k+l+m-2-s$, with root number $\varepsilon(w)=\prod_{\ell \leq \infty} \varepsilon_{\ell}(w)$ equal to +1 or to -1 . Assumption 1.1.2 implies that all the local signs $\varepsilon_{\ell}(w)$ are equal to +1 for every $w$ in the $f$-unbalanced region $\Sigma_{f}=\{w=(k, l, m) \in \Sigma: k \geq l+m\}$ (cf. [11]). Under these assumptions, [12] associates with $\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ an analytic function

$$
\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)=\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

in the ring $\mathscr{O}_{f g h}=\mathscr{O}_{f} \hat{\otimes}_{L} \mathscr{O}_{g} \hat{\otimes}_{L} \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$, whose square

$$
L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)=L_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

satisfies the following interpolation property. For each $w=(k, l, m)$ in $\Sigma_{f}$, the value of $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $w$ is an explicit non-zero complex multiple of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\beta_{k} \alpha_{l} \alpha_{m}}{p^{c_{w}}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{\beta_{k} \beta_{l} \alpha_{m}}{p^{c_{w}}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{\beta_{k} \alpha_{l} \beta_{m}}{p^{c_{w}}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{\beta_{k} \beta_{l} \beta_{m}}{p^{c_{w}}}\right)^{2} \cdot L\left(f_{k} \otimes g_{l} \otimes h_{m}, c_{w}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{w}=\frac{k+l+m-2}{2}$, and for $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$ one denotes by $\alpha_{u}$ the unit root of $P_{\xi_{u}, p}$ and sets $\beta_{u} \cdot \alpha_{u}=\chi_{\xi}^{\prime}(p) \cdot p^{u-1}$, where $\chi_{\xi}^{\prime}$ is the prime-to- $p$ part of $\chi_{\xi}$ (so that $\chi_{\xi}^{\prime}=\chi_{\xi}$ for $\xi=g, h$, and $\chi_{f}^{\prime}$ is the trivial character modulo $M_{f}$ ). We refer to Theorem A of loc. cit. for the precise interpolation formula. We call $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)=L_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ the Garrett-Hida p-adic L-function associated with $(A, \varrho)$ (or with $\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ ).

## 2 Exceptional zero formulae

The $p$-adic variant of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture formulated in [6] predicts that the leading term of $L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $w_{o}=(2,1,1)$ is encoded by the discriminant of the

Garrett- Nekovář height pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}: A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

constructed in Section 2 of loco citato, where $\mathscr{I}$ is the ideal of functions in $\mathscr{O}_{f g h}$ which vanish at $w_{o}$ and the $p$-extended Mordell-Weil group $A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$ is defined as follows. When $A$ has good reduction at $p$, one sets $A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}=A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$, where $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$ is a shorthand for the $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\varrho} / \mathbf{Q}\right)$-invariants of $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} V_{\varrho}$. If $A$ has multiplicative reduction at $p$, then $\alpha_{f}=a_{p}(f)= \pm 1$ and the maximal $p$-unramified quotient $V_{p}(A)^{-}$of $V_{p}(A)$ is a 1-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$-vector space on which an arithmetic Frobenius acts as multiplication by $\alpha_{f}$. Let $q_{A}$ in $p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic Tate period of the base change $A_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ of $A$ to $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (cf. Chapter V of [15]), and let $\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}$ be the quadratic unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. The Tate uniformisation yields a rigid analytic morphism

$$
\wp \text { Tate }: \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}}^{r i g} \longrightarrow A_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}}
$$

with kernel $q_{A}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ and unique up to sign. Set

$$
q(A)=p^{-}\left(\left(\wp \wp_{\operatorname{Tate}}\left(p^{n} \sqrt{q_{A}}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}\right) \in V_{p}(A)^{-}
$$

where $p^{-}$denotes the projection $V_{p}(A) \longrightarrow V_{p}(A)^{-}$and $\left(p^{n} \sqrt{q_{A}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is any compatible system of $p^{n}$-th roots of $q_{A}$, and define

$$
A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}=A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{p}(A, \varrho)
$$

to be the direct sum of $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$ and the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-submodule

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{p}(A, \varrho)=H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}(\varrho) \cdot q(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_{\varrho}\right)
$$

of $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V_{p}(A)^{-} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{\varrho}\right)$. The Garrett-Nekovář height $\left\langle\langle\cdot,\rangle_{f} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right.$ depends on the choice of suitably normalised $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-equivariant embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{g}: V_{Q_{1}} \longleftrightarrow V(g) \text { and } \gamma_{h}: V_{Q_{2}} \longleftrightarrow V(h), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(\xi)=V\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}\right) \otimes_{1} L$ (for $\xi=g, h$ ) is the weight-one specialisation of the big Galois representation $V\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}\right)$ associated with $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}$. (We refer to Sect. 3.1 below for precise definitions.) More precisely, denote by $V(f)$ the $f_{\alpha}$-isotypic component of the cohomology group $H_{\hat{e} t}^{1}\left(X_{1}\left(N_{f}, p\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right)$, where $X_{1}\left(N_{f}, p\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ is the base change to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ of the compact modular curve $X_{1}\left(N_{f}, p\right)$ of level $\Gamma_{1}\left(N_{f}\right) \cap \Gamma_{0}(p)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, and set

$$
V(f, g, h)=V(f) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g) \otimes_{L} V(h) .
$$

Section 2 of [6] constructs a canonical Garrett-Nekovář p-adic height pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}: \operatorname{Sel}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Sel}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the naive extended Selmer group of $V(f, g, h)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, defined as the direct sum of the Bloch-Kato Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ of $V(f, g, h)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ and the module $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f, g, h)^{-}\right)$of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-invariants of the maximal $p$-unramified quotient $V(f, g, h)^{-}$ of $V(f, g, h)$. (The definition of $\left\langle\langle\cdot \cdot \cdot\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right.$ is briefly recalled in Sect. 3.2.3 below.) Fix a modular parametrisation $\wp_{\infty}: X_{1}\left(N_{f}, p\right) \longrightarrow A$, under which one identifies $V(f)$ and $V_{p}(A)$. The embeddings $\gamma_{g}$ and $\gamma_{h}$ and the global Kummer map on $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)$ then induce an embedding $\gamma_{g h}: A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho} \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Sel}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$. The pairing (2) is defined to be composition of the canonical Garrett-Nekovář height and $\gamma_{g h}^{\otimes 2}$. The pairings (2) and (4) are skew-symmetric, and the discriminant of (2) in $\left(\mathscr{I}^{r^{\dagger}(A, \varrho)} / \mathscr{I}^{r^{\dagger}(A, \varrho)+1}\right) / \mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{* 2}$, where
$r^{\dagger}(A, \varrho)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$, is independent of the choice of $\wp_{\infty}, \gamma_{g}$ and $\gamma_{h}$. We refer to [6] for more details.

If $\xi$ denotes either $g$ or $h$, then the restriction to $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ of the Artin representation $V(\xi)$ is the direct sum of the submodules $V(\xi)_{\alpha}$ and $V(\xi)_{\beta}$ on which an arithmetic Frobenius acts as multiplication by $\alpha_{\xi}$ and $\beta_{\xi}$ respectively (cf. Assumption 1.1.3). The $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-representation $V(f, g, h)^{-}$then decomposes as the direct sum of the subspaces

$$
V(f)_{i j}^{-}=V(f)^{-} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{i} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{j},
$$

where $(i, j)$ is a pair of elements of $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. If $\xi$ denotes either $g$ or $h$, Sect. 3.1.1 below recalls the definition of canonical weight-one differentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\xi_{\alpha}} \in\left(V(\xi)_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{\xi_{\alpha}} \in\left(V(\xi)_{\beta} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{G} \mathbf{Q}_{p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. If $A$ is multiplicative at $p$, set

$$
q(f)=\wp_{\infty}^{-1}(q(A)) \in V(f)^{-}
$$

where one denotes again by $\wp_{\infty}: V(f)^{-} \simeq V_{p}(A)^{-}$the isomorphism arising form the fixed modular parametrisation $\wp_{\infty}: X_{1}\left(N_{f}, p\right) \longrightarrow A$.

Under the running assumptions, the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-module $\mathcal{Q}_{p}(A, \varrho)$ (resp., the $L$-module $\left.H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f, g, h)^{-}\right)\right)$is non-zero precisely $A$ is multiplicative at $p$ and

$$
\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h} \quad \text { or } \quad \alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h},
$$

in which case it has dimension 2 and one says that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$. More precisely, note that $\alpha_{g} \neq \beta_{g}$ by Assumptions 1.1.3, hence only one of the previous identities can be satisfied. Moreover $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ (resp., $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ ) if and only if $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \beta_{h}$ (resp., $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \beta_{h}$ ) by Assumption 1.1.1. Fix an auxiliary integer $m_{p}$ such that $p$ splits (resp., is inert) in $\mathbf{Q}\left[\sqrt{m_{p}}\right]$ if $\alpha_{f}=+1$ (resp., $\alpha_{f}=-1$ ), so that $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts trivially on $\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f)$ in $V(f)^{-} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. If $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$, then $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts trivially on $V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{-}$and $V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}$, hence the $p$-adic periods

$$
q_{\alpha \alpha}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{\beta \beta}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \eta_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \eta_{h_{\alpha}}
$$

can naturally be viewed as elements of $V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{-}$and $V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}$respectively, which generate $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f, g, h)^{-}\right)$. Similarly, if $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$, then the periods

$$
q_{\alpha \beta}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \eta_{g_{h}} \text { and } q_{\beta \alpha}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \eta_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}}
$$

can naturally be viewed as generators of $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f, g, h)^{-}\right)$.
Equation (1) shows that the value of the square-root Garrett-Hida $L$-function $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $w_{o}$ is a non-zero multiple of

$$
\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{g} \alpha_{h}}{\alpha_{f}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_{g} \alpha_{h}}{\alpha_{f}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{g} \beta_{h}}{\alpha_{f}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_{g} \beta_{h}}{\alpha_{f}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{L(A, \varrho, 1)},
$$

where $L(A, \varrho, s)=L(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$. The previous discussion then shows that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$ precisely if one of the Euler factors which appear in the previous expression is zero, id est if $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ (or $\left.L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\right)$ has an exceptional zero in the sense of Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum [13]. In this case Lemma 9.8 of [7] proves that the restriction $\left.\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\right|_{\llcorner }$ of $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ to the improving line L defined by the equations $\boldsymbol{m}=1$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=l+1$ admits the factorisation

$$
\left.\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\right|_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathscr{E}_{f} \cdot \mathscr{E}_{g} \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}
$$

in the ring $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{L})$ of analytic functions on L , where

$$
\mathscr{E}_{f}=1-\left.\frac{a_{p}(\boldsymbol{f})}{a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)}\right|_{\mathrm{L}} \text { and } \mathscr{E}_{g}=1-\left.\chi_{h}(p) \cdot \frac{a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right)}{a_{p}(\boldsymbol{f}) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)}\right|_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

Moreover, the value at $w_{o}$ of the improved $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}$ is an explicit algebraic number in $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$, equal to zero precisely if $L(A, \varrho, s)$ vanishes at $s=1$. We refer to the proof of Proposition 8.3 of [12] for details.

The following is the main result of this note.
Theorem 2.1 Assume that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$. Let $\left(q_{\mathrm{b}}, q_{\natural}\right)$ denote either the pair $\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}, q_{\beta \beta}\right)$ or $\left(q_{\alpha \beta}, q_{\beta \alpha}\right)$, depending on whether $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ or $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ respectively. Then the following equality holds in $\mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$ up to sign.

$$
\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{2}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right) \cdot\left(1-\beta_{h} / \alpha_{h}\right)}{m_{p} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(q_{A}\right)} \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot\left\langle\left\langle q_{\mathrm{b}}, q_{\sharp}\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.1 is proved in Sect. 4 below. More precisely, Sects. 3.3 and 3.4 below prove that the following equality holds in $\mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$ up to sign:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)}{m_{p} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(q_{A}\right)} \cdot\left\langle\left\langle q_{\mathrm{b}}, q_{\sharp}\right\rangle_{f} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}=\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(l-1)+\varepsilon \cdot\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1),\right. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=+1$ if $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ and $\varepsilon=-1$ if $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \beta_{h}$, and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{\xi}^{\mathrm{an}}=d \log a_{p}(\xi)_{\boldsymbol{u}=u_{o}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the value at the centre $u_{o}$ of $U_{\xi}$ of the logarithmic derivative of the $p$-th Fourier coefficient of the Hida family $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$. In Sect. 4 we then deduce Theorem 2.1 from Eq. (6) and the study carried out in [7, Section 9] of the linear term of $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $w_{o}$ in the exceptional case.

It should be possible to extend Theorem 2.1 (and Conjecture 2.3 below) to the case of $p$-new eigenforms of even weight $k \geq 2$ and trivial character (cf. Section 1.1 of [6]). We have not checked the details.

### 2.1 The rank-zero exceptional case of [6, Conjecture 1.1]

Assume in this section that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$, and that the Garrett complex $L$-function $L(A, \varrho, s)=L(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$ does not vanish at $s=1$ :

$$
L(A, \varrho, 1) \neq 0
$$

According to the main result of [8] (see also Theorem B of [3]), one has

$$
A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}=0,
$$

hence $A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}=\mathcal{Q}_{p}(A, \varrho)$. The Garrett-Nekovář p-adic regulator $R_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$, viz. the discriminant of the $p$-adic height $\left\langle\langle\cdot \cdot \cdot\rangle_{f} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right.$ on $A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$, is then given by

$$
R_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle\left\langle q_{i}, q_{j}\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}=\left\langle\left\langle q_{1}, q_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{2}\right.
$$

in $\left(\mathscr{I}^{2} / \mathscr{I}^{3}\right) / \mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{* 2}$, where $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ is a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-basis of $\mathcal{Q}_{p}(A, \varrho)$.

Let $\gamma_{g h}: V(A, \varrho)^{-} \longleftrightarrow V(f, g, h)^{-}$be the $G_{\mathbf{Q}^{-}}$-equivariant embedding defined by the tensor product of the isomorphism $V_{p}(A)^{-} \simeq V(f)^{-}$induced by $\wp_{\infty}, \gamma_{g}$ and $\gamma_{h}$ (cf. Eq. (3)). The normalisation imposed on the embeddings $\gamma_{g}$ and $\gamma_{h}$ (and described in Sect. 3.1.1 below) implies that the matrix $M$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(L)$ defined by the identity $\left(q_{\mathrm{b}} q_{\mathrm{\natural}}\right) \cdot M=\left(\gamma_{g h}\left(q_{1}\right) \gamma_{g h}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)$ has determinant in $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*}$. In light of the above discussion, Theorem 2.1 then proves the following corollary, which together with Eq. (6) establishes [6, Conjecture 1.1] in the present setting.

Corollary 2.2 If $L(A, \varrho, s)$ does not vanish at $s=1$, then $A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}=\mathcal{Q}_{p}(A, \varrho)$ and the following equality holds in the quotient of $\mathscr{I}^{2} / \mathscr{I}^{3}$ by the action of $\boldsymbol{Q}(\varrho)^{* 2}$.

$$
L_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{3}\right)=R_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)
$$

### 2.2 Exceptional zeros and rational points (cf. [14])

Assume in this section that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$, and that the Garrett complex $L$-function $L(A, \varrho, s)$ vanishes at the central critical point $s=1$ :

$$
L(A, \varrho, 1)=0 .
$$

Set $\{b, \not \square\}=\{\alpha \alpha, \beta \beta\}$ of $\{b, \not \square\}=\{\alpha \beta, \beta \alpha\}$, depending on whether

$$
\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h} \operatorname{or} \alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}
$$

The $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ belongs to $\mathscr{I}^{2}$ (cf. Theorem 2.1) and Conjecture 2.3 of [6] predicts that its image in $\left(\mathscr{I}^{2} / \mathscr{I}^{3}\right) / \mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*}$ equals
 for two rational points $P$ and $Q$ in $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$. (Recall that the $p$-adic height $\left\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right.$ is skew-symmetric, hence the previous expression is a square root of its discriminant on the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-submodule of $A^{\dagger}\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$ generated by $q_{\mathrm{b}}, q_{\natural}, P$ and $Q$.) One has

$$
\left\langle\left\langle q_{\mathrm{b}}, q_{\mathrm{\sharp}}\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{k}, 1,1)=0\right.
$$

by Eq. (6). Moreover, Sect. 3.5 below proves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\langle q_{\natural}, x\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{k}, 1,1)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{b}\left(\operatorname{res}_{p}(x)\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)\right. \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each Selmer class $x$ in $\operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$, where

$$
\log _{b}=\left\langle\log _{p}(\cdot), q_{\sharp}\right\rangle_{f g h}: H_{\mathrm{fin}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f, g, h)\right) \longrightarrow L
$$

Here $\log _{p}: H_{\mathrm{fin}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f, g, h)\right) \simeq D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(f, g, h)) / \mathrm{Fil}^{0}$ is the Bloch-Kato $p$-adic logarithm (cf. Lemma 9.1 of [7]), and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{f g h}: D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V(f, g, h))^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow L$ is the pairing induced by the natural Kummer duality $\pi_{f g h}: V(f, g, h)^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow L(1)$ defined in Sect. 3.1.1 below (cf. Eq. (11)). We are then led to the following

Conjecture 2.3 Assume that $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$ is a 2-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-vector space. Then for any $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$-basis $(P, Q)$ of $A\left(K_{\varrho}\right)^{\varrho}$, the equality

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}\left(w_{o}\right)=\log _{b}(P) \cdot \log _{\text {দ }}(Q)-\log _{\text {দ }}(P) \cdot \log _{b}(Q)
$$

holds in $L$ up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar in $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*}$.

As explained in [5], the main result of [1] can be used to prove cases of Conjecture 2.3 when $g$ and $h$ are theta series associated with certain ray class characters of the same imaginary quadratic field in which $p$ is inert (and $P$ and $Q$ are Heegner points). By combining this with an extension of the height computations carried out in [16,17], the article [4] proves instances of Conjecture 1.1 of [6] in this setting.

Remark 2.4 In light of the aforementioned results of [5], Rivero proposes in [14, Conjecture 4.5] a variant of Conjecture 2.3. He also asks (cf. Question 5.3 of [14]) if one can expect a similar description of $\frac{\partial^{2} \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)}{\partial k^{2}}\left(w_{o}\right)$ when $A$ has good reduction at $p$. The previous discussion places Rivero's conjecture within a conceptual framework and sheds some light on this question.

## 3 Height computations

Throughout the rest of this note we assume that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$. In particular $A$ has multiplicative reduction at $p$, id est $p$ divides exactly $N_{f}$.

### 3.1 Setting and notations

This subsection briefly recalls the needed definitions and notations from our previous articles [6,7].

### 3.1.1 Galois representations

Set $N=\operatorname{lcm}\left(N_{f}, N_{g}, N_{h}\right)$ and let $G_{\mathbf{Q}, N}$ be the Galois group of the maximal extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ contained in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and unramified outside $N \infty$. If $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ denotes one of $\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$, let $V(\xi)$ be the big Galois representation associated with $\xi$ (cf. Section 5 of [7]). It is a free $\mathscr{O}_{\xi}$-module of rank two, equipped with a continuous linear action $G_{\mathbf{Q}, N}$. For each $u$ in $U_{\xi} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ the base change $V(\xi) \otimes_{u} L$ of $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ along evaluation at $u$ on $\mathscr{O}_{\xi}$ is canonically isomorphic to the homological $p$-adic Deligne representation of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{u}$ with coefficients in $L$ (cf. loco citato for more details). In particular if $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}$ and $u=2$ there is a natural specialisation isomorphism $\rho_{2}: V(\boldsymbol{f}) \otimes_{2} L \simeq V(f)$. If $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$ and $u=1$ set $V(\xi)=V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \otimes_{1} L$ (cf. Sect. 1). It is a two-dimensional $L$-vector space affording the dual of the $p$-adic DeligneSerre representation of $\xi=g, h$ with coefficients in $L$. In order to have a uniform notation, in this case one defines $\rho_{1}: V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \otimes_{1} L \longrightarrow V(\xi)$ to be the identity.

The restriction of $V(\xi)$ to $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ (via the embedding $i_{p}$ fixed at the outset) fits into a short exact sequence of $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\left[G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right]$-modules $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{+} \longleftrightarrow V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \longrightarrow V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-}$with $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{ \pm}$free of rank one over $\mathscr{O}_{\xi}$. More precisely, let $\chi_{\text {cyc }}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$ be the $p$-adic cyclotomic character, and let $\check{a}_{p}(\xi): G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\xi}^{*}$ be the unramified character sending an arithmetic Frobenius to the $p$-th Fourier coefficients $a_{p}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\xi)^{+} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\xi}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{u-1} \cdot \chi_{\xi} \check{a}_{p}(\xi)^{-1}\right) \text { and } V(\xi)^{-} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\xi}\left(\check{a}_{p}(\xi)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\text {cyc }}^{u-1}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\xi}^{*}$ satisfies $\chi_{\text {cyc }}^{u-1}(\sigma)(u)=\chi_{\text {cyc }}(\sigma)^{u-1}$ for each $u$ in $U_{\xi} \cap \mathbf{Z}$. (The freeness of $V(\xi)^{ \pm}$is guaranteed by Assumption 1.1.3, cf. Section 5 of [7].) If $\xi=f$ and $u=2$ the specialisation isomorphism $\rho_{2}$ identifies $V(f)^{-} \otimes_{2} L$ with the maximal unramified quotient $V(f)^{-}$of $V(f)$. If $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$ and $u=1$ we set $V(\xi)_{\beta}=V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{+} \otimes_{1} L$
and $V(\xi)_{\alpha}=V(\xi)^{-} \otimes_{1} L$. One has $V(\xi)=V(\xi)_{\alpha} \oplus V(\xi)_{\beta}$, where $V(\xi)_{\gamma}=V(\xi)^{\text {Frob }_{p}=\gamma_{\xi}}$ for $\gamma=\alpha, \beta$ is the submodule of $V(\xi)$ on which an arithmetic Frobenius Frob ${ }_{p}$ acts as multiplication by $\gamma_{\xi}=\alpha_{\xi}, \beta_{\xi}$ (cf. Assumption 1.1.3).

There is a natural $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-equivariant skew-symmetric perfect pairing

$$
\pi_{\xi}: V(\xi) \otimes_{O_{\xi}} V(\xi) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\xi}\left(\chi \xi \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{u-1}\right)
$$

inducing perfect dualities $\pi_{\xi}: V(\xi)^{ \pm} \otimes_{O_{\xi}} V(\xi)^{\mp} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\xi}\left(\chi_{\xi} \cdot \chi_{\text {cyc }}^{\boldsymbol{u - 1}}\right.$ ). (See Section 5 cf . [7] for the definitions).

Denote by $\Xi_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}=\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{(4-\boldsymbol{k}-l-\boldsymbol{m}) / 2}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}^{*}$ the character whose composition with evaluation at $(k, l, m)$ in $U_{\boldsymbol{f}} \times U_{\boldsymbol{g}} \times U_{\boldsymbol{h}} \cap \mathbf{Z}^{3}$ on $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}$ equals $\chi_{\text {cyc }}^{(4-k-l-m) / 2}$. If $\cdot$ denotes one of the symbols $\emptyset,+$ and - , define

$$
\boldsymbol{V}^{\cdot}=V(\boldsymbol{f})^{\cdot} \hat{\otimes}_{L} V\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right) \hat{\otimes} V\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}} \Xi_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g h}}
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{V}=V\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$, resp. $\boldsymbol{V}^{ \pm}=V\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)^{ \pm}$is a free $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}$-module of rank 8, resp. 4, equipped with a continuous action of $G_{\mathbf{Q}, N}$, resp. $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. As $\chi_{g} \cdot \chi_{h}=1$ (cf. Assumption 1.1), the product of the perfect dualities $\pi_{\xi}$, for $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$, yields a perfect skew-symmetric Kummer duality $\pi: V \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}} V \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g h}}(1)$, inducing a perfect local Kummer duality $\boldsymbol{\pi}: \boldsymbol{V}^{ \pm} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g \boldsymbol{h}}} \boldsymbol{V}^{\mp} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}(1)$. After setting

$$
V^{\cdot}=V(f, g, h)^{\cdot}=V(f)^{\cdot} \otimes_{L} V(g) \otimes_{L} V(h)
$$

and $w_{o}=(2,1,1)$, the product $\rho_{w_{o}}=\rho_{2} \hat{\otimes} \rho_{1} \hat{\otimes} \rho_{1}$ gives natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{w_{o}}: \boldsymbol{V}^{\cdot} \otimes_{w_{o}} L \simeq V^{\cdot} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $\cdot \otimes_{w_{o}} L$ denotes the base change along evaluation at $w_{o}$ on $\mathscr{O}_{f g h}$ ). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{f g h}: V \otimes_{L} V \longrightarrow L(1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the specialisation of $\pi$ via $\rho_{w_{o}}$, and define $\pi: V^{ \pm} \otimes_{L} V^{\mp} \longrightarrow L(1)$ similarly.
Weight one differentials Define $D(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-}=H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$, where $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is the $p$-adic completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (and as usual $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ denotes one of $\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$. For each $u$ in $U_{\xi} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ there is a natural comparison isomorphism between $D(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-} \otimes_{u} L$ and the $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{u}$-isotypic component of the space of cuspidal modular forms of weight $u$, level $\Gamma_{1}\left(N_{\xi} p\right)$ and Fourier coefficients in $L$. Assumption 1.1.3 guarantees that $D(\xi)^{-}$is free (of rank one) over $\mathscr{O}_{\xi}$, and admits a basis $\omega_{\xi}$ whose image in $D(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-} \otimes_{u} L$ corresponds to $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{u}$ under the aforementioned comparison isomorphism, for each $u$ in $U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$. (We refer to Section 3.1 of [6] and the references therein for more details.)

For $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$, the holomorphic weight-one differential

$$
\omega_{\xi_{\alpha}} \in\left(V(\xi)_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{G} \mathbf{Q}_{p}
$$

mentioned in Eq. (5) is defined to be the weight-one specialisation of $\omega_{\xi}$, viz. the image of $\omega_{\xi}$ in the quotient $D(\xi)^{-} \otimes_{1} L=D(\xi)_{\alpha}$. The weight-one specialisation of $\pi_{\xi}$ yields a perfect $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-equivariant skew-symmetric pairing

$$
\pi_{\xi}: V(\xi) \otimes_{L} V(\xi) \longrightarrow L(\chi \xi)
$$

Let $c$ be the common conductor of $\chi_{g}$ and $\chi_{h}$, and identify $\left(L(\chi \xi) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{G} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with $L$ via the Gauß $\operatorname{sum} G(\chi \xi)=(-c)^{i_{\xi}} \sum_{a \in(\mathbf{Z} / c \mathbf{Z})^{*}} \chi_{\xi}(a)^{-1} \otimes e^{2 \pi i a / c}$, where $i_{g}=0$ and $i_{h}=1$ (so
that $G\left(\chi_{g}\right) \cdot G\left(\chi_{h}\right)=1$ by Assumption 1.1.1). The pairing $\pi_{\xi}$ then induces a perfect duality $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\xi}: D(\xi)_{\alpha} \otimes_{L} D(\xi)_{\beta} \longrightarrow L$, where $D(\xi)_{\gamma}=\left(V(\xi)_{\gamma} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{G} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$. One defines the antiholomorphic weight-one differential (cf. Eq. (5))

$$
\eta_{\xi_{\alpha}} \in\left(V(\xi)_{\beta} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)^{G} \mathbf{Q}_{p}
$$

to be the dual of $\omega_{\xi_{\alpha}}$ under $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\xi}$, viz. the element satisfying $\left\langle\omega_{\xi_{\alpha}}, \eta_{\xi_{\alpha}}\right\rangle_{\xi}=1$.
The embeddings $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{g}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{h}$ With the notations of Sect. 1, set $V_{g}=V_{\varrho_{1}}$ and $V_{h}=V_{Q_{2}}$. Let $\xi$ denote either $g$ or $h$. As recalled above, the Artin representation $V(\xi)=V(\xi) \otimes_{1} L$ affords the dual of the $p$-adic Deligne representation of $\xi$ with coefficients in $L$, id est is isomorphic to $V_{\xi} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L$. Enlarging $L$ if necessary, we normalise the $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-equivariant embedding $\gamma_{\xi}: V_{\xi} \longrightarrow V(\xi)$ (introduced in Eq. (3)) by requiring that the composition $\pi_{\xi} \circ\left(\gamma_{\xi} \otimes \gamma_{\xi}\right)$ takes values in the number field $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ (via the embedding $i_{p}: \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ fixed at the outset).

### 3.1.2 Selmer complexes

Let $\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ be the Nekovár $\begin{aligned} \text { Selmer complex associated with }(~\end{aligned}, V^{+}$) (cf. Section 2.2 of [6]). It is an element of the derived category $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ft}}^{b}(L)$ of cohomologically bounded complexes of $L$-modules with cohomology of finite type over $L$, sitting is an exact triangle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{\mathbf{Q}, N}, V\right) \xrightarrow{p^{- \text {ores }_{p}}} \mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}, V^{-}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, V)[1], \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}(G, \cdot)$ is the complex of continuous non-homogeneous cochains of $G$ with values in $\cdot, \operatorname{res}_{p}$ is the restriction map (induced by the embedding $i_{p}: \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ fixed at the outset) and $p^{-}$is the map induced by the projection $V \longrightarrow V^{-}$. Denote by

$$
\tilde{H}_{f}^{\cdot}(\mathbf{Q}, V)=H^{\cdot}\left(\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, V)\right)
$$

the cohomology of $\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$, let $\operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ be the Bloch-Kato Selmer group of $V$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, and let $i^{+}: V^{+} \longrightarrow V$ be the natural inclusion. Then there is a commutative and exact diagram of $L$-vector spaces (cf. loc. cit.)

where the first line arises from the exact triangle (12). In addition there is a unique section $l_{\mathrm{ur}}: \operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ of the above projection such that $l_{\mathrm{ur}}(x)^{+}$belongs to the Bloch-Kato finite subspace $H_{\text {fin }}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)$for each $x$ in $\operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$. We often use $j$ and $l_{\text {ur }}$ to identify Nekovář's extended Selmer group $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ with the naive extended Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}, V)=H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ (cf. Sect. 1).

One similarly associates with $\left(\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{V}^{+}\right)$a Selmer complex

$$
\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{V}) \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ft}}^{b}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}\right)
$$

sitting in an exact triangle analogous to (12). (We refer to loc. cit. for more details.)

### 3.2 Preliminary lemmas

This section gives a concrete description of the functionals $\left\langle\langle q, \cdot\rangle_{f_{g_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}: \operatorname{Sel}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \longrightarrow L\right.$ for $q$ in $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$(cf. Lemma 3.4 below).

### 3.2.1 Bockstein maps

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ denote one of the pairs

$$
\left(\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{V}^{-}\right), \mathbf{R} \Gamma_{p}\left(V^{-}\right)\right),(\mathbf{R} \Gamma(\boldsymbol{V}), \mathbf{R} \Gamma(V)) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{V}), \mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, V)\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{p}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{R} \Gamma(\cdot)$ are shorthands for $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \cdot\right)=\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}, \cdot\right)$ and $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{\mathbf{Q}, N}, \cdot\right)$ respectively (cf. Sect. 3.1.2). The specialisation maps $\rho_{w_{o}}$ (cf. Eq. (10)) induce isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{w_{o}}: \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}, w_{o}}^{\mathbf{L}} L \simeq \mathcal{C} \text { and } \rho_{w_{o}} \otimes \mathrm{id}: \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}[1] \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes_{L} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}[1] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}}^{\mathbf{L}} \cdot$ to the exact triangle

$$
\mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{f g h} / \mathscr{I}^{2} \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}[1]
$$

(arising from evaluation on $w_{o}$ ) then yields a derived Bockstein map

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_{L} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}[1]
$$

which in turn induces in cohomology a Bockstein map

$$
\beta_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}}: H^{i}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{L} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}
$$

If no risk of confusion arises, we simply write $\beta$ for $\beta_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}}$. Let

$$
J: H^{i}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{f}^{i+1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)
$$

be the maps arising from the exact triangle (12).
Lemma 3.1 The following diagram commutes.


Proof For $M=V, \boldsymbol{V}$ one has an exact triangle (cf. Equation (12))

$$
\Delta_{M}: \mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G_{\mathbf{Q}, N}, M\right)[-1] \xrightarrow{p^{- \text {ores }_{p}}} \mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, M^{-}\right)[-1] \xrightarrow{J_{M}} \mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, M)
$$

Moreover $\Delta_{V}$ is obtained by applying $\cdot \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}, w_{o}}^{\mathbf{L}} L$ to $\Delta_{V}$ (cf. Eq. (14)). It follows from the definition of the derived Bockstein maps $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ on $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$and $\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ respectively that $\boldsymbol{J}_{V} \otimes \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}[1] \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-}$is equal to $\boldsymbol{\beta} \circ \boldsymbol{J}_{V}$. Since by definition the maps $J$ are the ones induced in cohomology by $J_{V}$, the lemma follows.

The following lemma gives a concrete description of $\beta_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}}$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ be as above, let $z$ be a 1-cocycle in $\mathcal{C}$, let $Z$ be a 1 -cochain in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $Z_{k}, Z_{l}$ and $Z_{m}$ be 2-cochains in $\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$
\rho_{w_{o}}(Z)=z \text { and } d Z=Z_{\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)+Z_{l} \cdot(l-1)+Z_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1) .
$$

Then $z .=\rho_{w_{o}}(Z$.$) is a 2$-cocycle for $\cdot \boldsymbol{k}, l, \boldsymbol{m}$, and one has the equality

$$
-\beta_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}}(c l(z))=\operatorname{cl}\left(z_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)+\operatorname{cl}\left(z_{l}\right) \cdot(l-1)+\operatorname{cl}\left(z_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)
$$

in $H^{2}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{L} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$, where $\mathrm{cl}(\cdot)$ is the class in $H^{i}(\mathcal{C})$ represented by the $i$-cocycle.
Proof The proof is very similar to that of [16, Lemma 5.5]. We omit it.

### 3.2.2 Local and global duality

Nekovář's generalised Poitou-Tate duality associates with the perfect duality $\pi_{f g h}$ introduced in Eq. (11) a global cup-product pairing (cf. Section 2.4 of [6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{Nek}}: \tilde{H}_{f}^{2}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \otimes_{L} \tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \longrightarrow L \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pairing $\pi_{f g h}$ induces a Kummer duality $V^{-} \otimes_{L} V^{+} \longrightarrow L(1)$ and we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {Tate }}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right) \otimes_{L} H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right) \longrightarrow L \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

the induced local Tate duality pairing. Recall finally the map

$$
.^{+}: \tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)
$$

introduced in diagram (13).
Lemma 3.3 For each $\zeta$ in $H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$and $\xi$ in $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\boldsymbol{Q}, V)$ one has

$$
\langle J(\zeta), \xi\rangle_{\text {Nek }}=\left\langle\zeta, \xi^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}
$$

Proof This is proved as in [16, Lemma 5.7].

### 3.2.3 The Garrett-Nekovář $p$-adic height pairing

Set

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\beta_{\mathbf{R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{V}) / \mathbf{R} \tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{f}(\mathbf{Q}, V)}: \tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{f}^{2}(\mathbf{Q}, V) \otimes_{L} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2} .
$$

After identifying $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ with $\operatorname{Sel}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ (cf. Sect. 3.1.2), the canonical height $\left\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right.$ introduced in Sect. is defined by (cf. [6, Section 2])

$$
\left\langle\langle x, y\rangle_{\boldsymbol{f}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\left\langle\tilde{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}(x), y\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Nek}}\right.
$$

for each $x$ and $y$ in $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$, where we write again $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {Nek }}$ for the $\mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$-base change of Nekovář's cup-product (15). Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 give the following
Lemma 3.4 For each $q$ in $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$one has

$$
\langle J(q), \cdot\rangle_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\left\langle\beta_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-}(q), \cdot{ }^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}
$$

as $\mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$-valued maps on $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\boldsymbol{Q}, V)$, where $\beta_{f}^{-} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}=\beta_{\boldsymbol{R} \Gamma_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{V}^{-}\right) / \boldsymbol{R} \Gamma_{p}\left(V^{-}\right)}$(and we write again $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {Tate }}$ for the $\mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$-base change of the local Tate pairing (16)).

### 3.3 Computation of $\left\langle\left\langle\boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}, \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \alpha}\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right.$

Assume in this subsection $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$, so that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$is generated over $L$ by the periods

$$
q_{\alpha \alpha}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} \text { and } q_{\beta \beta}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \eta_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \eta_{h_{\alpha}} .
$$

Recall that $\chi_{\text {cyc }}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$ denotes the $p$-adic cyclotomic character. Fix a lift $\boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}$ in $\boldsymbol{V}^{-}$of $q_{\beta \beta}$ under $\rho_{w_{o}}$. Since (cf. Sect. 3.1.1)

$$
q_{\beta \beta} \in V(f)^{-} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\beta} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{\beta} \hookrightarrow V^{-}
$$

and $V(\xi)_{\beta}=V\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}\right)^{+} \otimes_{1} L$ for $\xi=g, h$, we can choose $\boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}$ in the $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-submodule

$$
V(\boldsymbol{f})^{-} \hat{\otimes}_{L} V(\boldsymbol{g})^{+} \hat{\otimes}_{L} V(\boldsymbol{h})^{+} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}} \Xi_{f g h} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{V}^{-}
$$

(cf. Sect. 3.1.1). By Eq. (9) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}=\Phi \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ denotes the differentials of the complex $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \boldsymbol{V}^{-}\right)$and

$$
\Phi=\frac{\check{a}_{p}(\boldsymbol{f})}{\check{a}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot \check{a}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)} \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{(l+\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{k}) / 2}-1: G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f g h}} .
$$

The assumption $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ implies that $\Phi$ takes value in $\mathscr{I}$, and that its composition $\Phi^{\prime}$ with the projection $\mathscr{I} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$ is of the form

$$
\Phi^{\prime}=\varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)+\varphi_{l} \cdot(l-1)+\varphi_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)
$$

with $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ for $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{k}, l, \boldsymbol{m}$. Identify $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ with the $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$-vector space $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ of continuous morphisms of groups from $\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*}$ to $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ via the local reciprocity map rec $p: \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*} \longrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\text {ab }}$, normalised by requiring $\operatorname{rec}_{p}\left(p^{-1}\right)$ to be an arithmetic Frobenius. By local class field theory, for each $p$-adic unit $u$ one has

$$
\varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}}\left(\langle u\rangle^{(l+\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{k}) / 2}-1\right)\right|_{w_{o}}=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{p}(u),
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle: \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*} \longrightarrow 1+p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ denotes the projection to principal units, and

$$
\varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(p)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}}\left(\frac{a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)}{a_{p}(\boldsymbol{f})}-1\right)\right|_{w_{o}}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}
$$

(cf. Eq. (7)). As a consequence $-2 \cdot \varphi_{k}$ is equal to

$$
\log _{f}=\log _{p}-\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)
$$

(where the $p$-adic valuation $\operatorname{ord}_{p}: \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ is normalised by $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(p)=1$ ). Similarly one shows that $2 \cdot \varphi_{l}$ and $2 \cdot \varphi_{m}$ are equal to the logarithms $\log _{g_{\alpha}}=\log _{p}-\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\text {an }} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}$ and $\log _{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\log _{p}-\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}$ an $\cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}$. It then follows from Eq. (17) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot \beta_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-}\left(q_{\beta \beta}\right)=\left(\log _{\boldsymbol{f}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)-\log _{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}} \cdot(l-1)-\log _{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)\right) \otimes q_{\beta \beta} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right) \otimes_{L} \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2}$, where (with the notations introduced in Sect. 3.2.1) one writes $\beta_{\boldsymbol{f} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-}$for the Bockstein map $\beta_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}}$ associated with $\mathcal{C}=\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{V}^{-}\right)$. Note that

$$
V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}=V(f)^{-} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\beta} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{\beta}
$$

is an $L\left[G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right]$-direct summand of $V^{-}$on which $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts trivially, so that $\log _{\xi} \otimes q_{\beta \beta}$ (for $\left.\xi=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ belongs to the direct summand

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}
$$

of the local cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$. Similarly

$$
V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}=V(f)^{+} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\alpha} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{\alpha}
$$

is an $L\left[G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right]$-direct summand of $V^{+}$isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}(-1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a direct summand of $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)$. The local Tate pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {Tate }}$ introduced in Sect. 3.2.2 induces a perfect duality (denoted by the same symbol) between $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}\right)$and $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}\right)$, and identifying $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}(1)\right)$ with the $p$-adic completion $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}^{*}$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*}$ via the local Kummer map, local class field theory gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi \otimes v^{-}, u \otimes v^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}=\varphi(u) \cdot \pi_{f g h}(-1)\left(v^{+} \otimes v^{-}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\varphi$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right), u$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right), v^{-}$in $V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}$and $v^{+}$in $V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}$. Here

$$
\pi_{f g h}(-1): V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}(-1) \otimes_{L} V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-} \longrightarrow L
$$

is the composition of $\pi_{f g h} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}(-1)$ with the evaluation pairing $L(1) \otimes_{L} L(-1) \longrightarrow L$.
Recall that we identify $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$with a submodule of $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$ via the embedding $J$ introduced in Diagram (13). Lemma 3.4 and Eqs. (18) and (20) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \cdot\left\langle q_{\beta \beta}, z\right\rangle \\
& \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text { Lemma } 3.8}{=} 2 \cdot\left\langle\beta_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{-} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\stackrel{\text { Equation (18) }}{=} q_{\beta \beta}\right), z^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}  \tag{21}\\
& \sum_{\xi}(-1)^{u_{o}} \cdot\left\langle\log _{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \otimes q_{\beta \beta}, z^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Equation (20) }}{=} \sum_{\xi}(-1)^{u_{o}} \cdot \log _{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\left(z_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}\right) \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for each $z$ in $\tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)$, where $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}, u_{o}=2,1,1$ is the centre of $U_{\xi}$, and

$$
z_{\alpha \alpha}^{+} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right)=\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}
$$

is defined as follows. Let $\mathrm{pr}_{\alpha \alpha}$ denote the projection onto the direct summand $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}\right)$ of the local cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)$, and let $q_{\beta \beta}^{*}$ be the generator of $V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}(-1)$ dual to $q_{\beta \beta}$ under $\pi_{f g h}(-1)$, namely satisfying

$$
\pi_{f g h}(-1)\left(q_{\beta \beta}^{*} \otimes q_{\beta \beta}\right)=1
$$

Then $z_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}$is defined (via the natural isomorphism (19)) by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pr}_{\alpha \alpha}\left(z^{+}\right)=z_{\alpha \alpha}^{+} \otimes q_{\beta \beta}^{*} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now determine $z_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}$for $z=J\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)$. By definition $J\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)$ is represented by

$$
c_{\alpha \alpha}=\left(0, d \tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}, \tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}\right) \in \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V)
$$

where $\tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}$ in $V$ is a lift of $q_{\alpha \alpha}$ under the the projection $V \longrightarrow V^{-}$, and where

$$
d \tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}: G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \longrightarrow V^{+}
$$

is its image under the differential in $\mathbf{R} \Gamma_{\text {cont }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$. By construction $d \tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}$ represents the class $q_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}=\jmath\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)^{+}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)$. Since $V(\xi)$ is the direct sum of $V(\xi)_{\alpha}$ and $V(\xi)_{\beta}$ for $\xi=g, h$, we can (and will) choose $\tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}$ of the form

$$
\tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot \tilde{q}(f) \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}}
$$

for a lift $\tilde{q}(f)$ of $q(f)$ under the projection $V(f) \longrightarrow V(f)^{-}$, so that $d \tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}$ represents the image of $q_{\alpha \alpha}$ under the connecting morphism

$$
\delta_{\alpha \alpha}: V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{-} \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}\right)
$$

arising from the short exact sequence of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-modules

$$
0 \longrightarrow V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+} \longrightarrow V(f)_{\alpha \alpha} \longrightarrow V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{-} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}$ is the $L\left[G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right]$-direct summand $V(f)^{\cdot} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\alpha} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{\alpha}$ of $V$. Let $q_{A}$ in $p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ be the Tate period of $A_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. Tate's theory gives a rigid analytic isomorphisms between the base change $E_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{2}}$ of the Tate curve $E=\mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{r i g} / q_{A}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ to the quadratic unramified extension $\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and $A_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}}$. Set $V_{p}(E)=H_{\mathrm{kt}}^{1}\left(E_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right)$ and let $\wp_{\wp_{\mathrm{Tate}}}: V_{p}(E) \simeq V_{p}(A)$ be the isomorphisms of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}}$-modules induced by the Tate uniformisation. There is a short exact sequence of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}\left[G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right]$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1) \xrightarrow{a} V_{p}(E) \xrightarrow{b} \mathbf{Q}_{p} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a\left(\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right)=\left(\zeta_{p^{n}} \cdot q_{A}^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ for each compatible system $\zeta_{p^{\infty}}=\left(\zeta_{p^{n}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of $p^{n}$-th roots of unity, and $b$ is the $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$-linear extension of the inverse limit of (canonical) maps

$$
b_{n}: E\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)_{p^{n}}=\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}^{*} / q_{A}^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)_{p^{n}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} / p^{n} \mathbf{Z}
$$

defined by $b_{n}\left(x \cdot q_{A}^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)=\frac{p^{n} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}(x)}{\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(q_{A}\right)}+p^{n} \cdot \mathbf{Z}$. By definition $q(A)=\wp_{\text {Tate }}^{-}(1)$, where $\wp_{\text {Tate }}^{-} \circ b$ is the composition of $\wp$ Tate and the projection $V_{p}(A) \longrightarrow V_{p}(A)^{-}$onto the maximal $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}{ }^{-}$ unramified quotient, and

$$
\tilde{q}(f)=\wp_{\infty}^{-1} \circ \wp_{\text {Tate }}\left(\sqrt[p \infty]{q_{A}}\right)
$$

is the image of a compatible system $\sqrt[p]{\infty} \sqrt{q_{A}}$ of $p^{n}$-th roots of the Tate period $q_{A}$ under the composition of $\wp$ Tate and the inverse of the isomorphism $\wp_{\infty}: V(f) \simeq V_{p}(A)$ induced by the fixed modular parametrisation $\wp_{\infty}: X_{1}\left(N_{f}\right) \longrightarrow A$. As a consequence 1 in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ maps to $q_{A} \hat{\otimes} 1$ under the connecting map $\mathbf{Q}_{p} \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)\right)=\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ associated with the short exact sequence (23), hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)^{+}=\operatorname{cl}\left(d \tilde{q}_{\alpha \alpha}\right)=\delta_{\alpha \alpha}\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot\left(\wp_{\infty *}^{-1} \circ \wp_{\mathrm{Tate}}\right)_{*}^{+}\left(q_{A} \hat{\otimes} 1\right) \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

in

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}\right)=H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}} / \mathbf{Q}\right), H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}, V(f)^{+}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\alpha} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{\alpha}\right),
$$

where

$$
\left(\wp_{\infty}^{-1} \circ \wp_{\mathrm{Tate}}\right)_{*}^{+}: \mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}^{*} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{Q}_{p} \simeq H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p^{2}}, V(f)^{+}\right)
$$

is the map induced in cohomology by the composition of $\wp_{\infty}^{-1}$ and

$$
\wp_{\text {Tate }}^{+}=\wp_{\text {Tate }} \circ a .
$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ denotes either $A$ or $E$, denote by

$$
\pi_{\mathcal{A}}: V_{p}(\mathcal{A})(-1) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V_{p}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{p}
$$

the composition of the evaluation pairing $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(1) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with the base change of the Weil pairing on $V_{p}(\mathcal{A})$ by $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(-1)$. Set

$$
q(A)^{*}=\wp_{\text {Tate }}^{+}\left(\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right) \otimes \zeta_{p^{\infty}}^{*} \in V_{p}(A)^{+}(-1)
$$

where $\zeta_{p^{\infty}}$ is a generator of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)$ and $\zeta_{p^{\infty}}^{*}$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(-1)$ is its dual basis, and set

$$
q(f)^{*}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right) \cdot \wp_{\infty}^{-1}\left(q(A)^{*}\right) \in V(f)^{+}(-1)
$$

As $\pi_{E}((a(y) \otimes z) \otimes x)=b(x) \cdot z(y)$ for each $x$ in $V_{p}(E), y$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(1)$ and $z$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(-1)$, the functoriality of the Poincaré duality under finite morphisms yields

$$
\pi_{f}\left(q(f)^{*} \otimes q(f)\right)=\pi_{A}\left(q(A)^{*} \otimes q(A)\right)=\pi_{E}\left(\left(a\left(\zeta_{p^{\infty}}\right) \otimes \zeta_{p^{\infty}}^{*}\right) \otimes \sqrt[p^{\infty}]{q_{A}}\right)=1
$$

then (by the definition of the weight-one differentials $\eta_{\xi_{\alpha}}$, cf. Sect. 3.1.1)

$$
q_{\beta \beta}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{p}}} \cdot q(f)^{*} \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} .
$$

Together with Eq. (24) this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)^{+}=\frac{m_{p}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)} \cdot\left(q_{A} \hat{\otimes} 1\right) \otimes q_{\beta \beta}^{*}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

id est

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)_{\alpha \alpha}^{+}=\frac{m_{p}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)} \cdot q_{A} \hat{\otimes} 1 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem 3.18 of [9] $\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\text {an }}=\frac{\log _{p}\left(q_{A}\right)}{\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(q_{A}\right)}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{2 \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\wp \infty)}{m_{p} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(q_{A}\right)} \cdot\left\langle\left\langle q_{\beta \beta}, q_{\alpha \alpha}\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(l-1)+\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)\right. \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Eqs. (21) and (26).

### 3.4 Computation of $\left\langle\left\langle\boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \beta}, q_{\beta \alpha}\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}}\right.$

Assume in this subsection $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$, so that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$is generated by the $p$-adic periods

$$
q_{\alpha \beta}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \eta_{h_{\alpha}} \text { and } q_{\beta \alpha}=\sqrt{m_{p}} \cdot q(f) \otimes \eta_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} .
$$

For $\gamma \delta=\alpha \beta, \beta \alpha$ and $\cdot=\emptyset, \pm$, define $V(f)_{\gamma \delta}=V(f)^{\cdot} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\gamma} \otimes V(h)_{\delta}$. Then

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)=V(f)_{\alpha \beta}^{-} \oplus V(f)_{\beta \alpha}^{-},
$$

$G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts on $V(f)_{\alpha \beta}^{+}$and $V(f)_{\beta \alpha}^{+}$via the $p$-adic cyclotomic character, and the local Tate pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {Tate }}$ introduced in Sect. 3.2.2 induces a perfect duality (denoted by the same
symbol) between $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\alpha \beta}^{-}\right)$and $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\beta \alpha}^{+}\right)$. The argument of the proof of Eq. (25) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(q_{\beta \alpha}\right)^{+}=\frac{m_{p}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)} \cdot\left(q_{A} \hat{\otimes} 1\right) \otimes q_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the direct summand $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\beta \alpha}^{+}\right)=\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*} \hat{\otimes} V(f)_{\beta \alpha}^{+}(-1)$ of $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha \beta}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{p}}} \cdot q(f)^{*} \otimes \eta_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} \text { satisfies } \pi_{f g h}(-1)\left(q_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta}\right)=1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{pr}_{\alpha \beta}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(f)_{\alpha \beta}^{-}$denote the projection, and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pr}_{\alpha \beta} \otimes \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2} \circ \beta_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-}\left(q_{\alpha \beta}\right)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{u}} \gamma_{u} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{*}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ for $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{k}, l, \boldsymbol{m}$, where (with the notations introduced in Sect. 3.2.1) $\beta_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-}$is a shorthand for
and $u_{o}=2$ if $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{k}$ and $u_{o}=1$ if $\boldsymbol{u}=l, \boldsymbol{m}$. Then (cf. Eq. (21))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle q_{\alpha \beta}, q_{\beta \alpha}\right\rangle_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}} \stackrel{\text { Lemma } 3.4}{=}\left\langle\beta_{\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}^{-\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}{ }^{\left.\left(q_{\alpha \beta}\right), J\left(q_{\beta \alpha}\right)^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}, ~}\right. \\
& \stackrel{\text { Eqs. (28) and (30) }}{=} \frac{m_{p}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)} \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left\langle\gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta},\left(q_{A} \hat{\otimes} 1\right) \otimes q_{\alpha \beta}^{*}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right) \\
& =\frac{m_{p}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)} \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{u}} \gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(q_{A}\right) \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right), \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality follows from Eq. (29) and the analogue of Eq. (20) obtained by replacing $\alpha \alpha$ and $\beta \beta$ with $\beta \alpha$ and $\alpha \beta$ respectively. It then remains to compute $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ for $\boldsymbol{u}$ equal to $\boldsymbol{k}, l$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$.

For $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$, fix $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$-bases $b_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{ \pm}$of $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{ \pm}$. After identifying $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ with $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ via the $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$-basis $\left(b_{\xi}^{+}, b_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{-}\right)$, the action of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ on $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ is given by (cf. Eq. (9))

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\chi_{\xi} \cdot \check{a}_{p}(\xi)^{-1} \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{u-1} & c_{\xi} \\
0 & \check{a}_{p}(\xi)
\end{array}\right): G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\xi}\right)
$$

for a continuous map $c_{\xi}: G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\xi}$. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$
\boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \beta}=b_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{-} \hat{\otimes} b_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}}^{-} \hat{\otimes} b_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{+} \otimes 1
$$

in $\boldsymbol{V}^{-}=V(\boldsymbol{f})^{-} \hat{\otimes}_{L} V\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right) \hat{\otimes}_{L} V\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{f g h}} \Xi_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}$ maps to

$$
q_{\alpha \beta} \in V(f)_{\alpha \beta}^{-}=V(f)^{-} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} V(g)_{\alpha} \otimes_{L} V(h)_{\beta}
$$

under $\rho_{w}: \boldsymbol{V}^{-} \longrightarrow V^{-}$. (Recall that $V(\xi)=V\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}\right) \otimes_{1} L$ is the direct sum of the modules $V(\xi)_{\alpha}=V\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right)^{-} \otimes_{1} L$ and $V(\xi)_{\beta}=V\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right)^{+} \otimes_{1} L$ for $\xi=g, h$, cf. Sect. 3.1.1.) Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \beta}=\Gamma \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \beta}+\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}=b_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{-} \hat{\otimes} b_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}}^{+} \hat{\otimes} b_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{+} \otimes 1$, where

$$
\Gamma=\frac{\check{a}_{p}(\boldsymbol{f}) \cdot \check{a}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right)}{\check{a}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)} \cdot \chi_{h} \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{(\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{k}-l+2) / 2}-1
$$

and where

$$
\Delta=\check{a}_{p}(\boldsymbol{f}) \cdot \check{a}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \cdot \chi_{h} \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{(\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{k}-l+2) / 2} \cdot c_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}}
$$

The exceptional zero condition $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ and the self duality condition $\chi_{g} \cdot \chi_{h}=1$ imply that $\Gamma$ takes values in $\mathscr{I}$. Moreover, since the $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-module $V(g)=V\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right) \otimes_{1} L$ splits as the direct sum of $V(g)_{\beta}=V\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right)^{+} \otimes_{1} L$ and $V(g)_{\alpha}=V\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right)^{-} \otimes_{1} L$, the map $c_{g_{\alpha}}$ takes values in $(l-1) \cdot \mathscr{O}_{g}$, hence $\Delta$ takes values in $\mathscr{I}$. Because by construction $\boldsymbol{q}_{\beta \beta}$ maps to an element of $V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}$under the specialisation map $\rho_{w_{o}}: \boldsymbol{V}^{-} \longrightarrow V^{-}$, Lemma 3.2 and Eqs. (30) and (32) yield the identities

$$
\gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \Gamma(\cdot)\left(w_{o}\right)
$$

hence (as in the previous subsection) a direct computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{f}, \quad \gamma_{l}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{g_{\alpha}} \text { and } \gamma_{m}=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $\log _{f}\left(q_{A}\right)=0$ by [9, Theorem 3.18], Eq. (31) finally proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(\wp_{\infty}\right)}{m_{p} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(q_{A}\right)} \cdot\left\langle\left\langle q_{\alpha \beta}, q_{\beta \alpha}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{f \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\left(\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(l-1)-\left(\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.5 Proof of equation (8)

Assume in this subsection that $(A, \varrho)$ is exceptional at $p$, and fix a Selmer class $x$ in $\operatorname{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$. Let

$$
\tilde{x}=l_{\mathrm{ur}}(x) \in \tilde{H}_{f}^{1}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))
$$

be the corresponding extended Selmer class (cf. Sect. 3.1.2). By construction $\tilde{x}^{+}$belongs to the finite subspace of $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right)$, and its image under the natural map $i^{+}$: $H_{\text {fin }}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\text {fin }}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ equals the restriction of $x$ at $p:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{p}(x)=i^{+}\left(\tilde{x}^{+}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Galois group $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts on $V(f)_{\square}^{+}$via the $p$-adic cyclotomic character, hence

$$
H_{\mathrm{fin}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\natural}^{+}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{p}} V(f)_{\square}^{+}(-1)
$$

by Kummer theory. If $q_{b}^{*}$ in $V(f)_{b}^{+}$denotes (as in the previous subsections) the dual basis of $q_{b}$ in $V(f)_{\natural}^{-}$under the pairing $\pi_{f g h}$, and if one writes

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{\natural}\left(\tilde{x}^{+}\right)=\tilde{x}_{\natural}^{+} \otimes q_{b}^{*} \in H_{\mathrm{fin}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\natural}^{+}\right)
$$

for some $\tilde{x}_{\natural}^{+}$in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p} L$, then Eq. (35) yields the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{\natural}\left(\operatorname{res}_{p}(x)\right)=\left\langle\log _{p}^{+}\left(\tilde{x}^{+}\right), q_{b}\right\rangle_{f g h}=\left\langle\log _{p}\left(\tilde{x}_{\natural}^{+}\right) \otimes q_{b}^{*}, q_{b}\right\rangle_{f g h}=\log _{p}\left(\tilde{x}_{\natural}^{+}\right), \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\log _{p}^{+}: H_{\mathrm{fin}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{+}\right) \simeq D_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{+}\right)$is the Bloch-Kato logarithm and (with a slight abuse of notation) we denote again by $\log _{p}: \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{p} L \longrightarrow L$ the $L$-linear extension of the $p$-adic logarithm. In the previous equation we used the functoriality of the Bloch-Kato logarithm and the fact that (by construction) the linear form $\left\langle\cdot, q_{b}\right\rangle_{f g h}$ on $D_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{+}\right)$factors through the projection onto $D_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(V(f)_{\square}^{+}\right)=V(f)_{\square}^{+}(-1)$.

Assume ( $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ and) $q_{D}=q_{\beta \beta}$. According to Eqs. (21) and (36)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot\left\langle\left\langle q_{\beta \beta}, x\right\rangle_{f_{g_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=\log _{\alpha \alpha}\left(\operatorname{res}_{p}(x)\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-l-\boldsymbol{m}),\right. \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus proving Eq. (8) in this case.
Assume $q_{b}=q_{\alpha \beta}$. Since (with the notations of Section 3.4) $\Delta$ takes values in $(l-1) \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} g} h$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and Eqs. (32) and (33) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot \beta_{f}^{f} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\left(q_{\alpha \beta}\right)=\sum_{\xi} \varepsilon_{\xi} \cdot \log _{\xi} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right)+\vartheta \cdot(l-1) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some cohomology class $\vartheta$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(f)_{\beta \beta}^{-}\right)$, where $\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}=-1$ and $\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}=+1$ for $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}$. One has then

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle\left\langle q_{\alpha \beta}, x\right\rangle\right\rangle_{f g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{k}, 1,1) \stackrel{\text { Lemma } 3.4}{=}\left\langle\beta_{f}^{-} g_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\left(q_{\alpha \beta}\right), \tilde{x}^{+}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}(\boldsymbol{k}, 1,1) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Equation (38) }}{=} \frac{1}{2} \cdot\left\langle\log _{f} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta}, \tilde{x}_{\beta \alpha}^{+} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta}^{*}\right\rangle \text { Tate } \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2) \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{f}\left(\tilde{x}_{\alpha \beta}^{+}\right) \cdot \pi_{f g h}\left(q_{\alpha \beta} \otimes q_{\alpha \beta}^{*}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Equation (36) }}{=} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log _{\alpha \beta}\left(\operatorname{res}_{p}(x)\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2), \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

thus proving Eq. (8) when $q_{b}=q_{\alpha \beta}$. Switching the roles of the Hida families $\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$, this also proves Eq. (8) when $q_{b}=q_{\beta \alpha}$.

Assume finally $q_{b}=q_{\alpha \alpha}$. With the notations of Sect. 3.4, let $\left(b_{\xi}^{+}, b_{\xi}^{-}\right)$be $\mathscr{O}_{\xi}$-bases of $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ such that $\boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \alpha}=b_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{-} \hat{\otimes} b_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}}^{-} \hat{\otimes} b_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-} \otimes 1$ is a lift of $q_{\alpha \alpha}$ under the specialisation map $\rho_{w_{o}}: \boldsymbol{V}^{-} \longrightarrow V^{-}$. Since $c_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ takes values in $\left(\boldsymbol{u}-u_{o}\right) \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$, one has

$$
d \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \alpha} \equiv\left(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{(4-\boldsymbol{k}-l-\boldsymbol{m}) / 2} \cdot \prod_{\xi} \check{a}_{p}(\xi)-1\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha \alpha}\left(\bmod (l-1, \boldsymbol{m}-1) \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cont}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \boldsymbol{V}^{-}\right)\right),
$$

hence Lemma 3.2 and a direct computation give

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot \beta_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{-}\left(q_{\alpha \alpha}\right)=\log _{\boldsymbol{f}} \otimes q_{\alpha \alpha} \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)+\vartheta \cdot(l-1)+\vartheta^{\prime} \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some local cohomology classes $\vartheta$ and $\vartheta^{\prime}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{-}\right)$. As in (39) one deduces Eq. (8) for $q_{b}=q_{\alpha \alpha}$ from Lemma 3.4 and Eqs. (36) and (40).

## 4 Proof of theorem 2.1

Let $\Pi_{f}, \Pi_{g}$ and $\Pi_{h}$ be the improving planes in $U_{f} \times U_{g} \times U_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ defined respectively by the equations $\boldsymbol{k}=l+\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{k}=l-\boldsymbol{m}+2$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{m}-l+2$. For $\xi=f, g, h$ define

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\xi}=1-\bar{\chi}_{\xi}(p) \cdot \frac{a_{p}(\xi)}{a_{p}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \cdot a_{p}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

in $\mathscr{O}_{\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{h}}$, where $\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\prime \prime}\right\}=\left\{\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right\}$. Lemma 9.8 of [7] implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\right|_{\Pi_{\xi}}=\left.\mathcal{E}_{\xi}\right|_{\Pi_{\xi}} \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{\xi}^{\star} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an improved $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{\xi}^{\star}$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(\Pi_{\xi}\right)$. Indeed loc. cit. (together with its analogue obtained by switching the roles of $g$ and $h$ ) proves that the meromorphic function $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{\xi}^{\star}$ on $\Pi_{\xi}$ defined by the previous equation is (bounded, hence) regular at $w_{o}$. Shrinking the discs $U_{\xi}$ if necessary, we then conclude that the improved $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{\xi}^{\star}$ is analytic on $\Pi_{\xi}$, as claimed.

Assume first $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot \mathcal{E}_{f}\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{2}\right)=\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\text {an }} \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)-\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\text {an }} \cdot(l-1)-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\text {an }} \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem A and Proposition 9.3 of [7], the partial derivative of $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{k}$ vanishes at $w_{o}$, hence

$$
2 \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{2}\right)
$$

is equal to

$$
\left(\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(l-1)+\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{f}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)
$$

by Eqs. (41) and (42). Moreover, with the notations introduced before the statement of Theorem 2.1, one has $\mathrm{L}=\Pi_{f} \cap \Pi_{g}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{f}=\left.\mathcal{E}_{f}\right|_{\mathrm{L}}$, thus

$$
\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{f}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)=\mathscr{E}_{g}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right) .
$$

Noting that $\mathscr{E}_{g}\left(w_{o}\right)=1-\beta_{h} / \alpha_{h}$ (when $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ ), the previous discussion and Eq. (27) conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1 when $\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$.

Assume now $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$. In this case, for $\xi=g, h$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\xi}\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{2}\right)=\mathfrak{L}_{\xi_{\alpha}}^{\text {an }} \cdot(\boldsymbol{u}-1)-\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}} \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)-\mathfrak{L}_{\xi_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{an}} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}-1\right), \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{u}\right),\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}, l\right),\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{m}\right)\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{h}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{g}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)=\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equality in the previous equation follows as above from the definitions, according to which $\mathrm{L}=\Pi_{f} \cap \Pi_{g}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{g}=\left.\mathcal{E}_{g}\right|_{\mathrm{L}}$. The first equality follows by noting that the restrictions of $\mathcal{E}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{h}$ to the line $\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}$ satisfy

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g}{\mid \Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}=-\left.\left.\frac{\bar{\chi}_{g}(p) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right)}{a_{p}(\boldsymbol{f}) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)}\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{h}\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}
$$

(as $\left.a_{p}(f)\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}=\alpha_{f}=\alpha_{f}^{-1}$ and $\chi_{g} \cdot \chi_{h}=1$ by Assumption 1.1.1) with

$$
-\frac{\bar{\chi}_{g}(p) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}\right)}{a_{p}(\boldsymbol{f}) \cdot a_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right)}\left(w_{o}\right)=-1 .
$$

(In other words $\left.\mathcal{E}_{g}\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}$ and $-\left.\mathcal{E}_{h}\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}$ have the same leading term at $w_{o}$, which together with the equality $\left.\mathcal{E}_{g} \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{g}^{\star}\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}=\left.\mathcal{E}_{h} \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)_{h}^{\star}\right|_{\Pi_{g} \cap \Pi_{h}}$ implies the first identity in Eq. (44).) Write

$$
2 \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{2}\right)=a \cdot(\boldsymbol{k}-2)+b \cdot(l-1)+c \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)
$$

with $a, b$ and $c$ in $L$. Equations (41) and (43) with $\xi=g$ and Eq. (44) give
$a+b=\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot\left(\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)$ and $c-a=\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot\left(\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)$,
where $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\star}$ is a shorthand for $\mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}$. Similarly
$b-a=\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot\left(\mathfrak{L}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)$ and $a+c=\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot\left(\mathfrak{L}_{f}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right)$
by Eqs. (41) and (43) with $\xi=h$ and Eq. (44). As a consequence

$$
-2 \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)\left(\bmod \mathscr{I}^{2}\right)
$$

equals

$$
\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right) \cdot\left(\left(\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(l-1)-\left(\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\mathrm{an}}-\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{an}}\right) \cdot(\boldsymbol{m}-1)\right) \cdot \mathscr{L}_{p}^{\alpha \alpha}(A, \varrho)^{\star}\left(w_{o}\right) .
$$

Noting that $\mathscr{E}_{f}\left(w_{o}\right)=1-\frac{\beta_{h}}{\alpha_{h}}$ (when $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$ ), the previous discussion and Eq. (34) prove Theorem 2.1 when $\alpha_{f}=\beta_{g} \cdot \alpha_{h}$.
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