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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the notion of sigmaapproximate module amenability of Banach algebras and give some properties about this notion. Also for Banach $\mathfrak{A}$ bimodule $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{J}$, the closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by elements of form $(\alpha \cdot a) b-a(b \cdot \alpha),(a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{H})$, we considered some corollaries about $\widehat{\sigma}$-approximate amenability of $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ as a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule, where $\widehat{\sigma}: \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow$ $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ by $\widehat{\sigma}(a+\mathcal{J})=\sigma(a)+\mathcal{J}$ has a dense range.
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## Introduction

The concept of module amenability for Banach algebras was introduced by Amini [1]. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be Banach algebras such that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach $\mathfrak{M}$-bimodule with the following compatible actions:
$\alpha \cdot(a b)=(\alpha \cdot a) b \quad$ and $\quad(a b) \cdot \alpha=a(b \cdot \alpha)$,
for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{Y}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule and a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with compatibility of actions:

[^0]$\alpha \cdot(a \cdot x)=(\alpha \cdot a) \cdot x \quad$ and $\quad a \cdot(x \cdot \alpha)=(a \cdot x) \cdot \alpha$,
for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}$, and the same for the other side actions. Then, we say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule. If moreover, $\alpha \cdot x=x \cdot \alpha,(\alpha \in \mathfrak{Y}, x \in \mathcal{X})$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is called a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{Q}$-bimodule. Note that, when $\mathcal{A}$ acts on itself by algebra multiplication from both sides, it is not in general a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{l}$-bimodule because $\mathcal{A}$ does not satisfy $a \cdot(\alpha \cdot b)=(a \cdot \alpha) \cdot b,(\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A})$ [1].

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and acts on itself by algebra multiplication from both sides, then it is also a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule. Also, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative Banach algebra, then it is a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A l}$-bimodule.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule, then a continuous map $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called an $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule map, if $T(a \pm b)=T(a) \pm T(b) \quad$ and $\quad T(\alpha \cdot a)=\alpha \cdot T(a) \quad$ and $T(a \cdot \alpha)=T(a) \cdot \alpha$, for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. The space of all $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule maps $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $T(a b)=T(a) T(b),(a, b \in \mathcal{A})$, is denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X})$. Also we denote $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ be as above and $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathfrak{Y}$ bimodule. A bounded $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule map $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called a module derivation if
$D(a b)=D(a) \cdot b+a \cdot D(b), \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A})$.
$D$ is not necessary linear, but its boundedness implies its norm continuity, because it preserves subtraction. When $\mathcal{X}$
 module derivation
$\delta_{x}(a)=a \cdot x-x \cdot a, \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})$,
which is called an inner module derivations.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A})$. A $\sigma$-module derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathcal{X}$
is a bounded $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule map $D: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ satisfying
$D(a b)=\sigma(a) \cdot D(b)+D(a) \cdot \sigma(b), \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A})$.
When $\mathcal{X}$ is commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{H}$-bimodule, each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ defines a $\sigma$-module derivation

$$
\delta_{x}^{\sigma}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \quad \delta_{x}^{\sigma}(a)=\sigma(a) \cdot x-x \cdot \sigma(a), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})
$$

which is called a $\sigma$-inner module derivation.

## $\sigma$-Approximate module amenability

We start this section with definition of sigma-approximate module amenability, then we consider some hereditary properties of this concept.

Definition 1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A})$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-approximately module amenable ( $\sigma$-(AMA)), if for each commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule, $\mathcal{X}$, every $\sigma$-module derivation $D$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is $\sigma$-approximately inner, i.e, there is a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ such that $D(a)=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}^{\sigma}(a)=\lim _{i} \sigma(a) x_{i}-$ $x_{i} \sigma(a),(a \in \mathcal{A})$. Also we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-approximately module contractible $(\sigma-(A M C))$, if for each commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{R}$-bimodule, $\mathcal{X}$, every $\sigma$-module derivation $D$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is $\sigma$-approximately inner.

The two following results is the $\sigma$-approximate version of [1, Proposition 2.1] and [5], respectively.

Proposition 2 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity and $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-approximately amenable. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative $\mathcal{A}-\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $D$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\sigma$-module derivation. By [1, Proposition 2.1], $D$ is a $\sigma$-derivation, i.e, $D$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear. Now since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-approximately amenable, $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

Proposition 3 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an essential left Banach $\mathfrak{M}$-bimodule and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-approximately amenable, then $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $D$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\sigma$-module derivation. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is an essential left Banach $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule, $D$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear [5]. Now since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-approximately amenable, $D$ is $\sigma$-approximately inner and thus $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

Proposition 4 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A l}$-bimodule and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA), then $\mathcal{A}$ is $(\lambda \circ \sigma, \mu \circ \sigma)$-(AMA), for each $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A})$.
Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{Q}$-bimodule and $D$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $(\lambda \circ \sigma, \mu \circ \sigma)$-module derivation. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is
an $\mathcal{A}$-module derivation with the following module actions:
$a * x=\lambda(a) \cdot x \quad$ and $\quad x * a=x \cdot \mu(a), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X})$.
It is easy to see that $\mathcal{X}$ is a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with this product. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(a b) & =(\lambda \circ \sigma)(a) \cdot D(b)+D(a) \cdot(\mu \circ \sigma)(b) \\
& =\sigma(a) * D(b)+D(a) * \sigma(b), \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $D$ is a $\sigma$-module derivation. So there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ such that $D(a)=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}^{\sigma}(a),(a \in \mathcal{A})$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(a) & =\lim _{i}\left(\sigma(a) * x_{i}-x_{i} * \sigma(a)\right) \\
& =\lim _{i}\left((\lambda \circ \sigma)(a) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot(\mu \circ \sigma)(a)\right), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Which shows that $D$ is $(\lambda \circ \sigma, \mu \circ \sigma)$-approximately inner. Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is $(\lambda \circ \sigma, \mu \circ \sigma)$-(AMA).
 (AMA), then $\mathcal{A}$ is $(\lambda, \mu)$-(AMA), for each $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A})$.
 $\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that $\sigma$ is an idempotent epimorphism and $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA). Then, $\mathcal{A}$ is (AMA).

Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{U}$-bimodule and $D$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a module derivation. So $\widetilde{D}=D \circ \sigma$ is a $\sigma$ module derivation, because, for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}(a b) & =D \circ \sigma(a b)=D(\sigma(a) \sigma(b)) \\
& =\sigma(a)(D \circ \sigma)(b)+(D \circ \sigma)(a) \sigma(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

and
$\widetilde{D}(\alpha a)=D(\sigma(\alpha a))=D(\alpha \sigma(a))=\alpha D(\sigma(a))$.
Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA), there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ such that $\widetilde{D}(a)=\lim _{i}\left(\sigma(a) x_{i}-x_{i} \sigma(a)\right),(a \in \mathcal{A})$. Now for each $b \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $b=\sigma(a)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(b) & =D(\sigma(a))=\widetilde{D}(a)=\lim _{i}\left(\sigma(a) x_{i}-x_{i} \sigma(a)\right) \\
& =\lim _{i}\left(b x_{i}-x_{i} b\right), \quad(b \in \mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

So $D$ is approximately inner and $\mathcal{A}$ is (AMA).
Proposition 7 Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodules and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{B})$. Suppose that $\varphi \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be a surjective map such that $\varphi \circ \sigma=\tau \circ \varphi$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA), then $\mathcal{B}$ is $\tau$-(AMA).

Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative Banach $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathfrak{Q}$-bimodule and $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\tau$-module derivation. Then, $(\mathcal{X}, *)$ can be considered as a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{H}$-bimodule by the
following module actions:
$a * x=\varphi(a) \cdot x \quad$ and $\quad x * a=x \cdot \varphi(a), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X)$.
Therefore, $\widetilde{D}=D \circ \varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{X}^{*}, *\right)$ is a $\sigma$-module derivation, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}(a b) & =D(\varphi(a) \varphi(b)) \\
& =D(\varphi(a)) \tau(\varphi(b))+\tau(\varphi(a)) D(\varphi(b)) \\
& =\widetilde{D}(a) \varphi(\sigma(b))+\varphi(\sigma(a) \widetilde{D}(b) \\
& =\widetilde{D}(a) * \sigma(b)+\sigma(a) * \widetilde{D}(b), \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA), there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ such that $\widetilde{D}=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}^{\sigma}$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}(a) & =\lim _{i} \sigma(a) * x_{i}-x_{i} * \sigma(a) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \varphi(\sigma(a)) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \varphi(\sigma(a)) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \tau(\varphi(a)) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \tau(\varphi(a)), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is a surjective map, so $D(b)=\lim _{i} \tau(b) \cdot x_{i}-$ $x_{i} \cdot \tau(b),(b \in \mathcal{B})$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}$ is $\tau$-(AMA).

Proposition 8 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Banach $\mathfrak{N}$ modules and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be a surjective map. If $\mathcal{A}$ is (AMA), then $\mathcal{B}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA), for each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}}(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach $\mathcal{B}$-श-bimodule and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $(\mathcal{X}, *)$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{Q}$-bimodule with the following module actions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a * x=\sigma(\varphi(a)) \cdot x \quad \text { and } \quad x * a=x \cdot \sigma(\varphi(a)), \\
& \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $D: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\sigma$-module derivation. So $\widetilde{D}=$ $D \circ \varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{X}^{*}, *\right)$ is a module derivation, because for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{D}(\alpha a)=D(\varphi(\alpha a))=D(\alpha \varphi(a))=\alpha D(\varphi(a))
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}(a b) & =D(\varphi(a b)) \\
& =D(\varphi(a)) \sigma(\varphi(b))+\sigma(\varphi(a)) D(\varphi(b)) \\
& =\widetilde{D}(a) * b+a * \widetilde{D}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \in X^{*}$ such that $\widetilde{D}=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}(a) & =\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}(a) \\
& =\lim _{i}\left(a * x_{i}-x_{i} * a\right) \\
& =\lim _{i} \sigma(\varphi(a)) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \sigma(\varphi(a)), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is surjective, for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $b=\varphi(a)$. So for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(b) & =D(\varphi(a))=\widetilde{D}(a)=\lim _{i} \sigma(\varphi(a)) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \sigma(\varphi(a)) \\
& =\lim _{i} \sigma(b) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \sigma(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which shows that $D$ is $\sigma$-approximately inner. Thus, $\mathcal{B}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule with compatible actions and $\mathcal{J}$ be the closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by elements of form $(\alpha \cdot a) b-a(b \cdot \alpha)$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then, the quotient Banach algebra $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ is Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule with compatible actions [2]. The following Lemma is proved in [3].

Lemma 9 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{X}$-bimodule and $\mathfrak{P}$ has a bounded approximate identity for $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\sigma \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Then $\widehat{\sigma}: \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ by $\widehat{\sigma}(a+$ $\mathcal{J})=\sigma(a)+\mathcal{J}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

Proposition 10 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $\mathfrak{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity for $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\sigma$ be as in above lemma. If $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$-approximately amenable, then $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

Proof Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{J}=0$. So $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ bimodule with the following module actions;

$$
(a+\mathcal{J}) \cdot x=a x \quad \text { and } \quad x \cdot(a+\mathcal{J})=x a, \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X})
$$

Suppose that $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\sigma$-module derivation. Define $\widehat{D}: \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ by $\widehat{D}(a+\mathcal{J})=D(a), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})$. $\widehat{D}$ is well defined [3, Proposition 2.6] and it is $\mathbb{C}$-linear [1, Proposition 2.1]. Also, it is easy to see that $\widehat{D}(a b+\mathcal{J})=\widehat{D}(a+$ $\mathcal{J}) \widehat{\sigma}(b+\mathcal{J})+\widehat{\sigma}(a+\mathcal{J}) \widehat{D}(b+\mathcal{J})$. Moreover according to the above Lemma, $\widehat{\sigma}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear. Therefore, $\widehat{D}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$ derivation. Thus, there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \in X^{*}$ such that $\widehat{D}=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}^{\widehat{\sigma}}$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(a) & =\widehat{D}(a+\mathcal{J})=\lim _{i}\left(\widehat{\sigma}(a) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \widehat{\sigma}(a)\right) \\
& =\lim _{i}(\sigma(a)+\mathcal{J}) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot(\sigma(a)+\mathcal{J}) \\
& =\lim _{i} \sigma(a) x_{i}-x_{i} \sigma(a), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Which shows that $D$ is $\sigma$-approximately inner and therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA).

In [4], section 3, we stated some properties of $\sigma$ approximate contractibility when $\mathcal{A}$ has an identity and
considered some corollaries when $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. In proof of the following proposition we use those results. Recall that, the Banach algebra $\mathfrak{H}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{A}$ from left if for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \cdot a=f(\alpha) a$, where $f$ is a continuous linear functional on $\mathfrak{N}$.

Proposition 11 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with trivial left actions and $\sigma$ be as in above lemma. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA). If $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ has an identity and $\overline{\hat{\sigma}\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}\right)}=\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$, then $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$-approximately amenable.
Proof By [4, Corollary 3.3.], we can assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a $\sigma$ unital Banach $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$-bimodule. Let $e+\mathcal{J}$ be the identity in $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$. So $\widehat{\sigma}(e+\mathcal{J})$ is a unit for $\widehat{\sigma}\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}\right)$. Thus by density of $\widehat{\sigma}\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}\right)$ in $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$, we see that $\widehat{\sigma}(e+\mathcal{J})=e+\mathcal{J}$. Now let $\widehat{D}: \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\widehat{\sigma}$-derivation. By [4, Lemma 3.7], $\widehat{D}(e+\mathcal{J})=0$. Now similar to [3, Proposition 2.7], we can see $\mathcal{X}$ as a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $D=\widehat{D} \circ \pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is a $\sigma$-module derivation, where $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}}$ is the natural $\mathfrak{H}$-module map. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA), there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{I}} \in X^{*}$ such that $D=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}^{\widehat{\sigma}}$ and we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D}(a+\mathcal{J}) & =\lim _{i}(\sigma(a)+\mathcal{J}) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot(\sigma(a)+\mathcal{J}) \\
& =\lim _{i} \widehat{\sigma}(a+\mathcal{J}) \cdot x_{i}-x_{i} \cdot \widehat{\sigma}(a+\mathcal{J}), \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

Which means that $\widehat{D}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$-approximately inner and $\widehat{\sigma}$ approximately amenable.

Let $\mathfrak{A l}$ be a non-unital Banach algebra. Then, $\mathfrak{A l}^{\#}=\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, the unitization of $\mathfrak{A}$, is a unital Banach algebra which contains $\mathfrak{H}$ as a closed ideal. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with compatible actions. Then, $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra and a Banach $\mathfrak{Y}^{\#}{ }_{-}$ bimodule with the following actions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha, \lambda) a=\alpha a+\lambda a \quad \text { and } \quad a(\alpha, \lambda)=a \alpha+a \lambda \\
& (\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and a Banach $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule with compatible actions and let $\mathcal{A}^{\#}=\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathfrak{M}^{\#}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\#}, \cdot\right)$ is a Banach algebra, where the multiplication $\cdot$ is defined by $(a, \mathfrak{u}) \cdot(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v})=(\mathfrak{a b}+\mathfrak{a v}+\mathfrak{u b}, \mathfrak{u v}), \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{H})$. Also $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is a Banach $\mathfrak{Q}^{\#}$-bimodule with the following module actions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a, \mathfrak{u}) \cdot \mathfrak{v}=(a \cdot \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{u v}) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{v} \cdot(a, \mathfrak{u})=(\mathfrak{v} \cdot a, \mathfrak{v u}) \\
& \quad\left(a \in \mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\#}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is a unital Banach $\mathfrak{A}^{\#}$-bimodule with compatible actions.

A similar result of [5, Theorem 3.1], for approximate module amenability, is as follows:

Proposition 12 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{H}$-bimodule, $\sigma$ $\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\widehat{\sigma}(a, \mathfrak{u})=\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \mathfrak{u},\left(a \in \mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{u} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\#}\right)$ is in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{2}}^{\#}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\#}\right)$ and the following are equivalent;
(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-(AMA) as an $\mathfrak{A}^{\#}$-bimodule.
(ii) $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$-(AMA) as an $\mathfrak{A}^{\#}$-bimodule.

Proof It is easy to see that $\widehat{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{2}}^{\#}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\#}\right)$.
$i \Rightarrow 2$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}^{\#}-\mathfrak{H}^{\#}{ }_{-}$ bimodule and $\widehat{D}: \mathcal{A}^{\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\widehat{\sigma}$-module derivation. By [4, Lemma 3.1], $\widehat{D}(1)=0$. So $D=\left.\widehat{D}\right|_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is a $\sigma$ module derivation. Thus by the hypothesis, there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \in X^{*}$ such that $D=\lim _{i} \delta_{x_{i}}^{\sigma}$. Note that $\mathcal{X}$ is a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{I}^{\#}$-module and $\widehat{D}(a, 0)=\lim _{i} \widehat{\sigma}(a, 0) x_{i}$ $-x_{i} \widehat{\sigma}(a, 0),(a \in \mathcal{A})$. Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D}(a, \mathfrak{u}) & =\widehat{D}((a, 0)+(0, \mathfrak{u}))=\widehat{D}(a, 0)+\widehat{D}(0, \mathfrak{u}) \\
& =\widehat{D}(a, 0), \quad\left((a, \mathfrak{u}) \in \mathcal{A}^{\#}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\widehat{D}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$-approximately inner and therefore $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}$-(AMA).
$i i \Rightarrow i$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a commutative Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathfrak{Y}^{\#}$-bimodule and $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ be a $\sigma$-module derivation. Define $\widehat{D}: \mathcal{A}^{\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ by $\widehat{D}(a, \mathfrak{u})=D(a),((a, \mathfrak{u}) \in \mathcal{A})$. Thus $\widehat{D}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}-\mathfrak{U}^{\#}$-module derivation, because,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D}((a, \mathfrak{u})(b, \mathfrak{v}))= & \widehat{D}((a b+a \mathfrak{v}+\mathfrak{u} b), \mathfrak{u v}) \\
= & D(a b+a \mathfrak{v}+\mathfrak{u} b) \\
= & D(a b)++D(a) \mathfrak{v}+\mathfrak{u} D(b) \\
= & \sigma(a) D(b)+D(a) \sigma(b)+D(a) \mathfrak{v}+\mathfrak{u} D(b) \\
= & (\sigma(a)+\mathfrak{u}) D(b)+D(a)(\sigma(b)+\mathfrak{v}) \\
= & \widehat{\sigma}(a, \mathfrak{u}) D(b)+D(a) \widehat{\sigma}(b, \mathfrak{v}) \\
= & \widehat{\sigma}(a, \mathfrak{u}) \widehat{D}(b, \mathfrak{v})+\widehat{D}(a, \mathfrak{u}) \widehat{\sigma}(b, \mathfrak{v}) \\
& \left(a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{H}^{\#}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (ii) is a module $\widehat{D}$-approximately inner. Therefore, $D$ is module approximately inner. So $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma-(A M A)$.
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## References

1. Amini, M.: Module amenability for semigroup algebras. Semigroup Forum 69, 243-254 (2004)
2. Amini, M., Bodaghi, A.: Module amenability and weak module amenability for second dual of Banach algebras. Chamchuri J. Math. 2, 57-71 (2010)
3. Bodaghi, A.: Module $(\varphi, \psi)$-amenability of Banach algebras, Archivum mathemeticum (BRNO). Tomus 46, 227-235 (2010)
4. Momeni, M., Yazdanpanah, T., Mardanbeigi, M.R.: $\sigma$-Approximately contractible Banach algebras. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 653140 (2012)
5. Pourmahmood-Aghababa, H., Bodaghi, A.: Module approximate amenability of Banach algebras. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.

[^0]:    M. Momeni $(\boxtimes)$

    Department of Mathematics, Ahvaz Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Ahvaz, Iran
    e-mail: srb.maryam@gmail.com
    T. Yazdanpanah

    Department of Mathematics, Persian Gulf University, 75169 Bushehr, Iran
    e-mail: yazdanpanah@pgu.ac.ir

