



Herbert Batte · Taboka P. Chalebgwa ·
Mahadi Ddamulira

Perrin numbers that are concatenations of two repdigits

Received: 24 April 2021 / Accepted: 3 August 2022 / Published online: 25 August 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of Perrin numbers defined by ternary relation $P_0 = 3$, $P_1 = 0$, $P_2 = 2$, and $P_{n+3} = P_{n+1} + P_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. In this paper, we use Baker's theory for nonzero linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers and the reduction procedure involving the theory of continued fractions, to explicitly determine all Perrin numbers that are concatenations of two repeated digit numbers.

Mathematics Subject Classification 11B37 · 11D61 · 11J86

1 Introduction

Let $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of Perrin numbers, given by the ternary recurrence relation

$$P_{n+3} = P_{n+1} + P_n, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \text{ with the initial conditions } P_0 = 3, P_1 = 0, \text{ and } P_2 = 2.$$

The first few terms of this sequence are

$$(P_n)_{n \geq 0} = \{3, 0, 2, 3, 2, 5, 5, 7, 10, 12, 17, 22, 29, 39, 51, 68, 90, 119, 158, 209, 277, 367, 486, \dots\}.$$

A *repdigit* (in base 10) is a non-negative integer N that has only one distinct digit. That is, the decimal expansion of N takes the form

$$N = \underbrace{\overline{d \cdots d}}_{\ell \text{ times}} = d \left(\frac{10^\ell - 1}{9} \right),$$

for some non-negative integers d and ℓ with $1 \leq d \leq 9$ and $\ell \geq 1$. This paper is an addition to the growing literature around the study of Diophantine properties of certain linear recurrence sequences. More specifically, our paper focuses on a Diophantine equation involving the Perrin numbers and repdigits. This is a variation on a theme on the analogous problem for the Padovan numbers, a program developed in [5, 7].

In [7], the authors found all repdigits that can be written as a sum of two Padovan numbers. This result was later extended by the third author to repdigits that are a sum of three Padovan numbers in [4]. In another

H. Batte · M. Ddamulira (✉)
Department of Mathematics, School of Physical Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Makerere University, P.O. Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda
E-mail: hbatte91@gmail.com
E-mail: mahadi.ddamulira@mak.ac.ug

T. P. Chalebgwa
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8S 4K1, Canada
E-mail: chalebg@mcmaster.ca



direction, in [5], Ddamulira considered all Padovan numbers that can be written as a concatenation of two repdigits and showed that the largest such number is $Pad(21) = 200$. More formally, it was shown that if $Pad(n)$ is a solution of the Diophantine equation $Pad(n) = \underbrace{d_1 \cdots d_1}_{\ell \text{ times}} \underbrace{d_2 \cdots d_2}_{m \text{ times}}$, then

$$Pad(n) \in \{12, 16, 21, 28, 37, 49, 65, 86, 114, 200\}.$$

The Padovan numbers and Perrin numbers share many similar properties. In particular, they have the same recurrence relation, the difference being that the Padovan numbers are initialized via $Pad(0) = 0$ and $Pad(1) = Pad(2) = 1$. This means that the two sequences also have the same characteristic equation.

Despite the similarities, the two sequences also have some stark differences. For instance, the Perrin numbers satisfy the remarkable divisibility property that if n is prime, then n divides P_n . One can easily confirm that this does not hold for the Padovan numbers.

Inspired by the second author’s result in [5], we study and completely solve the Diophantine equation

$$P_n = \underbrace{d_1 \cdots d_1}_{\ell \text{ times}} \underbrace{d_2 \cdots d_2}_{m \text{ times}} = d_1 \left(\frac{10^\ell - 1}{9} \right) \times 10^m + d_2 \left(\frac{10^m - 1}{9} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $d_1, d_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}$, $d_1 > 0$, $\ell, m \geq 1$, and $n \geq 0$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *The only Perrin numbers which are concatenations of two repdigits are*

$$P_n \in \{10, 12, 17, 22, 29, 39, 51, 68, 90, 119, 277, 644\}.$$

2 Preliminary results

In this section, we collect some facts about Perrin numbers and other preliminary lemmas that are crucial to our main argument.

2.1 Some properties of the Perrin numbers

Recall that the characteristic equation of the Perrin sequence is given by $\phi(x) := x^3 - x - 1 = 0$, with zeros α, β and $\gamma = \bar{\beta}$ given by

$$\alpha = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{-(r_1 + r_2) + i\sqrt{3}(r_1 - r_2)}{12},$$

where

$$r_1 = \sqrt[3]{108 + 12\sqrt{69}} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = \sqrt[3]{108 - 12\sqrt{69}}.$$

For all $n \geq 0$, Binet’s formula for the Perrin sequence tells us that the n th Perrin number is given by

$$P_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n + \gamma^n. \tag{2.1}$$

Numerically, the following estimates hold for the quantities $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$:

$$1.32 < \alpha < 1.33,$$

$$0.86 < |\beta| = |\gamma| = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 0.87.$$

It follows that the complex conjugate roots β and γ only have a minor contribution to the right-hand side of Eq. (2.1). More specifically, let

$$e(n) := P_n - \alpha^n = \beta^n + \gamma^n. \quad \text{Then, } |e(n)| < \frac{3}{\alpha^{n/2}} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

The following estimate also holds:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\alpha^{n-2} \leq P_n \leq \alpha^{n+1}.$$

Lemma 2.1 follows from a simple inductive argument, and the fact that $\alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$, from the characteristic polynomial ϕ .

Let $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the splitting field of the polynomial ϕ over \mathbb{Q} . Then, $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. We note that the Galois group of \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} is given by

$$\mathcal{G} := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{(1), (\alpha\beta), (\alpha\gamma), (\beta\gamma), (\alpha\beta\gamma)\} \cong S_3.$$

We therefore identify the automorphisms of \mathcal{G} with the permutation group of the zeroes of ϕ . We highlight the permutation $(\alpha\beta)$, corresponding to the automorphism $\sigma : \alpha \mapsto \beta, \beta \mapsto \alpha, \gamma \mapsto \gamma$, which we use later to obtain a contradiction on the size of the absolute value of a certain bound.

2.2 Linear forms in logarithms

Our approach follows the standard procedure of obtaining bounds for certain linear forms in (nonzero) logarithms. The upper bounds are obtained via a manipulation of the associated Binet’s formula for the given sequence. For the lower bounds, we need the celebrated Baker’s theorem on linear forms in logarithms. Before stating the result, we need the definition of the (logarithmic) Weil height of an algebraic number.

Let η be an algebraic number of degree d with minimal polynomial

$$P(x) = a_0 \prod_{j=1}^d (x - \eta_j),$$

where the leading coefficient a_0 is positive and the η_j ’s are the conjugates of η . The logarithmic height of η is given by

$$h(\eta) := \frac{1}{d} \left(\log a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^d \log (\max\{|\eta_j|, 1\}) \right).$$

Note that, if $\eta = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a reduced rational number with $q > 0$, then the above definition reduces to

$$h(\eta) = \log \max\{|p|, q\}.$$

We list some well-known properties of the height function below, which we shall subsequently use without reference

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta_1 \pm \eta_2) &\leq h(\eta_1) + h(\eta_2) + \log 2, \\ h(\eta_1 \eta_2^\pm) &\leq h(\eta_1) + h(\eta_2), \\ h(\eta^s) &= |s|h(\eta), \quad (s \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We quote the version of Baker’s theorem proved by Bugeaud et al. [1, Theorem 9.4].

Theorem 2.2 [1] *Let η_1, \dots, η_t be positive real algebraic numbers in a real algebraic number field $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ of degree D . Let b_1, \dots, b_t be nonzero integers, such that*

$$\Gamma := \eta_1^{b_1} \dots \eta_t^{b_t} - 1 \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\log |\Gamma| > -1.4 \times 30^{t+3} \times t^{4.5} \times D^2(1 + \log D)(1 + \log B)A_1 \dots A_t,$$

where

$$B \geq \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_t|\},$$

and

$$A_j \geq \max\{Dh(\eta_j), |\log \eta_j|, 0.16\}, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, t.$$

2.3 Reduction procedure

The bounds on the variables obtained via Baker's theorem are usually too large for any computational purposes. To get further refinements, we use the Baker–Davenport reduction procedure. The variant we apply here is the one due to Dujella and Pethő [6, Lemma 5a]. For a real number r , we denote by $\|r\|$ the quantity $\min\{|r - n| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, the distance from r to the nearest integer.

Lemma 2.3 [6] *Let $\kappa \neq 0$, A, B and μ be real numbers, such that $A > 0$ and $B > 1$. Let $M > 1$ be a positive integer and suppose that $\frac{p}{q}$ is a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of κ with $q > 6M$. Let*

$$\varepsilon := \|\mu q\| - M \|\kappa q\|.$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is no solution of the inequality

$$0 < |m\kappa - n + \mu| < AB^{-k}$$

in positive integers m, n, k with

$$\frac{\log(Aq/\varepsilon)}{\log B} \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad m \leq M.$$

Lemma 2.3 cannot be applied when $\mu = 0$ (since then $\varepsilon < 0$). In this case, we use the following criterion due to Legendre, a well-known result from the theory of Diophantine approximation. For further details, we refer the reader to the books of Cohen [2, 3].

Lemma 2.4 [2, 3] *Let κ be real number and x, y integers, such that*

$$\left| \kappa - \frac{x}{y} \right| < \frac{1}{2y^2}.$$

Then, $x/y = p_k/q_k$ is a convergent of κ . Furthermore, let M and N be a non-negative integers, such that $q_N > M$. Then, putting $a(M) := \max\{a_i : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$, the inequality

$$\left| \kappa - \frac{x}{y} \right| \geq \frac{1}{(a(M) + 2)y^2}$$

holds for all pairs (x, y) of positive integers with $0 < y < M$.

We will also need the following lemma by Gúzman Sánchez and Luca [8, Lemma 7]:

Lemma 2.5 [8] *Let $r \geq 1$ and $H > 0$ be such that $H > (4r^2)^r$ and $H > L/(\log L)^r$. Then*

$$L < 2^r H(\log H)^r.$$

3 Proof of the main result

3.1 The low range

We used a computer program in Mathematica to check all the solutions of the Diophantine Eq. (1.1) for the parameters $d_1, d_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}$, $d_1 > 0$, $1 \leq \ell, m$, and $1 \leq n \leq 500$. We only found the solutions listed in Theorem 1.1. Henceforth, we assume $n > 500$.



3.2 The initial bound on n

We note that Eq. (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_n &= \underbrace{d_1 \cdots d_1}_{\ell \text{ times}} \underbrace{d_2 \cdots d_2}_{m \text{ times}} \\
 &= \underbrace{d_1 \cdots d_1}_{\ell \text{ times}} \times 10^m + \underbrace{d_2 \cdots d_2}_{m \text{ times}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{9} \left(d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m} - (d_1 - d_2) \times 10^m - d_2 \right). \tag{3.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma relates the sizes of n and $\ell + m$.

Lemma 3.1 *All solutions of (3.1) satisfy*

$$(\ell + m) \log 10 - 2 < n \log \alpha < (\ell + m) \log 10 + 1.$$

Proof Recall that $\alpha^{n-2} \leq P_n \leq \alpha^{n+1}$. We note that

$$\alpha^{n-2} \leq P_n < 10^{\ell+m}.$$

Taking the logarithm on both sides, we get

$$n \log \alpha < (\ell + m) \log 10 + 2 \log \alpha.$$

Hence, $n \log \alpha < (\ell + m) \log 10 + 1$. The lower bound follows via the same technique, upon noting that $10^{\ell+m-1} < P_n \leq \alpha^{n+1}$. □

We proceed to examine (3.1) in two different steps as follows.

Step 1. From Eqs. (2.1) and (3.1), we have

$$9(\alpha^n + \beta^n + \gamma^n) = d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m} - (d_1 - d_2) \times 10^m - d_2.$$

Hence

$$9\alpha^n - d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m} = -9e(n) - (d_1 - d_2) \times 10^m - d_2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |9\alpha^n - d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m}| &= |-9e(n) - (d_1 - d_2) \times 10^m - d_2| \\
 &\leq 27\alpha^{-n/2} + 18 \times 10^m \\
 &< 4.6 \times 10^{m+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $n > 500$. Dividing both sides by $d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m}$, we get

$$\left| \left(\frac{9}{d_1} \right) \alpha^n \times 10^{-\ell-m} - 1 \right| < \frac{4.6 \times 10^{m+1}}{d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m}} \leq \frac{46}{10^\ell}. \tag{3.2}$$

We let

$$\Gamma_1 := \left(\frac{9}{d_1} \right) \alpha^n \times 10^{-\ell-m} - 1. \tag{3.3}$$

We shall proceed to compare this upper bound on $|\Gamma_1|$ with the lower bound we deduce from Theorem 2.2. Note that $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$, since this would imply that $\alpha^n = \frac{10^{\ell+m} \times d_1}{9}$. If this is the case, then applying the automorphism σ on both sides of the preceding equation and taking absolute values, we have that

$$\left| \sigma \left(\frac{10^{\ell+m} \times d_1}{9} \right) \right| = |\sigma(\alpha^n)| = |\beta^n| < 1,$$

which is false. We thus have $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$.

With a view towards applying Theorem 2.2, we define the following parameters:

$$\eta_1 := \frac{9}{d_1}, \eta_2 := \alpha, \eta_3 := 10, b_1 := 1, b_2 := n, b_3 := -\ell - m, t := 3.$$

Note that, by Lemma 3.1, we have that $\ell + m < n$. Thus, we take $B = n$. We note that $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Hence, $D := [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$.

We note that

$$h(\eta_1) = h\left(\frac{9}{d_1}\right) \leq 2h(9) = 2 \log 9 < 5.$$

We also have that $h(\eta_2) = h(\alpha) = \frac{\log \alpha}{3}$ and $h(\eta_3) = \log 10$. Hence, we let

$$A_1 := 15, A_2 := \log \alpha, A_3 := 3 \log 10.$$

Thus, we deduce via Theorem 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\Gamma_1| &> -1.4 \times 30^6 \times 3^{4.5} \times 3^2 \times (1 + \log 3)(1 + \log n)(15)(\log \alpha)(3 \log 10) \\ &> -8 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the last inequality obtained above with (3.2), we get

$$\ell \log 10 - \log 46 < 8 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n).$$

Hence

$$\ell \log 10 < 8.1 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n). \quad (3.4)$$

Step 2. We rewrite Eq. (3.1) as

$$9\alpha^n - d_1 \times 10^{\ell+m} + (d_1 - d_2) \times 10^m = -9e(n) - d_2.$$

That is

$$9\alpha^n - (d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)) \times 10^m = -9e(n) - d_2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |9\alpha^n - (d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)) \times 10^m| &= |-9e(n) - d_2| \\ &\leq \frac{27}{\alpha^{n/2}} + 9 < 36. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing throughout by $9\alpha^n$, we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right) \alpha^{-n} \times 10^m - 1 \right| < \frac{36}{9\alpha^n} = \frac{4}{\alpha^n}. \quad (3.5)$$

We put

$$\Gamma_2 := \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right) \alpha^{-n} \times 10^m - 1.$$

As before, we have that $\Gamma_2 \neq 0$, because this would imply that

$$\alpha^n = 10^m \times \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right),$$

which in turn implies that

$$\left| 10^m \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right) \right| = |\sigma(\alpha^n)| = |\beta^n| < 1,$$



which is false. In preparation towards applying Theorem 2.2, we define the following parameters:

$$\eta_1 := \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right), \eta_2 := \alpha, \eta_3 := 10, b_1 := 1, b_2 := -n, b_3 := m, t := 3.$$

To determine what A_1 will be, we need to find the maximum of the quantities $h(\eta_1)$ and $|\log \eta_1|$.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta_1) &= h\left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9}\right) \\ &\leq h(9) + \ell h(10) + h(d_1) + h(d_1 - d_2) + \log 2 \\ &\leq 4 \log 9 + \ell \log 10 \\ &< 8.1 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality above, we used (3.4). On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log \eta_1| &= \left| \log \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \log 9 + |\log(d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2))| \\ &\leq \log 9 + \log(d_1 \times 10^\ell) + \left| \log \left(1 - \frac{d_1 - d_2}{d_1 \times 10^\ell} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \ell \log 10 + \log d_1 + \log 9 + \frac{|d_1 - d_2|}{d_1 \times 10^\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|d_1 - d_2|}{d_1 \times 10^\ell} \right)^2 + \dots \\ &\leq \ell \log 10 + 2 \log 9 + \frac{1}{10^\ell} + \frac{1}{2 \times 10^{2\ell}} + \dots \\ &\leq 8.1 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n) + 2 \log 9 + \frac{1}{10^\ell - 1} \\ &< 8.2 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the second last inequality, we used Eq. (3.4). We note that $D \cdot h(\eta_1) > |\log \eta_1|$.

Thus, we put $A_1 := 2.46 \times 10^{13}(1 + \log n)$. We take $A_2 := \log \alpha$ and $A_3 := 3 \log 10$, as defined in Step 1. Similarly, we take $B := n$.

Theorem 2.2 then tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\Gamma_2| &> -1.4 \times 30^6 \times 3^{4.5} \times 3^2 \times (1 + \log 3)(1 + \log n)(\log \alpha)(3 \log 10)A_1 \\ &> -1.3 \times 10^{27}(1 + \log n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the last inequality with (3.5), we obtain

$$n \log \alpha < 1.3 \times 10^{27}(1 + \log n)^2 + \log 4. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, we can conclude that

$$n < 2.6 \times 10^{27}(\log n)^2.$$

With the notation of Lemma 2.5, we let $r := 2$, $L := n$ and $H := 2.6 \times 10^{27}$ and notice that these data meet the conditions of the lemma. Applying the lemma, we obtain

$$n < 2^2 \times 2.6 \times 10^{27} \times (\log 2.7 \times 10^{27})^2.$$

After a simplification, we obtain the bound

$$n < 4.2 \times 10^{31}.$$

Lemma 3.1 then implies that

$$\ell + m < 5.5 \times 10^{30}.$$

The following lemma summarizes what we have proved so far:

Lemma 3.2 *All solutions to the Diophantine Eq. (1.1) satisfy*

$$\ell + m < 5.5 \times 10^{30} \quad \text{and} \quad n < 4.2 \times 10^{31}.$$

3.3 The reduction procedure

We note that the bounds from Lemma 3.2 are too large for computational purposes. However, with the help of Lemma 2.3, they can be considerably sharpened. The rest of this section is dedicated towards this goal. We proceed as in [5].

Using Eq. (3.3), we define the quantity Λ_1 as

$$\Lambda_1 := -\log(\Gamma_1 + 1) = (\ell + m) \log 10 - n \log \alpha - \log\left(\frac{9}{d_1}\right).$$

Equation (3.2) can thus be rewritten as

$$|e^{-\Lambda_1} - 1| < \frac{46}{10^\ell}.$$

If $\ell \geq 2$, then the above inequality is bounded above by $\frac{1}{2}$. Recall that if x and y are real numbers, such that $|e^x - 1| < y$, then $x < 2y$. We therefore conclude that $|\Lambda_1| < \frac{92}{10^\ell}$. Equivalently

$$\left| (\ell + m) \log 10 - n \log \alpha - \log\left(\frac{9}{d_1}\right) \right| < \frac{92}{10^\ell}.$$

Dividing throughout by $\log \alpha$, we get

$$\left| (\ell + m) \frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha} - n + \left(\frac{\log(d_1/9)}{\log \alpha}\right) \right| < \frac{92}{10^\ell \log \alpha}. \tag{3.7}$$

Towards applying Lemma 2.3, we define the following quantities:

$$\tau := \frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha}, \quad \mu(d_1) := \frac{\log(d_1/9)}{\log \alpha}, \quad A := \frac{92}{\log \alpha}, \quad B := 10, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq d_1 \leq 8.$$

The continued fraction expansion of τ is given by

$$\tau = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots] = [8; 5, 3, 3, 1, 5, 1, 8, 4, 6, 1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 4, 4, 9, 1, 5, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 4, \dots].$$

We take $M := 5.5 \times 10^{30}$, which, by Lemma 3.2, is an upper bound for $\ell + m$. A computer assisted computation of the convergents of τ returns the convergent

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_{70}}{q_{70}} = \frac{279286791688025658508849870525521}{34107459123075987278056929200353}$$

as the first one for which the denominator $q = q_{70} > 3.3 \times 10^{31} = 6M$. Maintaining the notation of Lemma 2.3, the smallest (positive) value of ϵ , corresponding to $d_1 = 5$ is chosen as $\epsilon = 0.154964 < \|\mu q\| - M\|\tau q\|$. We deduce that

$$\ell \leq \frac{\log(332q/\epsilon)}{\log 10} < 35.$$

For the case $d_1 = 9$, we have that $\mu(d_1) = 0$. In this case, we apply Lemma 2.4. The inequality (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\left| \frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha} - \frac{n}{\ell + m} \right| < \frac{92}{10^\ell(\ell + m) \log \alpha} < \frac{1}{2(\ell + m)^2},$$

because $\ell + m < 5.5 \times 10^{30} := M$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\frac{n}{\ell+m}$ is a convergent of $\kappa := \frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha}$. So $\frac{n}{\ell+m}$ is of the form p_k/q_k for some $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 70$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{(a(M) + 2)(\ell + m)^2} \leq \left| \frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha} - \frac{n}{\ell + m} \right| < \frac{92}{10^\ell(\ell + m) \log \alpha}.$$

Since $a(M) = \max\{a_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 70\} = 49$, we get that

$$\ell \leq \frac{\log \left(\frac{51 \times 92 \times 5.5 \times 10^{30}}{\log \alpha} \right)}{\log 10} < 35.$$

Thus, $\ell \leq 34$ in both cases. In the case $\ell < 2$, we have that $\ell < 2 < 35$. Thus, $\ell \leq 34$ holds in all cases.

Proceeding, recall that $d_1, d_2 \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$. We now have that $1 \leq \ell \leq 34$. We define

$$\Lambda_2 := \log(\Gamma_2 + 1) = \log \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right) - n \log \alpha + m \log 10.$$

We rewrite inequality (3.5) as

$$|e^{\Lambda_2} - 1| < \frac{4}{\alpha^n}.$$

Recall that $n > 500$; therefore, $\frac{4}{\alpha^n} < \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, $|\Lambda_2| < \frac{8}{\alpha^n}$. Equivalently

$$\left| m \log 10 - n \log \alpha + \log \left(\frac{d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2)}{9} \right) \right| < \frac{8}{\alpha^n}.$$

Dividing both sides by $\log \alpha$, we have that

$$\left| m \left(\frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha} \right) - n + \frac{\log((d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2))/9)}{\log \alpha} \right| < \frac{8}{\alpha^n \log \alpha}. \tag{3.8}$$

Again, we apply Lemma 2.3 with the quantities

$$\kappa := \frac{\log 10}{\log \alpha}, \quad \mu(d_1, d_2) := \frac{\log((d_1 \times 10^\ell - (d_1 - d_2))/9)}{\log \alpha}, \quad A := \frac{8}{\log \alpha}, \quad B := \alpha.$$

We take the same κ and its convergent $p/q = p_{70}/q_{70}$ as before. Since $m < \ell + m < 5.5 \times 10^{30}$, we choose $M := 5.5 \times 10^{30}$ as the upper bound on m . With the help of Mathematica, we get that $\varepsilon > 0.00044$, and thus

$$n \leq \frac{\log((8/\log \alpha)q/\varepsilon)}{\log \alpha} < 295.$$

Therefore, we have that $n \leq 294$. This contradicts our assumption that $n > 500$. Hence, Theorem 1.1 is proved. □

Funding The authors acknowledge the financial support for publication preparations costs extended from NORHED-II project “Mathematics for Sustainable Development (MATH4SD), 2021–2026” at Makerere University in collaboration with the University of Dar es Salaam and University of Bergen in Norway.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have not disclosed any competing interests.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the referee and the editor for the useful comments and suggestions that have greatly improved on the quality of presentation of the current paper.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bugeaud, Y.; Mignotte, M.; Siksek, S.: Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers. *Ann. Math. (2)* **163**(2), 969–1018 (2006)
2. Cohen, H.: *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer, New York (1993)
3. Cohen, H.: *Number Theory. Volume I: Tools and Diophantine Equations*. Springer, New York (2007)
4. Ddamulira, M.: Repdigits as sums of three Padovan numbers. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. (3)* **26**(3), 247–261 (2020)
5. Ddamulira, M.: Padovan numbers that are concatenations of two distinct repdigits. *Math. Slovaca* **71**(2), 275–284 (2021)
6. Dujella, A.; Pethő, A.: A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport. *Quart. J. Math. (2)* **49**(195), 291–306 (1998)
7. Garcá Lomelí, A.C.; Hernández Hernández, S.: Repdigits as sums of two Padovan numbers. *J. Integer Seq.* **22**, Art. No. 19.2.3 (2019)
8. Gúzman Sánchez, S.; Luca, F.: Linear combinations of factorials and s -units in a binary recurrence sequence. *Ann. Math. Qué.* **38**(2), 169–188 (2014)
9. Luca, F.: Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with only one distinct digit. *Portug. Math.* **57**(2), 243–254 (2000)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

