CORRECTION



Correction to: Harmonic cubic homogeneous polynomials such that the norm-squared of the Hessian is a multiple of the Euclidean quadratic form

Daniel J. F. Fox¹

Published online: 15 October 2021 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Correction to: Anal.Math.Phys. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13324-020-00462-4

• Although Lemma 6.14 is correct as stated, the proof given for it is trivially wrong because the first inequality in (6.50) has direction opposite to that given by Lemma 4.6. Fortunately, what matters is the inequality, not its direction, and the spirit of the argument is correct. The fallacious proof should be replaced by:

Lemma 6.14 For $m, n \ge 2$ the orbits $\llbracket P_m \otimes P_n \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket P_{mn} \rrbracket$ are not CO(mn) equivalent.

Proof If v is a critical point of the restriction of P_n to the h unit sphere, then $r^{-1}v$ is a critical point of r^2P_n to the r^2h unit sphere, so if $P_n(v) \neq 0$, then $e = \frac{v}{6P_n(v)}$ and $c(v) = |(\text{Hess } P_n)(e)|_h^2$ depend only on the homothety class of h. By Corollary 6.11, e has the form $\frac{1}{n+1-2k}\sum_{i\in I}v_i$ for $v_i \in \mathbb{M}(P_n)$ and $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ such that $2k \neq n+1$, and the possible values of c(v) are $|(\text{Hess } P_n)(e)|^2 = n(n-1)|e|^2 = \frac{k(n+1-k)(n-1)}{(n+1-2k)^2} = f_n(k)$ for the same range of k. Because $f_n(n+1-k) = f_n(k)$ in what follows it suffices to consider $1 \leq k < (n+1)/2$. Let u and v be critical points of the restrictions of P_m and P_n to the unit spheres such that $P_m(u) \neq 0$, $P_n(v) \neq 0$, $c(u) = \frac{m}{m-1}$, and $c(v) = \frac{n}{n-1}$. Then $u \otimes v$ is a critical point of the restriction of $P_m \otimes P_n$ and $[P_{mn}] CO(mn)$ -equivalent, there would be a critical point w of the restriction of P_{mn} to the unit sphere such that $P_m(w) \neq 0$ and $c(w) = \frac{mn}{(m-1)(n-1)}$. It will be shown that this is impossible. By the preceding, the possible values of c(w) are $f_m(w)$ are $f_m(k) = f_m(k)$.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s13324-020-00462-4.

[☑] Daniel J. F. Fox daniel.fox@upm.es

¹ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada a la Ingeniería Industrial, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería y Diseño Industrial, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Ronda de Valencia 3, 28012 Madrid, Spain

D. J. F. Fox

 $(mn+1-2k)^2$ For $1 \leq k \leq (mn+1)/2$. Because in this range $f_{mn}(k)$ is intereasing in k, to complete the proof it suffices to check that $f_{mn}(1) = \frac{mn}{mn-1} < \frac{mn}{(m-1)(n-1)}$ and $f_{mn}(2) = \frac{2(mn-1)^2}{(mn-3)^2} > \frac{mn}{(m-1)(n-1)}$ for $m, n \geq 2$. The first inequality is immediate. For the second, if $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4$, then $\frac{mn}{(m-1)(n-1)} = 1 + \frac{1}{m-1} + \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{(m-1)(n-1)} \leq 2$ while $f_{mn}(2) > 2$; the remaining cases $(m, n) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3)\}$ can be checked by direct evaluation.

- The part of Remark 4.5 implicitly referring to the fallacious proof of Lemma 6.14 should be suppressed.
- The last line of claim (11) of Corollary 6.11 is missing a k and should read *There* holds $6P_n(v) = (n+1-2k)\sqrt{\frac{n}{k(n+1-k)}}$. (The correct value is given in the proof.)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.