ERRATUM

Erratum to: Role of Methamphetamine on Glioblastoma Cytotoxicity Induced by Doxorubicin and Methotrexate

Tânia Capelôa · Francisco Caramelo · Carlos Fontes-Ribeiro · Célia Gomes · Ana P. Silva

Published online: 1 May 2014

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Erratum to: Neurotox Res DOI 10.1007/s12640-014-9464-1

There is an error in Fig. 1e. The correct version of Fig. 1e is given in this erratum.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10. 1007/s12640-014-9464-1.

T. Capelôa · C. Fontes-Ribeiro · C. Gomes · A. P. Silva (🖂) Laboratory of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Azinhaga de Santa Comba, Celas 3000-548, Coimbra, Portugal e-mail: apmartins@fmed.uc.pt

T. Capelôa · F. Caramelo · C. Fontes-Ribeiro · C. Gomes · A. P. Silva
Institute for Biomedical Imaging and Life Sciences (IBILI),
Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal



Fig. 1 Effects of METH and chemotherapeutic drugs on GBM cells viability. a U-118 cells were exposed to increasing METH concentrations (0.01-1,000 $\mu M)$ for 48 h and cell viability significantly decreased at drug concentrations \geq 650 μ M. The results are expressed as mean % of control + SEM, n = 12. **b, c** Cells were treated for 48 h with increasing concentrations of (b) DOX or (c) MTX alone and in the presence of METH (1 μM). Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM and were fitted to a sigmoid function for IC₅₀ calculation; n = 15-18. **d, e** Cells were treated for 48 h with different concentrations of (d) TMZ alone or (e) in combination with METH (1 μM). Data shown are expressed as mean + SEM, n = 9. p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001,significantly different when compared to the control using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. The values are available in Supplementary Table 1



