



## Milestones in the systemic treatment of lung cancer

Robert Pirker

Received: 7 November 2016 / Accepted: 18 January 2017 / Published online: 6 February 2017  
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is available at SpringerLink with Open Access.

**Summary** Several milestones in the systemic treatment of lung cancer have been reached. Combination chemotherapy of small cell lung cancer was the first of these milestones. The establishment of palliative chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer and of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non-small cell lung cancer was another important milestone. Targeted therapies with angiogenesis inhibitors, EGFR inhibitors, and ALK inhibitors also advanced treatment. The clinical introduction of immune checkpoint inhibitors was the latest milestone.

**Keywords** Palliative chemotherapy · Adjuvant chemotherapy · Targeted therapy · Immune checkpoint inhibitors

### Introduction

Although a rare disease in 1900, lung cancer has become the most common cancer worldwide because of the smoking epidemic of the twentieth century. In 2012, 1.8 million patients were diagnosed with and 1.6 million patients died from this cancer [1]. While lung cancer has been classified as small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) for many decades, the 2015 classification of lung cancer mandates further subclassification by means of immunohistochemical and molecular analyses in routine clinical practice [2]. Patients with advanced NSCLC, particularly those with adenocarcinomas, are currently tested for the presence of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations, ALK aberrations,

and ROS1 aberrations in their tumors because these molecular alterations have therapeutic consequences. Additional molecular analyses are expected to enter routine clinical practice in the near future.

Several therapeutic advances have occurred over the years. Major milestones in the treatment of lung cancer were combination chemotherapy for SCLC, palliative chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC, adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected NSCLC, targeted therapies, and, most recently, treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors. The present manuscript summarizes these therapeutic milestones.

### Combination chemotherapy for SCLC

SCLC is often widespread with clinically detectable metastases in about two thirds of the patients at the time of diagnosis. SCLC has been recognized as a chemosensitive disease and the introduction of combination chemotherapy in the second half of the last century was unequivocally a milestone in the treatment of lung cancer (see [3] for review). Palliative combination chemotherapy increases median survival times four- to five-fold compared with best supportive care alone and relieves cancer-related symptoms in the majority of symptomatic patients. Combination chemotherapy was shown to be superior to single agents in terms of survival and quality of life, thereby stressing the fact that tumor control by chemotherapy clearly outweighs the toxicities associated with combination chemotherapy [3]. Further therapeutic advances were the introduction of thoracic radiotherapy in patients with limited disease, prophylactic brain irradiation in patients who have responded to initial therapy, and topotecan as second-line chemotherapy.

R. Pirker, MD (✉)  
Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna,  
Währinger Gürtel 18–20, 1090 Vienna, Austria  
robert.pirker@meduniwien.ac.at

### Palliative chemotherapy of advanced NSCLC

The establishment of palliative chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC, particularly in the first-line setting, is considered as a therapeutic milestone. A meta-analysis of randomized trials was published in 1994 and demonstrated a survival benefit from first-line chemotherapy compared with best supportive care alone [4]. This led to the widespread implementation of first-line chemotherapy as clinical standard for patients with advanced NSCLC.

Further improvements were achieved by the third-generation cytotoxic drugs, which were shown to be superior and/or better tolerated than older drugs. Currently, patients receive first-line chemotherapy with up to six cycles of a platinum-based doublet containing a third-generation cytotoxic drug [5, 6]. Compared with best supportive care alone, first-line chemotherapy increases median survival by 1.5 months and the 1-year survival rate by 9% and also relieves cancer-related symptoms in about one half of the symptomatic patients [5].

Cisplatin-based chemotherapy is slightly superior to carboplatin-based chemotherapy [7]. Cisplatin-based chemotherapy resulted in a higher response rate compared with carboplatin-based chemotherapy (30% vs. 24%) and carboplatin-based chemotherapy was associated with an increase in mortality in patients treated with third-generation anticancer drugs (HR = 1.11; 95% confidence interval = 1.01–1.21) and also in patients with non-squamous NSCLC (HR = 1.12; 95% confidence interval = 1.01–1.23) [7]. In clinical practice, cisplatin-based chemotherapy is preferred in patients with good performance status in the absence of clinically relevant comorbidities, whereas carboplatin-based chemotherapy is used in patients with reduced organ functions (kidney, heart) and when ease of administration is of particular importance. Elderly patients and patients with reduced performance status also benefit from well-tolerated chemotherapy protocols such as single agents or carboplatin-based doublets.

First-line chemotherapy is currently combined with bevacizumab in selected patients with non-squamous cell NSCLC or with necitumumab in patients with EGFR-positive squamous cell NSCLC (see next section). After the establishment of first-line chemotherapy, maintenance therapy and second-line therapy became standard treatment for selected patients with advanced NSCLC [6].

### Adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with resected NSCLC

Approximately 25–30% of patients with NSCLC are diagnosed with localized disease and undergo surgery with curative intent. Up to 70% of these patients, however, will relapse systemically because of the presence of micro-metastases at the time of surgery. There-

fore, adjuvant chemotherapy was studied in order to improve the outcome of patients with completely resected NSCLC. The meta-analysis of early randomized trials published in 1994 suggested a trend toward improved survival for adjuvant chemotherapy [4]. This potential survival benefit together with the availability of better anticancer drugs and anti-emetics led to the re-evaluation of adjuvant chemotherapy in randomized trials on large patient populations.

Three of these randomized trials demonstrated a survival benefit for adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy in patients with completely resected NSCLC [8–11]. The increase in the 5-year survival rates ranged between 4 and 15% in these trials. The Lung Adjuvant Cisplatin Evaluation (LACE) meta-analysis, which was based on 4,584 patients from five cisplatin-based chemotherapy trials (ALPI-EORTC, IALT, JBR10, ANITA, Big Lung Trial), confirmed the survival benefit with a hazard ratio of 0.89 (95% CI = 0.82–0.96;  $p = 0.004$ ) for adjuvant chemotherapy which translates into a survival benefit of  $5.3\% \pm 1.6\%$  at 5 years [12]. Disease-free survival was also prolonged by adjuvant chemotherapy and the hazard ratio was 0.8 (95% CI = 0.78–0.9;  $p < 0.001$ ). These findings led to the establishment of adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy as a standard for patients with completely resected NSCLC stages II and III and for selected patients with stage IB. Adjuvant chemotherapy consists of four cycles of a cisplatin-based doublet. Based on the evidence from clinical trials, cisplatin plus vinorelbine can be considered as the preferred chemotherapy protocol.

Strategies that have been studied to improve the outcome of adjuvant chemotherapy include the characterization of predictive biomarkers for patient selection, customized chemotherapy based on biomarkers, targeted therapies, and immunotherapies. To date, however, none of these strategies has been successful.

### Targeted therapies

The establishment of targeted therapies in patients with advanced NSCLC is another therapeutic milestone. Targeted therapies have focused on inhibition of angiogenesis, EGFR, or ALK.

While many angiogenesis inhibitors have been studied, only few have entered clinical practice. Bevacizumab was the first angiogenesis inhibitor to be approved in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-squamous NSCLC. This approval was based on the results of two phase 3 trials that demonstrated superior outcome for chemotherapy plus bevacizumab compared with chemotherapy alone in patients with advanced non-squamous cell NSCLC [13, 14].

Nintedanib, a triple kinase inhibitor, and ramucirumab, a monoclonal antibody against vascular EGFR, have also been approved in the combination with second-line chemotherapy with docetaxel.

Compared with docetaxel alone, nintedanib added to docetaxel improved progression-free survival and, in patients with adenocarcinomas, also overall survival [15]. This led to the approval of nintedanib in combination with docetaxel for patients with advanced adenocarcinomas who have been pretreated with chemotherapy. Ramucirumab increased survival when added to docetaxel in patients with advanced NSCLC and was also approved [16].

Blockade of the EGFR by monoclonal antibodies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and other approaches has also been studied to improve outcome in patients with advanced NSCLC (for review, see [17]). Several anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies have been evaluated in clinical trials (for review, see [18]). First-line chemotherapy combined with cetuximab improved survival in patients with advanced NSCLC, particularly in those with high EGFR expression in their tumors [19, 20]. This benefit was confirmed in a meta-analysis based on four randomized trials [21]. Nectinumab added to first-line chemotherapy with cisplatin plus gemcitabine increased survival of patients with advanced squamous cell NSCLC [22]. Although the benefit with cetuximab was similar to the one of nectinumab, only nectinumab has been approved by the European Medicines Agency.

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors of the EGFR have initially been studied in unselected patients with advanced NSCLC. Excellent activity of these drugs was seen in never-smokers, patients of South-East Asian ethnicity, and patients with adenocarcinomas. In 2004, these patients were found to harbor EGFR mutations in their tumors [23–25]. Several studies then demonstrated the superiority of tyrosine kinase inhibitors over first-line chemotherapy in terms of progression-free survival and quality of life in patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC (for review, see [17]). A survival benefit has been demonstrated for afatinib [26]. Thus patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC receive EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors as first-line therapy. At the time of disease progression, patients are switched to chemotherapy or, in the presence of the T790 M mutation, to osimertinib [27, 28]. Patients with advanced ALK-positive NSCLC are treated with crizotinib or other ALK inhibitors [29–31].

### Immune checkpoint inhibitors

The most recent milestone in the treatment of lung cancer was the introduction of immune checkpoint inhibitors into clinical practice in patients with advanced NSCLC. These drugs are directed against cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA4), programmed death-1 (PD-1), and PD-1 ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2. Drugs that have been studied in lung cancer are ipilimumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab, atezolizumab, durvalumab, and avelumab.

Nivolumab and pembrolizumab have shown efficacy in phase 3 trials in patients with advanced NSCLC who had been pretreated with chemotherapy [32–34]. Nivolumab has shown a survival benefit compared with docetaxel in advanced squamous NSCLC and in adenocarcinomas [32, 33]. Pembrolizumab showed superiority over docetaxel in patients with PD-L1 expression on at least 1% of tumor cells [34]. The survival benefit was seen with both doses of pembrolizumab (hazard ratios of 0.71 and 0.61 for 2 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, respectively) and was more pronounced in patients with PD-L1 expression in 50% or more of tumor cells. The anti-PD-L1 antibody atezolizumab improved overall survival compared with docetaxel in a randomized phase 2 trial, particularly in patients with enriched PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and tumor-infiltrating immune cells [35]. Side effects of immune checkpoint inhibitors are immune-related pneumonitis, colitis, hepatitis, nephritis, endocrinopathies, infusion-related events, and others [31–35].

Immune checkpoint inhibitors have also been studied in the first-line setting. Pembrolizumab has demonstrated superior progression-free survival and overall survival compared with platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1 expression in at least 50% of the tumor cells [36]. By contrast, nivolumab failed to improve outcome compared with chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1 expression in at least 5% of tumor cells [37].

Open access funding provided by Medical University of Vienna.

**Conflict of interest** R. Pirker received speaker's fee and honoraria for advisory boards from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, and Roche, and honoraria for DMC membership from Merck Sharp & Dohme and Synta.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

### References

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;2015(136):E359–E386.
2. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Nicholson AG, Yatabe Y, Austin JH, Beasley MB, et al. The 2015 World Health Organization classification of lung tumors: impact of genetic, clinical and radiologic advances since the 2004 classification. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2015;10:1243–60.
3. Ihde DC. Chemotherapy of lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 1992;327:1434–41.
4. Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Collaborative Group. Chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis using

- updated data on individual patients from 52 randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*. 1995;311:899–909.
5. NSCLC Meta-Analyses Collaborative Group. Chemotherapy in addition to supportive care improves survival in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from 16 randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26:4617–25.
  6. Felip E, Gridelli C, Baas P, Rosell R, Stahel R, Panel Members. Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: consensus on pathology and molecular tests, first-line, second-line, and third-line therapy: 1st ESMO Consensus Conference in Lung Cancer; Lugano 2010. *Ann Oncol*. 2011;22:1507–19.
  7. Ardissoni A, Boni L, Tiseo M, et al. Cisplatin- versus carboplatin-based chemotherapy in first-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2007;99:847–57.
  8. Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, International Adjuvant Lung Cancer Trial Collaborative Group, et al. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:351–60.
  9. Arriagada R, Dunant A, Pignon JP, et al. Long-term results of the international adjuvant lung cancer trial evaluating adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy in resected lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:35–42.
  10. Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group, National Cancer Institute of the United States Intergroup JBR.10 Trial Investigators, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352:2589–97.
  11. Douillard JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB–IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2006;7:719–27.
  12. Pignon JP, Tribodet H, Scagliotti GV, LACE Collaborative Group, et al. Lung adjuvant cisplatin evaluation: a pooled analysis by the LACE Collaborative Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26:3552–9.
  13. Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:2542–50, Erratum in: *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:318.
  14. Reck M, von Pawel J, Zatloukal P, et al. Phase III trial of cisplatin plus gemcitabine with either placebo or bevacizumab as first-line therapy for nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: AVAIL. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:1227–34, Erratum in: *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:2415.
  15. Reck M, Kaiser R, Mellemegaard A, et al. Docetaxel plus nintedanib versus docetaxel plus placebo in patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (LUME-Lung 1): a phase 3, double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;15:143–55.
  16. Garon EB, Ciuleanu TE, Arrieta O, et al. Ramucirumab plus docetaxel versus placebo plus docetaxel for second-line treatment of stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer after disease progression on platinum-based therapy (REVEL): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2014;384:665–73.
  17. Pirker R. What is the best strategy for targeting EGF receptors in non-small-cell lung cancer? *Future Oncol*. 2015;11:153–67.
  18. Pirker R. Epidermal growth factor receptor-directed monoclonal antibodies in nonsmall cell lung cancer: an update. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2015;27:87–93.
  19. Pirker R, Pereira JR, Szczesna A, et al. Cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (FLEX): an open-label randomised phase III trial. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1525–31.
  20. Pirker R, Pereira JR, von Pawel J, et al. EGFR expression as a predictor of survival for first-line chemotherapy plus cetuximab in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: analysis of data from the phase 3 FLEX study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13:33–42.
  21. Pujol JL, Pirker R, Lynch TJ, et al. Meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized trials of chemotherapy plus cetuximab as first-line treatment for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2014;83:211–8.
  22. Thatcher N, Hirsch FR, Luft AV, et al. Necitumumab plus gemcitabine and cisplatin versus gemcitabine and cisplatin alone as first-line therapy in patients with stage IV squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (SQUIRE): an open-label, randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2015;16:763–74.
  23. Pao W, Miller V, Zakowski M, et al. EGF receptor gene mutations are common in lung cancers from never smokers' and are associated with sensitivity of tumors to gefitinib and erlotinib. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101:13306–11.
  24. Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:2129–39.
  25. Paez JG, Jänne PA, Lee JC, et al. EGFR mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science*. 2004;304:1497–500.
  26. Yang JC, Wu YL, Schuler M, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncol*. 2015;16:141–51.
  27. Jänne PA, Yang JC, Kim DW, et al. AZD9219 in EGFR inhibitor-resistant non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:1689–99.
  28. Pirker R. Third-generation epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2016;28:115–21.
  29. Shaw AT, Kim DW, Nakagawa K, et al. Crizotinib versus chemotherapy in advanced ALK-positive lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:2385–94.
  30. Solomon BJ, Mok T, Kim DW, et al. First-line crizotinib versus chemotherapy in ALK-positive lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:2167–77.
  31. Pall G. The next-generation ALK inhibitors. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2015;27:118–24.
  32. Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:123–35.
  33. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:1627–39.
  34. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;387(10027):1540–50.
  35. Fehrenbacher L, Spira A, Ballinger M, et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel for patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (POPLAR): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387:1837–46.
  36. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, KEYNOTE-024 Investigators, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy

for PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(19):1823–33.

37. Socinski M, Creelan B, Hom L, et al. CheckMate 026: a phase 3 trial of nivolumab vs investigator's choice of platinum-based doublet chemotherapy as first-line therapy for stage IV/recurrent programmed death ligand 1-positive NSCLC. European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2016 Congress, Conference Coverage; LBA7.



► For latest news from international oncology congresses see: <http://www.springermedizin.at/memo-inoncology>