

Erratum to: Fertilizer potential of thin stillage from wheat-based ethanol production

Khaled D. Alotaibi · Jeff J. Schoenau · Xiyang Hao

Published online: 15 March 2015
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Erratum to: Bioenergy Res (2014) 7: 1421–1429
DOI 10.1007/s12155-014-9473-1

Unfortunately, the original version of this article contained a mistake in Fig. 3. The correct version is provided below.

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12155-014-9473-1>.

K. D. Alotaibi · J. J. Schoenau
Department of Soil Science, University of Saskatchewan, 51 Campus
Drive, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5A8, Canada

K. D. Alotaibi (✉)
Department of Soil Science, King Saud University, Box 2460,
Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia
e-mail: kalotaib@gmail.com

X. Hao
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge Research Centre,
Environmental Health, 5403-1st Ave, South, Lethbridge,
AB T1J4B1, Canada

Present Address:

K. D. Alotaibi
Department of Soil Science, University of Saskatchewan, 51 Campus
Drive, Saskatoon, SK, Canada S7N 5A8




Fig. 3 Total plant N uptake responses to experimental treatments during 2009 and 2010 growing seasons at Dixon, SK. The experimental treatments included injected thin stillage (*INJ-TS*), broadcast and incorporated thin stillage (*BR-TS*) and urea, all of which are applied at 3

rates: low (*L*), medium (*M*) and high (*H*) in addition to a control. For a season, bars sharing the same letter among treatments are not significantly different according to LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$). Errors bars represent standard error of the mean ($n=4$)