



Metabolically healthy obese and MAFLD: does weight status alone matter?

Ziyan Pan¹ · Mohammed Eslam¹

Received: 14 August 2022 / Accepted: 27 September 2022 / Published online: 17 October 2022
© Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver 2022

There is convincing evidence that indicates the adverse effects of obesity on health, and obesity is considered a crucial risk factor for a wide range of metabolic diseases, including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) [1]. In addition to a reduced life expectancy, disabilities, and an impaired quality of life, obesity causes a substantial economic burden on health care systems.

Observations that a proportion of individuals with obesity (~10–15%) do not entail apparent cardiometabolic abnormalities led to the notion of metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) [2]. Given the magnitude of obesity, a nuanced understanding of the extent to which the MHO phenotype carries risks for health is pivotal to avoiding inappropriate public health messages. Although there is no standardized operational definition of MHO, normal glucose and lipid metabolism profile levels in addition to blood pressure values—usually serve as the criteria to diagnose MHO [2].

The associations between the MHO phenotype and fatty liver disease (FLD) and fibrosis remain uncertain, but these associations have been examined in few studies. In *Hepatology International*, Man and colleagues [3] determined that overweight and obesity were strongly and progressively associated with an increased incidence of FLD and advanced fibrosis, even in the absence of metabolic abnormalities. This study was conducted using health check-up data from a large cohort of 31,010 adults with no evidence of FLD and advanced fibrosis at baseline during a median follow-up of 2.2 years. The diagnosis of FLD and fibrosis progression was based on B-type ultrasound and noninvasive fibrosis scores. A recent meta-analysis showed that ultrasonography allows

for reliable detection of $\geq 5\%$ histologically defined hepatic steatosis compared to histology [4].

These findings are consistent with a previous study from Korea that was conducted in 77,425 individuals with no FLD and metabolic abnormalities at baseline who were followed up for an average of 4.5 years. The results of this study showed a statistically significant graded relationship between body mass index (BMI) and FLD [5]. Further support for this conclusion arises from multiple Mendelian randomization analyses, which indicated that genetically driven BMI causally increases FLD risk [6]. These results imply that overweight and obese individuals, irrespective of their metabolic status, carry an excess risk of FLD and fibrosis progression and suggest that there is no healthy pattern of increased weight.

Notably, another study of 14,384 South Koreans from an occupational cohort showed that MHO subjects are at risk of fatty liver but not of preclinical atherosclerosis [7]. These findings suggest that there could be differential impacts of fat mass, fat distribution and function or other cardiometabolic risk factors among different metabolic diseases [8]. However, further studies are required to clarify this aspect.

This study has several positive clinical and public health implications and thus merits thorough consideration. Fundamental questions arising from this work are whether MHO represents a marker of true resilience and whether individuals with MHO remain metabolically healthy throughout life or are a transient phenotype of metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO) on the pathway to FLD. In longitudinal cohorts, half to three-fourths of MHO individuals will develop MUO and increased health risks [9]. Notably, liver fat accumulation was found to be an early and sensitive predictor of the transition from MHO to MUO [10]. Thus, this work provides new evidence that the so-called “MHO” is not a reliable indicator of a lower clinical risk of FLD. Instead, MHO signals an opportunity for early intervention and the primary prevention of FLD and fibrosis via weight reduction and lifestyle interventions, whereas secondary prevention through

✉ Mohammed Eslam
mohammed.eslam@sydney.edu.au

¹ Storr Liver Centre, Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Westmead Hospital and University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

the treatment of already existing risk factors might be the only viable option for those with MUO.

However, normal weight status did not necessarily imply metabolic health, and being metabolically unhealthy, regardless of BMI, largely conferred an augmented risk for FLD development and fibrosis [11–13]. These findings collectively add additional support for the utility of MAFLD definition that includes overweight and obese as a sole criterion for the diagnosis of MAFLD. It also emphasizes the role of metabolic health, particularly among nonobese individuals [14, 15]. The MAFLD definition thus provides clinicians with a holistic patient-centered approach to manage fatty liver attributed to metabolic dysfunction [16, 17].

This study had some limitations, including a lack of information on the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and high sensitivity C reactive protein (hsCRP). Previous studies have shown that the HOMA index might be a more sensitive and effective measure of metabolic health status in the obese population [18]. In addition, MHO is associated with an elevated hsCRP (a marker of underlying low grade systemic inflammation) compared to metabolically healthy weight individuals [19]. These limitations are compensated for by numerous strengths. The main strengths of this study are the large sample size, the prospective design, the use of both BMI and waist circumference to identify obesity, and the testing of both FLD and FLD with fibrosis progression. In addition, the authors have undertaken a sex-specific analysis and demonstrated that the association between MHO and FLD was more profound in females than in males. Large prospective and mechanistic studies are warranted to further explore the pathophysiological basis of this finding.

In conclusion, the study by Man et al. [3] adds further evidence to support the notion that obesity even in the absence of classical metabolic risk factors is not a benign condition but is linked with subclinical vascular inflammation, FLD and fibrosis progression. The MHO phenotype is a fallacy, particularly in subjects with hepatic steatosis, and using this term may mislead individuals to believe obesity can be healthy and desirable. Identifying individuals with obesity, with no metabolic abnormalities represents an opportunity for early intervention via lifestyle modifications to help prevent the progression to adverse liver and cardiometabolic outcomes.

Author contributions Both authors contributed equally to the work.

Funding ME is supported by Robert W. Storr Bequest to the Sydney Medical Foundation, University of Sydney; National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) Program and investigator Grants (2008983, 1053206 and 1149976) and Project and ideas grants (1107178, 1108422 and 2001692).

Declarations

Conflict of interest Authors Ziyang Pan and Mohammed Eslam has no competing interests or any conflict of interest relevant to this work.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Informed consent Not applicable.

References

1. Sarin SK, Kumar M, Eslam M, et al. Liver diseases in the Asia-Pacific region: a lancet gastroenterology and hepatology commission. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;5(2):167–228
2. Stefan N, Häring H-U, Hu FB, Schulze MB. Metabolically healthy obesity: epidemiology, mechanisms, and clinical implications. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2013;1(2):152–162
3. Man S, Lv J, Yu C, et al. Association between metabolically healthy obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology Int*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-022-10395-8>
4. Ballestri S, Mantovani A, Byrne C, Lonardo A, Targher G. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography for the detection of hepatic steatosis: an updated meta-analysis of observational studies. *Metab Target Organ Damage*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.20517/mtod.2021.05>
5. Chang Y, Jung H-S, Cho J, et al. Metabolically healthy obesity and the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Official J Am College Gastroenterol*. 2016;111(8):1133–1140
6. Liu Z, Zhang Y, Graham S, et al. Causal relationships between NAFLD, T2D and obesity have implications for disease subphenotyping. *J Hepatol*. 2020;73(2):263–276
7. Sung K-C, Cha S-C, Sung J-W, So M-S, Byrne CD. Metabolically healthy obese subjects are at risk of fatty liver but not of pre-clinical atherosclerosis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014;24(3):256–262
8. Eslam M, George J. Genetic contributions to NAFLD: leveraging shared genetics to uncover systems biology. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;17(1):40–52
9. Eckel N, Li Y, Kuxhaus O, Stefan N, Hu FB, Schulze MB. Transition from metabolic healthy to unhealthy phenotypes and association with cardiovascular disease risk across BMI categories in 90 257 women (the Nurses' Health Study): 30 year follow-up from a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2018;6(9):714–724
10. Kouvari M, Chrysohoou C, Skoumas J, et al. The presence of NAFLD influences the transition of metabolically healthy to metabolically unhealthy obesity and the ten-year cardiovascular disease risk: a population-based cohort study. *Metabolism*. 2022;128:154893
11. Eslam M, Fan J-G, Mendez-Sanchez N. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in non-obese individuals: the impact of metabolic health. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;5(8):713–715
12. Younes R, Govaere O, Petta S, et al. Caucasian lean subjects with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease share long-term prognosis of non-lean: time for reappraisal of BMI-driven approach? *Gut*. 2022;71(2):382–390
13. Eslam M, El-Serag HB, Francque S, et al. Metabolic (dysfunction)-associated fatty liver disease in individuals of normal weight. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-022-00635-5>
14. Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, et al. A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: An international expert consensus statement. *J Hepatol*. 2020;73(1):202–209

15. Eslam M, Alkhoury N, Vajro P, et al. Defining paediatric metabolic (dysfunction)-associated fatty liver disease: an international expert consensus statement. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2021;6(10):864–873
16. Eslam M, Ahmed A, Després J-P, et al. Incorporating fatty liver disease in multidisciplinary care and novel clinical trial designs for patients with metabolic diseases. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2021;6(9):743–753
17. Eslam M, Sarin SK, Wong VW-S, et al. The Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of metabolic associated fatty liver disease. *Hepatol Int.* 2020;14(6):889–919
18. Hinnouho G-M, Czernichow S, Dugravot A, Batty GD, Kivimaki M, Singh-Manoux A. Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of mortality: does the definition of metabolic health matter? *Diabetes Care.* 2013;36(8):2294–2300
19. Shaharyar S, Roberson LL, Jamal O, et al. Obesity and metabolic phenotypes (metabolically healthy and unhealthy variants) are significantly associated with prevalence of elevated C-reactive protein and hepatic steatosis in a large healthy Brazilian population. *J Obesity.* 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/178526>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.