



## CORR Insights

# CORR Insights®: Operative Fluoroscopic Correction Is Reliable and Correlates With Postoperative Radiographic Correction in Periacetabular Osteotomy

Rocco P. Pitto MD, PhD, FRACS

## Where Are We Now?

Acetabular dysplasia commonly causes secondary arthritis of the hip [2]. Today,

*This CORR Insights® is a commentary on the article “Operative Fluoroscopic Correction Is Reliable and Correlates With Postoperative Radiographic Correction in Periacetabular Osteotomy” by Wylie and colleagues available at: DOI: 10.1007/s11999-016-5071-1.*

The author certifies that he, or a member of his immediate family, has no funding or commercial association (eg, consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

All ICMJE Conflict of Interest Forms for authors and *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*® editors and board members are on file with the publication and can be viewed on request.

The opinions expressed are those of the writers, and do not reflect the opinion or policy of *CORR*® or The Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons®.

This *CORR Insights*® comment refers to the article available at DOI: 10.1007/s11999-016-5071-1.

the so-called Bernese periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) is one of the most frequently and successfully used joint preserving surgical procedures designed to manage dysplasia in the young active patient [12]. This complex procedure combines a polygonal juxta-articular osteotomy using a modified Smith-Peterson approach. Proper acetabular reorientation can improve long-term survivorship and decelerate the progression of degenerative changes [1]. Overcorrection can produce femoroacetabular impingement, undercorrection may leave a patient with persistent symptoms, and poor congruency of the joint can cause abnormal contact stresses

R. P. Pitto MD, PhD, FRACS  
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery,  
Middlemore Hospital, South Auckland  
Clinical School, University of Auckland,  
Auckland, New Zealand

R. P. Pitto MD, PhD, FRACS (✉)  
Bioengineering Institute, University of  
Auckland, 70 Symonds Street,  
Auckland, New Zealand  
e-mail: r.pitto@auckland.ac.nz

and accelerated chondral damage [4, 9]. Thorough preoperative planning is fundamental in PAO, and is based on plain radiographs and, more importantly, on CT imaging [6]. Clearly, accurate intraoperative imaging is required for implementation of the surgical plan. Fluoroscopy is commonly used for guidance while carrying out this complex osteotomy and for assessment of acetabular fragment reorientation, femoral head coverage, and osteotomy fixation. High-volume surgeons with extensive PAO experience do not necessarily need intraoperative fluoroscopy to check their osteotomy positioning, and prefer plain radiographs for assessment of acetabular reorientation. Intraoperative plain full pelvis radiographs are still considered the best available test under reasonable conditions [2]. However, serial intraoperative radiographs are time-consuming, and therefore, often are replaced by fluoroscopy.

The current study by Wylie and colleagues is a valuable contribution in the quest to test the correlation

# CORR Insights

between plain radiographs and the reliability of fluoroscopy for assessment of femoral head lateral coverage during PAO. This large sample-size study with reproducible methodology is particularly relevant for surgeons with a special interest in joint-preserving surgery of the hip.

## Where Do We Need To Go?

Intraoperative imaging assessment of proper femoral head anterior coverage and acetabular version after reorientation of the osteotomized fragment can be more challenging than assessment of femoral head lateral coverage. The way we use conventional fluoroscopic imaging to assess anterior coverage of the femoral head and acetabular version during hip surgery exposes surgeons to a wide range of potential methodological errors (patient and fluoroscope positioning, pelvic tilt, image calibration and magnification). Currently, full-pelvis plain radiographs in the supine position are the best test for intraoperative assessment of proper correction of deformity [7]. Wylie and colleagues identified further areas of research evaluating the correlation between intraoperative fluoroscopic images and plain radiographs in the assessment of femoral head anterior coverage and acetabular version. This will require a large sample size and a

reproducible methodology, though it should be a rather straightforward research project for a high-volume hip joint preserving surgery team. However, I believe the real opportunity in this field of research is computer-assisted CT-fluoroscopy imaging. This innovative technology is currently used in clinical practice for placement of percutaneous screws in pelvic and acetabular fracture fixation [13], bone-tumor surgery [11], and pedicle screw placement in the spine [3]. Computer-assisted CT-fluoroscopy can potentially offer precise navigation while carrying out the periacetabular osteotomies, as well as provide three-dimensional images while repositioning the acetabular fragment.

## How Do We Get There?

Initially, computer-assisted systems designed to support surgeons carrying out pelvic osteotomies for the treatment of dysplastic hips were based on preoperative CT imaging and freehand navigation with optoelectronic trackers. The early systems supported the preoperative plan and provided enhanced control during the execution of the required osteotomies [7]. More recently, navigation technologies developed for PAO incorporated intraoperative fragment tracking and positioning characterization to assess

the reorientation of the acetabulum [8]. Prior work has concluded that navigation and visualization with computer-assisted aids obtained accurate post-operative radiographic correction when compared with conventional techniques carried out by high-volume experienced surgeons [5].

Meanwhile, the new frontier has moved toward CT-fluoroscopically assisted computer navigation. In pelvic trauma surgery, the fluoroscopic-assisted procedure of percutaneous screw placement is carried out using preoperative CT scans referenced to intraoperative fluoroscopic images using a matching algorithm registration kit mounted on the image intensifier of the C-arm. For an accurate matching process, the system requires at least two fluoroscopic images with a minimum of a 30° angle difference between the images. The navigation system offers the possibility to evaluate the precision of the registration process by aiming with the pointer tool at the surface of different anatomical landmarks of the pelvis [13]. A recent cadaver study showed high accuracy of CT-fluoroscopy navigated K-wires for guidance of supra-acetabular osteotomies [10].

We need further development and validation of computer-assisted CT-fluoroscopic technology. Once PAO-specific navigation systems are available and thoroughly validated, their

# CORR Insights

real potential will be tested in the clinical practice. At worst, CT-fluoroscopy in PAO will be used merely for teaching purposes, and to shorten the steep, long learning curve of young surgeons. At best, this technology will take over the current conventional intraoperative imaging; to me, this trend is already noticeable in pelvic trauma and spine surgery.

## References

1. Albers CE, Steppacher SD, Ganz R, Tannast M, Siebenrock KA. Impingement adversely affects 10-year survivorship after periacetabular osteotomy for DDH. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2013;471:1602–1614.
2. Ganz R, Klaue K, Vinh TS, Mast JW. A new periacetabular osteotomy for the treatment of hip dysplasias. Technique and preliminary results. *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 1988;232:26–36.
3. Gelalis ID, Paschos NK, Pakos EE, Politis AN, Arnaoutoglou CM, Karageorgos AC, Ploumis A, Xenakis TA. Accuracy of pedicle screw placement: A systematic review of prospective in vivo studies comparing free hand, fluoroscopy guidance and navigation techniques. *Eur Spine J.* 2012;21:247–255.
4. Hartig-Andreasen C, Troelsen A, Thillemann TM, Søballe K. What factors predict failure 4 to 12 years after periacetabular osteotomy? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2012;470:2978–2987.
5. Hsieh PH, Chang YH, Shih CH. Image-guided periacetabular osteotomy: computer-assisted navigation compared with the conventional technique: a randomized study of 36 patients followed for 2 years. *Acta Orthop.* 2006;4:591–597.
6. Klaue K, Wallin A, Ganz R. CT evaluation of coverage and congruency of the hip prior to osteotomy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1988;232:15–25.
7. Langlotz F, Bächler R, Berlemann U, Nolte LP, Ganz R. Computer assistance for pelvic osteotomies. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1998;354:92–102.
8. Murphy RJ, Armiger RS, Lepistö J, Armand M. Clinical evaluation of a biomechanical guidance system for periacetabular osteotomy. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2016;11:36.
9. Novais EN, Carry PM, Kestel LA, Ketterman B, Brusalis CM, Sankar WN. Does surgeon experience impact the risk of complications after Bernese periacetabular osteotomy? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* [Published online ahead of print August 5, 2016]. DOI: [10.1007/s11999-016-5010-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-016-5010-1).
10. Post LK, Kirchhoff C, Toepfer A, Kirchhoff S, Schmitt-Sody M, von Eisenhart-Rothe R, Burgkart R. Potential accuracy of navigated K-wire guided supra-acetabular osteotomies in orthopedic surgery: A CT fluoroscopy cadaver study. *Int J Med Robot.* [Published online ahead of print June 8, 2016]. DOI: [10.1002/rcs.1752](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcs.1752).
11. So TY, Lam YL, Mak KL. Computer-assisted navigation in bone tumor surgery: Seamless workflow model and evolution of technique. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2010;468:2985–2991.
12. Steppacher SD, Tannast M, Ganz R, Siebenrock KA. Mean 20-year followup of Bernese periacetabular osteotomy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008;466:1633–1644.
13. Wong JM, Bewsher S, Yew J, Bucknill A, de Steiger R. Fluoroscopically assisted computer navigation enables accurate percutaneous screw placement for pelvic and acetabular fracture fixation. *Injury.* 2015;46:1064–1068.