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#### Abstract

We give a new construction of the outer automorphism of the symmetric group on six points. Our construction features a complex Hadamard matrix of order six containing third roots of unity and the algebra of split quaternions over the real numbers.
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## 1 Introduction

Sylvester showed that the fifteen two-subsets of a six element set can be formed into 5 parallel classes in six different ways and that the action of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ on these synthematic totals is essentially different from its natural action on six points [13]. To our knowledge this was the first construction for the outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$.

Miller attributes the result that for $n \neq 6, \mathcal{S}_{n}$ has no outer automorphisms to Hölder, and Sylvester's construction of the outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ to Burnside [11]. He also gives a by-hand construction of the outer automorphism. The papers of Janusz and Rotman, and of Ward provide easily readable accounts which are similar to Sylvester's $[10,14]$. Cameron and van Lint devoted an entire chapter (their sixth!) to the outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ [2]. They build on Sylvester's construction to construct the $5-(12,6,1)$ Witt design, the projective plane of order 4, and the Hoffman-Singleton graph.

Via consideration of the cube in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, Fournelle gives a heuristic for the existence of an outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$, and constructs it with the aid of a computer [7]. Howard, Millson, Snowden and Vakil give two constructions of

[^0]the outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$, and use this to describe the invariant theory of six points in certain projective spaces [9].

In this note we give a construction which we believe has not previously been described, using a complex Hadamard matrix of order 6 and a representation of the triple cover of $A_{6}$ over the complex numbers. This note is inspired by a construction of Marshall Hall Jr [8] for the outer automorphism of $M_{12}$ via a real Hadamard matrix of order 12, and by Moorhouse's classification of the complex Hadamard matrices with doubly transitive automorphism groups [12]. It was in the latter paper that we first became aware of the complex Hadamard matrix of order 6 discussed in this article, where it is described as corresponding to the distance transitive triple cover of the complete bipartite graph $K_{6,6}$.

## 2 Hadamard Matrices

Let $\omega$ be a primitive complex third root of unity. Then the matrix $H_{6}$ is complex Hadamard.
$H_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \omega & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\omega} & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & 1 & \omega & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\omega} \\ 1 & \bar{\omega} & \omega & 1 & \omega & \bar{\omega} \\ 1 & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\omega} & \omega & 1 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\omega} & \omega & 1\end{array}\right)$
This means that $H_{6}$ satisfies the identity $H_{6} H_{6}^{\dagger}=6 I_{6}$, where for an invertible complex matrix $A, A^{\dagger}$ is the complex conjugate transpose of $A$. Equivalently, $H_{6}$ reaches equality in Hadamard's determinant bound. We refer the reader to [6] for a comprehensive discussion of Hadamard matrices and their generalisations.

An automorphism of a complex Hadamard matrix is a pair of monomial matrices $(P, Q)$ such that $P^{-1} H Q=H$. The set of all automorphisms of $H$ forms a group under composition. In this note we will work with the subgroup of automorphisms $(P, Q)$ where all non-zero entries are third roots of unity, we denote this group Aut $(H)$. Consider now the projection maps $\rho_{1}(P, Q) \mapsto P$ and $\rho_{2}(P, Q) \mapsto Q$. Since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} H_{6}$ is unitary, and for any automorphism $(P, Q)$ of $H$ the identity $H Q H^{-1}=P$ holds, it follows that $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are conjugate representations of $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$. Note further that $\rho_{i}$ is a faithful representation, since $Q=I$ forces $P=I$. Thus Aut $(H)$ is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of monomial matrices of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, if $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $G$, then the projections $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ onto the first and second components of $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ give two conjugate representations of $G$ by monomial matrices.

Every monomial matrix has a unique factorisation $P=D K$ where $D$ is diagonal and $K$ is a permutation matrix. The projection $\pi: P \mapsto K$ is a homomorphism for any group of monomial matrices. In general, the representation $\operatorname{Aut}(H)^{\rho_{1} \pi}$ is not linearly equivalent to the representation $\operatorname{Aut}(H)^{\rho_{2} \pi}$. As mentioned above, this phenomenon was first observed by Hall, who showed that the automorphism group of a Hadamard matrix of order 12 is isomorphic to $2 \cdot M_{12}$, and that $\rho_{1} \pi$ and $\rho_{2} \pi$ realise the two inequivalent actions of $M_{12}$ on 12 points [8]. This interpretation of the outer automorphism of $M_{12}$ was also used by Elkies, Conway and Martin in their analysis of the Mathieu groupoid $M_{13}$ [4].

Throughout this note we use the following shorthand for monomial matrices: we list the elements of the diagonal matrix $D$, and give the cycle notation for $K$ as a permutation of the columns of the identity matrix (i.e. a right action).

Consider the following pairs of monomial matrices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}:=([1,1,1,1,1,1](2,3,4,5,6), \quad[1,1,1,1,1,1](2,3,4,5,6)) \\
& \tau_{2}:=([1,1, \omega, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}, \omega](1,2), \quad[1,1, \bar{\omega}, \omega, \omega, \bar{\omega}](1,2)(3,6)(4,5))
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $*$ to be the entry-wise complex conjugation map, and consider the group $X=\left\langle\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, *\right\rangle$.

Proposition 1 The group $X$ is of the form $3^{10} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{6} \cdot 2$.
Proof Since $\tau_{1}^{*}=\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}^{*}=\tau_{2}^{-1}$, we have that $X_{0}=\left\langle\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\rangle$ is normal in $X$. Hence $X=X_{0} \rtimes\langle *\rangle$, with $X_{0}$ of index 2 in $X$.

The commutator $\left[\tau_{2}, *\right]=([1,1, \omega, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}, \omega],[1,1, \bar{\omega}, \omega, \omega, \bar{\omega}])$ consists of diagonal matrices; furthermore
$\tau_{2}^{\prime}:=\left[\tau_{2}, *\right]^{-1} \tau_{2}=((1,2),(1,2)(3,6)(4,5))$,
a pair of permutation matrices. Recall that $\left\langle s, t \mid s^{6}=t^{2}=(s t)^{5}=\left[t, s^{2}\right]^{2}=\left[t, s^{3}\right]^{2}=1\right\rangle$ is a presentation for $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ (see [1], for example). A computation with $t=\tau_{2}^{\prime}$ and
$s=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}^{\prime}=((1,2,3,4,5,6),(1,2,6)(3,5))$
shows that all the relations in this presentation hold for these elements $s, t$, and hence $Y=\left\langle\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$. On the other hand, $Y^{\rho_{1} \pi}$ is easily seen to be isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_{6}$, so we conclude that $Y \cong \mathcal{S}_{6}$. Now let $N$ be the subgroup of $X$ consisting of all elements for which each component is a diagonal matrix. Since $\tau_{1}^{\rho_{i}}$ and $\tau_{2}^{\rho_{i}}$ have determinants in $\{ \pm 1\}$, every element of the projection $X_{0}^{\rho_{i}}$ also has determinant $\pm 1$. However all the elements of $N^{\rho_{i}}$ have third roots of unity along the diagonal, and so must have determinant 1. As a result, $X_{0}^{\rho_{i}}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $M \rtimes \mathcal{S}_{6}$ where $M \cong 3^{5}$ is the group of unimodular diagonal matrices with entries from $\langle\omega\rangle$, and $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ acts as $Y^{\rho_{i} \pi}$. The only non-trivial $\mathcal{S}_{6}$-submodule of $M$ is the constant module of order 3 .

Define $n_{i+1}:=\left[\tau_{2}, *\right]^{\tau_{1}^{i}}$ for each $i \geq 1$. (We shift subscripts because the action of $\tau_{1}$ on $\left[\tau_{2}, *\right.$ ] gives elements of $N$ which have the non-initial rows of $H_{6}$ as the diagonal of the first component.) Since [ $\left.\tau_{2}, *\right] \in X_{0}$, we have $n_{i} \in X_{0}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 6$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{3} n_{4}^{2} n_{5}^{2} & =([1,1,1,1, \omega, \bar{\omega}], \quad[1,1,1,1, \bar{\omega}, \omega]) \\
\left(n_{3} n_{4}^{2} n_{5}^{2}\right)^{\tau_{2}^{\prime}} & =([1,1,1,1, \omega, \bar{\omega}], \quad[1,1, \omega, \bar{\omega}, 1,1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So neither of the projections $N^{\rho_{1}}, N^{\rho_{2}}$ are onto the constant module, and the kernel of $N^{\rho_{1}}$ is neither trivial nor the constant module. It follows that $N \cong M \times M$. Finally, we observe that monomial matrices normalise diagonal matrices, and that $X_{0}$ acts as a group of monomial matrices in each component. It follows that $N \triangleleft X_{0}$, and that $Y$ is a complement of $N$ in $X_{0}$. Since $*$ acts on $N$ by inversion, $N \triangleleft X$.

The group $X$ has a natural action on $6 \times 6$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ where $(P, Q) \in X_{0}$ acts as $H^{(P, Q)}=P^{-1} H Q$, and * acts by complex conjugation. We compute the stabiliser of $H_{6}$ under this action. We denote this group Aut ${ }^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ to emphasise that this is a group of semi-linear transformations in its action on the normal subgroup $N$. We require the subgroups $X_{0}, Y$ and $N$ defined in Proposition 1 in the proof of the following.

Proposition 2 The group $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ is isomorphic to the nonsplit extension $3 \cdot \mathcal{S}_{6}$, and Aut ${ }^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ contains a $\mathbb{C}$-linear subgroup isomorphic to $3 \cdot A_{6}$.

Proof It is easily verified by hand that $H_{6}^{\tau_{1}}=H_{6}$ while $H_{6}^{\tau_{2}}$ is the complex conjugate $H_{6}^{*}$. Therefore both $\tau_{1}$ and the product $\tau_{2} *$ fix $H_{6}$. We claim that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)=\left\langle\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} *\right\rangle$.

First, we show that the intersection $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right) \cap N$ has order 3. To prove this, suppose that $(D, E) \in N$, and that $D^{-1} H_{6} E=H_{6}$, or equivalently $D H_{6}=H_{6} E$. Since the first column of $H_{6}$ is constant, $D$ must be a scalar matrix. So $D$ commutes with $H_{6}$, and we have $D H_{6}=H_{6} D=H_{6} E$. Hence $D=E$, so $(D, E)=\left(\omega^{i} I\right.$, $\left.\omega^{i} I\right)$ for some $i$. Since these elements do leave $H_{6}$ invariant, the claim is proved.

We next claim that there is no element $(D, E)$ of $N$ such that $D H_{6}^{*}=H_{6} E$; suppose to the contrary that such a $(D, E)$ exists. Precisely the same argument as before shows that $D$ must be scalar. This implies that $H_{6}^{*}=H_{6} E D^{-1}$, but this equation has no solution in diagonal matrices: since the first row of $H_{6}^{*}$ is equal to the first row of $H_{6}$, we would require $E D^{-1}=I_{6}$, from which we derive $H_{6}=H_{6}^{*}$, a contradiction.

Consider the subgroup $K:=\left\langle\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} *, N\right\rangle$ of $X$. Since $X=\langle K, *\rangle$ and $* \notin K$, we have $|X: K|=2$ and $X=K \cup(K *)$. It follows, moreover, from the previous arguments that no element of $K$ sends $H_{6}-H_{6}^{*}$, and hence
no element of the right coset $K *$ can fix $H_{6}$. Therefore, Aut ${ }^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right) \subseteq K$, and from the first paragraph of the proof we also have $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right) N=K$. The quotient $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right) /\left(\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right) \cap N\right)$ is isomorphic to $K / N$, an index 2 subgroup of $X / N \cong \mathcal{S}_{6} \cdot 2$. In particular $K / N$ contains $A_{6}$ as a normal subgroup of index 2 . Since the element $N \tau_{2} *$ does not lie in $A_{6}$ and does not centralise $A_{6}$ it follows that $K / N \cong \mathcal{S}_{6}$.

We have shown that $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ has a normal subgroup of order 3 with quotient isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_{6}$. The elements $\left(\tau_{2} *\right)^{\tau_{1}^{i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$ project onto a set of Coxeter generators for $\mathcal{S}_{6}$. With these generators, it is straightforward to construct a Sylow 3 -subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$. One such subgroup is generated by
$x:=([\bar{\omega}, 1, \omega, \omega, 1, \bar{\omega}](1,2,3), \quad[\omega, 1, \omega, 1, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}](1,4,6)(2,3,5))$
$y:=([\omega, \bar{\omega}, 1,1, \bar{\omega}, \omega](4,5,6), \quad[\omega, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega](1,4,6)(2,5,3))$.
A computation shows that $[x, y]=([\omega, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega],[\omega, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega, \omega])$. This shows that the commutator subgroup contains the normal subgroup of order 3, hence the extension is non-split. Elements of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(H)$ which map onto odd permutations act on $[x, y]$ by inversion. So the centraliser of this normal subgroup is of index 2 in Aut ${ }^{\circ}(H)$ : this is necessarily a non-split central extension $3 \cdot A_{6}$.

A perfect group $S$ has a largest non-split central extension $\hat{S}$ which is unique up to isomorphism. The center of $\hat{S}$ is the Schur multiplier of $S$, and every non-split central extension of $S$ is a quotient of $\hat{S}$. The number of generators of the Schur multiplier is bounded by $g-r$ where $g$ is the number of generators in a presentation of $S$ and $r$ is the number of relations. We refer the reader to Wiegold's survey on the Schur multiplier for proofs of all these results [15]. Since $A_{6}$ is shown in [3] to have the presentation

$$
\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}, b^{5}, a b a b^{-1} a b a b^{-1} a^{-1} b^{-1}\right\rangle,
$$

it follows that the Schur multiplier of $A_{6}$ is cyclic. Hence the non-split extension $3 . A_{6}$ is unique up to isomorphism.
Now, since $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(H)$ splits over $3 \cdot A_{6}$, we have that $3 \cdot A_{6}<\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(H)<\operatorname{Aut}\left(3 \cdot A_{6}\right)$. Suppose that $\xi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(3 \cdot A_{6}\right)$ such that the image of $\xi$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{6}\right)$ is the trivial automorphism. Let $\sigma \in 3 . A_{6}$ be an element of order 15, projecting onto a 5-cycle in $A_{6}$. Then $\sigma^{5}$ generates the central subgroup of order 3. Each coset of $\left\langle\sigma^{5}\right\rangle$ contains a unique element of order 5 , which is fixed by hypothesis. So either $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is fixed element-wise, or $\xi=*$. Moreover, any two subgroups of order 15 intersect in $\left\langle\sigma^{5}\right\rangle$, so the action of $\xi$ is identical on all 5 -cycles. Since the 5 -cycles generate $A_{6}$, the action of $\xi$ is completely determined.

So each choice of actions on 3 and on $A_{6}$ determines at most one isomorphism class of groups. It follows that Aut ${ }^{\circ}(H)$ is uniquely described as the group of shape $3 . \mathcal{S}_{6}$ with trivial center.

The projection of $\rho_{1}\left(\right.$ Aut $\left.^{\circ}(H) \cap X_{0}\right)$ is clearly a faithful linear representation of $3 . A_{6}$ over the complex numbers, completing the proof.

In fact, 3. $A_{6}$ is the largest subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ admitting a faithful 6 -dimensional representation over $\mathbb{C}$. So this is $\operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{6}\right)$. A useful way to understand the actions of $X$ and of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ is via a permutation action on 18 points, which we now describe. Let $P_{1}=\tau_{1}^{\rho_{1}}$ and $P_{2}=\tau_{2}^{\rho_{1}}$, and define the following $18 \times 6$ matrices:

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{r}
H \\
\omega H \\
\omega^{2} H
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{r}
H^{*} \\
\omega H^{*} \\
\omega^{2} H^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 18$, let $\operatorname{Row}_{i}\left(M_{j}\right)$ denote the $i$ th row of $M_{j}$ (where $j=1,2$ ). Let $P_{1}$ act on the rows of $M_{1}$, and similarly the rows of $M_{2}$, as follows:

$$
P_{1} \cdot M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{r}
P_{1} H \\
\omega P_{1} H \\
\omega^{2} P_{1} H
\end{array}\right)
$$

By letting $P_{2}$ act on the rows of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ in a similar manner, we find that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ act in the same way on the rows of $M_{1}$ and the rows of $M_{2}$, and hence act on the set $\Omega(18):=\left\{\left\{\operatorname{Row}_{i}\left(M_{1}\right), \operatorname{Row}_{i}\left(M_{2}\right)\right\} \mid i=1, \ldots, 18\right\}$. Also, letting $*$ act as complex conjugation on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, we see that $*$ also induces a permutation of $\Omega$ (18). Thus
$\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $*$ all induce permutations of $\Omega(18)$ and, identifying $\left\{\operatorname{Row}_{i}\left(M_{1}\right), \operatorname{Row}_{i}\left(M_{2}\right)\right\}$ with $i$, for each $i$, we get a permutation representation of $X$ on 18 points with the following generating permutations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} & =(2,3,4,5,6)(8,9,10,11,12)(14,15,16,17,18), \\
\tau_{2} & =(1,2)(3,15,9)(4,10,16)(5,11,17)(6,18,12)(7,8)(13,14), \\
* & =(7,13)(8,14)(9,15)(10,16)(11,17)(12,18) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The kernel of $X$ in this action is the subgroup of $N$ of order $3^{5}$ consisting of pairs with trivial first component. The restriction to $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ is faithful, however. One could construct a faithful action of $X$ by taking the permutation action induced by its action on the rows of $H_{6}$ together with the induced action on columns.

Remark 3 The matrix $H_{6}$ and the group $3 . A_{6}$ can be realised over any field $k$ for which $k^{\times}$has a subgroup of order 3. In the case that $k$ is the finite field of order 4, the rows of $H_{6}$ span the Hexacode, introduced by Conway as part of a construction for the group $M_{12}$. It is discussed in detail in Sect. 11.2 of [5]. In particular, this code is the extended quadratic residue code with parameters $(6,3,4)$. Uniqueness can easily be verified by hand: observe that the punctured code is the Hamming $(5,3,3)$ code, which is unique, and that any pair of one-bit extensions which increase the minimum distance are isomorphic. The 6 -dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-representation of $3 \cdot A_{6}$ has been previously described in the literature, normally via its action on a set of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{6}$ derived from the hexacode. In particular, Wilson gives the action of $3 \cdot A_{6}$ on certain vectors of weight 4 in Sect. 2.7.4 of [16].

## 3 The Outer Automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$

Finally we construct the outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ over the split-quaternions. Recall that the split-quaternions are a 4-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-algebra with basis $[1, i, \beta, \beta i]$ where $[1, i]$ generates the usual algebra of complex numbers and $\beta^{2}=1, i^{\beta}=-i$. We denote the split quaternions by $\mathbb{B}$. They admit an $\mathbb{R}$-linear representation generated by
$i \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \beta \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
Observe that Aut $^{\circ}\left(H_{6}\right)$ admits a $\mathbb{B}$-linear representation if and only if $*$ does, and that the latter is realised by $\left(\beta I_{6}, \beta I_{6}\right)$.

Since $H_{6}$ is invertible over $\mathbb{C}$, it is invertible over $\mathbb{B}$. Now, rearranging the matrix equation $H_{6}^{\tau_{2} *}=H_{6}$, and using the same notation as before for monomial matrices, we obtain that
$H_{6}[[\beta, \beta, \beta \bar{\omega}, \beta \omega, \beta \omega, \beta \bar{\omega}](1,2)(3,6)(4,5)] H_{6}^{-1}=[[\beta, \beta, \beta \omega, \beta \bar{\omega}, \beta \bar{\omega}, \beta \omega](1,2)]$.
Note that $(\beta \omega)^{2}=(\beta \bar{\omega})^{2}=1$ so that the matrix on the right hand side of the above equation is an involution.
As was the case over the complex numbers, $H_{6}$ intertwines the projections $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$. We observe that for any $g \in \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(H)$, we have that $g^{\rho_{1}}=H_{6} g^{\rho_{2}} H_{6}^{-1}$. But, as illustrated above, $\tau_{2}^{\rho_{1} \pi}$ is a 2-cycle, while the projection $\tau_{2}^{\rho_{2} \pi}$ is a product of 3 disjoint 2 -cycles. We conclude that the representations $\rho_{1} \pi$ and $\rho_{2} \pi$ of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ cannot be conjugate. Thus whereas the permutation representations of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$ on 6 points are not equivalent, and the monomial representations of $3 \cdot A_{6}$ are not equivalent, we have constructed two explicit $\mathbb{B}$-linear representations of $3 \cdot \mathcal{S}_{6}$ which are equivalent under conjugation by $H_{6}$. Moreover, although the representation is not defined over $\mathbb{C}$, the intertwiner $H_{6}$ is.

Theorem 4 There exists an irreducible 6 -dimensional monomial representation of $3 \cdot \mathcal{S}_{6}$ over the split-quaternions. Two conjugate representations of $3 \cdot \mathcal{S}_{6}$ intertwined by the complex Hadamard matrix $H_{6}$ give an explicit construction for the outer automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{6}$.
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