ERRATUM



Erratum to: Dzhuraev's Formulas and Poly-Bergman Kernels on Domains Möbius Equivalent to a Disk

Luís V. Pessoa

Published online: 2 October 2014

© Springer Basel 2014

Erratum to: Complex Anal Oper Theory (2013) 7:193–220 DOI 10.1007/s11785-010-0119-9

We proceed with the necessary modifications in the hypotheses of the auxiliary result **Proposition 3.5** of the originally published article.

Theorem 1.1 Let \mathcal{H}_k (k = 1, 2, 3) be Hilbert spaces and let $P : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ and $V : \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_3$ be partial isometries with initial spaces N_1 and N_2 and final spaces M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Then VP is a partial isometry if and only if the projections V^*V and PP^* commute. If VP is a partial isometry, then its initial and final spaces are $P^*(N_2 \cap M_1)$ and $V(N_2 \cap M_1)$, respectively.

Theorem 1.1 corrects Proposition 3.5 of the original article. In the original article the mentioned proposition works as an auxiliary result for the proofs of some of the main results of the paper. It is used there to compute the initial and final spaces of the following partial isometries (see above Proposition 3.6 of the original article and Corollary 3.9)

$$T_n := \chi_{\Omega} S^* \chi_{\mathbb{D}} S_n \chi_{\Omega}$$
 and $(S_{\Omega}^*)^k T_n$ $(n, k = 1, ...)$.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s11785-010-0119-9.

This work was partially supported by FCT project PEst-OE/MAT/UI4032/2011.

L. V. Pessoa (⊠)

Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico,

Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

e-mail: lpessoa@math.ist.utl.pt



L. V. Pessoa

For the reader convenience, in the following result we explain how to justify the validity of the hypothesis missing in Proposition 3.5 of the original article, for each use of the later Proposition. To begin with, we introduce the following definition

$$T_{j,n} := \chi_{\Omega} S_j \chi_{\mathbb{D}} S_n \chi_{\Omega} \quad (j, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\pm}).$$

For n = 1, 2, ..., we note that $T_n = T_{1,n}$.

Theorem 1.2 Let k and m be positive integers and let j and n be non-zero integers. Then $T_{j,n}$ and $(S_{\Omega}^*)^k T_{m,n}$ are partial isometries on $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof Define the following operator

$$V_j: L^2(\mathbb{D}) \to L^2(\Omega), \quad V_j:=\chi_{\Omega} S_j \chi_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

We known that a bounded operator P between Hilbert spaces is a partial isometry if and only if P^*P is a projection. Thus, that \mathcal{V}_j is a partial isometry follows from the Dzhuraev's formulas over the unit disk \mathbb{D} , which are equivalent to $B_{\mathbb{D},j} = \mathcal{V}_j^* \mathcal{V}_j$ (see also Proposition 3.3 of the original article). Moreover, $T_{j,n}$ coincides with the product of the partial isometries $\mathcal{V}_j \mathcal{V}_{-n}^*$. Since $B_{\mathbb{D},j} = \mathcal{V}_j^* \mathcal{V}_j$ and $B_{\mathbb{D},-n} = \mathcal{V}_{-n}^* \mathcal{V}_{-n}^*$ and we know that the projections $B_{\mathbb{D},j}$ and $B_{\mathbb{D},-n}$ commute (see [1, Lemma 3.1]) then from Theorem 1.1 it follows that $T_{j,n}$ is a partial isometry. Finally we show that $(S_{\Omega}^*)^k T_{m,n}$ is a partial isometry. Since $T_{m,n}$ is a partial isometry, then $T_{m,n}T_{m,n}^*$ is a projection whose image is contained in the final space of $\chi_{\Omega}S_m\chi_{\mathbb{D}}$, which by Theorem 2.8 of the original article we know that is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{-m}^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, $(S_{\Omega})^k (S_{\Omega}^*)^k$ is the projection onto the orthogonal space of $\mathcal{D}_k(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{D}_k(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{A}_k^2(\Omega)$ (see Corollary 3.4 of the original article) and $B_{\Omega,k}B_{\Omega,-m} = 0$ (see Corollary 2.6 of the original article) then the final space of $T_{m,n}$ is contained in the initial space of $(S_{\Omega}^*)^k$. From Theorem 1.1 we then obtain that $(S_{\Omega}^*)^k T_{m,n}$ is a partial isometry.

Reference

 Karlovich, Yu.I., Pessoa, L.V.: Poly-Bergman projections and orthogonal decompositions of L²-spaces over bounded domains. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 181, 263–282 (2008)