



## Response to Letter to the Editor: Single-Anastomosis Gastric Bypass (SAGB): Appraisal of Clinical Evidence (OBES SURG DOI 10.1007/s11695-014-1369-9)

Yu-Hung Lin · Wei-Jei Lee

Published online: 27 August 2014  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Firstly, we would like to thank Prof. Deitel, Dr. Kular, and Prof. Chevallier for their comments on the controversy on renaming mini-gastric bypass (MGB). From the letter, we could identify several names of this procedure, so it is easy to get confused from the society. The purpose of renaming is to unify the names and make it easier to be identified in scientific publications or communications. For the scientific committee, “single-anastomosis” seems to be more favorable, so it is used for the topic of the upcoming post-graduate course in Montreal. And this might be a chance for

the society to raise a discussion about which one of them we are going to use.

The MGB procedure has been used increasingly worldwide. There are still controversies on many aspects of this procedure. One of the most important purposes of this invited review is to make a comprehensive review of this procedure on a scientific base.

**Conflict of Interest** Dr. Wei-Jei Lee and Dr. Yu-Hung Lin have no conflict of interest.

---

Y.-H. Lin · W.-J. Lee (✉)  
Department of Surgery, Min-Sheng General Hospital, National Taiwan University, No. 168, Chin Kuo Road, Taoyuan, Taiwan, Republic of China  
e-mail: wjlee\_obessurg\_tw@yahoo.com.tw

Y.-H. Lin  
e-mail: adrianlin107@gmail.com

Y.-H. Lin  
Department of Surgery, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, No. 123, Dapi Road, Niasung District, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China