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The goal of this addendum is to generalize the argument of the original article [5] to handle the case of Cartwright-Steger surface, and to correct misprints in the tables in [5].

It is known that 3 is the smallest Euler number achievable by a smooth surface of general type. Moreover, smooth surfaces of general type with $c_{2}=3$ are complex ball quotients $B_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Pi$ consisting of 100 fake projective planes and 2 Cartwright-Steger surfaces. The list corresponds to 51 choices of $\Pi$, each of which gives rise to two non-biholomorphic complex structures. We refer the readers to $[3,4,6]$ for the above results. Natural examples of exotic

$$
p P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# q \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}
$$

with some relatively small $p$ and $q$ are obtained from the list of fake projective planes with non-trivial automorphisms and are tabulated in [5]. Our first goal in this addendum is to complete the picture by showing that the Cartwright-Steger surface gives rise to some exotic manifold as well, albeit different from the examples in [5].

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a Cartwright-Steger surface. Let $N$ be the quotient of $M$ by its automorphism group. Let $Y$ be the minimal resolution of singularities of $N$. Then $Y$ gives rise to an exotic

$$
3 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 17 \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}
$$

Here a manifold $A$ is said to be an exotic $B$ if $A$ is homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to $B$. We need the following lemma. From this point on, we denote by $M$ the Cartwright-Steger surface.
Lemma 1. The Cartwright-Steger surface $M$ has the following properties:
(a) The automorphism group of $M$,

$$
H:=\operatorname{Aut}(M)=\mathbb{Z}_{3},
$$

the cyclic group of order 3 .
(b) The fixed point set of $H$ consists of 3 fixed points of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,1)$ and 6 fixed points of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2)$.
(c) The quotient $N:=M / H$ is a simply-connected orbifold.

Proof. (a) follows from the work of [3], see [2, Theorem 2]. (b) follows from [2, Proposition 12], and is also known to Igor Dolgachev and Tim Steger. (c) is a consequence of the presentation of $\pi$ given in the references above. In fact,

$$
N=B_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \mathcal{N}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the normalizer of $\Pi$ in the maximal arithmetic group $\bar{\Gamma}$ in the commensurable class of $\Pi$. In the notation of [2, Theorem 2], $\mathcal{N}$ is generated by an element $j$ of order 4 , and $\Pi$, the latter is generated by three elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ which are of finite order. Hence $\mathcal{N}$ is generated by elements of finite order and the result follows from [1]. The author is indebted to Donald Cartwright for the presentation here.

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that $N$ as a quotient of $M$ is an orbifold with isolated singularities. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow N$ be a minimal resolution of singularities of $N$. The strategy is to compute the Euler number and index of $Y$ so that the fundamental results in geometric topology can be applied to conclude the proof as in [5].

Since $H$ acts with isolated singularities, the canonical line bundle $K_{N}$ on $N$ is Cartier with

$$
K_{N}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} K_{M}^{2}=3 .
$$

As $M$ has Euler number 3 and there are 9 fixed points of order 3 under the action of $H$, the Euler number of $N$ is

$$
e(N)=\frac{1}{3}(3-9)+9=7
$$

A singularity of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,1)$ is resolved to a $(-3)$ curve on $Y$, and a singularity of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,2)$ gives rise to a chain of two $(-2)$ curves on $Y$. Hence we have three $(-3)$ curves $E_{i}, i=1,2,3$ and three separate two chains of $(-2)$ curves $\left(F_{i 1}, F_{i 2}\right), i=1, \ldots, 6$, on $Y$. It follows that

$$
K_{Y}=\pi^{*} K_{N}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} E_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{6}\left(b_{j 1} F_{j 1}+b_{j 2} F_{j 2}\right)
$$

As $E_{i}$ and $F_{j k}$ are rational curves of self-intersection $(-3)$ and ( -2 respectively, we obtain the following from taking intersection of $K_{Y}$ with each curve $F_{j k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=K_{Y} \cdot F_{j 1}=b_{j 1}(-2)+b_{j 2}, \\
& 0=K_{Y} \cdot F_{j 2}=b_{j 1}+b_{j 2}(-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $b_{j k}=0$ for all $j$ and $k$. Similarly from intersection with $E_{i}$ and adjunction formula, we get

$$
1=K_{Y} \cdot E_{i}=a_{i}(-3)
$$

Hence,

$$
a_{i}=-\frac{1}{3}
$$

and

$$
K_{Y}=\pi^{*} K_{N}-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} E_{i}
$$

It follows that

$$
c_{1}(Y)^{2}=K_{Y} \cdot K_{Y}=3+\frac{1}{9}(3)(-3)=2 .
$$

Moreover, from Hurwitz formula,

$$
c_{2}(Y)=e(N)+3+2 \cdot 6=22
$$

Hence the index is given by

$$
\sigma(Y)=\frac{1}{3}\left(c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}\right)=-14
$$

As $E_{1}$ has self-intersection -3 , the quadratic form $Q_{Y}$ on $H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is odd. Hence Freedman's result as stated in [5, Theorem 2.1] implies that $Y$ is homeomorphic to $p P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# q \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}$ for some integers $p$ and $q$. Since $N$ and hence $Y$ is simply connected from Lemma 1,

$$
b_{1}(Y)=b_{3}(Y)=0
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p+q=c_{2}(Y)-2=20 \\
& p-q=\sigma(Y)=-14
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that

$$
p=3 \quad \text { and } \quad q=17
$$

Hence $Y$ is homeomorphic to $3 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 17 \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}$. On the other hand, from the result of Donaldson as stated in [5, Theorem 2.3], we conclude that any fourfold $M$ diffeomorphic to $3 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 17 \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}$ does not carry any complex structure. We conclude that $Y$ is an exotic $3 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 17 \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}$.

Here we correct some clerical errors from data entry in the two tables in [5]. No change is needed for the arguments there. We also tabulate the result for the Cartwright-Steger surface obtained in this addendum in Table 3.
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Table 1 corrections: Fake projective planes with $k=\mathbb{Q}$

| $(k, \ell, \mathcal{T})$ | Class | $M$ | $\|H\|$ | $M / H$ | $\pi_{1}(M / H)$ | Exotic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}),\{5\})$ | $(a=1, p=5,\{2\})$ | $\left(a=1, p=5,\{2\}, D_{3}\right)$ | 3 | $(a=1, p=5,\{2\})$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $7 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 27 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |
| $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}),\{3\})$ | $(a=2, p=3,\{2\})$ | $\left(a=2, p=3,\{2\}, D_{3}\right)$ | 3 | $(a=2, p=3,\{2\})$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $7 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 27 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |
| $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}),\{2\})$ | $(a=7, p=2, \emptyset)$ | $\left(a=7, p=2, \emptyset, 7_{21}\right)$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
|  |  | $\left(a=7, p=2, \emptyset, D_{3} X_{7}\right)$ | 3 | $\left(a=7, p=2, X_{7}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $11 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 41 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |
|  | $(a=7, p=2,\{7\})$ | $\left(a=7, p=2,\{7\}, D_{3} 2_{7}\right)$ | 3 | $\left(a=7, p=2,\{7\}, 2_{7}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $3 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 13 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 21 | $(a=7, p=2,\{7\})$ | $\{1\}$ | $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 9 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |
| $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}),\{2,3\})$ | $(a=7, p=2,\{3\})$ | $\left(a=7, p=2,\{3\}, 3_{3}\right)$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}),\{2\})$ | $(a=15, p=2,\{3\})$ | $\left(a=15, p=2,\{3\},(D 3)_{3}\right)$ | 3 | $(a=15, p=2,\{3\})$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $11 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 41 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |

Table 2 corrections: Fake projective planes with $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{Q}} k=2$

| $(k, \ell, \mathcal{T})$ | Class | $M$ | $\|H\|$ | $M / H$ | $\pi_{1}(M / H)$ | Exotic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathcal{C}_{10},\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right)$ | $\left(\mathcal{C}_{10}, p=2,\{17-\}\right)$ | $\left(\mathcal{C}_{10}, p=2,\{17-\}, D_{3}\right)$ | 3 | $\left(\mathcal{C}_{10}, p=2,\{17-\}\right)$ | $\{1\}$ | $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 6 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |
| $\left(\mathcal{C}_{18},\left\{v_{3}\right\}\right)$ | $\left(\mathcal{C}_{18}, p=3, \emptyset\right)$ | $\left(\mathcal{C}_{18}, p=3, \emptyset, d_{3} D_{3}\right)$ | 9 | $\left(\mathcal{C}_{18}, p=3, \emptyset\right)$ | $\{1\}$ | $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 8 \bar{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ |

Table 3 Cartwright-Steger surface

| $(k, \ell)$ | $\|H\|$ | $\pi_{1}(M / H)$ | Exotic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{-1}))$ | 3 | $\{1\}$ | $3 P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \# 17 \overline{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}$ |
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