

Erratum to: Welfare States and Social Support: An International Comparison

Livia García-Faroldi

Published online: 23 July 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Erratum to: Soc Indic Res DOI 10.1007/s11205-014-0671-1

Two small corrections about the tables the author had suggested in the proofs have not been included.

First, in Table 5, the Word COUNTRIES is in capital letter, instead of in lower case letter, as it appears in Tables 3 and 4. Second, in Tables 3, 4 and 5 the words Individual variables are in the upper part of the table with the categories of the dependent variables, but they should be included below, in the first row with the independent variables.

The tables should read:

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11205-014-0671-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0671-1).

L. García-Faroldi (✉)
Department of Sociology, Faculty of Communication, University of Málaga, Campus de Teatinos,
29071 Málaga, Spain
e-mail: lgarcia@uma.es

Table 3 Marginal effects of the probability of asking for domestic help among interviewees with a partner, both parents, or the mother living, and with close friends: multinomial logit model

	Partner	Mother	Offspring
Individual variables			
Sex (ref. woman)	0.088***	-.054***	-.034***
Age (ref. 35–44)			
18–24			
25–34			-.029***
45–54	0.033***	-.054***	0.021***
55–64	0.095***	-.112***	0.018**
65 and +			
Education (ref. secondary)			
Primary			0.014**
University		0.169**	-0.18***
Residence (ref. place of birth)			
Less than 5 years			
More than 5 years			0.011**
Habitat (ref. urban)			
Rural			
Religious practice (ref. infrequent observance)			
Nonobservant	-.017*	0.024**	
Medium observance			
High observance			
Network			
Visit/see sibling			
Visit/see father		0.002**	
Visit/see mother	-.034***	0.034***	
Size network of friends			
Countries (ref. Spain)			
Great Britain	0.141***	-.087***	-.054**
USA	0.069***	-.038***	-.031**
Canada	0.109***	-.087***	-.021**
New Zealand	0.170***	-.138***	-.032***
Austria	0.043***	-.029**	-.014*
West-Germany	0.044***		-.030***
East-Germany	0.090***	-.078***	
France	0.075***	-.049***	-.025***
Norway	0.165***	-.131***	-.034***
Denmark	0.101***	-.061***	-.039***
Finland	0.163***	-.132***	-.030***
Italy		0.029***	
Log likelihood	-1,215.0339		
Likelihood ratio test	1,581.58***		
Pseudo- R^2	0.394		
No. observations	4,761		

Sig. * $p \leq 0.1$; ** $p \leq 0.05$; *** $p \leq 0.01$. Source: ISSP (2001)

Table 4 Marginal effects of the probability of asking for economic help among interviewees with a partner, both parents, or the mother living, and with close friends: multinomial logit model

	Partner	Parents	Siblings
Individual variables			
Sex (ref. woman)	-.171***	0.135***	0.037***
Age (ref. 35–44)			
18–24	-.170***	0.207***	-.038*
25–34	-.064***	0.082***	
45–54	0.050**	-.065***	
55–64	0.205***	-.218***	
65 and +	0.524***	-.563***	
Education (ref. secondary)			
Primary			
University			-.026**
Residence (ref. place of birth)			
Less than 5 years			0.027*
More than 5 years			
Habitat (ref. urban)			
Rural			
Religious practice (ref. Infrequent observance)			
Nonobservant			
Medium observance			
High observance			
Network			
Visit/see sibling	0.010*	-.028***	0.018***
Visit/see father	-.013***	0.024***	-.011***
Visit/see mother	-.035***	0.040***	
Size network of friends	0.001*		-.001**
Countries (ref. Spain)			
Great Britain	0.089*		
USA	-.114**	0.098**	
Canada			
New Zealand			
Austria	0.151***	-.097**	-.053*
West-Germany			
East-Germany	-.121**	0.164***	
France		0.090**	-.041*
Norway	0.122***		-.066***
Denmark			-.069**
Finland	0.184***	-.158***	
Italy	-.152***	0.117***	0.034*
Log likelihood	-2,155.596		
Likelihood ratio test	730.74***		
Pseudo-R ²	0.145		
No. observations	2,847		

Sig. * $p \leq 0.1$; ** $p \leq 0.05$; *** $p \leq 0.01$. Source: ISSP (2001)

Table 5 Marginal effects of the probability of asking for emotional help among interviewees with a partner, both parents, or the mother living, and with close friends: multinomial logit model

	Partner	Mother	Close friend
Individual variables			
Sex (ref. woman)	0.177***	-.060***	-.117***
Age (ref. 35–44)			
18–24	-.065***	0.038***	
25–34		0.016*	-.027*
45–54	0.029*		
55–64	0.115***	-.055**	-.060**
65 and +	0.352**		-.345**
Education (ref. secondary)			
Primary			
University		-.021***	
Residence (ref. place of birth)			
Less than 5 years	0.061***		-.057***
More than 5 years			
Habitat (ref. urban)			
Rural			
Religious practice (ref. infrequent observance)			
Nonobservant	-.073***		0.071***
Medium observance			
High observance	0.049**		
Network			
Visit/see sibling			
Visit/see father		0.005**	
Visit/see mother	-.024***	0.012***	0.012**
Size network of friends	0.002**	-.002***	
Countries (ref. Spain)			
Great Britain			
USA	-.212***	-.044***	0.168***
Canada	-.061*	-.039*	0.100***
New Zealand			
Austria			
West-Germany			0.078**
East-Germany			
France	-.141***		0.125***
Norway		-.045**	
Denmark		-.043**	
Finland		-.047**	
Italy	-.264***		0.265***
Log likelihood	-2,762.6373		
Likelihood ratio test	768.88***		
Pseudo-R ²	0.122		
No. observations	4,344		

Sig. * $p \leq 0.1$; ** $p \leq 0.05$; *** $p \leq 0.01$. Source: ISSP (2001)