



# Correction to: Google Scholar, Microsoft Academic, Scopus, Dimensions, Web of Science, and OpenCitations' COCI: a multidisciplinary comparison of coverage via citations

Alberto Martín-Martín<sup>1</sup> · Mike Thelwall<sup>2</sup> · Enrique Orduna-Malea<sup>3</sup> · Emilio Delgado López-Cózar<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 4 December 2020  
© Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2020

## Correction to: Scientometrics

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03690-4>

In the original publication of the article, Fig. 5 was incorrectly published. The corrected version of Fig. 5 is given with this Correction.

The original article has been corrected.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03690-4>.

---

✉ Alberto Martín-Martín  
albertomartin@ugr.es

<sup>1</sup> Facultad de Comunicación y Documentación, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Statistical Cybermetrics Research Group, School of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton, UK

<sup>3</sup> Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain




Fig. 5 Distribution of citations that fall within each sector of the Venn diagrams that compare Google Scholar to Microsoft Academic and Dimensions. Calculated at the level of subject categories, and aggregated by subject areas