



Correction to: Analysis of journal evaluation indicators: an experimental study based on unsupervised Laplacian score

Lin Feng¹ · Jian Zhou¹ · Sheng-Lan Liu¹ · Ning Cai^{2,4} · Jie Yang³

Published online: 3 June 2020
© Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2020

Correction to: Scientometrics

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03422-8>

The original publication of the article contained error in Table 6 in the header row. The correct table is given below:

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03422-8>.

✉ Jian Zhou
zhoujian@mail.dlut.edu.cn

✉ Ning Cai
caining91@tsinghua.org.cn

Lin Feng
fenglin@dlut.edu.cn

Sheng-Lan Liu
liusl@dlut.edu.cn

Jie Yang
totoroyang@tsinghua.edu.cn

¹ School of Computer Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

² School of Automation, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China

³ Research Institute of Information Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

⁴ Key Laboratory of China's Ethnic Languages and Information Technology for Ministry of Education, Northwest Minzu University, Lanzhou 730030, China

Table 6 Laplacian scores, class correlations, and accuracy of k -NN classification of each feature in class 2

No.	Feature	Laplacian score	Class correlation	Accuracy of k -NN classification				
				$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$
1	JIF	0.9572	− 0.6533	0.5912	0.5955	0.6152	0.6002	0.6184
2	5-Year JIF	0.9186	− 0.5222	0.4295	0.4263	0.4184	0.4178	0.4163
3	CiteScore	0.9502	− 0.6494	0.5245	0.5259	0.5033	0.5184	0.5184
4	SJR	0.7728	− 0.5008	0.3000	0.3160	0.3155	0.3178	0.3133
5	SNIP	0.6980	− 0.4969	0.3225	0.3233	0.3108	0.3100	0.3126
6	H-index	0.9775	− 0.6304	0.5955	0.5984	0.5678	0.5879	0.5848

Bold indicates the best performance

The original article has been corrected.