

Correction to: The varieties of impartiality, or, would an egalitarian endorse the veil?

Justin P. Bruner¹ · Matthew Lindauer² 

Published online: 28 November 2018
© Springer Nature B.V. 2018

Correction to: Philos Stud <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-018-1190-8>

The citations of Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4 were placed after the figures in the original publication of the article. However, they should be placed prior the placement of figures.

The correct citations with the figures are given in this correction.
The original article was corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-018-1190-8>.

✉ Matthew Lindauer
matthew.lindauer@brooklyn.cuny.edu

¹ Department of Theoretical Philosophy, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Groningen, Oude Boteringestraat 52, Groningen, The Netherlands

² Department of Philosophy, Brooklyn College, City University of New York, 3308 Boylan Hall, 2502-2600 Campus Road, Brooklyn, NY 11210, USA

Figure 1 displays histograms for the three impartiality frames (*Thick*, *Spectator* and *Thin*):




Fig. 1 Histograms for the three impartiality frames

Figure 2 shows the mean ratings that participants gave to each impartiality frame:




Fig. 2 Mean ratings for each impartiality frame

Figure 3 displays histograms for three impartiality frames, first-person (*Thick*, *Spectator* and *Thin*):




Fig. 3 Histograms for the three first-person impartiality frames

Figure 4 shows the mean ratings given to each first-person impartiality frame:




Fig. 4 Mean ratings for each first-person impartiality frame