

Correction to: The semantic plights of the ante-rem structuralist

Bahram Assadian¹

Published online: 5 April 2018

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V., part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: Philos Stud

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-017-1001-7>

In the original publication of the article, footnote 17 was incorrectly published. The corrected footnote is given below.

Footnote 17:

Hellman (2001, pp. 193–6), Button and Walsh (2016, §2.3), and Ketland (ms.) have briefly discussed the permutation argument in the context of ante-rem structuralism. I will expand the argument and the structuralist's possible responses. Hellman's paper is the original source of the permutation argument in this context.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-017-1001-7>.

✉ Bahram Assadian
bassad01@mail.bbk.ac.uk

¹ Department of Philosophy, Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK