

Erratum to: Change in test-taking motivation and its relationship to test performance in low-stakes assessments

Christiane Penk¹ · Dirk Richter²

Published online: 19 November 2016

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Erratum to: Educ Asse Eval Acc
DOI 10.1007/s11092-016-9248-7

In the original version of this article, there is a typographical error in the acknowledgements section. The name should be “Sara J. Finney” and not “Sara J. Fin ney”. Also, in the Appendix section, the columns in Table 5 were switched. Kindly see below correct Table 5. The original article was corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11092-016-9248-7>.

✉ Christiane Penk
penk@dipf.de

¹ German Institute for International Educational Research (DIPF), Warschauer Str. 34-38, 10243 Berlin, Germany

² University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany & Institute for Educational Quality Improvement (IQB), Berlin, Germany

Table 5 Correlations of the growth parameters for effort, importance, probability of success, and self-concept in mathematics: the indirect effects and non-significant effects for model 2

| <i>Correlations</i> | <i>beta</i> | <i>(SE)</i> | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Effort intercept with effort slope | −0.23* | (0.00) | |
| Probability of success intercept with probability of success slope | 0.03 | (0.00) | |
| Importance intercept with importance slope | −0.08* | (0.00) | |
| Importance intercept with probability of success intercept | −0.02 | (0.00) | |
| Importance slope with probability of success slope | 0.34* | (0.00) | |
| Effort intercept with self-concept in mathematics | 0.05 | (0.01) | |
| Effort slope with self-concept in mathematics | −0.03 | (0.00) | |
| Importance intercept with self-concept in mathematics | 0.09* | (0.01) | |
| Importance slope with self-concept in mathematics | −0.01 | (0.00) | |
| <i>Indirect effects</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>(SE)</i> | <i>beta</i> |
| Performance on importance intercept via effort intercept | 0.25* | (0.03) | 0.13 |
| Performance on importance slope via effort slope | 0.10 | (0.13) | 0.02 |
| Performance on probability of success intercept via effort intercept | 0.10* | (0.01) | 0.03 |
| Performance on probability of success slope via effort slope | 0.04 | (0.05) | 0.01 |
| <i>Non-significant effects</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>(SE)</i> | <i>beta</i> |
| Performance on importance intercept | −0.09 | (0.04) | −0.05 |
| Performance on importance slope | 0.06 | (0.18) | 0.01 |
| Performance on effort slope | 0.16 | (0.20) | 0.03 |
| Probability of success slope on self-concept in mathematics | 0.00 | (0.01) | −0.02 |

b unstandardized regression coefficient, *SE* standard error, *beta* standardized regression coefficient

* $p < .001$