



## Correction to: One Step is Enough

David Ripley<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 20 December 2021  
© Springer Nature B.V. 2021

### Erratum to: J Philos Logic

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10992-021-09615-7>

In this article, references 63 to 71 and their citations should have been (please see below):

63. Cobreros, P., Égré, P., Ripley, D., & van Rooij, R. (2012). Tolerant, classical, strict. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 41(2), 347–385.
64. Ripley, D. (2012). Conservatively extending classical logic with transparent truth. *Review of Symbolic Logic*, 5(2), 354–378.
65. Ripley, D. (2013). Revising up: strengthening classical logic in the face of paradox. *Philosophers' Imprint*, 13(5), 1–13.
66. Ripley, D. (2013). Sorting out the sorites. In K. Tanaka, F. Berto, E. Mares, & F. Paoli (Eds.) *Paraconsistency: logic and applications* (pp. 329–348). Dordrecht: Springer.
67. Cobreros, P., Égré, P., Ripley, D., & van Rooij, R. (2013). Reaching transparent truth. *Mind*, 122(488), 841–866.
68. Ripley, D. (2015). Comparing substructural theories of truth. *Ergo*, 2(13), 299–328.
69. French, R. & Ripley, D. (2019). Two traditions in abstract model theory. *Synthese*. To appear.
70. French, R. & Ripley, D. (2019). Valuations: bi, tri, and tetra. *Studia Logica*, 107(6), 1313–1346.

The original article has been corrected.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10992-021-09615-7>

✉ David Ripley  
davewripley@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Philosophy Department SOPHIS Building 11, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia