

Exchangeable min-id sequences: Characterization, exponent measures and non-decreasing id-processes

Florian Brück¹ · Jan-Frederik Mai² · Matthias Scherer¹

Received: 7 March 2022 / Revised: 29 September 2022 / Accepted: 30 September 2022 / Published online: 17 December 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

We establish a one-to-one correspondence between (i) exchangeable sequences of random variables whose finite-dimensional distributions are minimum (or maximum) infinitely divisible and (ii) non-negative, non-decreasing, infinitely divisible stochastic processes. The exponent measure of an exchangeable minimum infinitely divisible sequence is shown to be the sum of a very simple "drift measure" and a mixture of product probability measures, which uniquely corresponds to the Lévy measure of a non-negative and non-decreasing infinitely divisible process. The latter is shown to be supported on non-negative and non-decreasing functions. In probabilistic terms, the aforementioned infinitely divisible process is equal to the conditional cumulative hazard process associated with the exchangeable sequence of random variables with minimum (or maximum) infinitely divisible marginals. Our results provide an analytic umbrella which embeds the de Finetti subfamilies of many interesting classes of multivariate distributions, such as exogenous shock models, exponential and geometric laws with lack-of-memory property, min-stable multivariate exponential and extreme-value distributions, as well as reciprocal Archimedean copulas with completely monotone generator and Archimedean copulas with log-completely monotone generator.

Keywords Chronometer \cdot de Finetti representation \cdot Exponent measure \cdot Infinitely divisible stochastic processes \cdot min/max-id sequences

AMS 2000 Subject Classifications $60G99 \cdot 60E07$

Jan-Frederik Mai Jan-Frederik.Mai@xaia.com

Matthias Scherer scherer@tum.de

² XAIA Investment GmbH, München, Germany

Florian Brück florian.brueck@tum.de

¹ Lehrstuhl f
ür Finanzmathematik, Technische Universit
ät M
ünchen, Parkring 11, Garching 857480, Germany

1 Introduction

The present article bridges the gap between two well-established theories: exchangeable sequences of minimum/maximum infinitely divisible (min-id/max-id) random variables on the one hand and non-negative and non-decreasing (nnnd) infinitely divisible stochastic processes on the other hand. So far, these topics are studied in (mostly) separate communities and we seek to address both. On the one hand, our results can be understood as a particular application of the theory of infinitely divisible (id) processes. General id-processes are studied in Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006), Kabluchko and Stoev (2016), Rosiński (2018), related literature concerned with specific subfamilies comprises Skorohod (1991), Bertoin (1999), Sato (1999), Mansuy (2005), Hakassou and Ouknine (2012, 2013), Kopp and Molchanov (2018), Mai and Scherer (2019). The article Rosiński (2018) unifies the literature by establishing a general analytical apparatus to deal with id-processes by means of a Lévy measure on the path space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. We refine these results by restricting our attention to processes with nnnd càdlàg paths, but remain totally general aside from this assumption. On the other hand, finite-dimensional probability distributions that are max-(or min-)id naturally arise as limit laws of suitably scaled maxima of independent random vectors, see Hüsler (1989), a textbook account being Resnick (2007). Such distributions and prominent subfamilies, like max- (or min-) stable laws, are wellestablished in the applied probability and statistics literature, see e.g. Balkema and Resnick (1977), Marshall and Olkin (1990), Alzaid and Proschan (1994), Joe and Hu (1996), Mulinacci (2015), Genest et al. (2018), and have recently gained interest in the modeling of spatial extremes, see e.g. Padoan (2013), Huser et al. (2018), Bopp et al. (2020), Huser et al. (2021). In analytical terms, such probability distributions are canonically described by a so-called exponent measure and the work of Vatan (1985) generalizes this framework to infinite sequences of random variables.

In our article, we apply de Finetti's Theorem, see de Finetti (1931), (Aldous 1985, Chapter 1.3) to derive a correspondence between nnnd càdlàg id-processes and exchangeable sequences of random variables, all of whose finite-dimensional distributions are min- (or max-)id. In fact, given an nnnd càdlàg id-process $H = (H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, we may define an infinite exchangeable sequence $X = (X_1, X_2, ...)$ of min-id random variables via the almost sure relation

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg(\bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \{X_i > t_i\} \mid H\bigg) = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-H_{t_i}\right), \ (t_1, t_2, \ldots) \in [-\infty, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$
(1)

The sequence $X = (X_1, X_2, ...)$ is a conditionally (on H) i.i.d. sequence with conditional marginal survival function $t \mapsto \exp(-H_t)$,¹ where $H_{-\infty}$ is defined as the almost sure limit $\lim_{t\to-\infty} H_t$. While we may plug in arbitrary nnnd càdlàg id-processes H on the right-hand side of Eq. (1), we prove that one actually obtains each exchangeable min-id sequence X on the left-hand side of Eq. (1) via this construction, i.e. we prove a one-to-one correspondence between exchangeable min-id sequences and nnnd càdlàg id-processes.

¹ This follows from (1) by choosing $(t_1, t_2, ...)$ such that all but one t_i are equal to $-\infty$.

The assumption of (infinite) exchangeability often appears in practical applications when the overall complexity of the model needs to be limited. For example, if a highdimensional multivariate phenomenon cannot be modeled by i.i.d. components, but further information about the dependence structure of the margins is missing, it is often reasonable to assume exchangeability of the components, as it provides an appealing scheme for analytical computation and simulation. The assumption of exchangeability is convenient in such situations, since it preserves flexibility between independence and full dependence. Moreover, even when there are legitimate reasons to believe that the modeled margins are not exchangeable, it is often reasonable to divide the modeled components into homogeneous subgroups, which are intrinsically exchangeable. Building a hierarchical model which has exchangeable subgroups allows to maintain a quite simple dependence structure inside these subgroups, while the non-exchangeable dependence structure between subgroups remains tractable.

A particular advantage of min- (or max-)id distributions in the modeling of extreme events is their flexible dependence structure. For example, the so-called extremal coefficient, which measures the distance of the model to the model of independent components at a fixed threshold, can actually be chosen to be threshold-dependent, a feature that is often discovered in real world data sets, see e.g. Huser et al. (2021). On the other hand, if one would resort to classical min-stable models, such features cannot be modeled, since the extremal coefficient of min-stable models with identical margins is known to be independent of the chosen threshold. Moreover, going from min-stability to min-infinite divisibility comes essentially without additional mathematical technicalities, while min-id models easily incorporate arbitrary marginal distributions in contrast to the restricted flex-ibility of marginal distributions in min-stable models.

Regarding the analytical treatments of H and X, we characterize the Lévy measures of nnnd càdlàg id-processes H as precisely those which are concentrated on nnnd paths and characterize the exponent measures of exchangeable min-id sequences X as precisely those that are the sum of some simple "drift measure" and a (possibly infinite) mixture of product probability measures. Figure 1 summarizes our findings in a nutshell:

For many subfamilies of id-processes, there exist well-established theories and applications on the stochastic process level. The relation between the nnnd instances of these subfamilies with the multivariate probability laws of X via de Finetti's Theorem has been explored in several previous articles, which are unified and extended by the present work. Firstly, if H is a non-decreasing Lévy process (aka Lévy subordinator), the finite-dimensional distributions of the corresponding sequence X are so-called Marshall-Olkin distributions, a result first found in Mai and Scherer (2011), re-discovered and further explored in Sun et al. (2017). Secondly and slightly more general, if H is an nnnd additive process (aka additive subordinator), the survival function defined by $t \mapsto \exp(-H_t)$ is called a neutral-to-the-right prior in non-parametric Bayesian statistics. The resulting non-parametric Bayesian estimation techniques are explored, e.g., in Kalbfleisch (1978), Hjort (1990), Epifani et al. (2003), Regazzini et al. (2003), James et al. (2009), James (2005), with the most prominent representative being the Dirichlet process developed by Ferguson (1973). The finite-dimensional distributions of the associated exchangeable sequence X are shown to correspond to exogenous shock models in Mai et al. (2016), Sloot (2020). A special case of particular interest is obtained in case H is a Sato subordinator, leading to a characterization



Fig. 1 Correspondences of exchangeable min-id sequences (top, left), exponent measures (bottom, left), non-decreasing and non-negative càdlàg id-processes (top, right), and Lévy measures (bottom, right)

of self-decomposability on the half-line in terms of multivariate distribution functions in Mai et al. (2017). Thirdly, if H is non-decreasing and strongly infinitely divisible with respect to time (aka time stable/strong-idt), see e.g. Mansuy (2005), Hakassou and Ouknine (2013), Kopp and Molchanov (2018), the finite-dimensional distributions of X are shown to be min-stable in Mai and Scherer (2014), Mai (2020). Figure 2 summarizes the correspondences.



Fig. 2 Embedding of established correspondences of nnnd càdlàg processes and exchangeable sequences into the present framework

It is worth noting that our framework is general enough to include non-continuous min-id distributions, which correspond to id-processes H that are not stochastically continuous. While this stands in glaring contrast to most of the aforementioned references and might on first glimpse be accompanied by technical problems, our derivations show that a distinction between stochastically continuous and non-continuous processes is not a crucial technical obstacle, but rather a distinctive feature to study after a general theory is established.

1.1 Structure of the manuscript

In Sect. 2, we recall the most important results about min-id distributions and characterize their exchangeable subclass. Furthermore, we characterize nnnd infinitely divisible stochastic processes by their Lévy measure and drift. As a byproduct, we show that every càdlàg id-process can be represented as the sum of i.i.d. càdlàg processes, which solves an open problem posed by Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006). Section 3 provides the main contributions of this work. First, we show that each exchangeable min-id sequence uniquely corresponds to an nnnd infinitely divisible càdlàg process. Second, we show that the exponent measure of an exchangeable min-id sequence is given by the sum of a simple drift measure and a mixture of product probability measures. In Sect. 4 we present some important examples of exchangeable min-id sequences and embed them into our framework. A summary of the key findings is given in Sect. 5. All longer proofs are deferred to Appendix 1.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

The following notation is used throughout this paper. A topological space (S, τ) is always equipped with its Borel σ -algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{B}(S)$. Upon existence, we frequently use the notation 0_S to refer to the neutral element w.r.t. addition in S. The letters \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{N}_0 denote the real numbers, (extended) real numbers including $\pm \infty$, rational numbers, natural numbers, and natural numbers including 0, all equipped with their standard topologies. For $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ denotes the space of A-valued sequences equipped with the product (subspace-)topology. Vectors and sequences are written in bold letters to distinguish them from scalars. The symbols $>, \geq, <$, and \leq are understood componentwise, e.g. for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$ means that $x_i < y_i$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. Similarly, for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define max (resp. min) $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$ are applied componentwise. For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we define max (resp. min, sup, inf) A as the componentwise maximum (resp. minimum, supremum, infimum) of elements in A, where min $\emptyset := \inf \emptyset := \infty$ and max $\emptyset := \sup \emptyset := -\infty$. Moreover, for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}_i]$, where $[a_i, b_i]$ denotes a closed interval. The obvious modifications apply to $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, and $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$. The function $\mathbf{1}_A(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the indicator function of a set A.

D(T) (resp. $D^{\infty}(T)$) denotes the space of real-valued (resp. extended real-valued) càdlàg functions, i.e. right-continuous functions with left limits, which are indexed by a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}$. $D^{\infty}(T)$ is always equipped with the (Borel) σ -algebra generated by the finite dimensional

projections, i.e. $\mathcal{B}(D^{\infty}(T)) := \sigma(\{ \{x \in D^{\infty}(T) \mid (x(t_1), \dots, x(t_d)) \in A \}, (t_i)_{1 \le i \le d} \subset T, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), d \in \mathbb{N} \})$. Note that this σ -algebra is a Borel σ -algebra, since it can be generated as the Borel σ -algebra of a topology on $D^{\infty}(T)$. Similarly, D(T) is equipped with the subspace (Borel) σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(D(T)) := \mathcal{B}(D^{\infty}(T)) \cap D(T)$. The function $0_{D^{\infty}(T)} := (0)_{t \in T}$ denotes the function which vanishes everywhere. A càdlàg process indexed by *T* denotes a random element $H \in D^{\infty}(T)$. Sometimes *H* is also referred to as $(H_t)_{t \in T}$ to emphasize the stochastic process character. H_t refers to the extended real-valued random variable obtained by projecting *H* at "time" *t*.

We write $X \sim Y$ to denote that two random elements X and Y are identical in distribution, even though X and Y do not need to be defined on the same probability space. If the probability space is not explicitly specified, we adopt the usual notation and denote the probability measure as \mathbb{P} . The distribution function F of a random vector $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as $F(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(X_d \leq \mathbf{x})$. The survival function \overline{F} of X_d is defined as $\overline{F}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(X_d \leq \mathbf{x})$, where "{" is interpreted as "(" if $x_i > -\infty$ and "{" is interpreted as "[" if $x_i = -\infty$. We frequently write $X_d \sim F$ (resp. $X_d \sim \overline{F}$) to denote that the random vector X_d has distribution (resp. survival) function F (resp. \overline{F}). A random variable has exponential distribution with mean $1/\lambda \geq 0$ if it has survival function $\overline{F}(x) = \exp(-\lambda x \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x))$. The terms min- (resp. max-) id random vector and min- (resp. max-)id distribution will be used synonymously, depending on whether we refer to a random vector or its associated distribution.

In slight abuse of the common terminology we say that a measure μ is supported on a set $A \subset \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ if $\mu(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus A) = 0$.

2.2 Exchangeable min- and max-id distributions

First, let us recall the definition of exchangeable and extendible random vectors and exchangeable sequences.

Definition 2.1 (Exchangeable and extendible random vector/sequence)

- 1. A random vector $X_d = (X_{d,1}, \dots, X_{d,d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is exchangeable if $X_d \sim (X_{d,\pi(1)}, \dots, X_{d,\pi(d)})$ for all permutations π on $\{1, \dots, d\}$. A random sequence $X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is exchangeable if all its finite dimensional marginal distributions are exchangeable.
- 2. An exchangeable random vector $X_d = (X_{d,1}, \dots, X_{d,d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is extendible if there exists an exchangeable sequence $X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (possibly defined on a different probability space) satisfying $X_d \sim (X_1, \dots, X_d)$.

Extendible random vectors form a proper subclass of exchangeable random vectors, since there exist many exchangeable random vectors which are not extendible. For example, consider a random vector $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which follows a bivariate normal distribution with negative correlation.² (Aldous 1985, p. 7) shows that exchangeable

 $^{^{2}}$ Another example being an Archimedean copula with a *d*-monotone generator that is not completely monotone.

sequences of random variables necessarily have non-negative correlation. Therefore, X_2 is exchangeable but not extendible to an exchangeable sequence. It is also worth noting that an extendible random vector X may be extendible to more than one exchangeable sequence. On the other side, de Finetti's Theorem provides a unique stochastic representation of exchangeable sequences and thus also characterizes extendible random vectors.

Theorem 2.2 (De Finetti's Theorem, De Finetti (1931))

A sequence $X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is exchangeable if and only if there exists an nnnd stochastic process $H \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sim \left(\inf\{t\in\mathbb{R} \mid H_t \ge E_i\}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}},\tag{2}$$

where $(E_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. unit exponential random variables independent of *H*. *X* has survival function

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_1 > t_1, X_2 > t_2, \ldots\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-H_{t_i}}\right], \ \boldsymbol{t} = (t_1, t_2, \ldots) \in [-\infty, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Moreover, the distribution of the process H is uniquely determined by the distribution of X and vice versa.

De Finetti's Theorem can be refined for exchangeable sequences with continuous marginal distribution.

Corollary 2.3 (Exchangeable sequences with continuous marginal distribution correspond to stochastically continuous càdlàg processes)

The sequence $X \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ from Eq. (2) has continuous marginal distributions if and only if H is stochastically continuous.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

Next, we recall the most important results about min- and max-id distributions. We mainly follow (Resnick 2007, Chapter 5) and translate the results from max-id random vectors on \mathbb{R}^d to min-id random vectors on $(-\infty, \infty]^d$. Many of these translations are straightforward, but some lurking technical subtleties need to be considered and are emphasized in the upcoming paragraphs. We start with the formal definition of min- (resp. max-)id random vectors/sequences. There are at least two equivalent definitions that will be used frequently throughout the paper. Therefore, they are presented jointly.

Definition 2.4 (Min-id distribution / Max-id distribution)

- 1. A random vector $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is minimum-infinitely divisible (min-id) if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist i.i.d. random vectors $(X_d^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n}^3$ such that $X_d \sim \min_{1 \le i \le n} X_d^{(i,1/n)}$. Equivalently, the survival function \overline{F} of a random vector $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is min-id if \overline{F}^t is a survival function for every t > 0.
- 2. A random vector $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is maximum-infinitely divisible (max-id) if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist i.i.d. random vectors $(X_d^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n}$ such that $X_d \sim \max_{1 \le i \le n} X_d^{(i,1/n)}$. Equivalently, the distribution function *F* of a random vector $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is max-id if F^t is a distribution function for every t > 0.

Similarly, a sequence $X \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called min- (resp. max-)id if $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_d})$ is a min- (resp. max-)id random vector for every $(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

Every univariate random variable $X \in \mathbb{R}$ is min- and max-id. However, already for $d \ge 2$ it is certainly not trivial to decide whether a given random vector or survival (resp. distribution) function is min- (resp. max-)id. For example, a bivariate normal distribution with negative correlation is not min- (resp. max-)id, since min-(resp. max-)id random vectors necessarily exhibit non-negative correlation (Resnick 2007, Proposition 5.29).

An important property of the class of min- and max-id distributions is their distributional closure under monotone marginal transformations. This fact is summarized in the following lemma, which is a slight extension of (Resnick 2007, Proposition 5.2 iii).

Lemma 2.5 (Class of min- and max-id distributions is closed under monotone transformations)

Let X_d (resp. Y_d) $\in \mathbb{R}^d$ denote a min- (resp. max-)id random vector. The following statements are valid.

- 1. $Let(f_i)_{1 \le i \le d}$: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be non-decreasing. Then $f(X_d) := (f_1(X_1), \dots, f_d(X_d))(resp. f(Y_d)) := (f_1(Y_1), \dots, f_d(Y_d))$ is min- (resp. max-)id.
- 2. Let $(f_i)_{1 \le i \le d}$: $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be non-increasing. Then $f(X_d)$ (resp. $f(Y_d)$) is max- (resp. min-)id.

Lemma 2.5 shows that studying the entire class of min- and max-id distributions is equivalent to studying min-id distributions supported on an arbitrary non-empty subset of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, since for every min- or max-id random vector X_d there exist some strictly monotone functions $(f_i)_{1 \le i \le d}$ such that $f(X_d) \in A$ is min-id. A convenient choice for our analysis is the set $A = (-\infty, \infty]^d$. This choice allows us to assume that a min-id distribution does not have mass on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_i = -\infty \text{ for some } 1 \le i \le d\}$. Such min-id distributions are uniquely determined by their survival function restricted to \mathbb{R}^d . Thus, we can avoid the

³ The notation $X_d^{(i,1/n)}$ shall emphasize that every $X_d^{(i,1/n)}$ can be interpreted as a 1/n contribution to X, since the $X_d^{(i,1/n)}$ are all equally likely to establish the minimum in $\min_{1 \le i \le n} X_d^{(i,1/n)} \sim X_d$.

technical subtleties involving survival functions on \mathbb{R}^d . The convenience of this seemingly artificial fact will become clear in Sect. 3.1, since the choice $X \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ allows us to restrict our attention to càdlàg processes vanishing at $-\infty$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we only consider min-id random vectors on $(-\infty, \infty]^d$ in the remainder of the paper, if not explicitly mentioned otherwise.

Similar to (Resnick 2007, Proposition 5.8), we can characterize min-id distributions on $(-\infty, \infty)^d$ by a so-called exponent measure. To define the exponent measure of a min-id distribution we need to introduce some notation. For $\mathscr{C} \in (-\infty, \infty)^d$ define the set $E^d_{\mathscr{C}} := [-\infty, \mathscr{C}] \setminus {\mathscr{C}}$, which is equipped with the subspace topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^d . Furthermore, for $\mathbf{x} < \mathscr{C}$, define $(\mathbf{x}, \infty)^c := E^d_{\mathscr{C}} \setminus (\mathbf{x}, \infty)$.

Definition 2.6 (Exponent measure of min-id distributions)

A Radon measure μ_d on $E_{\boldsymbol{r}}^d$ is called exponent measure if it satisfies

$$\mu_d \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^d \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^d \mid x_i = -\infty \right\} \right) = 0$$

This definition of an exponent measure ensures that $X_d \sim \exp\left(-\mu_d\left((\cdot, \boldsymbol{\infty}]^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)$ is min-id on $(-\infty, \infty]^d$, since

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = -\infty \text{ for some } 1 \le i \le d)$$

= 1 - exp $\left(-\mu_d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^d \{x \in E_{\ell}^d \mid x_i = -\infty\}\right)\right) = 0.$

The next proposition, which is similar to (Resnick 2007, Proposition 5.8), shows that every min-id random vector has a survival function of the form $\exp(-\mu_d(\cdot, \infty)^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Proposition 2.7 (Characterization of min-id distributions)

The following are equivalent:

- 1. $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ is min-id.
- 2. There exist $\ell \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ and an exponent measure μ_d defined on E_{ℓ}^d such that

$$X_d \sim \overline{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\mu_d\left((\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\infty})^{\complement}\right)\right) & \mathbf{x} < \mathscr{C}, \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the exponent measure μ_d associated with X_d is unique.

Proof The proof is a translation of the proof of (Resnick 2007, Proposition 5.8) to the min-id case. \Box

We have already mentioned that it is sufficient to restrict our study of min-id $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to that of min-id $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$. Since E_{ℓ}^d is almost rectangular, we can restrict the study of min-id distributions on $(-\infty, \infty]^d$ to an even smaller and

more convenient class of min-id distributions, because Lemma 2.5 allows to transform every min-id random vector X_d to a min-id random vector \tilde{X}_d which satisfies $\ell = \times_{i=1}^d \{\infty\}$. Thus, from now on, we always assume that a min-id random vector X_d satisfies the following condition, if not explicitly mentioned otherwise.

Condition (\diamondsuit) A min-id random vector $X_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies Condition (\diamondsuit) if the following two assumptions are satisfied $(\diamondsuit 1) X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ and

 $(\Diamond 2) \ell = \infty.$

The purpose of Condition (\diamondsuit) is to simplify our exposition in the remainder of the paper. It ensures that we can always assume that a min-id random vector X_d satisfies $\mathbb{P}(X_d > x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{P}(X_i > -\infty) = 1$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. These constraints allow to avoid splitting the proofs of our main theorems into several cases.

Up to this point we have mainly introduced and reformulated existing results. In the following, we characterize exchangeable and extendible min-id random vectors, which have not yet been discussed in the literature.

A natural question is whether the exchangeability (resp. extendibility) of the min-id random vector X_d is equivalent to the exchangeability (resp. extendibility) of its associated exponent measure μ_d . To this purpose, let us properly define exchangeability of an exponent measure. For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and permutation π on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ define $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = (x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(d)})$. Similarly, for any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, define $\pi(A) := \{\pi(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in A\}$. An exponent measure μ_d on E_{∞}^d is called exchangeable if $\mu_d(A) = \mu_d(\pi(A))$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(E_{\infty}^d)$ and every permutation π on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$.

Proposition 2.8 (Exchangeable exponent measure)

The following are equivalent for every min-id random vector $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$:

- 1. X_d is exchangeable.
- 2. μ_d is exchangeable.

It may seem obvious that μ_d is exchangeable if X_d is exchangeable, since Proposition 2.7 implies exchangeability of μ_d on sets of the type $(\mathbf{x}, \infty]^{\complement}$. However, μ_d may have infinite mass and exchangeability on sets of the type $(\mathbf{x}, \infty]^{\complement}$ is not a sufficient criterion for exchangeability of infinite measures in general.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

Proposition 2.8 shows that exchangeability of X_d is in one-to-one correspondence with exchangeability of μ_d . A similar statement holds for extendibility of X_d , but the precise formulation of this result is tedious. The problem arises from the definition of E_{∞}^d , since E_{∞}^d is not a product space and this may lead to projections to the point $\infty \notin E_{\infty}^{d'}$, d' < d. Vatan has investigated this problem in the context of exponent measures of max-id sequences in Vatan (1985). Unfortunately, his definition of an exponent measure slightly differs from the original definition in Resnick

(2007) and does not directly translate to Definition 2.6. Vatan puts infinite mass on the lower boundary $-\ell'$ of the support of the exponent measure of a max-id sequence -X to ensure that exponent measures are projective. Under this constraint he proved the existence of a unique projective exponent measure on $[-\ell', \infty] := \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [-\ell'_i, \infty]$ associated with -X. Removing the point $-\ell'$ from the support of Vatan's exponent measure allows us to translate his results to our setting and we obtain the exponent measure of a min-id sequence X. Note that this modified exponent measure is generally not projective, which is due to the removal of $-\ell'$. We denote this global exponent measure on $E_{\ell'}^{\mathbb{N}} := [-\infty, \ell'] \setminus \{\ell'\}$ by μ . Similar to the study of min-id random vectors we can restrict the study of min-id sequences to min-id sequences whose d-dimensional margins satisfy Condition (\Diamond). Thus, from now on we will assume that the d-dimensional margins of a min-id sequence satisfy Condition (\Diamond), i.e. $X \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\ell' = \infty$.

Define μ_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} as the unique exponent measure of the *d*-dimensional margin (X_{i_1},\ldots,X_{i_d}) of the min-id sequence *X*. The results of Vatan (1985) are summarized in the following proposition and clarify the projective properties of extendible exponent measures μ_d .

Proposition 2.9 (Vatan (1985), Exponent measure of a sequence)

The following are equivalent for every min-id random vector $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ satisfying Condition (\Diamond):

- 1. X_d is extendible to a min-id sequence X.
- 2. There exists an exchangeable global exponent measure μ on $E_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\mu(\{x \in E_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_d}) \in A\}) = \mu_{i_1, \dots, i_d}(A) = \mu_d(A),$$

for all distinct $(i_1, \ldots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^d_{\infty})$.

Proof The proof follows from an application of Proposition 2.8 and a translation of the results of Vatan (1985) to the framework of Resnick (2007) and the min-id case.

Propositions 2.8 and 2.9 allow to characterize the upper tail dependence coefficients of an exchangeable min-id random vector via its exponent measure. To this purpose, for $2 \le d' \le d$, define the d'-variate upper tail dependence coefficient of an exchangeable min-id random vector $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ as $\rho_{d'}^u := \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_1 > t \mid X_2 > t, \dots, X_{d'} > t)$.

Corollary 2.10 (Upper tail dependence of exchangeable min-id distribution)

The upper tail dependence coefficient of an exchangeable min-id random vector $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ satisfying Condition (\diamondsuit) is given by

$$\rho_{d'}^{u} = \exp\left(-\lim_{t \to \infty} \mu_{d'}\left(\left[-\infty, t\right] \times (t, \infty)^{d'-1}\right)\right).$$

193

In particular $\rho_{d'}^u \leq \rho_{d'+1}^u$ for all $2 \leq d' < d$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

As a caveat we want to remark that in general $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu_{d'}([-\infty, t] \times (t, \infty]^{d'-1})$ may not be equal to $\mu_{d'}([-\infty, \infty) \times \{\infty\}^{d'-1})$, which is due to the (possibly) infinite mass of $\mu_{d'}$.

2.3 Extended chronometers

In this subsection we characterize the class of nnnd infinitely divisible càdlàg processes. In particular, we show that the Lévy measure of such processes is concentrated on nnnd paths.

First, we recall the definition of infinitely divisible random vectors and infinitely divisible stochastic processes. For the sake of well-definedness of sums of (possibly) infinite quantities we only allow for random vectors and stochastic processes which cannot assume the values infinity and negative infinity with positive probability at the same time. Our specifications are formalized in the following definition.

Definition 2.11 (Infinitely divisible)

- 1. A random vector $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that for all $1 \le i \le d$ we either have $P(H_{i} = \infty) = 0$ or $\mathbb{P}(H_{i} = -\infty) = 0$ is infinitely divisible (id) if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist i.i.d. random vectors $(\boldsymbol{H}^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n} \stackrel{4}{\underset{\mathbb{R}}{\longrightarrow}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{H} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{(i,1/n)}$.
- 2. A stochastic process $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we either have $P(H_t = \infty) = 0$ or $\mathbb{P}(H_t = -\infty) = 0$ is infinitely divisible (id) if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist i.i.d. stochastic processes $\left(\left(H_t^{(i,1/n)}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\right)_{1 \le i \le n} \in \left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}\right)^n$ such that $H \sim \sum_{i=1}^n H^{(i,1/n)}$.

An excellent textbook treatment of infinitely divisible distributions is Sato (1999). Infinitely divisible stochastic processes have been investigated, among others, by Lee (1967), Maruyama (1970), Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006), Rosiński (2018). We mainly follow the pathwise approach of Rosiński (2018). However, in contrast to Rosiński (2018), we only consider càdlàg id-processes and allow jumps to ∞ . One can show that all relevant results of (Rosiński 2018, Sects. 1-3) remain valid under this slight change of the general framework.

In this paper we focus on nnnd càdlàg id-processes. For our purpose this is not a loss of generality, since de Finetti's Theorem implies that H in the construction method of Eq. (2) can be chosen as an nnnd càdlàg process. These processes can be viewed as an extension of chronometers, which were introduced in Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006).

П

⁴ Again, the notation $H^{(i,1/n)}$ shall emphasize that every $H^{(i,1/n)}$ can be interpreted as a 1/n contribution to H.

Definition 2.12 (Extended chronometer)

An nund infinitely divisible process $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is called an extended chronometer.

In contrast to chronometers in Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006), we do not require the stochastic continuity of extended chronometers. This generalization is necessary to account for non-continuous min-id distributions in Sect. 3.1. To simplify our developments we focus on extended chronometers that are compatible with Condition (\Diamond). To this purpose we need to impose two additional constraints on extended chronometers, which are specified in the following condition.

Condition (\Diamond') An extended chronometer $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ satisfies Condition (\Diamond') if the following two conditions are satisfied

 $(\diamondsuit' 1) \mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \to -\infty} H_t = 0) = 1 \text{ and}$ $(\diamondsuit' 2) \mathbb{P}(H_t = \infty) < 1 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$

Remark 1 (Equivalence of Conditions (\Diamond) and (\Diamond')) Consider an extended chronometer $(H_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and an i.i.d. unit exponential sequence $(E_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. By virtue of Eq. (2) we construct an exchangeable sequence $X := (\inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid H_t \ge E_i\})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. It is easy to see that $X > -\infty$ almost surely if and only if Condition (\Diamond' 1) is satisfied. Moreover, assuming that X is min-id, one can check that Condition (\Diamond' 2) is equivalent to the constraint $\ell = \infty$. Therefore, under the assumption that X is min-id, X satisfies Condition (\Diamond) if and only if $(H_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies Condition (\Diamond').

Recall that the distribution of a non-negative stochastic process in $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is uniquely determined by its Laplace transform. Translating (Rosiński 2018, Theorems 2.8 and 3.4) to non-negative càdlàg id-processes shows that the Laplace transform of a non-negative càdlàg id-process can be uniquely characterized by a function $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a measure v on $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. To be precise, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the Laplace transform of the *d*-dimensional margins of a non-negative id-process $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying Condition ($\Diamond' 2$) can be written as

$$L : [0, \infty)^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \to [0, 1]; (z, t) \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}H_{t_{i}}\right)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}b(t_{i})\right)$$
$$+ \int_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}x(t_{i})\right) - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}x(t_{i})\mathbf{1}_{\{|x(t_{i})| < \epsilon\}}\right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x)\right),$$
(3)

where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a unique function, and ν is a unique measure on $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

- 1. $v(0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) = 0$, and
- 2. $\int_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{x(t), 1\} v(dx) < \infty \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$

The function *b* is called drift of $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the measure *v* is called Lévy measure of $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. More generally, every measure *v* on $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying Conditions 1. and 2. is called a Lévy measure.

The literature usually treats id-processes as stochastic processes in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, if $H \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is id, it is not clear whether the $H^{(i,1/n)}$ appearing in Definition 2.11 can also be chosen as elements of $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, as was already pointed out in (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Remark 4.5). If *H* is stochastically continuous, non-negative, and almost surely non-decreasing the answer is positive, as can be deduced from (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Proposition 6.2).

In our framework it is important that all $H^{(i,1/n)}$ can be chosen as càdlàg processes, since we need to interpret exp $(-H^{(i,1/n)})$ as a random survival function in Sect. 3. The next lemma generalizes the results of (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Proposition 6.2) and shows that all càdlàg id-processes have a representation as a sum of càdlàg id-processes.

Lemma 2.13 (Càdlàg id-processes are distributed as i.i.d. sum of càdlàg id-processes)

Consider an id-process $H \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find i.i.d. idprocesses $(H^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n} \in (D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))^n$ such that $H \sim \sum_{i=1}^n H^{(i,1/n)}$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

Lemma 2.13 verifies that Definition 2.11 could also be formulated solely for processes which are id in the space $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, since it excludes the possibility of the existence of a stochastic process $H \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which is id in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$, but not id in $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

To interpret $\exp\left(-H^{(i,1/n)}\right)$ as a random distribution function, when *H* is an extended chronometer, it remains to ensure that each $H^{(i,1/n)}$ is nnnd, i.e. an extended chronometer. The following corollary shows that extended chronometers are infinitely divisible in the space of extended chronometers, i.e. that every extended chronometer can be represented as the sum of arbitrarily many extended chronometers.

Corollary 2.14 (Extended chronometers are distributed as i.i.d. sum of extended chronometers)

Consider an extended chronometer H. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find i.i.d. extended chronometers $(H^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n}$ such that $H \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} H^{(i,1/n)}$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

Our next goal is to connect the path properties of an extended chronometer with the support of its Lévy measure. To get an intuition about the correspondences of

path properties of an id-process and properties of its Lévy measure we recall the following example from Rosiński (2018).

Example 2.15 (Finite Lévy measure (Rosiński 2018, Example 2.26))

Consider a sequence of i.i.d. càdlàg processes $(h^{(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with marginal distribution \mathbb{P}_h , a Poisson random variable N with mean λ , and define a stochastic process $(H_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_t^{(i)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. (Rosiński 2018, Example 2.26) proves that H is infinitely divisible with drift 0 and Lévy measure $\lambda \mathbb{P}_h (\cdot \cap \{0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\}^{\complement})$. Obviously, H is nond if and only if the Lévy measure $\lambda \mathbb{P}_h (\cdot \cap \{0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\}^{\complement})$ is supported on nond functions.

Unfortunately, the Lévy measure of an id-process is infinite in most cases of interest. Thus, the construction method in Example 2.15 is rather limited and we cannot immediately draw the same conclusions as in Example 2.15 for general extended chronometers.

In the following we show that the observations of Example 2.15 remain valid for extended chronometers, i.e. if a càdlàg id-process is nnnd then its Lévy measure is concentrated on nnnd càdlàg functions. More specifically, we show that the Lévy measure of an extended chronometer satisfying Condition ($\langle \rangle'$) is supported on the nnnd functions in $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to-\infty} x(t) = 0$. A weaker version of this statement was stated (without proof) in (Lee 1967, Sect. 4), who followed a technically different approach in comparison to the pathwise approach of Rosiński (2018). The author claimed that a proof of his statement works similar to other proofs given in Lee (1967). However, all of the referred proofs are not very detailed and are not compatible with the pathwise approach of Rosiński (2018). An alternative approach to prove our claim would use an application of (Rosiński 2018, Theorem 3.4), which provides a tool to restrict the Lévy measure of an id-process to a smaller domain. Unfortunately, the theorem cannot be applied in our setting, since our favored domain, nnnd càdlàg functions, does not form an algebraic group under addition. Therefore, we provide a formal proof of our claims in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.16 (Laplace transform of an extended chronometer satisfying Condition (\Diamond'))

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denote an extended chronometer satisfying Condition (\diamondsuit') . Then, for $z \in [0, \infty)^d$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$L(t,z) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i H_{t_i}}\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i b(t_i) - \int_{M_{\infty}^0} \left(1 - e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i x(t_i)}\right) v(\mathrm{d}x)\right),$$

where v is a Lévy measure on

$$M^0_{\infty} := \{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is non-decreasing, } \lim_{t \to -\infty} x(t) = 0\},\$$

and $b \in M^0_{\infty} \cap D(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

Remark 2 (Id-process with Lévy measure on M^0_{∞} has extended chronometer version) By a similar reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 2.16 it is also possible to prove that every driftless càdlàg id-process with Lévy measure concentrated on nnnd càdlàg functions has a version that is non-decreasing and non-negative. We omit a proof of this statement, since this fact will not be used in our paper.

Remark 3 (Condition (\Diamond '1) corresponds to vanishing functions in Lévy measure) The proof of Proposition 2.16 shows that the Lévy measure of an id-process satisfying Condition (\Diamond '1) is concentrated on càdlàg paths vanishing at $-\infty$. If we omit Condition (\Diamond '1) this is no longer the case, which is the reason why we later need to omit this condition in Corollary 3.7.

Interestingly, we can infer $\mathbb{P}(H_t = \infty)$ from the associated Lévy measure, as the next example shows.

Example 2.17 (Probability of a jump to ∞) Consider an extended chronometer $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ satisfying Condition (\Diamond') with Lévy measure v and drift b. We want to investigate $\mathbb{P}(H_t = \infty)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to investigating $\mathbb{P}(X_i \le t \forall i \in \mathbb{N})$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where X denotes the exchangeable sequence constructed via Eq. (2).

The (one-dimensional) Lévy–Khintchine representation of the infinitely divisible random variable H_t yields $\mathbb{P}(H_t = \infty) = 1 - \exp(-v_t(\infty))$, where v_t denotes the (one-dimensional) Lévy measure of H_t . Proposition 2.16 shows that $v_t(\infty) = v(\{x \in M_{\infty}^0 \mid x(t) = \infty\})$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(H_t = \infty) = 1 - \exp\left(-\nu\left(\left\{x \in M^0_{\infty} \mid x(t) = \infty\right\}\right)\right).$$

We emphasize that, in contrast to e.g. additive processes, H cannot be decomposed into $H = H^{(1)} + H^{(2)}$, where $H^{(1)}$ is always finite and independent of $H^{(2)} \in \{0, \infty\}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, jumps to ∞ do not occur independently of the path behavior of the process in general. Let us verify this claim by an application of Example 2.15. Decompose v into $v = v_{\infty} + v_f$, where $v_f := v(\cdot \cap \{x \in M_{\infty}^0 \mid x(t) < \infty \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\})$ is concentrated on finite paths and $v_{\infty} := v(\cdot \cap \{x \in M_{\infty}^0 \mid x(t) = \infty \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{R}\})$ is concentrated on paths that jump to ∞ . Now, assuming that v_{∞} is a finite measure with total mass c, we define $H^{(2)}$ as the id-process with Lévy measure v_{∞} and $H^{(1)}$ as an independent id-process with Lévy measure v_f and drift b. Obviously, $H \sim H^{(1)} + H^{(2)}$, where $H^{(1)} \in D(\mathbb{R})$ has finite sample paths and

$$H^{(2)} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{N} h^{(2,i)},$$

where $(h^{(2,i)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ denotes an i.i.d. sequence of càdlàg processes with distribution v_{∞}/c and N denotes an independent Poisson random variable with mean c. Since the paths

of $h^{(2,1)}$ can follow every increasing (càdlàg) path we observe that $H_t^{(2)}$ may take all finite values. Thus, in general, H cannot be decomposed into a finite process $H^{(1)}$ and a "killing" process $H^{(2)} \in \{0, \infty\}^{\mathbb{R}}$. The case of infinite v_{∞} follows from $v_{t,\infty} := v(\cdot \cap \{x \in M_{\infty}^0 \mid x(t) = \infty\})$, where $v_{t,\infty}$ is a finite measure for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v_{\infty} = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_{t,\infty}$.

3 Main results: Linking exchangeable min-id sequences to extended chronometers

After having collected all auxiliary results, we now formulate the main contributions of this paper.

3.1 Extendible min-id distributions satisfying (◊) are in one-to-one correspondence with extended chronometers satisfying (◊')

We start with the characterization of extendible min-id distributions satisfying Condition (\diamondsuit). Recall that de Finetti's Theorem implies that every exchangeable sequence $X \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with an nnnd càdlàg process. We show that the class of stochastic processes corresponding to min-id sequences satisfying Condition (\diamondsuit) is precisely the class of extended chronometers satisfying Condition (\diamondsuit).

Theorem 3.1 (Extendible min-id distributions correspond to extended chronometers)

The following are equivalent:

- 1. *X* is an exchangeable min-id sequence satisfying Condition (\Diamond).
- 2. There exists an extended chronometer $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying Condition (\diamondsuit') such that $X \sim (\inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid H_t \ge E_i\})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are *i.i.d.* unit exponential and independent of H.

Moreover, the law of H is uniquely associated to the law of X.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

It is worth noting that Lemma 2.5 can be translated into a time-change of the extended chronometer.

Corollary 3.2 (Marginal transformation of exchangeable min-id sequence is timechange of the chronometer)

Consider an exchangeable min-id sequence $X \in (\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a left-continuous non-decreasing transformation f. Let H^X denote the extended chronometer

corresponding to X and $H^{f(X)}$ denote the chronometer corresponding to f(X). Then $H^{f(X)} \sim H^X \circ f^{-}$, where $f^{-}(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(s) > t\}$.

Proof The claim follows from the identity

$$\mathbb{P}\big(f(X_1) > t_1, \dots, f(X_d) > t_d\big) = \mathbb{P}\big(X_1 > f^{-}(t_1), \dots, X_d > f^{-}(t_d)\big).$$

The following examples present two interesting applications of Corollary 3.2.

Example 3.3 (\mathbb{N}_0 -valued exchangeable min-id sequences) Consider the non-decreasing left-continuous transformation $x \mapsto [x] := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid x \le n\}$. Lemma 2.5 implies that each exchangeable min-id sequence X can be transformed into an \mathbb{N}_0 -valued exchangeable min-id sequence [X]. Corollary 3.2 shows that the extended chronometer $H^{[X]}$ associated with [X] can be obtained via a time-change of the extended chronometer H^X associated with X. Thus, $H^{[X]} \sim H^X \circ [\cdot]$, where $[x] := [x]^{-} = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid x \ge n\}$. Defining $(J_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} := (H_i^X - H_{i-1}^X)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ we observe that

$$H_{t}^{[X]} = H_{[t]}^{X} = \left(H_{0}^{X} + \sum_{i=1}^{[t]} J_{i}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{t \ge 0\}}$$

can be represented as a pure jump process. If $H_0^X = 0$ and H^X has stationary and independent increments $H^{[X]}$ is known as a random walk and the sequence [X] follows a multivariate narrow-sense geometric distribution, see Mai et al. (2013). In this case X has d-dimensional marginal distributions

$$(X_1, \dots, X_d) \sim \left(\inf\{E_I \mid i \in I\}\right)_{1 \le i \le d},$$

where $(E_I)_{I \subset \{1,...,d\}}$ is a collection of independent geometrically distributed random variables with parameters $(1 - p_I)$ such that p_I only depends on |I|. Moreover, the associated extended chronometer $H^{[X]}$ is a random walk with infinitely divisible i.i.d. jumps $J_i \sim H_1^X$.

Example 3.4 (From min- to max-id) Consider an exchangeable min-id sequence X with associated chronometer H^X and a continuous strictly decreasing transformation f with its corresponding inverse f^{-1} . Lemma 2.5 shows that $Y^{(f)} := f(X)$ is an exchangeable max-id sequence. According to de Finetti's Theorem there exists a random distribution function $F^{(f)}$ such that

$$\mathbf{Y}^{(f)} \sim \left(\inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid F_t^{(f)} \ge U_i\}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$$

for an i.i.d. sequence of Uniform(0, 1) distributed random variables $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Noting that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y_1^{(f)} \leq t_1, \dots, Y_d^{(f)} \leq t_d) &= \mathbb{P}\left(X_1 \geq f^{-1}(t_1), \dots, X_d \geq f^{-1}(t_d)\right) \\ &= \lim_{z \searrow t} \mathbb{P}\left(X_1 > f^{-1}(z_1), \dots, X_d > f^{-1}(z_d)\right) = \lim_{z \searrow t} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d H_{f^{-1}(z_i)}^X\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d \lim_{z_i \searrow t_i} H_{f^{-1}(z_i)}^X\right)\right] \\ yields \operatorname{that}\left(F_t^{(f)}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sim \left(\exp\left(-\lim_{z \searrow t} H_{f^{-1}(z)}^X\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}. \text{ Therefore,} \\ &Y^{(f)} \sim \left(\inf\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \ \middle| -\log\left(1 - \exp\left(-\lim_{z \searrow t} H_{f^{-1}(z_i)}^X\right)\right) \geq E_i\right\}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \end{split}$$

where $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of unit exponential random variables.

3.2 The exponent measure of an exchangeable min-id sequence satisfying (أ) is a mixture of product probability measures

We present an analogue of de Finetti's Theorem for exponent measures of exchangeable min-id sequences satisfying (\Diamond).

First, we need to introduce some notation. For any distribution function *G* of a random variable on $(-\infty, \infty]$, define \mathbb{P}_G as the probability measure associated with the distribution function *G*. Furthermore, $\bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}_G$ denotes the probability measure on $(-\infty, \infty]^d$ associated with $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ i.i.d. copies of random variables with distribution \mathbb{P}_G . Define the space of distribution functions of random variables on $(-\infty, \infty]$ as $\overline{M}^0_{\infty} := \{G : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1] \mid G \text{ is distribution function of a random variable on <math>(-\infty, \infty]\}$. Let γ denote a measure on \overline{M}^0_{∞} and define a mixture of product probability measures by

$$\mu_{\gamma,d}(\cdot) := \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{P}_{G}(\cdot) \, \gamma(\mathrm{d}G).$$
(4)

Additionally, for any non-decreasing function $b \in M^0_{\infty}$, define $\mu_{b,d}$ as the exponent measure of $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ i.i.d. copies of random variables on $(-\infty, \infty]$ with survival function $\exp(-b(\cdot))$ whose existence is ensured by Proposition 2.9.

Theorem 3.5 (Exponent measure of exchangeable min-id sequence)

The following are equivalent:

- 1. *X* is an exchangeable min-id sequence satisfying (\diamondsuit) .
- 2. There exists a unique function $b \in M^0_{\infty}$ and a unique measure γ on \overline{M}^0_{∞} satisfying $\gamma(0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) = 0$ and $\int_{\overline{M}^0_{\infty}} G(t)\gamma(\mathrm{d} G) < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the exponent measure of X is given by

$$\mu(A) = \mu_{b,\infty}(A) + \mu_{\gamma,\infty}(A)$$

201

for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(E_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}})$.

Moreover, the Lévy measure and drift of the extended chronometer associated with *X* are given by $v(A) = \gamma(\{G \in \overline{M}_{\infty}^{0} \mid G = 1 - \exp(-x(\cdot)) \text{ for some } x \in A\})$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $b(t) = \mu_{b,1}([-\infty, t])$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

Recall that a min-id sequence has exponent measure supported on $A^{\perp} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x_i = \infty \text{ for all but one } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if and only if it is an i.i.d. sequence. Theorem 3.5 yields a decomposition of the global exponent measure μ into $\mu_b + \mu_\gamma$, where $\mu_b := \mu_{b,\infty}$ is supported on the set A^{\perp} and $\mu_\gamma := \mu_{\gamma,\infty}$. Therefore, $X \sim \min\{X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}\}$, where $X^{(1)}$ is an i.i.d. sequence with exponent measure μ_b and $X^{(2)}$ is an exchangeable min-id sequence with exponent measure μ_γ . This raises the question whether the decomposition from Theorem 3.5 separates μ into an independence part μ_b and a dependence part μ_γ , which would be a desired feature for modeling purposes. Mathematically this translates to μ_b and μ_γ being singular.

If μ_{γ} does not have mass on A^{\perp} , then $X^{(2)}$ does not contain an independent sequence, i.e. $X^{(2)}$ cannot be further decomposed into the minimum of a non-trivial i.i.d. sequence and an exchangeable min-id sequence. On the level of the associated extended chronometer this would correspond to the fact that exponent measures μ_{γ} associated to driftless extended chronometers do not put mass on A^{\perp} . Indeed, the next corollary shows that μ_{γ} never puts mass on A^{\perp} , which implies that the decomposition of μ into μ_b and μ_{γ} separates dependence from independence.

Corollary 3.6 (Decomposition of an exponent measure into dependence and independence)

Let X denote the exchangeable min-id sequence associated to the nnnd id-process $H = b + \tilde{H}$, where b denotes the drift of H and \tilde{H} denotes the driftless random component of H. Let $\mu^{\tilde{H}}$ denote the exponent measure associated to \tilde{H} . Then $\mu_{\gamma} = \mu^{\tilde{H}}$ and the exponent measure μ of X is given by $\mu = \mu_b + \mu_{\gamma}$, where μ_b and μ_{γ} are singular.

Proof Note that $\mathbb{P}_G((-\infty,\infty)) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_G(\{\infty\}) = 0$ for all $G \in \overline{M}^0_{\infty}$, since $\lim_{t \to \infty} G(t) > 0$ for all $0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \neq G \in \overline{M}^0_{\infty}$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\gamma} \left(A^{\perp} \right) &= \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_{G} \right) \left(\{ x_{i} < \infty \text{ and } x_{j} = \infty \text{ for all } j \neq i \} \right) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) \\ &= \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_{G} \left((-\infty, \infty) \right) \left(\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_{G}(\{\infty\}) \right) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) = 0 \end{split}$$

Thus, μ_b and μ_{γ} are singular.

Remark 4 (Non-separability of dependence and independence for finite dimensional margins) It is educational to observe that $\mu_{b,d}$ and $\mu_{\gamma,d}$ are singular for a fixed $d \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if γ is concentrated on the set $\{G \in \overline{M}_{\infty}^{0} \mid \lim_{t \to \infty} G(t) = 1\}$, since

$$\begin{split} &\mu_{\gamma,d} \Big(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq d} \{ x_i < \infty \text{ and } x_j = \infty \text{ for all } j \neq i \} \Big) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^0} \mathbb{P}_G \big((-\infty,\infty) \big) \big(\mathbb{P}_G (\{\infty\}) \big)^{d-1} \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) \\ &= d \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^0} \Big(\lim_{t \to \infty} G(t) \Big) \Big(1 - \lim_{t \to \infty} G(t) \Big)^{d-1} \gamma(\mathrm{d}G). \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\mu_{b,d}$ and $\mu_{\gamma,d}$ usually do not separate dependence from independence, meaning that $X_d^{(2)} \sim \min\{X_d^{(2,\perp)}, X_d^{(2,\perp)}\} \sim \exp(-\mu_{\gamma,d}((\cdot, \infty]^{\complement}))$, where $X_d^{(2,\perp)}$ has components which are *d* i.i.d. copies of a non-trivial random variable and $X_d^{(2,\perp)}$ denotes a non-trivial min-id random vector. Intuitively, this may be interpreted as follows: the finite dimensional exponent measure $\mu_{\gamma,d}$ smears around independence and this effect can only be distinguished from independence in the limit.

Remark 5 (Dependence structure of exchangeable min-id sequences) (Mai and Scherer 2014, Lemma 4.4) shows that exchangeable min-stable sequences admit positive ρ_2^u if and only if the exchangeable min-stable sequence is given by the comonotonic sequence $X = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}, ...)$, where $\bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}$ is some univariate random variable. Therefore, exchangeable min-stable sequences satisfy $\rho_2^u \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\rho_2^u = 1$ implies $X = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}, ...)$, which raises the question whether the same result holds for exchangeable min-id sequences.

The question can be answered by the following example: Define an exchangeable min-id sequence via the extended chronometer $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} = -\log(1 - \Gamma_t)$, where $(\Gamma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denotes a stochastically continuous Dirichlet process, see Ferguson (1973). The Dirichlet process naturally appears in Bayesian statistics when the distribution function $(\Gamma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of an i.i.d. sequence is viewed as the random quantity of interest. The distribution of the Dirichlet process is then specified as the prior distribution on the space of distribution functions, which arises under the assumption that $(\int_{A_1} d\Gamma, \ldots, \int_{A_d} d\Gamma)$ follows a Dirichlet distribution for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and every measurable disjoint partition $(A_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ of \mathbb{R} . The Dirichlet process is particularly convenient in Bayesian statistics, since its posterior distribution is again a (non-stochastically continuous) Dirichlet process.

The authors of Ferguson (1974), Doksum (1974) have shown that *H* is infinitely divisible, which implies that the associated exchangeable sequence *X* is min-id. Moreover, Mai et al. (2015) show that the upper and lower bivariate tail dependence coefficients ρ_2^u and ρ_2^l of *X* can take any value in (0, 1). Thus, exchangeable min-id sequences can exhibit arbitrary positive bivariate upper and lower tail dependences ence, which shows that the dependence structure of exchangeable min-id sequences is much richer than the dependence structure of exchangeable min-stable sequences.

To verify that $\rho_2^u = 1$ does not imply $X = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}, ...)$ when X is an exchangeable min-id sequence it is easy to see that every extended chronometer with finite Lévy measure concentrated on the set $\{x \in M_{\infty}^0 \mid x(t) < \infty, \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty\}$ yields an exchangeable min-id sequence X which satisfies $\rho_2^u = 1$ but not $X = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}, ...)$.

3.3 Characterization of general exchangeable min-id sequences

Even though we have mentioned that studying min-id distributions satisfying Condition (\Diamond) is not a loss of generality, we feel the need to translate the results of Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 to arbitrary exchangeable min-id sequences on $[-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$. The appearance of the following corollary is slightly more technical than that of Theorems 3.1 and 3.5, which is due to the subtleties in the definition of a survival function of random vectors in $[-\infty, \infty]^d$ and explains why we preferred to develop the preliminary results under Conditions (\Diamond) and (\Diamond').

Corollary 3.7 (Characterization of general exchangeable min-id sequences)

Assume that $\mathbb{P}(X = -\infty) < 1$. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. $X \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an exchangeable min-id sequence.
- 2. There exists $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell, \ell, ...) \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

 $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sim \left(\inf\{t\in(-\infty,\ell) \mid H_t \ge E_i\}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}},$

where $\inf \emptyset =: \ell$, $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. unit exponential random variables independent of a unique extended chronometer $(H_t)_{t \in (-\infty, \ell)} \in D^{\infty}((-\infty, \ell))$ satisfying

- (a) $\mathbb{P}(H_t = 0) = 1 \text{ for all } t < \sup \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{P}(X_1 \le x) = 0\} = : w,$
- (b) The Lévy measure v of H is supported on

 $M_{\ell} := \{ x \in D^{\infty}((-\infty, \ell)) \mid x \text{ is nnnd and } x(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t < w \},\$

- (c) *H* has real-valued drift $b \in M_{\ell} \cap D((-\infty, \ell))$.
- There exists ℓ = (ℓ, ℓ, ...) ∈ (-∞, ∞]^N and an exchangeable Radon measure μ on E^N_ℓ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left((X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_d}) \in \times_{i=1}^d \{x_i, \mathscr{C}\}\right) \\ = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\mu\left(\left\{\mathbf{y} \in E_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid (y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_d}) \in \left(\times_{i=1}^d \{x_i, \infty\}\right)^{\mathbb{C}}\right\}\right)\right) \mathbf{x} < \mathscr{C} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases},$$

where $\{x_i, \infty\}$ is interpreted as $(x_i, \infty]$ if $x_i > -\infty$ and $\{-\infty, \infty\}$ is interpreted as $[-\infty, \infty]$. Moreover, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathcal{P}})$, we have

$$\mu(A) = \mu_b(A) + \int_{\overline{M}_\ell} \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_G(A) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G),$$

where b is a unique nnnd càdlàg function and γ is a unique measure

$$\overline{M}_{\ell} := \left\{ G : (-\infty, \ell) \to [0, 1] \mid G \text{ distr. fct. of random variable on } [w, \ell] \right\}$$

satisfying

$$\gamma(0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\overline{M}_{\mathcal{E}}} G(t) \gamma(\mathrm{d} G) < \infty \text{ for all } t \in (-\infty, \mathcal{E}).$$

The relation of γ and ν is given by

$$\gamma(A) = \nu(\{x \in M_{\ell} \mid (1 - \exp(-x(\cdot))) \in A\}) \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M}_{\ell}).$$

Proof The proof of Proposition 2.16 shows that the Lévy measure of an nnnd càdlàg id-process is concentrated on nnnd càdlàg functions. The rest of the proof is a combination of Theorems 3.1, 3.5, and Lemma 2.5.

A version of Corollary 3.7 for exchangeable max-id sequences can be easily deduced from Lemma 2.5 and Example 3.4.

4 Established families unified under the present umbrella

In this section we present several important examples of exchangeable min-id sequences. Moreover, we investigate the dependence structure of exchangeable minid sequences and characterize extendible min-id random vectors with finite exponent measure.

4.1 Independence and comonotonicity

Corollary 3.7 shows that the random sequence $X \in [-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ with i.i.d. components distributed according to the survival function $\exp(-b(\cdot))$ corresponds to the deterministic process $H_t = b(t)$. The exponent measure of X is given by $\mu = \mu_b$.

Consider the comonotonic case $X = (\bar{X}, \bar{X}, ...)$, where the random variable $\bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\mathbb{P}(\bar{X} = -\infty) < 1$. The corresponding driftless extended chronometer *H* is given by $(H_t)_{t \in (-\infty, \ell)} = (\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{X} \le t\}})_{t \in (-\infty, \ell)}$. The Lévy measure v of *H* is supported on

$$\left\{x \in D^{\infty}((-\infty, \ell)) \mid x(\cdot) = \infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \ge a\}} \text{ for some } a \in (-\infty, \ell)\right\}.$$

Moreover, for $t < \ell$,

$$\nu\left(\{\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \geq a\}} \mid a \in (-\infty, t]\}\right) = -\log\left(\mathbb{P}(\bar{X} > t)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\mu((t, \infty)^{\complement}) = \int_{M_{\ell}} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x(t_i)\right) \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) = -\log\left(\mathbb{P}(\bar{X} > \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} t_i)\right)$$
$$= -\log\left(\mathbb{P}(X > t)\right).$$

4.2 Exogenous shock models / additive processes

Mai et al. (2016), Sloot (2020) prove that extendible exogenous shock models constitute a proper subclass of extendible min-id distributions. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and define a family of independent random variables $(\tau_I)_{I \subset \{1,...,d\}} \in [0, \infty)^{2^d}$. Moreover, let the distribution of τ_I be continuous and solely dependent on |I|, i.e. the cardinality of the subset *I* of $\{1, ..., d\}$. Then the *d*-dimensional random vector

$$(X_i)_{1 \le i \le d} \sim \left(\min_{i \in I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}} \tau_I\right)_{1 \le i \le d}$$
(5)

is exchangeable and interpreted as an exogenous shock model. The random variable τ_I models the arrival time of an exogenous shock destroying all components I and X_i equals the first time point at which component *i* is affected by some shock. Exchangeability boils down to our assumption that the shock arrival time distributions only depend on the number of components affected by the respective shocks. Thus, the model is parametrized by d distribution functions, since there are d different "shock sizes". If we let $d \to \infty$ in this construction, Kolmogorov's extension theorem guarantees the existence of an exchangeable sequence $X \in (0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the just described d-dimensional marginal distributions. Mai et al. (2016), Sloot (2020)prove that the associated extended chronometer is a stochastically continuous càdlàg process with independent increments. Such processes are known as (possibly killed) additive subordinators, see Sato (1999), Bertoin (1999) for a detailed treatment. The corresponding Lévy measure v of H is supported on the class of one-step functions $\{u\mathbf{1}_{\{s \le \cdot\}} \mid s \in (0, \infty), u \in (0, \infty]\}$, see Rosiński (2018). Assuming that the extended chronometer is driftless, this implies that the associated exponent measure μ is an infinite mixture of (probability) distributions in the set

$$\Big\{ \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left((1 - \exp(-u))\delta_s + \exp(-u)\delta_{\infty} \right) \mid u \in (0, \infty], s \in (0, \infty) \Big\},\$$

where δ_s denotes the Dirac measure at *s*.

An important subclass of exogenous shock models is the class of Marshall–Olkin distributions. It is obtained by restricting the distribution of τ_I in (5) to exponential distributions. Furthermore, Mai (2010) shows that the class of extended chronometers corresponding to extendible Marshall–Olkin distributions is precisely the class of killed Lévy subordinators. Killed Lévy subordinators $(H_i)_{i \in \mathbb{R}}$ can be characterized as the class of extended chronometers with stationary and independent increments, which satisfy $H_0 = 0$ and have an independent exponential killing rate, see Bertoin (1999) for more details. Example 2.23 in Rosiński (2018) shows that the Lévy measure of a killed Lévy subordinator H is the image measure of the map

$$f: ([0,\infty) \times (0,\infty]; \mathcal{B}((0,\infty) \times (0,\infty]); \lambda \otimes v) \to M^0_{\infty}, \ (s,u) \mapsto u\mathbf{1}_{\{s \le \cdot\}}$$
(6)

on M^0_{∞} , where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure and v denotes the Lévy measure of the infinitely divisible random variable H_1 . The drift of H is given by b(t) = b(1)t, where $b(1) \ge 0$ denotes the drift of H_1 .

In comparison to Mai et al. (2016), Sloot (2020), our framework allows for some additional flexibility, since we neither assume that *H* is indexed by $[0, \infty)$, nor stochastically continuous, nor that $\lim_{t\to\infty} H_t = \infty$. More precisely, we allow for non-continuously distributed failure times on $[-\infty, \infty]$ instead of continuously distributed failure times on $(0, \infty)$. An important observation in this regard is that the behavior of the stochastic model (5) under monotone, componentwise transformations of the X_i is not necessarily well-behaved in the framework of Mai et al. (2016), Sloot (2020). For instance, if *H* is a Lévy subordinator, a componentwise transformation of the X_i corresponds to a change from H_t to $H_{f(t)}$, which is no longer a Lévy subordinator unless *f* is linear with f(0) = 0. In contrast, Corollary 3.2 constitutes that under the more general umbrella of id-processes such marginal transformations are well-behaved.

As a final note of caution we remark that non-decreasing càdlàg processes with independent increments are not necessarily infinitely divisible. More precisely, our framework includes all càdlàg processes with independent id increments, meaning that if $(\lim_{s \neq t} (H_t - H_s))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a collection of independent and id random variables then *H* is id. Thus, our framework does not incorporate all non-decreasing càdlàg processes with independent increments, but only extended chronometers with independent increments.

4.3 A common framework for extreme-value copulas and (reciprocal) Archimedean copulas

We consider a pair (κ, ρ) of a Radon measure κ on $[0, \infty)$ and a probability measure ρ on $\{G \mid G \text{ is a distribution function of a random variable on <math>[0, \infty]\} \subset \overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}$ with $\rho(0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) = 0$. Define the measure $\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}$ on $\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}$ via

$$\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}(A) := \int_0^\infty \rho\big(\{G : G(s \cdot) \in A\}\big) \kappa(\mathrm{d} s).$$

Moreover, assume that $\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} G(t) \gamma_{\kappa,\rho}(\mathrm{d} G) = \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} G\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \kappa(\mathrm{d} s) \rho(\mathrm{d} G) < \infty \text{ for all } t \ge 0.$$

Theorem 3.5 implies that

$$\mu_{\kappa,\rho} := \int \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_G \gamma_{\kappa,\rho}(\mathrm{d}G) \tag{7}$$

defines a valid global exponent measure on $E_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The following proposition provides a series representation of the associated non-decreasing id-process.

Proposition 4.1 (*X* and *H* associated with $\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}$)

A series representation of the id-process H, associated with the exponent measure $\mu_{\kappa,\rho}$ in (7), is given by

$$(H_t)_{t\geq 0} = \left(\sum_{k\geq 1} -\log\left(1 - G_k\left(\frac{t}{S_k}\right)\right)\right)_{t\geq 0},$$

where $N := \sum_{k\geq 1} \delta_{(S_k,G_k)}$ denotes a Poisson random measure on $[0,\infty) \times \overline{M}_{\infty}^0$ with mean measure $\kappa \otimes \rho$. The survival function of the associated exchangeable min-id sequence X is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(X > t) = \exp\left(-\mathbb{E}\left[\kappa\left(\left[0, \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{t_i}{Z_i}\right]\right)\right]\right),\tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2, ...)$ denotes an exchangeable sequence of random variables with associated random distribution function $G \sim \rho$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

We find it educational to remark that the sequences $(S_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are independent and that $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is i.i.d. drawn from ρ and $S_k \sim f^{-}(\epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_k)$, where (ϵ_k) are i.i.d. unit exponential and $f^{-}(x) := \inf\{t \ge 0 \mid f(t) \ge x\}$ denotes the generalized inverse of the function $f(t) := \kappa([0, t])$.

Three prominent examples for the choice of the pair (κ, ρ) can be found in the literature.

4.3.1 Exchangeable min-stable sequences

Choosing $\kappa(ds) = ds$ yields

$$\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}(A) = \int_0^\infty \rho(\{G : G(s \cdot) \in A\}) \mathrm{d}s.$$

By (Kopp and Molchanov 2018, Theorem 4.2), this defines the exponent measure of a driftless strong-idt process. This means that *H* satisfies $H_0 = 0$ and

$$(H_t)_{t\geq 0} \sim \left(\sum_{i=1}^n H^{(i)}_{\frac{t}{n}}\right)_{t\geq 0}$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where $(H^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. copies of *H*. The associated Lévy measure *v* is given by

$$\nu(A) = \gamma_{\kappa,\rho} \left(\left\{ G \mid G = 1 - \exp\left(-x(\cdot)\right) \text{ for some } x \in A \right\} \right).$$

Equation (8) simplifies to

$$\mathbb{P}(X > t) = \exp\left(-\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{i \ge 1} \frac{t_i}{Z_i}\right]\right),\tag{9}$$

which is a well known representation of the stable tail dependence function of an exchangeable min-stable sequence, see de Haan (1984). A result of Mai (2020) states that the probability law of $Y = (1/Z_1, 1/Z_2, ...)$ becomes unique, if we additionally postulate that ρ is concentrated on distribution functions G satisfying $\int_{(0,\infty)} s^{-1} dG(s) = 1$. Survival functions of the form (9) are called min-stable multivariate exponential, since they imply $X \sim n \min_{i=1,\dots,n} \{X^{(i)}\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary and $X^{(i)}$ denote independent copies of X. The min-stability property plays a fundamental role in multivariate extreme-value theory, since these are the only possible limiting distributions of componentwise minima of i.i.d. random vectors, after appropriate componentwise normalization, see Resnick (2007). Under the normalizing assumption $\mathbb{E}[1/Z_1] = 1$, Mai (2020) shows that the presented construction of the function $\ell(t) = \mathbb{E}[\max_{i>1} t_i/Z_i]$ in (9) is general enough to comprise all possible stable tail dependence functions associated with exchangeable min-stable sequences. In other words, by (Mai and Scherer 2014, Theorem 5.3), all driftless nnnd strong-idt processes necessarily admit a series representation as in Proposition 4.1, where $S_k \sim \epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_k$ for an i.i.d. sequence of unit exponential random variables $(\epsilon_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\kappa(ds) = ds$. However, it should be noted that not all finitedimensional exchangeable min-stable random vectors can be obtained by this construction, since some exchangeable min-stable random vectors cannot be embedded into an exchangeable sequence (Mai and Scherer 2017, Example 3.2).

Regarding related examples from the literature let us mention α -idt processes, see Davydov et al. (2008), Hakassou and Ouknine (2012), aggregate self-similar processes, see Iglói and Barczy (2012), Barczy et al. (2015), and translatively stable processes, see Hakassou and Ouknine (2013). All these processes are strong-idt processes up to scaling and time change, which implies their infinite divisibility. As we have seen in Corollary 3.2 a deterministic time change of a non-decreasing strong-idt process solely changes the one dimensional marginal distribution of X, whereas a scaling of the strong-idt process corresponds to a scaling of the drift and a linear change of variables of the Lévy measure of the strong-idt process. Therefore, the nnnd instances of these processes uniquely correspond to an exchangeable min-id sequence X and their Lévy measure can be obtained as the image measure of the Lévy measure of a strong-idt process.

4.3.2 Reciprocal Archimedean copulas

Choose κ such that $\kappa(\{0\}) = 0$, $\kappa([0, \infty)) = \infty$, and $\rho = \delta_{\hat{G}}$, with \hat{G} being the unit Fréchet distribution function $\hat{G}(t) = \exp(-1/t)\mathbf{1}_{\{t>0\}}$. This implies that

$$\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}(A) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{G}(s\cdot)\in A\}} \kappa(\mathrm{d}s) = \kappa \left(\left\{ s \left| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{s\cdot}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot>0\}} \in A \right\} \right) \right\}$$

The associated exchangeable min-id sequence X has survival function

$$\mathbb{P}(X > t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty 1 - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{s}{t_i}\right)\right) \kappa(\mathrm{d}s)\right),\tag{10}$$

which is exactly the representation of an exchangeable sequence with reciprocal Archimedean copula as introduced in Genest et al. (2018). Concretely, with the notation $\phi(t) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-tx)\kappa(dx)$, we may rewrite

$$\mathbb{P}(X > t) = C_{\phi} \left(e^{-\phi(1/t_1)}, e^{-\phi(1/t_2)}, \dots \right),$$

where for $(u_1, u_2, ...) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ the function

$$C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2, \ldots) := \frac{\prod_{\substack{A \subset \mathbb{N}, \\ |A| < \infty \text{ odd}}} \exp\left(-\phi\left(\sum_{k \in A} \phi^{-1}\left(-\log(u_k)\right)\right)\right)}{\prod_{\substack{A \subset \mathbb{N}, \\ |A| < \infty \text{ even}}} \exp\left(-\phi\left(\sum_{k \in A} \phi^{-1}\left(-\log(u_k)\right)\right)\right)},$$

is the distribution function of an exchangeable sequence U and each component $U_i \sim \exp(-\phi(1/X_i))$ is uniformly distributed on [0,1]. The associated finite-dimensional margins of C_{ϕ} are called reciprocal Archimedean copulas, where the nomenclature is justified by some striking analogies with the concept of Archimedean copulas. For example, the Galambos copula is obtained by choosing

$$\kappa(\mathrm{d}s) = \frac{1}{\theta \,\Gamma(1+1/\theta)} \, s^{\frac{1}{\theta}-1} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

for some parameter $\theta > 0$, which yields $\phi(t) = t^{-1/\theta}$. We refer the interested reader to Genest et al. (2018) for more details about reciprocal Archimedean copulas.

4.3.3 Archimedean copulas with log-completely monotone generator

Let v_M denote the univariate Lévy measure of an infinitely divisible random variable $M \in (0, \infty)$. Define κ by $\kappa(A) := \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_A(1/s)v_M(ds)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$ and choose $\rho = \delta_{\hat{G}}$, where $\hat{G}(t) = (1 - \exp(-t))\mathbf{1}_{\{t>0\}}$ denotes the distribution function of the unit exponential distribution. This implies that

$$\gamma_{\kappa,\rho}(A) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{1 - \exp(-\cdot/s) \in A\}} v_M(\mathrm{d}s)$$

and the associated exchangeable min-id sequence has survival function

$$\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X} > \boldsymbol{t}) = \exp\left(-\mathbb{E}\left[\kappa\left(\left[0, \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{t_i}{Z_i}\right]\right)\right]\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty \int_{(0,\infty)^{\mathbb{N}}} 1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i > st_i \ \forall \ i \in \mathbb{N}\}}\right)$$
$$\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_{1 - \exp(-\cdot)}(\mathbf{d}(z_1, z_2, \dots))v_M(\mathbf{d}s)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty 1 - \exp\left(-s\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} t_i\right)v_M(\mathbf{d}s)\right),$$

🙆 Springer

$$v(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x(t) = a t 1_{\{t \ge 0\}}, a \in A\}) := v_M(A).$$

Moreover, X has marginal survival function $\psi(t) := \mathbb{E}[\exp(-tM)]$ and survival copula

$$C_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \psi\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi^{-1}(u_i)\right), \ \boldsymbol{u} \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}},$$

which is an exchangeable Archimedean copula with completely monotone generator ψ according to Marshall and Olkin (1988), McNeil and Nešlehová (2009).

The definition of ψ in this context implies that ψ is even log-completely monotone, which means that it has the special representation $\psi(t) = \exp(-g(t))$, where $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$; $g(t) = \int_0^\infty 1 - \exp(st)v_M(ds)$ is called the Laplace exponent of ψ and g is a Bernstein function, i.e. $\frac{d}{dt}g(t)$ is completely monotone. For example, the Gumbel copula, which is the only max-stable Archimedean copula, corresponds to $M \sim \alpha$ -stable with $\psi(t) = \exp(-t^{\alpha})$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and $g(t) = t^{\alpha}$. The Lévy–Khintchine Theorem tells us that all log-completely monotone functions $\tilde{\psi}$ which satisfy $\tilde{\psi}(0) = 1$ are of the form $-\log(\tilde{\psi}(t)) = bt + \int_0^\infty 1 - \exp(-st)v_M(ds)$ for some b > 0 and a Lévy measure v_M of a non-negative infinitely divisible random variable M. Therefore, to obtain an exchangeable min-id sequence with exchangeable Archimedean survival copula with log-completely monotone generator $\tilde{\psi}$, we consider the exchangeable min-id sequence $X^{(\tilde{\psi})} \in (0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ corresponding to the extended chronometer $\left(H_t^{(\tilde{\psi})}\right)_{t\geq 0} := (bt + Mt)_{t\geq 0}$. We obtain that $X^{(\tilde{\psi})}$ has survival function

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\Big(X_1^{(\tilde{\psi})} > t_1, X_2^{(\tilde{\psi})} > t_2, \dots\Big) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-(M+b)\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} t_i\right)\right] \\ &= C_{\tilde{\psi}}\left(\tilde{\psi}(t_1), \tilde{\psi}(t_2), \dots\right), \ t\in[0,\infty)^{\mathbb{N}}, \end{split}$$

which shows that $X^{(\tilde{\psi})}$ has marginal survival function $\tilde{\psi}$ and Archimedean survival copula $C_{\tilde{\psi}}$.

It is worth noting that the Archimedean copula $C_{\tilde{\psi}}$ itself is max-id, since decreasing transformations of min-id sequences are max-id by Lemma 2.5. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the assumption of an Archimedean copula $C_{\tilde{\psi}}$ being maxid implies that $\tilde{\psi}$ corresponds to the Laplace transform of a non-negative infinitelydivisible random variable. Thus, an Archimedean copula on $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is max-id if and only if $\tilde{\psi}$ is log-completely monotone.⁵

⁵ Note that max-id Archimedean copulas are not the only positive lower orthant dependent (PLOD) Archimedean copulas. The set of completely monotone generators, a superset of log-completely monotone generators, corresponds to the class of extendible Archimedean copulas that are PLOD. Thus, a PLOD Archimedean copula is not necessarily max-id.

4.4 Subordination of Lévy processes by extended chronometers

Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006) prove that a Lévy process subordinated by an extended chronometer remains infinitely divisible. Formally, let $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote a Lévy process and let $(H_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote an extended chronometer. If *L* is a subordinator $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0} := (L_{H_t})_{t\geq 0}$ defines an extended chronometer by (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Theorem 7.1). It can be shown that *Y* has independent increments if *H* has independent increments and that *Y* is strong-idt if *H* is strong-idt.

Except for the quite simple example of (reciprocal) Archimedean copulas we have only seen examples of (killed) strong-idt and (killed) additive subordinators. An example of a càdlàg id-process which is (usually) neither strong-idt nor has independent increments is given by the non-negative solution to the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}V_t = -\lambda V_t \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_{\lambda t},\tag{11}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $(Z_t)_{t>0}$ is a Lévy process satisfying

$$\int_{D^{\infty}\left([0,\infty)\right)} \max\{0, \log(|x(t)|)\} v_Z(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$$

for all $t \ge 0$, where v_Z denotes the Lévy measure of Z. In case $(Z_t)_{t\ge 0}$ is a Lévy subordinator, V is the square of the stochastic volatility process of the Barndorff-Nielsen–Shepard model, see Barndorff-Nielsen and Shepard (2001), Carr et al. (2003). Moreover, the process $H_t := \int_0^t V_s ds$ remains infinitely divisible (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Sect. 4). H_t is known as the integrated or cumulated volatility up to time t, which is important when analyzing the realized variance or the quadratic variation of an option pricing model, see Kömm (2016). For instance, Duan and Yeh (2010) model the CBOE Volatility Index at time t via const. $+ \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\int_0^t V_s ds \right]$, where \mathbb{E}_Q denotes the expectation w.r.t. some risk neutral probability measure Q. Interestingly, we can generalize the ideas of Barndorff-Nielsen and Shepard (2001) and (Mansuy 2005, Example (2.2)) to id-processes to obtain that

$$\left(H_t^{(\kappa)}\right)_{t\geq 0} := \left(\int_0^t V_s \kappa(\mathrm{d} s)\right)_{t\geq 0}$$

defines an extended chronometer for every non-negative càdlàg id-process V and Radon measure κ on $[0, \infty)$, which is usually neither strong-idt nor has independent increments.

Proposition 4.2 (Extended chronometer via integration over non-negative id-process)

Let $(V_s)_{s\geq 0} \in D([0,\infty))$ denote a non-negative càdlàg id-process with drift b_V and Lévy measure v_V . Moreover, let κ denote a measure on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\kappa([0,t]) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then,

$$(H_t^{(\kappa)})_{t\geq 0} := \left(\int_0^t V_s \kappa(\mathrm{d} s)\right)_{t\geq 0}$$

defines an extended chronometer with Lévy measure

$$v_{\kappa}(A) := v_{V}\left(\left\{x \in D^{\infty}([0,\infty)) \mid \int_{0}^{\cdot} x(s)\kappa(\mathrm{d}s) \in A, \int_{0}^{\infty} x(s)\kappa(\mathrm{d}s) > 0\right\}\right)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(D^{\infty}([0,\infty)))$ and drift $b^{(\kappa)}(\cdot) = \int_0^{\cdot} b_V(s)\kappa(\mathrm{d} s)$.

Proof The proof can be found in Appendix 1.

If we subordinate a Lévy subordinator *L* by $H^{(\kappa)}$ we can obtain an explicit representation of the survival function of the associated exchangeable min-id sequence $X^{(L,V,\kappa)}$. Let $\psi(a) := -\log \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-aL_1 \right) \right] \right)$ denote the Laplace exponent of *L*. The min-id sequence $X^{(L,V,\kappa)}$ associated with $\left(L_{H_t^{(\kappa)}} \right)_{t>0}$ has the following survival function:

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1} > t_{1}, \dots, X_{d} > t_{d}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{H_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)}}\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} L_{H_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)}}\right) \middle| H\right]\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[-\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} H_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)} \left(\psi(d-i+1) - \psi(d-i)\right)\right)\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\psi(d-i+1) - \psi(d-i)\right) b_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)} \right) \\ &- \int_{M_{\ell}} 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\psi(d-i+1) - \psi(d-i)\right) x_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)}\right) v_{V}(dx)\right) \end{split}$$

where $x_t^{(\kappa)} := \int_0^t x(s)\kappa(ds)$. Thus, if v_V and ψ are known, we obtain an explicit analytic representation of the survival function of $X^{(L,V,\kappa)}$. In particular this is the case if we start with "simple" processes *L* and *V*. E.g. choosing *V* as a Cox–Ingersoll–Ross process, see Cox et al. (1985) yields an explicit representation of the survival function of $X^{(L,V,\kappa)}$, since the Lévy measure of such processes can be obtained by an application of Proposition 4.2 to a scaled and time changed squared Bessel process, see (Rosiński 2018, Example 2.24) for more details on the Lévy measure of squared Bessel processes.

Generally, this approach yields a flexible way to combine two "simple" extended chronometers to an extended chronometer which is usually neither strong-idt nor additive.

☑ Springer

4.5 Finite exponent measures

Let $X \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote a min-id sequence with $0 < \mathbb{P}(X = \infty) < 1$. The associated global exponent measure μ is a finite measure on $E_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with total mass $c := -\log(\mathbb{P}(X = \infty))$. (Resnick 2007, Example 5.6) shows that there exists a sequence of i.i.d. sequences $(\mathbf{Z}^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathbf{Z}^{(1)} \sim \mathbf{Z} \sim \mu/c =: \mathbb{P}$ and an independent Poisson random variable N with mean c such that $\min_{1 \le i \le N} \mathbf{Z}^{(i)} \sim \mathbf{X}$. This can be easily verified by

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{1\leq i\leq N} \mathbf{Z}^{(i)} > \mathbf{x}\right) = \exp\left(-c\right) \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{c^{i}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{Z} > \mathbf{x})^{i}}{i!} = \exp\left(-c\left(1 - \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]\right)\right)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\mu\left((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]^{\complement}\right)\right) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} > \mathbf{x}).$$

Obviously, X is exchangeable if and only if Z is exchangeable. Then, Theorem 3.5 tells us that μ can be decomposed into $\mu = \mu_b + \mu_{\gamma}$. Note that the existence of some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mu_{b,n}\left(\underbrace{(\underbrace{t,\ldots,t}_{n \text{ times}}), \infty}_{l \text{ times}}\right]^{\complement}\right) = nb(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \infty$$

is equivalent to $b \neq 0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$. Therefore, if μ is finite, $\mu_b = 0$ and the associated idprocess *H* is driftless. Thus, μ is given by $\mu = \mu_{\gamma} = \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^0} \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_G \gamma(dG)$. Furthermore, Theorem 3.5 implies the existence of a unique Lévy measure ν such that $\nu(A) = \gamma(\{G \in \overline{M}_{\infty}^0 \mid G = 1 - \exp(-x(\cdot)) \text{ for some } x \in A\})$. An application of the monotone convergence theorem shows that

$$c = \mu(E_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}}) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mu((t, \infty)^{\mathbb{C}}) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{M_{\infty}^{0}} 1 - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \exp(-x(t))\nu(dx)$$
$$= v(M_{\infty}^{0}),$$

i.e. v is finite as well. Now, Example 2.15 implies that the associated (driftless) idprocess *H* is given by

$$H \sim \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i,$$

where $(h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. stochastic processes on M^0_{∞} with distribution ν/c and N is an independent Poisson random variable with mean c. Therefore, if μ is finite, X (resp. H) admits a simple construction method via Poisson maxima (resp. sums) of i.i.d. objects.

The correspondence of the exchangeable sequence Z with the finite exponent measure μ seems to imply that finite extendible exponent measures μ_d can be represented via extendible random vectors. However, as the following

paragraphs show, this is not always possible, since the global exponent measure μ can have infinite mass even if μ_d is finite for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$. To see this, assume that $X_d \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ is exchangeable and min-id with finite exponent measure μ_d . Moreover, assume that X_d is extendible to an exchangeable min-id sequence X, which w.l.o.g. satisfies Condition (\Diamond). This ensures the existence of a global exponent measure μ such that μ_d satisfies the properties in Proposition 2.9.

(Resnick 2007, Example 5.6) and Proposition 2.8 imply that there exists a Poisson random variable N_d with mean $c_d = \mu_d(E_{\infty}^d)$ and i.i.d. exchangeable random vectors $(\mathbf{Z}_d^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in (-\infty, \infty]^d$ with distribution $\widetilde{\mu_d}/c_d$ such that $X_d \underset{(1)}{\sim} \min_{1 \le i \le N_d} \mathbf{Z}_d^{(i)}$. Therefore, μ_d can always be represented by a random vector $\mathbf{Z}_d^{(1)} \sim \mathbf{Z}_d^{-2} \sim \mu_d/c_d$ and a constant c_d .

In the elaborations above we have seen that a finite global μ implies that Z_d is extendible to a sequence Z. Since we know that μ_d is extendible to a global μ_d , it would be tempting to assume that \mathbf{Z}_d is also extendible to a sequence \mathbf{Z} , independent of the total mass of μ . However, as the following calculations show, μ being finite is also a necessary condition for Z_d being extendible.

We begin with an analysis of μ_d . Independently of the total mass of μ it is always possible to decompose μ_d into $\mu_{b,d} + \mu_{\gamma,d}$ by Theorem 3.5. Therefore, we can define the constant $a_d = \mu_{\gamma,d}(E_{\infty}^d)/c_d = 1 - \mu_{b,d}(E_{\infty}^d)/c_d \in [0,1]$. Now, μ_d can be generated as follows:

- 1. Draw a Bernoulli random variable B with success probability a_d .
- 2. If B = 1, draw a random variable $Y^{(d,1)}$ with distribution $\mu_{\gamma,d}(\cdot)/(c_d a_d)$. 3. If B = 0, draw a random variable $Y^{(d,2)}$ with distribution $\mu_{b,d}(\cdot)/(c_d(1-a_d))$. Note that $Y^{(d,2)}$ is supported on $\{x \in (-\infty, \infty)^d \mid x_i = \infty \text{ for all but one } i\}$.
- 4. $\mu_d(A) = c_d \mathbb{P}(BY^{(d,1)} + (1-B)Y^{(d,2)} \in A).$

Taking a closer look at $Y^{(d,2)}$ reveals that $Y^{(d,2)}$ can never be embedded in a random vector on $(-\infty, \infty]^{d'}$, d' > d, if we do not allow for mass on $\times_{i=1}^{d} \{\infty\}$. Unfortunately, even if we allow for mass on $\times_{i=1}^{d} \{\infty\}$, an embedding of $Y^{(d,2)}$ in a sequence requires $\mu_d(\{\infty\}^d) = \infty$ and $\mu(E^{\mathbb{N}}_{\infty}) = \underset{d \to \infty}{\infty}$, since $\mu_{b,d}(E^d_{\infty}) = d \lim_{t \to \infty} b(t) \xrightarrow{d \to \infty} \infty$ if and only if $b \neq 0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{Y}^{(d,2)}$ can never be extended to a sequence and the random variable $\mathbf{Z}_d = \mathbf{Y}^{(d,1)} + \mathbf{Y}^{(d,2)}$ can only be extendible if $a_d = 1$. Note that the necessity of $a_d = 1$ is not based on the fact that $\mathbf{Y}^{(d,2)}$ is not extendible, but rather on the fact that the presence of $Y^{(d,2)}$ implies that the only possible extension of the distribution of $Y^{(d,2)}$ is an infinite measure. Intuitively, this resembles the fact that X cannot have finite exponent measure μ if it can be represented as the minimum of a non-trivial i.i.d. sequence (represented by $Y^{(d,2)}$) and an independent exchangeable min-id sequence (represented by $Y^{(d,1)}$).

It remains to investigate under which circumstances $Y^{(d,1)}$ is extendible. Observe that in case $a_d = 1$ the extendibility of $Y^{(d,1)}$ to a sequence $Y^{(1)}$ implies that μ is finite. Therefore, μ being finite is not only a sufficient but also necessary criterion for the extendibility of \mathbf{Z}_d . Note that the distribution of the first *d* components of $\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}$ may not be exactly μ_d/c_d due to the removal of $\times_{i=1}^d \{\infty\}$ and the possibility of $Y_1^{(1)} = \ldots = Y_d^{(1)} = \infty$. However, the distribution of Z_d can be obtained as the conditional distribution of $(Y_1^{(1)}, \ldots, Y_d^{(1)})$ given $(Y_1^{(1)}, \ldots, Y_d^{(1)}) \neq \infty$.

Our discussion is summarized in the following paragraph. Let $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a sequence of unit exponential random variables. The global extensions μ of μ_d can be classified into two possible cases:

- Finite μ: In this case Z_d is extendible to an exchangeable sequence Z and the global exponent measure μ is in one-to-one correspondence with the tuple (Z, P(X = ∞)). It is easy to see that the associated extended chronometer H is driftless with P(H = 0_{D∞(R)}) > 0.
- 2. Infinite μ : In this case \mathbb{Z}_d is not extendible. Nevertheless, we know that μ_d is extendible to a global μ . Thus, $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{X} = \infty) = \exp(-\mu(\mathbb{E}_{\infty}^{\mathbb{N}})) = 0$ and the associated extended chronometer H satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(H = 0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) = \mathbb{P}(\{E_i > \lim_{t \to \infty} H_t \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \mathbb{P}(X = \infty) = 0.$$

Additionally, the drift of *H* satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} b(t) < \infty$, since $\lim_{t\to\infty} b(t) = \infty$ would require that $\mathbb{P}(X_d = \infty) \le \lim_{t\to\infty} \exp(-db(t)) = 0$. Moreover, we can deduce that

$$0 < \mathbb{P}(X_d = \mathbf{\infty}) = \mathbb{P}\Big(\left\{E_i > \lim_{t \to \infty} H_t \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le d\right\}\Big)$$
$$\le \mathbb{P}\Big(0 < \lim_{t \to \infty} H_t < \mathbf{\infty}\Big).$$

Therefore, *H* is almost surely non-zero and bounded with positive probability.

4.6 Approximation of extended chronometer via extended chronometers with finite Lévy measure

Example 2.15 shows that a driftless extended chronometer *H* with finite Lévy measure v may be simulated via finitely many i.i.d. copies $(h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a stochastic process $h \sim v(\cdot)/v(D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$. *H* may then be represented as $(H_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sim \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} h_i(t)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, where \tilde{N} denotes a Poisson random variable with mean $v(D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$.

In case v is an infinite measure, Proposition 2.16 implies that there exists a Poisson random measure $N := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_{h_i}$ on $\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ nnnd}\}$ with intensity measure v such that

$$(H_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} := \left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} h_i(t)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$$

defines an nnnd id-process with Lévy measure v by (Rosiński 2018, Proposition 2.10). Clearly, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the extended chronometer defined via

$$\left(H_t^{(s,\epsilon)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} := \left(\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ h_i(s) > \epsilon}} h_i(t)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}},$$

has finite Lévy measure $v_{s,\epsilon} := v(\cdot \cap \{x(s) > \epsilon\})$. This implies that the nnnd idprocess

$$\left(H_{t}^{(s)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} := \left(\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} H_{t}^{(s,\epsilon)}\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} = \left(\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathbb{N}\\h_{i}(s)>0}}h_{i}(t)\right)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$$

has Lévy measure $v_{s,0} := v(\cdot \cap \{x(s) > 0\})$, which is generally an infinite measure that is not equal to *v*. However, it is important to observe that

$$\left(H_t\right)_{t\leq s} = \left(H_t^{(s)}\right)_{t\leq s}$$

Thus, if one is only interested in the path of the extended chronometer *H* up to time *s*, one may simulate $H^{(s,e)}$ for some small e > 0 to obtain an approximation of $(H_t)_{t \le s}$.

The just described approximation procedure of an extended chronometer is well-known when *H* is an additive process. In this case, a Poisson random measure with intensity $v_{s,\epsilon}$ may be represented as $(A_i \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \geq U_i\}})_{1 \leq i \leq \tilde{N}}$, where \tilde{N} denotes a Poisson random variable with mean $v_{s,\epsilon}(D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $((U_i, A_i))_{1 \leq i \leq \tilde{N}}$ denotes a finite Poisson random measure on $[0, s] \times (\epsilon, \infty]$. This implies that $(H_t^{(s,\epsilon)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}} = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \tilde{N}} A_i \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq U_i\}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}} = (\sum_{U_i \leq t} A_i)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, which is a well-known representation of a (inhomogeneous) compound Poisson process. However, note that nund id-processes with independent increments are the only nund id-

processes where $H^{(s,\epsilon)}$ can be represented as a (inhomogeneous) compound Poisson processes. This is due to the fact that (inhomogeneous) compound Poisson processes have independent increments and the independent increments property is preserved under the weak convergence of the finite dimensional margins of $H^{(s,\epsilon)}$ when $\epsilon \to 0$.

The exchangeable min-id sequences $X^{(s,\epsilon)}$, $X^{(s)}$, and X associated to $H^{(s,\epsilon)}$, $H^{(s)}$, and H obviously satisfy $\mathbb{P}(X^{(s,\epsilon)} > t) \ge \mathbb{P}(X^{(s,0)} > t) \ge \mathbb{P}(X > t)$ for all $t \in [-\infty, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{P}(X^{(s,0)} > t) = \mathbb{P}(X > t)$ for all $t \in [-\infty, s]^{\mathbb{N}}$, since $(H^{(s)})_{t \le s} = (H_t)_{t \le s}$. Therefore, one may approximate the exchangeable min-id sequence X associated to the extended chronometer H via a simulation of $X^{(s,\epsilon)}$ associated to the extended chronometer $H^{(s,\epsilon)}$ with finite Lévy measure.

⁶ A similar reasoning also allows to approximate a general id-process by id-processes with finite Lévy measure.

4.7 Overview of established families under the present umbrella

Figure 3 provides a graphical overview of the established families of exchangeable min-id sequences introduced in this paper.

Section 4.2 establishes that the class of extendible exogenous shock models is contained in the class of exchangeable min-id sequences. Since extendible exogenous shock models are associated to the class of nnnd additive processes according to Mai et al. (2016) and Sloot (2020), we deduce that the class of extendible Marshall–Olkin distributions is contained in the class of extendible exogenous shock models as the class of extendible Marshall–Olkin distributions corresponds to the class of nnnd Lévy processes according to Mai (2010). Furthermore, the class of exchangeable Sato-frailty sequences is included in the class of extendible exogenous shock models as it is associated to the class of exchangeable Sato-frailty sequences of (additive) Sato-subordinators as shown in Mai et al. (2017). Moreover, the class of exchangeable Sato-frailty sequences only intersects with the class of Marshall–Olkin distributions when the associated Sato-subordinator is the α -stable subordinator, which is the only Sato-subordinator that is also a Lévy process according to (Sato 1999, Remark 16.2).

Section 4.3.1 shows that the class of exchangeable min-stable sequences with exponential margins is contained in the class of exchangeable min-id sequences and Mai and Scherer (2014) show that it corresponds to the class of nnnd strong-idt processes. Since the class of nnnd Lévy processes is precisely the intersection



Fig. 3 Overview of established exchangeable min-id sequences under the present umbrella

of the classes of nnnd additive processes and nnnd strong-idt processes, the class of extendible Marshall–Olkin distributions is precisely the intersection of the class of extendible exogenous shock models and the class of exchangeable min-stable sequences with exponential margins. Furthermore, Genest et al. (2018) show that the Galambos copula is the only copula which belongs both to the class of copulas of exchangeable min-stable sequences (also called extreme value copulas) and to the class of reciprocal Archimedean copulas. Similarly, Genest and Rivest (1989) show that the Gumbel copula is the only copula which belongs both to the class of extreme value copulas and to the class of Archimedean copulas.

The class of extendible exogenous shock models does not intersect with the class of Archimedean copulas with log-completely monotone generator except for independence and comonotonicity, since the nnnd id-process associated to an Archimedean copula with log-completely monotone generator cannot have independent increments according to Sect. 4.3.2. A similar statement applies to reciprocal Archimedean copulas according to Sect. 4.3.2. Comparing the Lévy measures associated to reciprocal Archimedean copulas and Archimedean copulas with log-completely monotone generator, we obtain that their intersection can only consist of independence and comonotonicity as well.

5 Conclusion

We have shown that every exchangeable min-id sequence is in one-to-one correspondence with an nnnd infinitely divisible càdlàg process. Doing so, we have unified the work of Mai (2010), Mai and Scherer (2013, 2014), Mai et al. (2016, 2017), Sloot (2020) under one common umbrella. Furthermore, we have shown that the exponent measure of an exchangeable min-id sequence associated to a driftless nnnd id-process is a mixture of product probability measures. Therefore, de Finetti's Theorem is extended to exchangeable exponent measures. Several important examples of exchangeable min-id sequences have been presented and the existing literature has been embedded into our framework. A summary of these correspondences is given in Figs. 1, 2, and 3. As a byproduct we have shown that càdlàg id-processes can be represented as the sum of arbitrarily many i.i.d. càdlàg processes and that the Lévy measure of nnnd càdlàg id-processes is concentrated on nnnd càdlàg functions.

There are various well known subclasses of nnnd infinitely divisible stochastic processes, such as nnnd additive processes and strong-idt processes. However, it remains an interesting open problem to find nnnd infinitely divisible stochastic processes outside of these subclasses, which can be conveniently described analytically. Moreover, even if one would have an analytical characterization of such nnnd id-processes at hand, their simulation would probably only be feasible in an approximate fashion, similar to the approach discussed in Sect. 4.6. Nonetheless, even their approximate simulation would most likely still pose a quite challenging problem due to possible path dependencies of the involved stochastic processes. Thus, the simulation of the associated exchangeable min-id sequence remains challenging is such situations.

To circumvent this problem, Brück (2022) provides a simulation algorithm for the class of real-valued continuous max-id-processes, which ensures that a user-specified

number of locations of the max-id-process are simulated exactly. Since every min-id sequence X may be transformed into a continuous max-id-process 1/X with index set \mathbb{N} , the results of Brück (2022) may be applied to simulate an exchangeable min-id sequence X. The key ingredient of the proposed simulation algorithm in Brück (2022) is the exponent measure of X (or 1/X), which can be easily constructed according to (4) or deduced from the Lévy measure of the associated nnnd id-process by Theorem 3.5. Thus, the results of this paper allow to construct exchangeable minid sequences in terms of their associated exponent or Lévy measures, while Brück (2022) provides a method for the exact simulation of their d-dimensional margins.

Appendix 1

Proofs

Lemma 1.1 The following properties are valid:

- 1. $\pi(\mathbf{x}) \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \pi^{-1}(A) \text{ and } \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \pi(A).$
- 2. $\pi(\pi^{-1}(A)) = A$.
- 3. $\pi(A) = \{\pi(x) \mid x \in A\} = \{y \mid \pi^{-1}(y) \in A\} = \{y \mid y \in \pi(A)\}.$
- 4. $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus \pi(A) = \pi(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus A) \text{ and } \pi(B) \setminus \pi(A) = \pi(B \setminus A).$
- 5. $\pi(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i) = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\pi(A_i).$
- 6. Let E_{ℓ} be the support of an exchangeable exponent measure. Obviously, $E_{\ell} = \pi(E_{\ell})$ and $\pi((\mathbf{x}, \infty)^{\complement}) = (\pi(\mathbf{x}), -\infty)^{\complement}$.

Proof (Proof of Lemma 1.1)

- 1. + 2. Obvious.
- 3. Follows from 1.

4. $\mathbb{\bar{R}}^d \setminus \pi(A) = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid x \notin \pi(A) \} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \notin A \} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{\bar{R}}^d \setminus A \} = \pi(\mathbb{\bar{R}}^d \setminus A).$ The second assertion follows analogously.

5. $\pi(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i) = \{x \mid \pi^{-1}(x) \in A_i \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x \mid x \in \pi(A_i) \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\pi(A_i).$ 6. Since E_{ℓ} is of the form $[-\infty, \ell] \setminus \ell$ with $\ell = (\ell, \dots, \ell)$ we get $\pi((x, \infty)^{\complement}) = \pi(E_{\ell} \setminus (x, \infty)) = \{\pi(y) \mid y \in E_{\ell}, y_i \leq x_i \text{ for some } i\} = \{y \mid y \in E_{\ell}, y_i \leq \pi(x_i) \text{ for some } i\} = (\pi(x), \infty)^{\complement}.$

Proof of Corollary 2.3

Proof

" \leftarrow " Assume that *H* is stochastically continuous. From the property that *H* is nondecreasing and càdlàg with $\lim_{s \nearrow t} H_s = H_t$ in probability, we obtain that $\lim_{s \nearrow t} H_s = H_t$ almost surely. This is due to the fact that there is at least one sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $s_n \nearrow t$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} H_{s_n} = H_t$ almost surely, since $\lim_{s \nearrow t} H_s = H_t$ in probability. This immediately implies that every $(s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $s'_n \nearrow t$ satisfies $\lim_{n \to \infty} H_{s'_n} = H_t$ almost surely due to the non-decreasingness of H. Therefore, the Laplace transforms of $\lim_{s \nearrow t} H_s$ and H_t coincide, which implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge t) = \lim_{s \ge t} \mathbb{P}(X_1 > s) = \lim_{s \ge t} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(-H_s)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(-H_t)\right] = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > t).$$

Thus, the distribution of X is continuous.

"⇒" Assume that *X* follows a continuous distribution. Seeking a contradiction, we assume that *H* is not stochastically continuous, i.e. there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ such that $\lim_{s \neq t} \mathbb{P}(H_t - H_s > \delta) > \epsilon$. Note that the limit exists, because *H* is increasing. Now, there exist $0 < q_1 < q_1 + \delta < q_2$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $\lim_{s \neq t} \mathbb{P}(H_s < q_1, H_t \ge q_2) > \eta$. This implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_1=t\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(E_1 \in (q_1, q_2), \lim_{s \neq t} H_s < q_1, H_t \geq q_2\right) > \eta \mathbb{P}\left(E_1 \in (q_1, q_2)\right) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, H must be stochastically continuous.

Proof of Proposition 2.8

Proof Throughout the proof we frequently use properties of the permutation operator π derived in Lemma 1.1.

"⇐" The exchangeability of μ_d translates into the exchangeability of the survival function \overline{F} of X_d , which in turn implies that X_d is exchangeable. We provide the precise reasoning behind this argument, since we need to carry out the same steps for finite exponent measures in "⇒". For ease of notation we write $\mathbb{P}(X_d \in A) =: \mathbb{P}(A)$. Let

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \in \mathcal{B}((-\infty, \infty)^d) \mid \mathbb{P}(\pi(A)) = \mathbb{P}(A) \forall \text{ permutations } \pi \text{ on } \{1, \dots, d\} \right\}$$

denote the collection of \mathbb{P} -exchangeable sets. We show that \mathcal{A} is a Dynkin system containing a Π -stable generator of $\mathcal{B}((-\infty, \infty]^d)$, which implies that $\mathcal{B}((-\infty, \infty]^d) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Obviously, the sets $(-\infty, \infty]^d$ and \emptyset are both included in \mathcal{A} . Now consider some arbitrary set $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We get

$$\mathbb{P}\big((-\infty,\infty]^d \setminus A\big) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\pi(A)) = \mathbb{P}\big((-\infty,\infty]^d \setminus \pi(A)\big)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\big(\pi((-\infty,\infty]^d \setminus A)\big).$$
(12)

Therefore, $(-\infty, \infty]^d \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$. Next, consider $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Using that every measure is continuous from below we obtain

221

🙆 Springer

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n\pi(A_i))$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\pi(A_i)) = \mathbb{P}(\pi(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_i)),$$

which establishes that A is a Dynkin system. From the exchangeability of μ_d we deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]) = \overline{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-\mu\left((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]^{\complement}\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\mu\left(\pi\left((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]^{\complement}\right)\right)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\mu\left((\pi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{\infty}]^{\complement}\right)\right) = \overline{F}(\pi(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbb{P}\left((\pi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{\infty}]\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\pi((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}])\right).$$

Therefore, \mathcal{A} contains a Π -stable generator of $\mathcal{B}((-\infty, \infty]^d)$. This implies that $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(\pi(A))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}((-\infty, \infty]^d)$ and all permutations π on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, which proves that \overline{F} is the survival function of an exchangeable random vector. " \Rightarrow " If μ_d is a finite measure, the proof is simply a repetition of the arguments in " \Leftarrow " to show that

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \in \mathcal{B}(E_{\infty}^{d}) \mid \mu_{d}(\pi(A)) = \mu_{d}(A) \forall \text{ permutations } \pi \text{ on } \{1, \dots, d\} \right\}$$

is a Dynkin system containing a Π -stable generator of $\mathcal{B}(E^d_{\infty})$, since

$$\mu((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]^{\mathbb{C}}) = -\log\left(\mathbb{P}((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}])\right) = -\log\left(\mathbb{P}(\pi((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]))\right)$$
$$= \mu((\pi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{\infty}]^{\mathbb{C}}) = \mu(\pi((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\infty}]^{\mathbb{C}})).$$

Therefore, we may focus on the case $\mu_d(E_{\infty}^d) = \infty$. In this case the sets with infinite measure have non-empty intersection with an open neighborhood of ∞ implying μ_d to be σ -finite. Note that a similar reasoning as in (12) cannot be applied here, since $\mu_d(E_{\infty}^d) = \infty$, which is why we have to resort to a different reasoning to prove that μ_d is exchangeable. Instead, our goal is to show that μ_d is the pointwise limit of a sequence of exchangeable finite measures. The following paragraphs are dedicated to the proof of this statement. Let $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}, c_n < \infty$ with $c_n \nearrow \infty$. Define

$$\mu^{(n)}(\cdot) := \mu_d \Big(\cdot \cap \left\{ (c_n, \infty]^d \right\}^{\complement} \Big).$$

We claim that $\mu^{(n)}$ is exchangeable and that $\mu_d = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{(n)}$. Note, that $\mu^{(n)}$ is an increasing sequence of measures with $\mu^{(n)}(E_{\infty}^d) = \mu_d (\{(c_n, \infty]^d\}^c) < \infty$. An application of (Doob 2012, Chapter 10, Theorem 1a) yields that $\tilde{\mu} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{(n)}$ is a measure. The construction of $\mu^{(n)}$ and the continuity from below of any measure show that

$$\tilde{\mu}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_d \left(A \cap \left\{ (c_n, \infty]^d \right\}^{\complement} \right) = \mu_d \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ A \cap \left\{ (c_n, \infty]^d \right\}^{\complement} \right\} \right) = \mu_d(A)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(E_{\infty}^d)$, since $\infty \notin E_{\infty}^d$. Therefore $\mu_d = \tilde{\mu} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{(n)}$ is a pointwise limit of measures. Next, we show that each $\mu^{(n)}$ is exchangeable. Consider the collection of $\mu^{(n)}$ -exchangeable sets

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu^{(n)}} := \left\{ A \in \mathcal{B}(E_{\infty}^d) \mid \mu^{(n)}(\pi(A)) = \mu^{(n)}(A) \forall \text{ permutations } \pi \text{ on } \{1, \dots, d\} \right\}.$$

Similar to " \Leftarrow ", we can show that $\mathcal{A}_{\mu^{(n)}}$ contains E^d_{∞} , \emptyset and countable unions of pairwise disjoint sets from $\mathcal{A}_{\mu^{(n)}}$. Moreover, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu^{(n)}}$, we have

$$\mu^{(n)}(E_{\infty}^{d} \setminus A) = \mu^{(n)}(E_{\infty}^{d}) - \mu^{(n)}(A) = \mu^{(n)}(E_{\infty}^{d}) - \mu^{(n)}(\pi(A))$$
$$= \mu^{(n)}(E_{\infty}^{d} \setminus \pi(A)) = \mu^{(n)}(\pi(E_{\infty}^{d} \setminus A)),$$

since $\mu^{(n)}(A) < \infty$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_{\mu^{(n)}}$ is a Dynkin system. Moreover, for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathbf{a} \leq c_n$, we have

$$\mu^{(n)}((\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\infty}]^{\complement}) = \mu((\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\infty}]^{\complement}) = \mu((\pi(\boldsymbol{a}), \boldsymbol{\infty}]^{\complement})$$
$$= \mu^{(n)}((\pi(\boldsymbol{a}), \boldsymbol{\infty}]^{\complement}) = \mu^{(n)}(\pi((\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\infty}]^{\complement}))$$

by the exchangeability of X_d . One can invoke a similar argument for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ which do not satisfy $a \leq c_n$ to obtain $\mu^{(n)}((a, \infty]^{\complement}) = \mu^{(n)}(\pi((a, \infty]^{\complement}))$. An inclusion-exclusion principle argument yields that $A_{\mu^{(n)}}$ contains a Π -stable generator of $\mathcal{B}(E_{\infty}^d)$. Therefore, $\mu^{(n)}$ is exchangeable. Combining the arguments above we have

$$\mu_d(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{(n)}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{(n)}(\pi(A)) = \mu_d(\pi(A)),$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^d_{\infty})$, which shows that μ_d is exchangeable.

Proof of Corollary 2.10

Proof We calculate

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(X_1 > t \mid X_2 > t, \dots, X_{d'} > t) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 > t, X_2 > t, \dots, X_{d'} > t)}{\mathbb{P}(X_2 > t, \dots, X_{d'} > t)} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-\mu_{d'}\left((t, \infty]^{\complement}\right)\right)}{\exp\left(-\mu_{d'-1}\left((t, \infty]^{\complement}\right)\right)} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-\mu_{d'}\left((t, \infty]^{\complement}\right)\right)}{\exp\left(-\mu_{d'}\left(\left[-\infty, \infty\right] \times \left((t, \infty]^{d'-1}\right)^{\complement}\right)\right)} \\ &= \exp\left(-\mu_{d'}\left(\left[-\infty, t\right] \times \left(t, \infty\right]^{d'-1}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Now, $\rho_{d'}^{u}$ is obtained by letting *t* tend to ∞ . The relation $\rho_{d'+1}^{u} \ge \rho_{d'}^{u}$ is obvious by Proposition 2.9 and

$$\exp\left(-\mu_{d'}\left([-\infty,t]\times(t,\infty]^{d'-1}\right)\right) \\ = \exp\left(-\mu_{d}\left([-\infty,t]\times(t,\infty]^{d'-1}\times(-\infty,\infty]^{d-d'}\right)\right).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.13

Proof Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that $H \sim \sum_{i=1}^{m} H^{(i,1/m)}$ for some i.i.d. processes $H^{(i,1/m)} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. W.l.o.g. assume that *H* is defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $(H^{(i,1/m)})_{1 \le i \le m}$ is a random element in $((\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}})^m, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes the product σ -algebra generated by the finite dimensional projections. The idea of the proof is as follows:

Prove that the càdlàg property of *H* transfers to $H^{(i,1/m)}$ if we restrict the processes to rational time indices and define i.i.d. càdlàg processes $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ as rational time limits of $H^{(i,1/m)}$.

W.l.o.g. we can choose the metric $\overline{\tau}(x, y) = |\arctan(x) - \arctan(y)|$ to define distances (and thus continuity) on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote the (measurable) set of Q-right-continuous paths of $H^{(i,1/m)}$ as

 $A_{rc,i} := \{w \mid w_i \text{ is right-continuous as a function on } \mathbb{Q}\}.$

We have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(A_{rc,i}\right) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \bigcup_{\delta > 0} \bigcap_{q_1 \in (q, q + \delta)} \left\{\omega \mid \bar{\tau}\left(\omega_i(q_1), \omega_i(q)\right) < \epsilon\right\}\right)^{(\star)} = 1.$$

It remains to prove (\star) . Therefore, assume that (\star) does not hold. In this case there exists $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $H^{(i,1/m)}$ is not right-continuous at \bar{q} with positive probability, i.e. there exists $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\bigcap_{\begin{array}{c}\delta>0\\\delta\in\mathbb{Q}\end{array}}\bigcup_{\substack{q_{1}\in(\bar{q},\bar{q}+\delta)\\\delta\in\mathbb{Q}\end{array}}\left\{\omega\mid\bar{\tau}\left(\omega_{i}(q_{1}),\omega_{i}(\bar{q})\right)>\epsilon\right\}\right)>0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\bigcup_{\substack{(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}\\q_n>\bar{q}\\\lim_{n\to\infty}q_n=\bar{q}}}\bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{n\geq N}\left\{\omega\mid\bar{\tau}\big(\omega_i(q_n),\omega_i(\bar{q})\big)>\varepsilon\right\}\right)>0.$$

Every fixed sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $n \ge N$ with $\overline{\tau}(\omega_i(q_n), \omega_i(\overline{q})) > \epsilon$ satisfies one of the following 4 cases:

- 1. $|\omega_i(\bar{q})| < \infty$ and there exists some $\bar{e} > 0$ such that $\omega_i(q_n) \omega_i(\bar{q}) > \bar{e}$ for infinitely many *n* or
- 2. $|\omega_i(\bar{q})| < \infty$ and there exists some $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that $\omega_i(q_n) \omega_i(\bar{q}) < -\bar{\epsilon}$ for infinitely many *n* or
- 3. $\omega_i(\bar{q}) = \infty$ and $\omega_i(q_n) < C$ for infinitely many *n* and some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ or
- 4. $\omega_i(\bar{q}) = -\infty$ and $\omega_i(q_n) > C$ for infinitely many *n* and some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, there exists an $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ or C > 0 such that either

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \left(\bigcup_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\bigcup_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\bigcup_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\bigcup_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \left(\sum_{\substack{(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ q_n > \bar{q} \\ 1.} \right) \right) > 0 \text{ or } 1} \right)$$

W.l.o.g. we assume that the first assertion holds, since the other cases are treated similarly. The assertion implies that there exists a (fixed) sequence $(\bar{q}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{q}_n = \bar{q}$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{n\geq N}\left\{\omega\; \left|\;\omega_i(\bar{q}_n)-\omega_i(\bar{q})>\bar{\epsilon},\; |\omega_i(\bar{q})|<\infty\right.\right\}\right)>0$$

Since the ω_i are i.i.d. we obtain that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \mathbb{P} \Biggl(\bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \ge N} \left\{ H_{\bar{q}_n} - H_{\bar{q}} > m\bar{\epsilon}, \ |H_{\bar{q}}| < \infty \right\} \Biggr) \\ &\geq \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \Biggl(\bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \ge N} \left\{ \omega \ \bigg| \ \omega_1(\bar{q}_n) - \omega_1(\bar{q}) > \bar{\epsilon}, \ |\omega_1(\bar{q})| < \infty \right\} \Biggr)^m > 0, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, (\star) is valid and $A_{rc} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_{rc,i}$ satisfies $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A_{rc}) = 1$.

Next, define a finally one-sided Cauchy sequence as a Cauchy sequence $(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for which there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q_n > \lim_{n\to\infty} q_n$ or $q_n < \lim_{n\to\infty} q_n$ for all $n \ge N$. Denote the set of existing left and right limits of w_i for finally one-sided Q-Cauchy sequences as

$$\begin{split} A_{fC,i} &:= \left\{ \omega \mid \left(\omega_i(q_n) \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence (w.r.t. } \bar{\tau} \right) \\ & \text{ for all finally one-sided Cauchy sequences } \left(q_n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{\substack{(q_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ \text{ finally one-} \\ \text{ sided Cauchy}}} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Q}} \bigcap_{\substack{N \in \mathbb{N} \\ N \in \mathbb{N} \\ N = N}} \left\{ \omega \mid \bar{\tau} \left(\omega_i(q_n), \omega_i(q_m) \right) < \epsilon \right\}. \end{split}$$

Note that we explicitly allow for rational Cauchy sequences with irrational limit. Similar to the proof of $\mathbb{P}(A_{rc,i}) = 1$ we can show that $\mathbb{P}(A_{fC,i}) = 1$, which implies that $A_{fC} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_{fC,i}$ satisfies $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A_{fC}) = 1$.

Finally, define

$$\tilde{H}_{t}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega) := \lim_{\substack{q \to t \\ q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ q > t}} \omega_{i}(q) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{rc}\}}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{fC}\}}(\omega),$$
(13)

which is measurable as the pointwise limit of measurable functions, if we can show that the limit exists and is independent of the chosen sequence. Therefore, choose two sequences $(q_n^{(1)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2}, (q_n^{(2)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (\mathbb{Q} \cap (t, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}$ with limit $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $q_n^{(1)}, q_n^{(2)} > t$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that both sequences are finally one-sided Cauchy sequences. Therefore, both limits $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega_i(q_n^{(1)}) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{nc}\}}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{jc}\}}(\omega)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega_i(q_n^{(2)}) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{nc}\}}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{jc}\}}(\omega)$ exist. Moreover the combined sequence

$$\left(\tilde{q}_n\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{l} q_n^{(1)} \ n \text{ even} \\ q_n^{(2)} \ n \text{ odd} \end{array} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \right.$$

is a finally one-sided Cauchy sequence. Therefore, the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\omega_i(\tilde{q}_n)\mathbf{1}_{\{A_{rc}\}}(\omega)\mathbf{1}_{\{A_{fC}\}}(\omega)$$

exists as well and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\omega_i(q_n^{(1)})\mathbf{1}_{\{A_{rc}\}}(\omega)\mathbf{1}_{\{A_{fc}\}}(\omega) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\omega_i(q_n^{(2)})\mathbf{1}_{\{A_{rc}\}}(\omega)\mathbf{1}_{\{A_{fc}\}}(\omega).$$

Thus, the limit in Eq. (13) exists and is independent of the chosen sequences, which implies that $(\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)})_{1 \le i \le m}$ define valid stochastic processes. Observe that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\tilde{H}_{q}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega) = w_{i}(q) = H_{q}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega) \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{Q}\right) = 1.$$
(14)

Thus, $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ and $H^{(i,1/m)}$ almost surely coincide on \mathbb{Q} , which follows from the fact that ω_i is only considered to be non-zero on \mathbb{Q} -right-continuous paths. We claim that $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)} \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Firstly, we prove that $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega)$ is right-continuous for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $1 \le i \le m$. To this purpose choose some strictly decreasing sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = t$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose $t_n < q_n = q_n(\epsilon, \omega) \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\bar{\tau}\left(\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{t_n}, \tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{q_n}\right) < \epsilon$ and $|t_n - q_n| < 1/n$, which is possible since $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{t_n}$ is defined as the limit of a rational time evaluations of $H^{(i,1/m)}$. Since $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a finally one-sided Cauchy sequence with limit *t* there exists some $N(\omega, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge N$ we have that $\bar{\tau}\left(\tilde{H}_{q_n}^{(i,1/m)}, \tilde{H}_t^{(i,1/m)}\right) < \epsilon$. Therefore, for $n \ge N$, we obtain

$$\bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{t}^{(i,1/m)},\tilde{H}_{t_{n}}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) \leq \bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{t}^{(i,1/m)},\tilde{H}_{q_{n}}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) + \bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{q_{n}}^{(i,1/m)},\tilde{H}_{t_{n}}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) < 2\varepsilon,$$

which yields that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{H}_{t_n}^{(i,1/m)} = \tilde{H}_t^{(i,1/m)}$. Thus, $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ is right-continuous.

Secondly, we show that $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega)$ has left limits for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $1 \le i \le m$. To see this choose some arbitrary $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (-\infty, t)^{\mathbb{N}}$ with limit t. Define $q_n(\epsilon, \omega)$ as some rational number in $[t_n, t)$ such that $\bar{\tau} \left(\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{t_n}, \tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{q_n} \right) < \epsilon$, which is possible since $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ is right-continuous. If n, m are large enough such that t_n and t_m are close to t we have that q_n and q_m are also close to t. Therefore, $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a finally one-sided Cauchy sequence. Thus, we can find an $N(\omega, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \ge N$ we have $\bar{\tau} \left(\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{q_n}, \tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}_{q_m} \right) < \epsilon$, which implies

$$\begin{split} \bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{t_n}^{(i,1/m)}, \tilde{H}_{t_m}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) &\leq \bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{t_n}^{(i,1/m)}, \tilde{H}_{q_n}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) + \bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{t_m}^{(i,1/m)}, \tilde{H}_{q_m}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) \\ &\quad + \bar{\tau}\Big(\tilde{H}_{q_n}^{(i,1/m)}, \tilde{H}_{q_m}^{(i,1/m)}\Big) \\ &< 3\epsilon. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we have shown that $\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ has left limits for every ω .

Obviously, $(\tilde{H}^{(i,1/m)})_{1 \le i \le m}$ are i.i.d. as almost sure pointwise limits of i.i.d. objects. It remains to prove that $H^{(1,1/m)} \sim \tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}$. The characteristic functional of $H^{(1,1/m)}$, denoted as $CF_{H^{(1,1/m)}}(z,t)$ and the characteristic functional of $\tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}$, denoted as $CF_{\tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}}(z,t)$, coincide for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ by Eq. (14). For arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $CF_H(z,t)$ denote the characteristic functional of H. We use the fact that H is right-continuous to obtain

$$\begin{split} CF_{H^{(1,1/m)}}(z,t) &= CF_{H}(z,t)^{\frac{1}{m}} = \lim_{\substack{s \searrow t \\ s \in \mathbb{Q}^{d} \\ s > t}} CF_{H}(z,s)^{\frac{1}{m}} = \lim_{\substack{s \searrow t \\ s \in \mathbb{Q}^{d} \\ s > t}} CF_{H^{(1,1/m)}}(z,s) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{s \searrow t \\ s \in \mathbb{Q}^{d} \\ s > t}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} iz_{j}H_{s_{j}}^{(1,1/m)}\right) \right] = \lim_{\substack{s \searrow t \\ s \in \mathbb{Q}^{d} \\ s > t}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} iz_{j}\tilde{H}_{s_{j}}^{(1,1/m)}\right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{\substack{s \searrow t \\ s \in \mathbb{Q}^{d} \\ s > t}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} iz_{j}\tilde{H}_{s_{j}}^{(1,1/m)}\right) \right] \\ &= CF_{\tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}}(z,t), \end{split}$$

where the second to last equality uses that $\tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}$ and $H^{(1,1/m)}$ almost surely coincide on \mathbb{Q} and the last equality uses the fact that $\tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}$ is right-continuous. This proves that $H^{(1,1/m)} \sim \tilde{H}^{(1,1/m)}$.

Proof of Corollary 2.14

Proof We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 2.13. Denote the set of Q-non-negative paths as

$$A_{>0} := \{ \omega \mid \omega_i(q) \ge 0 \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } 1 \le i \le m \}.$$

Furthermore, denote the set of Q-non-decreasing paths of as

$$A_{\nearrow} := \{ \omega \mid \omega_i(\cdot) \text{ is non-decreasing on } \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le m \}.$$

By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.13 we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A_{\nearrow}) &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcap_{\substack{q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ q_1 \leq q_2}} \left\{ \omega \mid \omega_i(q_1) \leq \omega_i(q_2) \right\} \right) = 1 \text{ and } \\ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A_{\geq 0}) &= \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq m} \bigcap_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ q \in \mathbb{Q}}} \left\{ \omega \mid \omega_i(q) \geq 0 \right\} \right) = 1, \end{split}$$

since intersections of countably many sets with probability 1 have probability 1. Next, define

$$\hat{H}_{t}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega) := \tilde{H}_{t}^{(i,1/m)}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{\geq 0}\}}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{\{A_{\geq 0}\}}(\omega).$$
(15)

Obviously, $\hat{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.13 we can show that the Laplace transforms of $\hat{H}^{(i,1/m)}$ and $H^{(i,1/m)}$ coincide and the claim follows.

Proof of Proposition 2.16

Proof Let \tilde{b} and \tilde{v} denote the drift and Lévy measure of H given by (Rosiński 2018, Theorem 2.8). Note that \tilde{v} is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ equipped with the σ -algebra generated by the finite dimensional projections, since Rosiński (2018) views idprocesses as processes in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. There are two things that need to be shown:

- 1. \tilde{v} can be restricted to a measure on M^0_{∞} .
- 2. The integral over \tilde{v} in Eq. (3) can be defined without the compensating term $\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i x(t_i) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x(t_i)| \le \epsilon\}}$ and $b \in M^0_{\infty} \cap D(\mathbb{R})$.

We start with the first statement. Similar to the proof of (Rosiński 2018, Theorem 3.4) we can show that there exists an exact representation v of \tilde{v} defined on

$$C_0 := \left\{ x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \lim_{t \to -\infty} x(t) = 0 \right\},\$$

since C_0 is an algebraic group under addition and a standard Borel space as a measurable subset of a standard Borel space. For additional information on exact representations of Lévy measures, see (Rosiński 2018, Definition 2.20). For our purposes it suffices to view an exact representation of a Lévy measure as a restriction of a Lévy measure to a smaller domain. To prove the first statement it suffices to show that v vanishes on the set

 $C_1 := \{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is non-decreasing}\}^{\complement},\$

since C_1 is measurable (in $D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$). In particular, $M^0_{\infty} = C_0 \cap C^{\mathbb{C}}_{-1}$. For $I = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (w.l.o.g. $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_d$) and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ define $v_I(A) := v(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid (x(t_1), \dots, x(t_d)) \in A\}).$

 $\tilde{\nu}_I(A)$ is defined analogously. Observe that $\nu_I(A) = \tilde{\nu}_I(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ by construction. We show that

 $v(C_1) = v(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is non-decreasing}\}^{\complement}) = 0.$

(Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Proposition 6.1) tells us that the Lévy measure $\tilde{v}_{(t_1,...,t_d)}$ of $(H_{t_1},...,H_{t_d})$ is concentrated on the cone $K_d := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid 0 \le x_1 \le ... \le x_d \}$, which implies that $v_{(t_1,...,t_d)}$ is also concentrated on K_d . Now, assume that $v(C_1) = v(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is non-decreasing}\}^{\complement}) > 0$. Observe that

$$\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is non-decreasing}\}^{\complement} = \bigcup_{\substack{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \\ t_1 < t_2}} \{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x(t_1) > x(t_2)\}.$$

Therefore, there exist $\bar{t}_1 < \bar{t}_2$ with $v(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x(\bar{t}_1) > x(\bar{t}_2)\}) > 0$. By the construction of *v* we get

$$\begin{split} & v\big(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x(\overline{t}_1) > x(\overline{t}_2)\}\big) = v_{(\overline{t}_1,\overline{t}_2)}\big(\cup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} (s,\infty] \times [-\infty,s]\big) \\ &= \tilde{v}_{(\overline{t}_1,\overline{t}_1)}\big(\cup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} (s,\infty] \times [-\infty,s]\big) = 0, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $v(C_1) = v(\{x \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is non-decreasing}\}^{\complement})$ = 0 and v is concentrated on non-decreasing functions which satisfy $\lim_{t\to-\infty} x(t) = 0$. Thus, v is concentrated on $M^0_{\infty} = C_0 \cap C_1^{\complement}$, i.e.

$$v(A) = v(A \cap M^0_{\infty}), \ \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Let us turn to the proof of the second statement. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we obtain a non-negative drift vector $(b(t_1), \ldots, b(t_d))$ from the *d*-dimensional Lévy–Khintchine triplet of the non-negative random vector $(H_{t_1}, \ldots, H_{t_d})$ with truncation function 0. Condition $(\diamondsuit'2)$ and (Barndorff-Nielsen et al. 2006, Proposition 6.1) imply the existence of a unique non-decreasing finite drift $b : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$. Right-continuity and $\lim_{t\to-\infty} b(t) = 0$ follow from the right-continuity of H and $\lim_{t\to-\infty} H_t = 0$. Thus, $b \in M^0_{\infty} \cap D(\mathbb{R})$.

Combining the above yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}H_{t_{i}}\right)\right] = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}b(t_{i}) + \int_{M_{\infty}^{0}} \left(\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}x(t_{i})\right) - 1\right)v(\mathrm{d}x)\right)$$

for every $z \in [0, \infty)^d$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 6 (Implications for strong-idt processes) An id-process H is called strong-idt, if

$$\left(H_t\right)_{t\geq 0} \sim \left(\sum_{i=1}^n H_{\frac{t}{n}}^{(i)}\right)_{t\geq 0}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(H^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote i.i.d. copies of *H*. Such processes are studied, among others, in Kopp and Molchanov (2018), Mai (2020). Kopp and Molchanov (2018) study the Lévy measure and series representations of real-valued strong-idt processes without focus on non-decreasing paths. Mai (2020) refines these results in the special case of non-decreasing H, which might possibly also take the value ∞ . However, Mai (2020) does not formally prove the extension to extended realvalued processes, despite he uses the results of Kopp and Molchanov (2018). Proposition 2.16 fills this gap by formally justifying that the claimed extension is correct. Furthermore, whereas Kopp and Molchanov (2018) work on the space of càdlàg functions equipped with the Skorohod (J1) metric, Mai (2020) works with the Lévy metric defined for distribution functions. While it is known that the two metrics are not equivalent in general, one can actually prove that their induced Borel σ -algebras on the space of non-decreasing paths coincide. Thus, implicitly both references indeed work with the same objects. In particular, (Mai 2020, Lemma 1) implicitly shows with a tedious and probabilistic proof that the Lévy measure of a non-decreasing strong-idt process is concentrated on non-decreasing paths. In this regard, Proposition 2.16 provides a more direct proof of this fact. Moreover, it is even more general, since it holds for arbitrary non-decreasing and non-negative idprocesses and not just strong-idt processes.

Proof of Theorem 3.1

Proof

"⇒" Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X is min-id, there exist i.i.d. sequences $(X^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n} \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $X \sim \min_{1 \le i \le n} X^{(i,1/n)}$. First, we claim that the exchangeability of X implies the exchangeability of $X^{(1,1/n)}$. Seeking a contradiction, we assume that $X^{(1,1/n)}$ is not exchangeable. In this case, there exists $\{i_1, \ldots, i_d\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(X_{1,i_1}^{(1,1/n)}, \ldots, X_{1,i_d}^{(1,1/n)})$ is not exchangeable. By similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 there exist $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a permutation π on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_d^{(1,1/n)} > x) \neq \mathbb{P}(X_d^{(1,1/n)} > \pi(x))$. This yields

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} > x_1, \dots, X_{i_d} > x_d) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i_1}^{(1,1/n)} > x_1, \dots, X_{i_d}^{(1,1/n)} > x_d\right)^n$$

$$\neq \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i_1}^{(1,1/n)} > \pi(\mathbf{x})_1, \dots, X_{i_d}^{(1,1/n)} > \pi(\mathbf{x})_d\right)^n$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i_1} > \pi(\mathbf{x})_1, \dots, X_{i_d} > \pi(\mathbf{x})_d\right),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $X^{(1,1/n)}$ is exchangeable. Now, de Finetti's Theorem yields the existence of i.i.d. nnnd càdlàg processes $(H^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n} \in D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\left(X_{j}^{(i,1/n)}\right)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\sim\inf\left\{t\in\mathbb{R}\mid H_{t}^{(i,1/n)}\geq E_{j}^{(i)}\right\},$$

where $((E_j^{(i)})_{j\in\mathbb{N}})_{1\leq i\leq n}$ are i.i.d. unit exponential independent of $(H^{(i,1/n)})_{1\leq i\leq n}$. Obviously, $\lim_{t\to-\infty} H_t^{(i,1/n)} = 0$ almost surely, since $\mathbb{P}(X_{i,j}^{(1/n)} = -\infty) > 0$ otherwise. Moreover, $\mathbb{P}(H_t^{(i,1/n)} = \infty) < 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\ell = \infty$. Therefore, $H^{(i,1/n)}$ satisfies Condition (\Diamond'). It remains to show that $H^{(1,1)} = : H$ is infinitely divisible and unique. Let \overline{F}_k denote the survival function of (X_1, \ldots, X_k) and recall that $(X_1^{(1,1/n)}, \ldots, X_k^{(1,1/n)}) \sim \overline{F}_k^{1/n}$. Choose $z \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$\begin{split} L(z,t) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}H_{t_{j}}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{z_{j}} H_{t_{j}}\right)\right] \\ &= \overline{F}_{\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}}(\underbrace{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{1}}_{z_{1} \text{ times}}, \ldots, \underbrace{t_{d}, \ldots, t_{d}}_{z_{d} \text{ times}}) \\ &= \left(\overline{F}_{\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}}^{1/n}\right)^{n}(\underbrace{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{1}}_{z_{1} \text{ times}}, \ldots, \underbrace{t_{d}, \ldots, t_{d}}_{z_{d} \text{ times}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{z_{j}} H_{t_{j}}^{(1,1/n)}\right)\right]^{n} = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}H_{t_{j}}^{(i,1/n)}\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{t_{j}}^{(i,1/n)}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

Using the fact that the Laplace transform of a non-negative random vector is uniquely determined by its values on \mathbb{N}^d , see Kleiber and Stoyanov (2013) for more details, this shows that $H_t \sim \sum_{i=1}^n H_t^{(i,1/n)}$. Since *t* and *n* were arbitrary, we get that *H* is infinitely divisible. The uniqueness of *H* follows from

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}H_{t_{j}}\right)\right] = \overline{F}_{\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}}(\underbrace{t_{1},\ldots,t_{1}}_{z_{1} \text{ times}},\ldots,\underbrace{t_{d},\ldots,t_{d}}_{z_{d} \text{ times}}).$$

"⇐" We refer to the survival function of $(X_1, ..., X_d)$ by \overline{F}_d . Since exchangeability of X is obvious by construction, it suffices to show that $\overline{F}_d^{1/n}$ is a survival function of a random variable on $(-\infty, \infty)^d$ for every $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\ell = \infty$. By Corollary 2.14, there exist i.i.d. extended chronometers $(H^{(i,1/n)})_{1 \le i \le n}$ such that $H \sim \sum_{i=1}^n H^{(i,1/n)}$. It easily follows that $\lim_{t \to -\infty} H_t^{(1,1/n)} = 0$, which implies that $H^{(1,1/n)} \in M_\infty^0$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we get

$$\overline{F}_{d}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} H_{t_{j}}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{t_{j}}^{(i,1/n)}\right)\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} H_{t_{j}}^{(1,1/n)}\right)\right]^{n}.$$

Since $H_{t_i}^{(1,1/n)}$ is càdlàg we obtain that

$$\overline{F}_{d}^{1/n}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{d} H_{t_{j}}^{(1,1/n)}\right)\right]$$

is the survival function of the first d components of the exchangeable sequence

$$\boldsymbol{X}^{(1,1/n)} := \left(\inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid H_t^{(1,1/n)} \ge E_i \right\} \right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in (-\infty, \infty]^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Therefore, X is min-id. Moreover, $\ell = \infty$, since H satisfies Condition (\Diamond '2). Thus, X satisfies Condition (\Diamond). Since d and n were arbitrary, the claim follows.

Proof of Theorem 3.5

Proof Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.9 provide a one-to-one correspondence between the min-id sequence X, a (unique) Lévy measure ν on M^0_{∞} with drift b, and an exponent measure μ on $E^{\mathbb{N}}_{\infty}$. Choosing $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we can rewrite this correspondence as

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P} \Big(X_1 > t_1, \dots, X_d > t_d \Big) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^d H_{t_i} \right) \right] \\ &= \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^d b(t_i) - \int_{M_\infty^0} 1 - \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^d x(t_i) \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^d b(t_i) - \int_{M_\infty^0} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^d \exp \left(-x(t_i) \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^d b(t_i) - \int_{\overline{M}_\infty^0} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^d \overline{G}(t_i) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^d b(t_i) - \int_{\overline{M}_\infty^0} \otimes_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}_G \big((-\infty, \infty]^d \setminus (t, \infty] \big) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) \right), \end{split}$$

where $\overline{G} = 1 - G$ is a survival function of a random variable on $(-\infty, \infty]$ and γ is the image measure of the Lévy measure ν under the transformation $h : M^0_{\infty} \to \overline{M}^0_{\infty}$, $x \mapsto 1 - \exp(-x(\cdot))$. This implies that for every $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$\mu_d \left(E_{\infty}^d \setminus (t, \infty] \right) = \mu_{b,d} \left((-\infty, \infty]^d \setminus (t, \infty] \right) \\ + \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^0} \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}_G \left((-\infty, \infty]^d \setminus (t, \infty] \right) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G).$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 yields

$$\mu_d(A) = \mu_{b,d}(A) + \int_{\overline{M}^0_{\infty}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}_G(A) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(E^d_{\infty}).$$

It remains to verify the properties of γ . Obviously, $\gamma(0_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) = 0$. Applying the inequalities $1 - x \le \min\{1, -\log(x)\}$ and $\min\{1, -\log(x)\} \le e(1 - x)$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$ yields

$$\int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} G(t)\gamma(\mathrm{d} G) = \int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} 1 - \overline{G}(t)\gamma(\mathrm{d} G) \leq \int_{M_{\infty}^{0}} \min\{1, x(t)\}\nu(\mathrm{d} x) < \infty$$

as well as

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \min\{1, x(t)\} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \le e \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}^{0}} 1 - \overline{G}(t) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) = e \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}^{0}} G(t) \gamma(\mathrm{d}G) < \infty.$$

Therefore, the integrability condition of γ is equivalent to the integrability condition of ν .

Proof of Proposition 4.1

Proof We observe that

$$H_t = \sum_{k \ge 1} -\log\left\{1 - G_k\left(\frac{t}{S_k}\right)\right\} = \int_{[0,\infty) \times \overline{M}_{\infty}^0} -\log\left(1 - G\left(\frac{t}{S}\right)\right) N(\mathbf{d}(S,G))$$

is given by integration of the (measurable) function $(S, G) \mapsto -\log(1 - G(t/S))$ w.r.t. the Poisson random measure *N*. Similarly, for $z \in [0, \infty)^d$, $\sum_{i=1}^d z_i H_{t_i}$ is given by the integration of $(S, G) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d -z_i \log(1 - G(t_i/S))$ w.r.t. the Poisson random measure *N*. Therefore, the Laplace transform of *H* is given by the (one-dimensional) Laplace transform of an integral over a Poisson random measure. An application of (Resnick 2007, Proposition 3.6) yields

$$L(z,t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}H_{t_{i}}\right)\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\int_{[0,\infty]\times\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{d} -z_{i}\log\left(1-G\left(\frac{t_{i}}{S}\right)\right)N(d(S,G))\right)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(-\int_{[0,\infty]}\int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}}1 - \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-G\left(\frac{t_{i}}{S}\right)\right)^{z_{i}}\rho(dG)\kappa(ds)\right).$$

Thus, *H* is infinitely divisible and the exchangeable min-id sequence *X* associated with *H* has exponent measure $\mu_{K,a}$. We can express the survival function of *X* as

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X} > \boldsymbol{t}) &= \exp\left(-\int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1 - \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - G\left(\frac{t_{i}}{s}\right)\right) \kappa(\mathrm{d}s) \rho(\mathrm{d}G)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{[0,\infty]^{\mathbb{N}}} \mathbf{1}\left\{y_{i} \leq \frac{t_{i}}{s} \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_{G}\right)(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) \kappa(\mathrm{d}s) \rho(\mathrm{d}G)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_{\overline{M}_{\infty}^{0}} \int_{[0,\infty]^{\mathbb{N}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}\left\{s \leq \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{t_{i}}{y_{i}}\right\} \kappa(\mathrm{d}s) \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_{G}\right)(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) \rho(\mathrm{d}G)\right), \end{split}$$

which finishes the argument.

Proof of Proposition 4.2

Proof It is easy to see that $H^{(\kappa)}$ is infinitely divisible, since $x(\cdot) \mapsto \int_0^{\cdot}$ is a measurable map in $D^{\infty}([0, \infty))$. By the generalized Lévy–Itô representation (Rosiński 2018, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 5.1) there exists a version V' of V such that

$$\left(V'_{s}\right)_{s\geq 0} = \left(b_{V}(s) + \int_{D^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} x(s)N(\mathrm{d}x)\right)_{s\geq 0}$$

where *N* denotes a Poisson random measure on $D^{\infty}([0, \infty))$ with intensity v_V . Note that the compensating term in the generalized Lévy–Itô representation can be omitted by (Rosiński 2018, Theorem 5.1). Moreover, *N* can be chosen as a random measure on $D^{\infty}([0, \infty))_+ := \{x \in D^{\infty}([0, \infty)) \mid x(t) \ge 0 \text{ for all } t \ge 0\}$, which follows by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.16. Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_t^{(\kappa)} \end{pmatrix}_{t \ge 0} = \left(\int_0^t V_s \kappa(\mathrm{d}s) \right)_{t \ge 0} \\ \sim \left(\int_0^t \left(b_V(s) + \int_{D^{\infty} \left([0,\infty) \right)_+} x(s) N(\mathrm{d}s) \right) \kappa(\mathrm{d}s) \right)_{t \ge 0}.$$

Since N is σ -finite and concentrated on non-negative functions we can use Fubini's Theorem to obtain

$$\left(\int_0^t \left(b_V(s) + \int_{D^{\infty}([0,\infty))_+} x(s)N(dx)\right)\kappa(ds)\right)_{t\geq 0}$$
$$= \left(\int_0^t b_V(s)\kappa(ds) + \int_{D^{\infty}([0,\infty))_+} \int_0^t x(s)\kappa(ds)N(dx)\right)_{t\geq 0}$$

which is a decomposition of $H^{(\kappa)}$ into a non-decreasing deterministic drift $b^{(\kappa)}(t) := \int_0^t b(s)\kappa(ds)$ and an integral over a Poisson random measure $\int_{D^{\infty}([0,\infty))_+} x^{(\kappa)}(t)N(dx)$, where $x^{(\kappa)}(t) := \int_0^t x(s)\kappa(ds)$ is a non-decreasing function in $D^{\infty}([0,\infty))_+$. Therefore, using the usual formula for the Laplace transform of an integral over a Poisson random measure (Resnick 2007, Proposition 3.6), we obtain for arbitrary $z \in [0,\infty]^d$ and $t \in [0,\infty)^d$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}H_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}\left(b_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)} + \int_{D^{\infty}\left([0,\infty)\right)_{+}} x^{(\kappa)}(t_{i})N(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\right)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}b_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)} - \int_{D^{\infty}\left([0,\infty)\right)_{+}} 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}x^{(\kappa)}(t_{i})\right)v_{V}(\mathrm{d}x)\right).$$

Note that

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i} b_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)} - \int_{D^{\infty}\left([0,\infty)\right)_{+}} 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i} x^{(\kappa)}(t_{i})\right) v_{V}(\mathrm{d}x)\right)$$

$$\stackrel{(\star)}{=} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i} b_{t_{i}}^{(\kappa)} - \int_{M^{0}_{\ell}} 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i} x(t_{i})\right) v_{\kappa}(\mathrm{d}x)\right),$$

🖄 Springer

since we use that $x^{(\kappa)} \in M^0_{\ell}$ and we only omit those terms in (\star) for which $\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d z_i x^{(\kappa)}(t_i)\right) = 1$.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank two anonymous referees and the associate editor of the Extremes journal for very valuable comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Aldous, D.J.: Exchangeability and related topics. In: École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIII 1983, pp. 1–198. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1985)
- Alzaid, A.A., Proschan, F.: Max-infinite divisibility and multivariate total positivity. J. Appl. Probab. 31(3), 721–730 (1994). https://doi.org/10.2307/3215150
- Balkema, A.A., Resnick, S.I.: Max-infinite divisibility. J. Appl. Probab. 14(2), 309-319 (1977)
- Barczy, M., Kern, P., Pap, G.: Dilatively stable stochastic processes and aggregate similarity. Aequationes Math. 89(6), 1485–1507 (2015)
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Maejima, M., Sato, K.I.: Infinite divisibility for stochastic processes and time change. J. Theor. Probab. 19, 411–446 (2006)
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Shepard, N.: Non-Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck based models and some of their uses in financial economics. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat Methodol. 63, 167–207 (2001)
- Bertoin, J.: Subordinators: examples and applications. In: Lectures on probability theory and statistics, pp. 1–91. Springer (1999)
- Bopp, G.P., Shaby, B.A., Huser, R.: A hierarchical max-infinitely divisible spatial model for extreme precipitation. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2020.1750414
- Brück, F.: Exact simulation of continuous max-id processes with applications to exchangeable max-id sequences. J. Multivar. Anal. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2022.105117
- Carr, P., Geman, H., Madan, D.B., Yor, M.: Stochastic volatility for Lévy processes. Math. Financ. 13(3), 345–382 (2003)
- Cox, J.C., Ingersoll, J.E., Ross, S.A.: A theory of the term structure of interest rates. Econometrica 53(2), 385–407 (1985). http://www.jstor.org/stable/1911242
- Davydov, Y., Molchanov, I., Zuyev, S.: Strictly stable distributions on convex cones. Electron. J. Probab. 13, 259–321 (2008)
- de Finetti, B.: Funzione caratteristica di un fenomeno aleatorio. Atti della R. Academia Nazionale dei Lincei, Ser. 6. Memorie, Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali 4, 251–299 (1931)

- de Haan, L.: A spectral representation for max-stable processes. Ann. Probab. **12**(4), 1194–1204 (1984). https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176993148
- Doksum, K.: Tailfree and neutral random probabilities and their posterior distributions. Ann. Probab. 2, 183–201 (1974). https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176996703
- Doob, J.L.: Measure theory, vol. 143. Springer Science & Business Media (2012)
- Duan, J.C., Yeh, C.Y.: Jump and volatility risk premiums implied by vix. J. Econ. Dyn. Control 34(11), 2232–2244 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2010.05.006
- Epifani, I., Lijoi, A., Prünster, I.: Exponential functionals and means of neutral-to-the-right priors. Biometrika 90(4), 791–808 (2003)
- Ferguson, T.S.: A Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems. Ann. Stat. 1, 209–230 (1973). https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176342360
- Ferguson, T.S.: Prior distributions on spaces of probability measures. Ann. Stat. 2, 615–629 (1974). https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176342752
- Genest, C., Nešlehová, J.G., Rivest, L.P.: The class of multivariate max-id copulas with ℓ₁-norm symmetric exponent measure. Bernoulli **24**(4B), 3751–3790 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3150/17-BEJ977
- Genest, C., Rivest, L.P.: A characterization of Gumbel's family of extreme value distributions. Statist. Probab. Lett. 8(3), 207–211 (1989). https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152(89)90123-5
- Hakassou, A., Ouknine, Y.: A note on α -idt processes. arXiv (2012)
- Hakassou, A., Ouknine, Y.: Idt processes and associated Lévy processes with explicit constructions. Stochastics An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes 85(6), 1073–1111 (2013)
- Hjort, N.L.: Nonparametric Bayes estimators based on beta processes in models for life history data. Ann. Stat. 18(3), 1259–1294 (1990)
- Huser, R., Opitz, T., Thibaud, E.: Penultimate modeling of spatial extremes: statistical inference for max-infinitely divisible processes. arXiv (2018)
- Huser, R., Opitz, T., Thibaud, E.: Max-infinitely divisible models and inference for spatial extremes. Scand. J. Stat. 48(1), 321–348 (2021)
- Hüsler, J.: Limit properties for multivariate extreme values in sequences of independent, non-identically distributed random vectors. Stoch. Process. Their Appl. 31(1), 105–116 (1989)
- Iglói, E., Barczy, M.: Path properties of dilatively stable processes and singularity of their distributions. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 30(5), 831–848 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1080/07362994.2012.704849
- James, L.F.: Bayesian Poisson process partition calculus with an application to Bayesian Lévy moving averages. Ann. Stat. 33(4), 1771–1799 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1214/009053605000000336
- James, L.F., Lijoi, A., Prünster, I.: Posterior analysis for normalized random measures with independent increments. Scand. J. Stat. 36(1), 76–97 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9469.2008. 00609.x
- Joe, H., Hu, T.: Multivariate distributions from mixtures of max-infinitely divisible distributions. J. Multivar. Anal. 57(2), 240–265 (1996)
- Kabluchko, Z., Stoev, S.: Stochastic integral representations and classification of sum- and max-infinitely divisible processes. Bernoulli 22(1), 107–142 (2016). https://doi.org/10.3150/14-BEJ624
- Kalbfleisch, J.D.: Non-parametric Bayesian analysis of survival time data. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) 40(2), 214–221 (1978). URL http://www.jstor.org/ stable/2984758
- Kleiber, C., Stoyanov, J.: Multivariate distributions and the moment problem. J. Multivar. Anal. 113, 7–18 (2013)
- Kömm, H.: Integrated Volatility, pp. 29–46. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, Wiesbaden (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-12596-7_3
- Kopp, C., Molchanov, I.: Series representation of time-stable stochastic processes. Probab. Math. Stat. 38, 299–315 (2018). https://doi.org/10.19195/0208-4147.38.2.4
- Lee, P.M.: Infinitely divisible stochastic processes. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete 7(2), 147–160 (1967)
- Mai, J.F.: Extendibility of Marshall–Olkin distributions via Lévy subordinators and an application to portfolio credit risk. Dissertation, Technische Universität München (2010)
- Mai, J.F.: Canonical spectral representation for exchangeable max-stable sequences. Extremes 23(1), 151–169 (2020)

- Mai, J.F., Schenk, S., Scherer, M.: Analyzing model robustness via a distortion of the stochastic root: A Dirichlet prior approach. Statistics & Risk Modeling 32(3-4), 177 – 195 (2015). https://www. degruyter.com/view/journals/strm/32/3-4/article-p177.xml
- Mai, J.F., Schenk, S., Scherer, M.: Exchangeable exogenous shock models. Bernoulli 22, 1278–1299 (2016). https://doi.org/10.3150/14-BEJ693
- Mai, J.F., Schenk, S., Scherer, M.: Two novel characterizations of self-decomposability on the halfline. J. Theor. Probab. 30, 365–383 (2017)
- Mai, J.F., Scherer, M.: Reparameterizing Marshall–Olkin copulas with applications to sampling. J. Stat. Comput. Simul. 81(1), 59–78 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1080/00949650903185961
- Mai, J.F., Scherer, M.: Extendibility of Marshall–Olkin distributions and inverse pascal triangles. Braz. J. Probab. Stat. 27(3), 310–321 (2013)
- Mai, J.F., Scherer, M.: Characterization of extendible distributions with exponential minima via processes that are infinitely divisible with respect to time. Extremes 17(1), 77–95 (2014)
- Mai, J.F., Scherer, M.: Simulating Copulas, 2nd edn. World Scientific (2017). https://doi.org/10.1142/ 10265
- Mai, J.F., Scherer, M.: Subordinators which are infinitely divisible w.r.t. time: Construction, properties, and simulation of max-stable sequences and infinitely divisible laws. Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. 16, 1–29 (2019). https://doi.org/10.30757/ALEA.v16-35
- Mai, J.F., Scherer, M., Shenkman, N.: Multivariate geometric distributions, (logarithmically) monotone sequences, and infinitely divisible laws. J. Multivar. Anal. 115, 457–480 (2013). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jmva.2012.11.012
- Mansuy, R.: On processes which are infinitely divisible with respect to time. arXiv preprint math/0504408 (2005)
- Marshall, A.W., Olkin, I.: Families of multivariate distributions. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 83(403), 834– 841 (1988). https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1988.10478671
- Marshall, A.W., Olkin, I.: Multivariate distributions generated from mixtures of convolution and product families, *Lecture Notes–Monograph Series*, vol. 16, pp. 371–393. Institute of Mathematical Statistics (1990). https://doi.org/10.1214/lnms/1215457574
- Maruyama, G.: Infinitely divisible processes. Theory of Probability & Its Applications 15(1), 1–22 (1970)
- McNeil, A.J., Nešlehová, J.: Multivariate Archimedean copulas, d-monotone functions and ℓ₁-norm symmetric distributions. Ann. Stat. 37, 3059–3097 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1214/07-AOS556
- Mulinacci, S.: In: Marshall–Olkin Distributions Advances in Theory and Applications, chap. Marshall– Olkin Machinery and Power Mixing: The Mixed Generalized Marshall–Olkin Distribution., pp. 65–86. Springer (2015)
- Padoan, S.A.: Extreme dependence models based on event magnitude. J. Multivar. Anal. 122, 1–19 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2013.07.009
- Regazzini, E., Lijoi, A., Prünster, I.: Distributional results for means of normalized random measures with independent increments. Ann. Stat. 31(2), 560–585 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/ 1051027881
- Resnick, S.I.: Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes, vol. 4. Springer Science & Business Media (2007)
- Rosiński, J.: Representations and isomorphism identities for infinitely divisible processes. Ann. Probab. 46(6), 3229–3274 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1214/17-AOP1246
- Sato, K.I.: Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions. Cambridge University Press (1999)
- Skorohod, A.: Random Processes with Independent Increments. Mathematics and its Applications. Springer, Netherlands (1991)
- Sloot, H.: The deFinetti representation of generalised Marshall–Olkin sequences. Dependence Modeling 8(1), 107–118 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1515/demo-2020-0006
- Sun, Y., Mendoza-Arriaga, R., Linetsky, V.: Marshall–Olkin distributions, subordinators, efficient simulation, and applications to credit risk. Adv. Appl. Probab. 49(2), 481–514 (2017). https:// doi.org/10.1017/apr.2017.10
- Vatan, P.: Max-infinite divisibility and max-stability in infinite dimensions. In: Probability in Banach Spaces V, pp. 400–425. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1985)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.