## ERRATUM



## Erratum to: On the Determination of a Peridynamic Constant in a Linear Constitutive Model

Adair R. Aguiar<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 18 November 2015

© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

## Erratum to: J. Elast. (2015) DOI 10.1007/s10659-015-9531-8

This erratum concerns a correction in the expression (42) of the original article. As a consequence, the text between this expression and the expression (47) in that article was revised and is presented below.

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{x}_0}[\underline{\mathbf{h}}_d] = \frac{\beta^2 m m_6}{15}.\tag{42}$$

Substituting both (40.a) and (42) into (37), we find that

$$\alpha_{33} = \frac{20\mu}{m^2} = \frac{4\widetilde{\alpha}}{3m},\tag{43}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the peridynamic constant that appears in (22) and is given by (34.a). Substituting (43) into (33) and using (32) together with (25) and (20), we get

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{11} = \frac{5\mu}{m^2} = \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{3m}, \qquad \alpha_{12} = \frac{1}{2m^2}(9\kappa - 5\mu).$$
 (44)

Next, recall from above that (25) holds and substitute  $\alpha_{33}$ , given by (43), and both  $\widehat{\alpha}_{11}$  and  $\alpha_{12}$ , given by (44), into the expressions (14), (15), and (16), to obtain

$$\widehat{W}_{\mathbf{x}_0}[\underline{\varphi}\underline{\mathbf{e}}] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \kappa \widetilde{\vartheta} [\underline{\varphi}\underline{\mathbf{e}}]^2 + \frac{5\mu}{m} \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\delta}} \widetilde{\omega}(|\xi|) |\xi|^2 \left( \underline{\varphi}\langle \xi \rangle - \frac{\widetilde{\vartheta}[\underline{\varphi}\underline{\mathbf{e}}]}{3} \right)^2 dv_{\xi} \right], \tag{45}$$

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s10659-015-9531-8.

☑ A.R. Aguiar aguiarar@sc.usp.br

Department of Structural Engineering, University of São Paulo, 13566-590 São Carlos, SP, Brazil



42 A.R. Aguiar

$$\widehat{W}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}[\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d}] = \frac{5\mu}{m^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\delta}} \omega(|\xi|) |\xi|^{2} \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\delta}} \frac{\omega(|\eta|) |\eta|^{2}}{(\sin \alpha)^{2}} \\
\times \left[\underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \eta \rangle \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \xi \rangle + \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \eta \rangle \right] \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \eta \rangle dv_{\eta} dv_{\xi}, \tag{46}$$

$$\widetilde{\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}}[\underline{\mathbf{h}}] \langle \xi \rangle = \widetilde{\omega}(|\xi|) |\xi| \left\{ \left[ \left( \kappa - \frac{5\mu}{3^{2}} \right) \frac{3}{m} \widetilde{\vartheta} [\underline{\varphi} \underline{\mathbf{e}}] + \frac{5\mu}{m} \underline{\varphi} \langle \xi \rangle \right] \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi \rangle \right. \\
+ \frac{10\mu}{m^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\delta}} \frac{\widetilde{\omega}(|\eta|) |\eta|^{2}}{\sin \alpha} \left(\underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \eta \rangle \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \xi \rangle + \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \eta \rangle \right) \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle dv_{\eta} \\
+ \frac{\widehat{\alpha}_{13}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\delta}} \widetilde{\omega}(|\eta|) |\eta|^{2} \left[ \left(\underline{\varphi} \langle \xi \rangle + \underline{\varphi} \langle \eta \rangle \right) \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \\
+ \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} \left(\underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \eta \rangle \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \xi \rangle + \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{d} \langle \eta \rangle \right) \underline{\mathbf{e}} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \right] dv_{\eta}, \tag{47}$$

where m and  $\widetilde{\vartheta}[\cdot]$  are given by (20) and (21.b), respectively.

Comparing (22) with (45) and using (43) to obtain  $5\mu/m = \tilde{\alpha}/3$ , we see that both expressions differ by a factor of 1/3 multiplying  $\tilde{\alpha}$ .

**Acknowledgement** The author is grateful to his student Maurício Pereira for calling his attention to the error in the expression (42) in the original article.

