

## Erratum to: Patent litigation in Europe

**Katrin Cremers<sup>1</sup> · Max Ernicke<sup>2</sup> · Fabian Gaessler<sup>3</sup> ·  
Dietmar Harhoff<sup>3</sup> · Christian Helmers<sup>4</sup> ·  
Luke McDonagh<sup>5</sup> · Paula Schliessler<sup>1</sup> ·  
Nicolas van Zeebroeck<sup>6</sup>**

Published online: 4 April 2016  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

### Erratum to: Eur J Law Econ DOI 10.1007/s10657-016-9529-0

Owing to an unfortunate error during the production process, Table 9 was published with incorrect spanning of table headers. Therefore, it has been corrected with this erratum (Table 9).

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10657-016-9529-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10657-016-9529-0).

---

✉ Dietmar Harhoff  
dietmar.harhoff@ip.mpg.de

<sup>1</sup> ZEW, Mannheim, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition, Munich, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup> City University, London, UK

<sup>6</sup> Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

**Table 9** Outcomes of German cases with parallel case<sup>a</sup> in UK, France and Netherlands (2000–2008)

# parallel cases <sup>b</sup>	% cases with same outcome		Outcome in DE				
			Infringed	Not infringed	Revoked	Settled	Other outcome
		Outcome in UK <sup>‡</sup>					
35	28.6	<i>Infringed</i>	0.0 %	0.0 %	66.7 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
		<i>Not infringed</i>	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
		<i>Revoked</i>	0.0 %	60.0 %	33.3 %	42.3 %	0.0 %
		<i>Settled</i>	100.0 %	40.0 %	0.0 %	34.6 %	0.0 %
		<i>Other outcome</i>	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	23.1 %	0.0 %
		Total # of cases		1	5	3	26
		Outcome in FR					
64	50.0	<i>Infringed</i>	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	2.4 %	0.0 %
		<i>Not infringed</i>	20.0 %	14.3 %	33.3 %	12.2 %	20.0 %
		<i>Revoked</i>	0.0 %	14.3 %	16.7 %	2.4 %	0.0 %
		<i>Settled</i>	80.0 %	71.4 %	16.7 %	73.2 %	80.0 %
		<i>Other outcome</i>	0.0 %	0.0 %	33.3 %	9.8 %	0.0 %
		Total # of cases		5	7	6	41
		Outcome in NL					
44	22.7	<i>Infringed</i>	50.0 %	0.0 %	20.0 %	50.0 %	0.0 %
		<i>Not infringed</i>	25.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	11.8 %	0.0 %
		<i>Revoked</i>	25.0 %	0.0 %	60.0 %	23.5 %	0.0 %
		<i>Settled</i>	0.0 %	100.0 %	20.0 %	14.7 %	0.0 %
		<i>Other outcome</i>	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
		Total # of cases		4	1	5	34

Columns for each jurisdiction-pair add up to 100 %; for example, of all parallel cases in DE–UK where infringement was found in Germany (100 %), 66.7 % of these parallel cases in the UK held the patent infringed and 33.3 % revoked the patent in the UK

<sup>a</sup> Parallel cases have been identified on the basis of patent numbers as well as claimants and defendants

<sup>b</sup> Number of cases for which information on case outcome available