

## Erratum to: The researcher and the consultant: a dialogue on null hypothesis significance testing

Andreas Stang · Charles Poole

Published online: 13 March 2014  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

**Erratum to: Eur J Epidemiol (2013) 28:939–944**  
**DOI 10.1007/s10654-013-9861-4**

In the original publication of the article there are some errors. Please see below the corrections.

Page 3, second column, ninth full paragraph:

“[A] little greater than 3 %” should read, “a little greater than 3 % (0.033).”

“[A] little less than 3 %” should read, “a little greater than 3 % (0.033) as well.”

“[A] two-sided  $p$  value of about 6 %” should read, “a two-sided  $p$  value of about 7 % (0.066).”

**Acknowledgments** The authors thank Etsuji Suzuki of Okayama University, Okayama, Japan for bringing these errors to their attention.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10654-013-9861-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-013-9861-4).

---

A. Stang (✉)  
Medical Faculty, Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, Martin-Luther-University of Halle-Wittenberg, Magdeburger Str. 8,  
06097 Halle, Germany  
e-mail: andreas.stang@medizin.uni-halle.de

A. Stang  
Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Boston  
University, 715 Albany Street, Talbot Building, Boston,  
MA 02118, USA

C. Poole  
Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public  
Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill,  
NC 27599-7435, USA  
e-mail: cpoole@unc.edu