RESEARCH



Phase I study of aflibercept in combination with docetaxel in Japanese patients with advanced solid malignancies

Yu Sunakawa¹ · Keishiro Takahashi² · Osamu Kawaguchi³ · Nobuyuki Yamamoto⁴

Received: 1 April 2022 / Accepted: 1 June 2022 / Published online: 30 June 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Angiogenesis is a hallmark of cancer development. This study sought to determine the recommended dose of aflibercept, a recombinant fusion protein targeting VEGF-A, VEGF-B and placental growth factor (PIGF), combined with docetaxel in Japanese patients with advanced solid malignancies. This phase I study was planned to include 12 patients following a 3+3 algorithm to determine the maximum tolerated dose of aflibercept combined with docetaxel in patients with metastatic or unresectable solid tumors (trial registration: NCT00545246). Docetaxel (75 mg/m² every 3 weeks or 60 mg/m² after protocol amendment) was combined with escalating doses of aflibercept (2, 4 and 6 mg/kg every 4 weeks). Free and VEGF-bound aflibercept were measured to assess free aflibercept in excess of the VEGF-bound form. At the starting dose of the combination, 3 of 6 patients treated experienced febrile neutropenia. After reducing the docetaxel dose to 60 mg/m² in step 2 and permitting therapeutic granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) use, 2 of 3 patients in both cohorts experienced febrile neutropenia. Five patients (42%) had a partial response and 4 patients had stable disease (33%). Free aflibercept in excess of the VEGF-bound form was not maintained at this dose level. The dose limiting toxicity (DLT) of aflibercept combined with docetaxel (3 mg/m²) and therapeutic G-CSF use. A recommended dose for further studies was not determined because of the DLT at the starting dose.

Keywords Aflibercept · Docetaxel · Dose escalation · Japanese · VEGF Trap

Introduction

Several malignant tumors depend on angiogenesis, the growth of new blood vessels from the existing vasculature, to maintain a source of nutrition and oxygen from the body to support their growth and metastasis [1]. The process of angiogenesis, the outgrowth of new vessels from preexisting vasculature, plays a central role in the development of this tumor blood supply. The control of angiogenesis is complex and involves multiple signaling pathways. Vascular

- ¹ Department of Clinical Oncology, St. Marianna University School of Medicine, Kawasaki, Japan
- ² Research & Development, Sanofi, Tokyo, Japan
- ³ Biostatistics & Programming, Sanofi, Tokyo, Japan
- ⁴ Third Department of Internal Medicine, Wakayama Medical University Hospital, Wakayama, Japan

endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a powerful mitogen for endothelial cells that promotes the formation of new vessels required for normal and neoplastic tissue growth. In addition, VEGF potently increases vessel permeability. VEGF exerts its effect primarily through binding to receptor tyrosine kinases, VEGFR-1 (also called FLT1) and VEGFR-2 (also called KDR), expressed by endothelial cells [2, 3]. Clinical studies with the anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody, bevacizumab, have shown that targeting human VEGF-A is an effective treatment strategy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) [4, 5], advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [6], metastatic renal cancer [7, 8] and glioblastoma multiforme [9].

Aflibercept is a soluble decoy receptor engineered to incorporate the second immunoglobulin (Ig)-like domain of VEGFR-1 joined to the third Ig-like domain of VEGFR-2 fused to the Fc portion of human IgG1 [10, 11]. This construction allows aflibercept to bind to all of the isoforms of VEGF-A with sub-picomolar affinity [12]. In addition, aflibercept is anticipated to be more active than other anti-VEGF

Nobuyuki Yamamoto nbyamamo@wakayama-med.ac.jp

agents because of its high binding affinity to VEGF and its ability to bind other related proangiogenic factors such as VEGF-B and the placental growth factors (PIGFs), PIGF1 and PIGF2 [13]. The large randomized, placebo-controlled, phase III VELOUR trial demonstrated that the addition of aflibercept to infusional 5-fluorouracil, folinic acid and irinotecan (FOLFIRI) significantly improved overall survival compared with placebo plus FOLFIRI in patients with mCRC previously treated with an oxaliplatin-based regimen [14]. Aflibercept was administered intravenously in VELOUR at a dose level of 4 mg/kg every 2 weeks.

The phase I dose-escalation study TCD6120 investigated aflibercept in combination with docetaxel in 54 predominantly Caucasian patients with advanced solid tumors [15]. Successive cohorts of patients received sequentially escalated doses of intravenous aflibercept (either 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 or 9 mg/kg) with docetaxel (75 mg/m²) on day 1 every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. The dose escalation was completed in this range of aflibercept doses, with 3 dose limiting toxicities (DLTs) at each dose level. In pharmacokinetics (PK) analysis, an excess of free-overbound affibercept was observed at doses of ≥ 5 mg/kg. Based on these data, the recommended dose of aflibercept for further investigation was 6 mg/kg in combination with docetaxel at 75 mg/m² every 3 weeks. The primary objective of this study was to determine the recommended dose of aflibercept in combination with docetaxel for further studies in Japanese patients.

Methods

Patient eligibility

Patients aged \geq 20 years were eligible if they had a histologically or cytologically confirmed solid malignancy that was metastatic or unresectable, for which docetaxel was appropriate. They must also have failed at least one prior line of standard treatment or been ineligible for standard care.

Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status > 1; unresolved (\geq grade 2) toxicity from prior anticancer therapy (excluding alopecia); inadequate bone marrow or organ function as evidenced by: hemo-globin <9.0 g/dL; an absolute neutrophil count <1.5×10⁹/L; platelets <100×10⁹/L; creatinine >1.0×upper limit of normal (ULN; if between 1.0 to ≤1.5×ULN, then the calculated creatinine clearance according to the Cockcroft-Gault formula was <60 mL/min); urine protein: creatinine ratio > 1 and proteinuria > 500 mg/24 h; aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) > 2.5×ULN (if alkaline phosphatase > 2.5×ULN, then AST or ALT > 1.5×ULN); total bilirubin > 1.0×ULN; or serum albumin < 3.0 g/dL; a

diagnosis of squamous-cell lung cancer; history of discontinuation of prior anti-VEGF therapy due to an adverse drug reaction; history of hypersensitivity to recombinant proteins, docetaxel or polysorbate 80; history of severe drug allergy; prior treatment with chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, radiotherapy, surgery, blood products or an investigational agent within the 28 days (42 days for nitrosourea agents, mitomycin C or immunotherapy) prior to study enrollment or cumulative radiation therapy to > 25% of the total bone marrow; history of brain metastases, spinal cord compression or carcinomatous meningitis, or new evidence of brain or leptomeningeal disease on screening computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan; peritoneal metastases clearly confirmed by CT or MRI; malignant ascites requiring drainage; active infection, hepatitis C virus, hepatitis B virus surface antigen positive or on antiviral therapy for human immunodeficiency virus; uncontrolled hypertension > 150/100 mmHg; severe cardiac, cerebral or gastrointestinal or thromboembolic events within 180 days prior to study entry; clinically significant bleeding diathesis or underlying coagulopathy; administration of warfarin; pregnant or breastfeeding; prior treatment with aflibercept; or prior discontinuation of docetaxel for safety reasons.

Study design

This was a phase I, dual-center, open-label, dose-escalation study of aflibercept administered intravenously every 3 weeks in combination with docetaxel. The primary safety variable was DLT occurring during the first treatment cycle. Second-ary variables were safety, PK, antitumor activity, and the immunogenicity of aflibercept. The protocol was approved by independent ethics committees at both participating centers and the study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles laid out in the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent prior to the initiation of any study-related procedures. The study was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00545246; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00545246).

Drug dose and administration

The planned starting dose of affibercept was 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks. Two further dose levels were planned: 4 mg/kg every 3 weeks and 6 mg/kg every 3 weeks. On day 1 of each 3-week cycle, affibercept was to be administered intravenously over 1 h according to the assigned dose level followed by docetaxel, administered intravenously over ≥ 60 min, at 75 mg/m² (or in the subsequent cohorts, at 60 mg/m²) in 250 mL 5% dextrose or 0.9% sodium chloride. Oral corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 4 mg or 8 mg) were given pre- and post-administration of docetaxel. Prophylactic or therapeutic use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was not permitted during the first cycle of study treatment unless the patient experienced a hematologic DLT.

Cohorts of 3–6 patients were to be treated at escalating dose levels of aflibercept, starting at 2 mg/m^2 , and the dose of docetaxel was held constant at 75 mg/m². Dose escalation of aflibercept was based on the occurrence of DLTs during the first cycle following the 3+3 algorithm.

The maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of affibercept combined with docetaxel was determined by following the 3+3algorithm based on the occurrence of DLTs during the first cycle. To further explore the safety and preliminary efficacy profile of the recommended dose, the cohort of the recommended dose was planned to be expanded by up to 10 additional patients.

Safety assessments and definition of DLT

Adverse events were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0 (NCI CTCAE v.3.0). DLTs included any of the following adverse events during the first treatment cycle: grade 3 or 4 neutropenia complicated by fever (\geq 38.5 °C) or infection; grade 4 neutropenia lasting > 7 days; grade 4 thrombocytopenia, or grade 3 thrombocytopenia complicated by hemorrhage; any grade 3 non-hematologic adverse event except fatigue, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, hyponatremia (unless such inclusion was subsequently deemed necessary; for example, if these adverse events were excessive in frequency or duration, or required excessive use of supportive therapy); any grade 4 non-hematologic adverse event; uncontrolled hypertension as defined by systolic blood pressure (BP) > 150 mmHg or diastolic BP > 100 mmHg (or > 180/90 mmHg) if the patient had a history of isolated systolic hypertension) despite 4 weeks of medical management; urinary protein excretion of > 3.5 g per 24 h that does not recover to < 2.0 g per 24 h within 2 weeks; symptomatic arterial thromboembolic events including cerebrovascular accident, myocardial infarction, transient ischemic attack, and new onset or worsening of pre-existing angina.

Efficacy assessment

Following RECIST guidelines, tumor responses were assessed by CT or MRI on day 21 of every cycle or to confirm a partial or complete response (4–6 weeks after the initial documentation of response), whenever disease progression was suspected, and at the end of study treatment [16]. Antitumor efficacy was also evaluated by positron emission tomography (PET) at baseline, on day 21 of cycle 1, and then on day 21 of every even-numbered cycle.

PK and immunogenicity

Blood samples (4.5 mL) were collected for the analysis of plasma concentrations of free and VEGF-bound aflibercept before the start of aflibercept infusion on day 1 of cycle 1 (predose); at the end of infusion; and 1, 3, 7, 23, 29, 47, 167 (day 7) and 335 h (day 14) after the end of infusion. After cycle 2, blood samples were collected before each aflibercept infusion, and 30 and 90 days after the last aflibercept administration. Free and VEGF-bound aflibercept were measured in plasma using a validated direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The concentrations of VEGF-bound aflibercept were converted to free aflibercept equivalents (adjusted values) based on the molecular weights of VEGF and aflibercept for PK analysis. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was 0.0156 µg/mL and 0.0315 µg/mL (adjusted) for free and VEGF-bound aflibercept, respectively. PK parameters were calculated by noncompartmental analysis on a validated PK data management system using WinNonlin Professional, Version 5.2.1 (Pharsight).

Blood samples (1 mL) were taken to determine the docetaxel plasma concentrations before the start of aflibercept infusion on day 1 of cycle 1, 30 min after the start of the docetaxel infusion, immediately before the end of the docetaxel infusion, and 10 min, and 2, 5, 7 and 24 h after the end of the docetaxel infusion. Plasma samples were analyzed using a validated liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry method with an LLOQ of docetaxel of 1 ng/mL. The PK parameters for each patient were estimated using a Bayesian estimation method and the adult population PK model as prior information [17].

To screen for the presence of anti-aflibercept antibodies in serum, blood samples (4.0 mL) were collected predose on day 1 of every odd-numbered cycle, upon study withdrawal, and 90 days after study treatment discontinuation. Antibody levels were measured using a validated ELISA method with an LLOQ of 52.7 IU/mL.

Results

Patients

Twelve Japanese patients were included. Their baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The patients were predominantly female; the median age was 63.5 years, and all had an ECOG performance status of 0 or 1. The most common primary tumor sites were lung, ovary and breast. The patients had received a median of 2.5 lines of prior chemotherapy (range 1–6). All 12 enrolled patients were evaluable for safety, PK and efficacy.

 Table 1
 Baseline patient and disease characteristics

Characteristic	Dose level ^a				
	A2D75	A2D60	A2D60G		
	(N = 6)	(N=3)	(N=3)	(N = 12)	
Sex, n (%)			·		
Female	4 (67)	3 (100)	2 (67)	9 (75)	
Male	2 (33)	0	1 (33)	3 (25)	
Age, years					
Median	60.0	65.0	56.0	63.5	
Range	55–74	63–68	51-72	51-74	
Weight, kg					
Median	51.45	53.00	49.10	51.05	
ECOG PS, n (%)					
0	4 (67)	0	2 (67)	6 (50)	
1	2 (33)	3 (100)	1 (33)	6 (50)	
Primary tumor site, n (%)					
Lung	1 (17)	2 (67)	1 (33)	4 (33)	
Ovary	3 (50)	0	0	3 (25)	
Breast	0	0	2 (67)	2 (17)	
Other ^b	2 (33)	1 (33)	0	3 (25)	
Prior anticancer therapy, ^c n (%)					
Chemotherapy	6 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	12 (100)	
Surgery	5 (83)	2 (67)	2 (67)	9 (75)	
Radiotherapy	2 (33)	0	2 (67)	4 (33)	
Number of lines of prior chemotherapy					
Median	3.5	2.0	1.0	2.5	
Range	1–6	1–4	1–6	1–6	

 $^{a}A2D75 = aflibercept 2 mg/kg + docetaxel 75 mg/m^2; A2D60 = aflibercept 2 mg/kg + docetaxel 60 mg/m^2; A2D60G = aflibercept 2 mg/kg + docetaxel 60 mg/m^2 (+ granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, if necessary)$

^bA2D75, lung/prostate (1 patient), fallopian tube (1 patient); A2D60, ovary/rectum

^cA patient may have received more than one type of prior anticancer therapy

ECOG PS Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status

Safety and tolerability

Three out of 6 patients treated at the starting dose level (aflibercept 2 mg/kg plus docetaxel 75 mg/m²; A2D75) experienced a DLT of grade 3 febrile neutropenia without prophylactic or therapeutic use of G-CSF. Because it was considered that this DLT was mainly caused by docetaxel, the study protocol was amended to reduce the docetaxel dose to 60 mg/m² in combination with aflibercept at the starting dose (A2D60). In this subsequent cohort, 2 of the 3 patients experienced a DLT (in both cases, grade 3 febrile neutropenia). A second protocol amendment was therefore implemented by allowing the therapeutic use of G-CSF to manage febrile neutropenia if grade 4 neutropenia, or grade 3 neutropenia complicated by a temperature \geq 38 °C, were observed. However, 2 of 3 patients treated at the lowest aflibercept dose level (2 mg/kg; A2D60G) experienced a DLT (grade 3 febrile neutropenia) despite use of G-CSF. Although febrile neutropenia occurred as a DLT in 7 of 12 enrolled patients, none of these patients withdrew from study treatment. Patients in the A2D75 (n=6), A2D60 (n=3) and A2D60G (n=3) dose level cohorts received a median of 6, 10 and 30 treatment cycles, respectively, with a total of 36, 29 and 69 cycles, respectively. The median durations of each cycle were 3.93, 4.00 and 4.00 weeks, respectively. Ten of 12 patients discontinued study treatment due to disease progression. One patient in the A2D60 group discontinued study treatment due to a treatment emergent adverse event (AE; grade 2 sensory disturbance unrelated to aflibercept) and 1 patient in the A2D75 group discontinued because sustained treatment was deemed detrimental to the patient's well-being.

The most commonly reported AEs at any grade were neutropenia, alopecia, fatigue, febrile neutropenia, decreased appetite, and stomatitis, each of which occurred
 Table 2
 Incidence of the most

 common treatment emergent
 adverse events^a

Preferred term ^b n (%)	Dose level ^c					All patients		
	A2D75 (N=6)		A2D60 (N=3)		A2D60G (N=3)		(N=12)	
	All grades	Grade 3/4	All grades	Grade 3/4	All grades	Grade 3/4	All grades	Grade 3/4
Neutropenia	6 (100)	6 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	12 (100)	12 (100)
Alopecia	6 (100)	0	3 (100)	0	3 (100)	0	12 (100)	0
Fatigue	6 (100)	0	3 (100)	0	2 (67)	0	11 (92)	0
Febrile neutropenia	6 (100)	6 (100)	2 (67)	2 (67)	2 (67)	2 (67)	10 (83)	10 (83)
Decreased appetite	6 (100)	1 (17)	2 (67)	0	2 (67)	0	10 (83)	1 (8)
Stomatitis	5 (83)	0	2 (67)	0	3 (100)	0	10 (83)	0
Dysphonia	3 (50)	0	3 (100)	0	3 (100)	0	9 (75)	0
Flushing	5 (83)	0	2 (67)	0	2 (67)	0	9 (75)	0
Pyrexia	3 (50)	0	3 (100)	0	3 (100)	0	9 (75)	0
Diarrhea	3 (50)	0	2 (67)	0	3 (100)	0	8 (67)	0
Dysgeusia	3 (50)	0	2 (67)	0	3 (100)	0	8 (67)	0
Hypertension	4 (67)	0	2 (67)	1 (33)	1 (33)	0	7 (58)	1 (8)
Arthralgia	4 (67)	0	1 (33)	0	2 (67)	0	7 (58)	0
Epistaxis	3 (50)	0	2 (67)	0	2 (67)	0	7 (58)	0
Insomnia	3 (50)	0	3 (100)	0	1 (33)	0	7 (58)	0
Nausea	3 (50)	0	2 (67)	0	2 (67)	0	7 (58)	0
Vomiting	4 (67)	0	1 (33)	0	2 (67)	0	7 (58)	0
Nail disorder	3 (50)	0	2 (67)	0	2 (67)	0	7 (58)	0

^aReported in > 6 patients overall at any grade

^bAdverse events are reported according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities version 15.0 $^{c}A2D75 = aflibercept 2 mg/kg + docetaxel 75 mg/m^{2}$; A2D60 = aflibercept 2 mg/kg + docetaxel 60 mg/m²; A2D60G = aflibercept 2 mg/kg + docetaxel 60 mg/m² (+ granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, if necessary)

in \geq 10 patients overall (Table 2). The most common grade 3/4 AEs were neutropenia and febrile neutropenia, which were reported for 12 and 10 patients, respectively. No other grade 3/4 AE occurred in more than 1 patient.

PK and immunogenicity

The PK parameters for free and VEGF-bound affibercept are summarized in Table 3. The mean T_{max} for free affibercept was 0.08 days. Free affibercept had a terminal half-life of approximately 3 days and was eliminated with clearance of 0.8 L/day. The volume of distribution at steady state was 3.4 L. The mean free and VEGF-bound plasma concentrations across cycle 1 for each dose level cohort are illustrated in Fig. 1. The mean VEGF-bound affibercept concentrations for each cohort increased across the first cycle to reach a maximum on day 21 post-dose. In contrast, the mean concentrations of free affibercept fell across cycle 1, dropping below the levels of VEGFbound affibercept between days 12 and 15 (Fig. 1). Up to cycle 4, the free and VEGF-bound C_{trough} levels of affibercept increased across cycles (Fig. 2). However, there were insufficient data to determine whether steady state was reached by cycle 5. The individual and mean values of the free to VEGF-bound C_{trough} ratio during repeated administrations of aflibercept at 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks were generally below 1.

The mean (\pm standard deviation) docetaxel CL was 21.7 \pm 4.01 (range: 16.1–30.6) L/h/m² corresponding to a mean AUC of 3.60 \pm 0.524 (range: 2.99–4.30) µg·day/mL for patients receiving a 75 mg/m² dose and to a mean AUC of 2.85 \pm 0.621 (range: 2.00–3.79) µg·day/mL for patients receiving a 60 mg/m² dose.

All 12 patients treated with affibercept were evaluable for immunogenicity and found to be negative for anti-affibercept antibodies.

Antitumor activity

Tumor response was evaluated according to RECIST in all patients. Five of 12 patients (42%) had a partial response, including 1 (lung/prostate for 9 cycles) of 6 in the A2D75 cohort, 2 (both lungs for 16 and 10 cycles) of 3 in the A2D60 cohort, and 2 (both breasts for 30 and 35 cycles) of 3 in the

Table 3Plasmapharmacokinetic parametersof free and VEGF-boundaflibercept in cycle 1 followingadministration at 2 mg/kg

Mean ± SD (CV%) parameters	Free aflibercept	VEGF-bound affibercept		
Number of patients	12			
C _{max} , μg/mL	36.8 ± 9.72 (26)	1.72 ± 0.343 (20)		
$\Gamma_{\max}^{a} day$	0.08 (0.04–0.17)	20.99 (14.00–28.01)		
AUC _{last} , µg∙day/mL	131 ± 24.8 (19)	24.7 ± 6.39 (26)		
AUC _{0-21 day} , μg·day/mL	131 ± 23.7 (18)	22.7±3.39 ^b (15)		
AUC, μg∙day/mL	133 ± 25.1 (19)	-		
t _{1/2z} , day	3.23 ± 0.495 (15)	-		
CL, L/day	0.801 ± 0.144 (18)	-		
V _{ss} , L	3.44 ± 0.558 (16)	-		

^aMedian (range)

 ${}^{b}N = 11$ (one patient was not evaluable)

SD standard deviation, CV% coefficient of variation percentage, C_{max} maximum drug concentration observed, T_{max} first time to reach C_{max} , AUC_{last} area under the concentration versus time curve calculated from time zero to the real time T_{last} , $AUC_{0-21 day}$ area under the concentration versus time curve from time zero to day 21, AUC area under the concentration versus time curve extrapolated to infinity, $t_{1/2z}$ terminal elimination halflife, CL apparent total body clearance of drug from the plasma, V_{ss} volume of distribution at steady state



Fig. 1 Mean free and VEGF-bound aflibercept concentration-time profiles across cycle 1 (semi-log scale)



Fig. 2 Mean (± standard deviation) free and VEGF-bound aflibercept C_{troueh} values across cycles

A2D60G cohort. The primary tumor sites of the 5 patients were lung (n=3) and breast (n=2). Four patients had stable disease, including 2 in the A2D75 cohort, 1 in the A2D60 cohort, and 1 in the A2D60G cohort. Two patients, both in the A2D75 cohort, had a best overall response of progressive disease. The response status was not assessed in 1 patient who discontinued treatment in cycle 1 in the A2D75 cohort.

According to the PET assessment, 8 of 12 evaluable patients had an effective response to treatment, including 3 in the A2D75 cohort, 2 in the A2D60 cohort, and 3 in the A2D60G cohort. Four patients had no change, including 3 in the A2D75 cohort and 1 in the A2D60 cohort.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to determine a recommended dose of aflibercept that could be safely administered in combination with docetaxel in Japanese patients with advanced solid malignancies. Febrile neutropenia was observed as DLT in 3 of the 6 patients treated at the lowest dose level (2 mg/kg aflibercept plus docetaxel 75 mg/m²) evaluated in the initial protocol. Because severe neutropenia was a common side effect associated with docetaxel administration in Japanese patients [18–22], we decided to reduce the

planned docetaxel dose level from 75 mg/m² to 60 mg/m². Despite this reduction, febrile neutropenia was observed as a DLT in 2 of 3 patients in this cohort. A subsequent protocol modification allowed the therapeutic use of G-CSF in cycle 1 under certain specific conditions. However, this did not appear to resolve the occurrence of febrile neutropenia, which was reported as a DLT in 2 of 3 patients at the lowest aflibercept dose level. In view of the incidence of this DLT, we could not determine a recommended dose of aflibercept combined with docetaxel for use in further studies in Japanese patients. The combination of aflibercept 2 mg/kg and docetaxel 60 mg/m² with therapeutic G-CSF was not tolerable in the dose-finding study in Japanese patients.

The combination of aflibercept (6 mg/kg) and docetaxel (75 mg/kg) was previously investigated in the large multinational phase III VITAL study, in which 913 patients with platinum-pretreated NSCLC were randomized to docetaxel with either aflibercept or placebo [23]. Patients were enrolled from 162 centers in 29 countries, although there was no recruitment in Japan. Although the rate of grade ≥ 3 neutropenia was higher in the aflibercept/docetaxel arm compared with the placebo/docetaxel arm (28.0% vs 21.1%, respectively), the incidence of febrile neutropenia was relatively low (6.6% vs 4.2%, respectively). A recent phase I study in Japanese patients with mCRC previously showed

the combination of aflibercept at 4 mg/kg and FOLFIRI to be well tolerated [24]. In the 16 patients included at aflibercept dose levels of 2 mg/kg (n=3) or 4 mg/kg (n=13), febrile neutropenia was not reported as a DLT for any patient in the first 2 cycles. The explanation for the relatively high incidence of febrile neutropenia seen in this study (7 of 12 patients; 58%) is therefore not immediately obvious. In particular, the PK parameters for aflibercept were comparable with those previously reported for non-Japanese [15, 25] and Japanese [24] patient cohorts receiving 2 mg/kg in other phase I studies. In addition, the mean CL and AUC values for docetaxel 75 mg/m² in Japanese patients were similar to those previously reported for Caucasian patients [26].

Studies in murine model systems have suggested that in order to achieve maximum antitumor activity, the level of free aflibercept in plasma should exceed the level of VEGF-bound aflibercept over the cycles [27]. Due to the incidence of DLT, it was not possible to escalate the dose level above 2 mg/kg in the present study. At this dose, VEGF-bound aflibercept did not reach a plateau level and an excess of free over VEGFbound aflibercept was not maintained across cycles. The aflibercept dose of 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks combined with docetaxel did not achieve the target level of pharmacological exposure in Japanese patients. However, of 12 patients treated in this study, 5 had a partial response to the treatment and 4 patients had stable disease. In addition, although the incidence of febrile neutropenia was relatively high, none of the patients withdrew from study treatment. These results suggest that aflibercept combined with docetaxel may have some therapeutic potential in Japanese patients, and that prophylactic G-CSF administration should be mandatory when using this combination.

In many tumor types, chemotherapy-induced severe neutropenia is associated with improved overall survival [28, 29]. In a large pooled analysis of 1529 patients with NSCLC treated with chemotherapy in 6 randomized studies, chemotherapy-induced neutropenia was significantly associated with a longer overall survival, especially in patients who developed severe neutropenia [30]. Similar findings were reported in pancreatic cancer [31], gastric cancer [32], and metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer [33]. There is increasing evidence that tumor-associated myeloid cells play a crucial role in tumor development, metastatic progression, and the immunosuppressive microenvironment of many cancers [34, 35]. Tumor-associated myeloid cells also induce resistance to anti-angiogenic drugs [36]. Taxanes, by inducing neutropenia, may contribute to delaying tumor progression and prolonged survival, and thus restore sensitivity to anti-angiogenics in some types of cancers [33].

In conclusion, the DLT of aflibercept in combination with docetaxel was febrile neutropenia in Japanese patients. A recommended dose for further investigation was not determined due to the incidence of DLTs at the starting dose. However, the encouraging antitumor activity observed in these patients deserves further investigation.

Acknowledgements The authors thank all patients who participated in this study; the Efficacy and Safety Evaluation Committee members Tomomitsu Hotta, Yutaka Ariyoshi, and Tsuneo Sasaki; and medical advisors Ichinosuke Hyodo, Hiromichi Suzuki, and Koji Murakami. Jim Heighway, PhD, of Cancer Communications and Consultancy Ltd. (Knutsford, UK) and Nicholas D. Smith, PhD, of EMC K.K. (Osaka, Japan) provided medical writing services, which were funded by Sanofi.

Author contributions Keishiro Takahashi conceived and designed the study, contributed to data collection and data interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. Osamu Kawaguchi designed the study, contributed to data analysis and data interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. Yu Sunakawa and Nobuyuki Yamamoto contributed to data collection and data interpretation. All authors critically revised the manuscript, approved the final draft, and are accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the work.

Funding This study was funded by Sanofi in collaboration with Regeneron Pharmaceuticals.

Data availability Qualified researchers can request access to patientlevel data and related study documents including the clinical study report, study protocol with any amendments, blank case report forms, statistical analysis plan, and dataset specifications. Patient-level data will be anonymized, and study documents will be redacted to protect the privacy of trial participants. Further details on Sanofi's datasharing criteria, eligible studies, and process for requesting access are at: https://www.vivli.org/.

Declarations

Ethical approval The protocol was approved by independent ethics committees at both participating centers and the study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles laid out in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent to participate All patients provided written informed consent prior to the initiation of any study-related procedures.

Conflict of interest The funding source (Sanofi) was involved in data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, and drafting the manuscript. Yu Sunakawa reports research grants (in the past 36 months) from Chugai Pharma, Taiho Pharma, Takeda, and Otsuka Pharma; and honoraria/lecture fees/speakers' fees (in the past 36 months) from Eli Lilly Japan, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai Pharma, Ono Pharma, Daiichi-Sankyo, Sysmex, Taiho Pharma, Merck Biopharma, Takeda, Bayer, and Guardant Japan. Keishiro Takahashi and Osamu Kawaguchi are employees of Sanofi and may hold shares and/or stock options in the company. Nobuyuki Yamamoto reports honoraria/lecture fees/speakers' fees (in the past 36 months) from MSD, Chugai Pharma, Ono Pharma, AstraZeneca, Takeda Pharma, Eli Lilly Japan, Novartis, Pfizer, Janssen, Taiho Pharma, Daiichi-Sankyo, Amgen, Eisai, Toppan Printing, and Boehringer Ingelheim.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes

were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Folkman J (1997) Addressing tumor blood vessels. Nat Biotechnol 15:510. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0697-510
- Robinson CJ, Stringer SE (2001) The splice variants of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and their receptors. J Cell Sci 114:853–865. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.114.5.853
- Hicklin DJ, Ellis LM (2005) Role of the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway in tumor growth and angiogenesis. J Clin Oncol 23:1011–1027. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.06.081
- Giantonio BJ, Catalano PJ, Meropol NJ, O'Dwyer PJ, Mitchell EP, Alberts SR, Schwartz MA, Benson AB 3rd (2007) Bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin (FOL-FOX4) for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: results from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study E3200. J Clin Oncol 25:1539–1544. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2006.09.6305
- Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, Cartwright T, Hainsworth J, Heim W, Berlin J, Baron A, Griffing S, Holmgren E, Ferrara N, Fyfe G, Rogers B, Ross R, Kabbinavar F (2004) Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 350:2335–2342. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa032691
- Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, Brahmer J, Schiller JH, Dowlati A, Lilenbaum R, Johnson DH (2006) Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 355:2542–2550. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa061884
- Escudier B, Pluzanska A, Koralewski P, Ravaud A, Bracarda S, Szczylik C, Chevreau C, Filipek M, Melichar B, Bajetta E, Gorbunova V, Bay JO, Bodrogi I, Jagiello-Gruszfeld A, Moore N, investigators AT (2007) Bevacizumab plus interferon alfa-2a for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a randomised, double-blind phase III trial. Lancet 370:2103–2111. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61904-7
- Rini BI, Halabi S, Rosenberg JE, Stadler WM, Vaena DA, Archer L, Atkins JN, Picus J, Czaykowski P, Dutcher J, Small EJ (2010) Phase III trial of bevacizumab plus interferon alfa versus interferon alfa monotherapy in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: final results of CALGB 90206. J Clin Oncol 28:2137–2143. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.26.5561
- Gil-Gil MJ, Mesia C, Rey M, Bruna J (2013) Bevacizumab for the treatment of glioblastoma. Clin Med Insights Oncol 7:123–135. https://doi.org/10.4137/CMO.S8503
- Ciombor KK, Berlin J, Chan E (2013) Aflibercept. Clin Cancer Res 19:1920–1925. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432. CCR-12-2911
- Holash J, Davis S, Papadopoulos N, Croll SD, Ho L, Russell M, Boland P, Leidich R, Hylton D, Burova E, Ioffe E, Huang T, Radziejewski C, Bailey K, Fandl JP, Daly T, Wiegand SJ, Yancopoulos GD, Rudge JS (2002) VEGF-Trap: a VEGF blocker with potent antitumor effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:11393–11398. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.172398299
- Papadopoulos N, Martin J, Ruan Q, Rafique A, Rosconi MP, Shi E, Pyles EA, Yancopoulos GD, Stahl N, Wiegand SJ (2012) Binding and neutralization of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and related ligands by VEGF Trap, ranibizumab and

bevacizumab. Angiogenesis 15:171–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10456-011-9249-6

- 13. Carmeliet P, Moons L, Luttun A, Vincenti V, Compernolle V, De Mol M, Wu Y, Bono F, Devy L, Beck H, Scholz D, Acker T, DiPalma T, Dewerchin M, Noel A, Stalmans I, Barra A, Blacher S, VandenDriessche T, Ponten A, Eriksson U, Plate KH, Foidart JM, Schaper W, Charnock-Jones DS, Hicklin DJ, Herbert JM, Collen D, Persico MG (2001) Synergism between vascular endothelial growth factor and placental growth factor contributes to angiogenesis and plasma extravasation in pathological conditions. Nat Med 7:575–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/87904
- Van Cutsem E, Tabernero J, Lakomy R, Prenen H, Prausova J, Macarulla T, Ruff P, van Hazel GA, Moiseyenko V, Ferry D, McKendrick J, Polikoff J, Tellier A, Castan R, Allegra C (2012) Addition of aflibercept to fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan improves survival in a phase III randomized trial in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer previously treated with an oxaliplatinbased regimen. J Clin Oncol 30:3499–3506. https://doi.org/10. 1200/JCO.2012.42.8201
- Isambert N, Freyer G, Zanetta S, You B, Fumoleau P, Falandry C, Favier L, Assadourian S, Soussan-Lazard K, Ziti-Ljajic S, Trillet-Lenoir V (2012) Phase I dose-escalation study of intravenous aflibercept in combination with docetaxel in patients with advanced solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 18:1743–1750. https:// doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-1918
- 16. Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, Verweij J, Van Glabbeke M, van Oosterom AT, Christian MC, Gwyther SG (2000) New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst 92:205–216. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/92.3.205
- Bruno R, Vivier N, Vergniol JC, De Phillips SL, Montay G, Sheiner LB (1996) A population pharmacokinetic model for docetaxel (Taxotere): model building and validation. J Pharmacokinet Biopharm 24:153–172. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02353487
- Katsumata N, Noda K, Nozawa S, Kitagawa R, Nishimura R, Yamaguchi S, Aoki D, Susumu N, Kuramoto H, Jobo T, Ueki K, Ueki M, Kohno I, Fujiwara K, Sohda Y, Eguchi F (2005) Phase II trial of docetaxel in advanced or metastatic endometrial cancer: a Japanese Cooperative Study. Br J Cancer 93:999–1004. https:// doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602817
- Kunitoh H, Watanabe K, Onoshi T, Furuse K, Niitani H, Taguchi T (1996) Phase II trial of docetaxel in previously untreated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a Japanese cooperative study. J Clin Oncol 14:1649–1655. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1996.14.5.1649
- Mukohara T, Takeda K, Miyazaki M, Takifuji N, Terakawa K, Negoro S (2001) Japanese experience with second-line chemotherapy with low-dose (60 mg/m²) docetaxel in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 48:356–360. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002800100362
- Muro K, Hamaguchi T, Ohtsu A, Boku N, Chin K, Hyodo I, Fujita H, Takiyama W, Ohtsu T (2004) A phase II study of single-agent docetaxel in patients with metastatic esophageal cancer. Ann Oncol 15:955–959. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdh231
- 22. Okada S, Sakata Y, Matsuno S, Kurihara M, Sasaki Y, Ohashi Y, Taguchi T (1999) Phase II study of docetaxel in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer: a Japanese cooperative study. Cooperative Group of Docetaxel for Pancreatic Cancer in Japan. Br J Cancer 80:438–443. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6690375
- 23. Ramlau R, Gorbunova V, Ciuleanu TE, Novello S, Ozguroglu M, Goksel T, Baldotto C, Bennouna J, Shepherd FA, Le-Guennec S, Rey A, Miller V, Thatcher N, Scagliotti G (2012) Aflibercept and Docetaxel versus Docetaxel alone after platinum failure in patients with advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer:

a randomized, controlled phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 30:3640–3647. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.42.6932

- 24. Yoshino T, Yamazaki K, Yamaguchi K, Doi T, Boku N, Machida N, Onozawa Y, Asayama M, Fujino T, Ohtsu A (2013) A phase I study of intravenous aflibercept with FOLFIRI in Japanese patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer. Invest New Drugs 31:910–917. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10637-012-9895-6
- 25. Van Cutsem E, Khayat D, Verslype C, Billemont B, Tejpar S, Meric JB, Soussan-Lazard K, Assadourian S, Cartot-Cotton S, Rixe O (2013) Phase I dose-escalation study of intravenous aflibercept administered in combination with irinotecan, 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin in patients with advanced solid tumours. Eur J Cancer 49:17–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2012.07.007
- Harvey V, Mouridsen H, Semiglazov V, Jakobsen E, Voznyi E, Robinson BA, Groult V, Murawsky M, Cold S (2006) Phase III trial comparing three doses of docetaxel for second-line treatment of advanced breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 24:4963–4970. https://doi. org/10.1200/JCO.2005.05.0294
- Rudge JS, Holash J, Hylton D, Russell M, Jiang S, Leidich R, Papadopoulos N, Pyles EA, Torri A, Wiegand SJ, Thurston G, Stahl N, Yancopoulos GD (2007) VEGF Trap complex formation measures production rates of VEGF, providing a biomarker for predicting efficacious angiogenic blockade. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:18363–18370. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708865104
- Kasi PM, Grothey A (2018) Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia as a prognostic and predictive marker of outcomes in solidtumor patients. Drugs 78:737–745. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40265-018-0909-3
- Shitara K, Matsuo K, Oze I, Mizota A, Kondo C, Nomura M, Yokota T, Takahari D, Ura T, Muro K (2011) Meta-analysis of neutropenia or leukopenia as a prognostic factor in patients with malignant disease undergoing chemotherapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 68:301–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00280-010-1487-6
- Gargiulo P, Arenare L, Gridelli C, Morabito A, Ciardiello F, Gebbia V, Maione P, Spagnuolo A, Palumbo G, Esposito G, Della Corte CM, Morgillo F, Mancuso G, Di Liello R, Gravina

A, Schettino C, Di Maio M, Gallo C, Perrone F, Piccirillo MC (2021) Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia and treatment efficacy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a pooled analysis of 6 randomized trials. BMC Cancer 21:549. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08323-4

- 31. Kan M, Imaoka H, Watanabe K, Sasaki M, Takahashi H, Hashimoto Y, Ohno I, Mitsunaga S, Umemoto K, Kimura G, Suzuki Y, Eguchi H, Otsuru T, Goda K, Ikeda M (2020) Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia as a prognostic factor in patients with pancreatic cancer treated with gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel: a retrospective cohort study. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 86:203–210. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-020-04110-3
- 32. Liu R, Huang M, Zhao X, Peng W, Sun S, Cao J, Ji D, Wang C, Guo W, Li J, Yin J, Zhu X (2015) Neutropenia predicts better prognosis in patients with metastatic gastric cancer on a combined epirubicin, oxaliplatin and 5-fluorouracil regimen. Oncotarget 6:39018–39027. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5730
- 33. Meisel A, von Felten S, Vogt DR, Liewen H, de Wit R, de Bono J, Sartor O, Stenner-Liewen F (2016) Severe neutropenia during cabazitaxel treatment is associated with survival benefit in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC): A post-hoc analysis of the TROPIC phase III trial. Eur J Cancer 56:93–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.12.009
- Granot Z (2019) Neutrophils as a therapeutic target in cancer. Front Immunol 10:1710. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019. 01710
- Wu L, Saxena S, Awaji M, Singh RK (2019) Tumor-associated neutrophils in cancer: going pro. Cancers (Basel) 11:564. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cancers11040564
- 36. Schiffmann LM, Fritsch M, Gebauer F, Günther SD, Stair NR, Seeger JM, Thangarajah F, Dieplinger G, Bludau M, Alakus H, Göbel H, Quaas A, Zander T, Hilberg F, Bruns CJ, Kashkar H, Coutelle O (2019) Tumour-infiltrating neutrophils counteract anti-VEGF therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 120:69–78. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-018-0198-3

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.