

Erratum to: Pronounced genetic structure and low genetic diversity in European red-billed chough (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*) populations

Marius A. Wenzel · Lucy M. I. Webster · Guillermo Blanco ·
Malcolm D. Burgess · Christian Kerbiriou · Gernot Segelbacher ·
Stuart B. Piertney · Jane M. Reid

Published online: 5 December 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Erratum to: Conserv Genet (2012) 13:1213–1230
DOI 10.1007/s10592-012-0366-6

In the original publication, Tables 3 and 6 were published with incorrect estimates of population heterozygosities. All other diversity statistics were correct as originally presented. Updated versions of Tables 3 and 6 with corrected heterozygosity estimates confirmed using Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010) as in Dávila et al. (2014) are provided in this erratum. Discrepancies were minor for populations on the British Isles. The correct estimates for

Spain are slightly larger than those reported for La Palma by Dávila et al. (2014), but this does not necessarily affect their interpretation that choughs on La Palma may have originated from multiple migration events. The original conclusion that chough populations on the British Isles have low genetic diversity compared to continental European populations remains and is now, in fact, strengthened.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10592-012-0366-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-012-0366-6).

M. A. Wenzel · L. M. I. Webster · S. B. Piertney ·
J. M. Reid (✉)
Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University
of Aberdeen, Zoology Building, Tillydrone Avenue,
Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK
e-mail: jane.reid@abdn.ac.uk

G. Blanco
Department of Evolutionary Ecology, National Museum of
Natural History (MNCN-CSIC), c/ José Gutiérrez Abascal 2,
28006 Madrid, Spain

M. D. Burgess
Centre for Research in Animal Behaviour, College of Life &
Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter,
Exeter EX4 4QG, UK

C. Kerbiriou
Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle CERSP UMR 7204
MNHN-CNRS-UPMC, 61 rue Buffon, 75005 Paris, France

G. Segelbacher
Department of Wildlife Ecology and Management, University
of Freiburg, Tennenbacher Str. 4, 79106 Freiburg, Germany

Table 3 Genetic diversity statistics (means \pm 1 SD) derived from 16 microsatellite loci across 348 red-billed choughs from 11 populations

Population	<i>n</i>	Missing data (%)	<i>n_a</i>	<i>a_r</i>	<i>n_e</i>	<i>n_p</i>	<i>H_O</i>	<i>H_E</i>	<i>F_{IS}</i>
Colonsay	40	4.85 \pm 9.01	2.88 \pm 1.15	1.95 \pm 0.58	1.60 \pm 0.52	1	0.28 \pm 0.19	0.32 \pm 0.20	0.131*
Islay	77	6.51 \pm 10.63	3.13 \pm 1.31	1.97 \pm 0.67	1.62 \pm 0.62	2	0.31 \pm 0.22	0.31 \pm 0.22	0.024
Isle of Man	41	5.95 \pm 10.85	3.13 \pm 1.50	1.98 \pm 0.67	1.63 \pm 0.55	4	0.32 \pm 0.22	0.32 \pm 0.22	0.019
Ireland	26	6.31 \pm 10.30	3.63 \pm 1.50	2.53 \pm 0.89	2.16 \pm 0.95	1	0.41 \pm 0.21	0.46 \pm 0.22	0.130*
North Wales	73	7.18 \pm 12.48	3.38 \pm 1.54	2.46 \pm 0.79	2.29 \pm 0.83	1	0.50 \pm 0.26	0.49 \pm 0.24	−0.009
South Wales	11	6.55 \pm 6.27	2.69 \pm 1.01	2.34 \pm 0.70	2.03 \pm 0.63	0	0.51 \pm 0.27	0.45 \pm 0.21	−0.078
Cornwall	9	19.78 \pm 25.04	2.25 \pm 0.77	2.05 \pm 0.62	1.75 \pm 0.55	0	0.41 \pm 0.26	0.37 \pm 0.21	−0.031
Brittany	18	3.67 \pm 4.67	2.81 \pm 1.17	2.23 \pm 0.70	1.96 \pm 0.74	1	0.45 \pm 0.25	0.42 \pm 0.22	−0.040
French Alps	14	13.07 \pm 18.43	4.88 \pm 1.67	3.55 \pm 0.86	3.33 \pm 1.30	5	0.58 \pm 0.16	0.66 \pm 0.12	0.167**
Spain	17	4.35 \pm 10.22	6.38 \pm 2.55	4.11 \pm 1.17	4.51 \pm 1.92	28	0.77 \pm 0.18	0.73 \pm 0.15	−0.038
Paradise Park	22	3.86 \pm 8.77	2.81 \pm 1.05	2.38 \pm 0.67	2.15 \pm 0.61	0	0.53 \pm 0.23	0.48 \pm 0.20	−0.063
Total	348	6.57 \pm 11.58	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Population size (*n*) is given alongside the average percentage of missing genotype data, number of alleles (*n_a*), allelic richness (*a_r*), effective number of alleles (*n_e*), number of private alleles (*n_p*), observed heterozygosity (*H_O*), expected heterozygosity (*H_E*) and Wright's *F_{IS}* with significance indicated at the 5 % level (*) and strict Bonferroni-corrected level ($\alpha = 0.00028^{**}$)

Table 6 Characterisation of 16 microsatellite loci for red-billed chough

Locus name	Repeat unit	<i>T_a</i>	<i>n_a</i>	Allele range	<i>H_O</i>	<i>H_E</i>	<i>p_{HWE}</i>	Null-allele frequency
Ppy-001	TACA	60 \rightarrow 50	4	151–179	0.42 \pm 0.21	0.41 \pm 0.19	0.148	−0.04 \pm 0.13
Ppy-002	ATCT	60 \rightarrow 50	4	151–179	0.39 \pm 0.19	0.39 \pm 0.20	0.993	−0.05 \pm 0.12
Ppy-003	AGAT	60 \rightarrow 50	11	292–344	0.55 \pm 0.24	0.55 \pm 0.15	<0.001	0.01 \pm 0.11
Ppy-004	AGAT	60 \rightarrow 50	7	173–239	0.45 \pm 0.22	0.45 \pm 0.19	0.183	−0.04 \pm 0.13
Ppy-005	TATC	60 \rightarrow 50	7	226–250	0.36 \pm 0.27	0.36 \pm 0.26	0.028	−0.02 \pm 0.14
Ppy-006	CATC	60 \rightarrow 50	8	139–175	0.13 \pm 0.30	0.12 \pm 0.28	0.729	0.00 \pm 0.05
Ppy-007	GATA	55 \rightarrow 45	9	161–193	0.58 \pm 0.16	0.60 \pm 0.15	0.425	0.00 \pm 0.08
Ppy-008	GATA	60 \rightarrow 50	9	221–265	0.57 \pm 0.14	0.60 \pm 0.16	0.018	−0.02 \pm 0.17
Ppy-009	AAGT	60 \rightarrow 50	6	222–242	0.56 \pm 0.25	0.51 \pm 0.19	0.420	−0.06 \pm 0.11
Ppy-010	CA	60 \rightarrow 50	14	108–146	0.50 \pm 0.26	0.43 \pm 0.23	0.187	−0.11 \pm 0.17
Ppy-011	TAGA	60 \rightarrow 50	10	163–191	0.68 \pm 0.16	0.63 \pm 0.14	0.190	−0.08 \pm 0.13
Ppy-012	TAGA	60 \rightarrow 50	13	210–266	0.47 \pm 0.23	0.51 \pm 0.15	<0.001	0.00 \pm 0.23
Ppy-013	GATA	60 \rightarrow 50	10	197–221	0.64 \pm 0.12	0.65 \pm 0.08	0.493	0.01 \pm 0.07
Ppy-014 ^a	GATG	60 \rightarrow 50	5	239–275	0.39 \pm 0.11	0.40 \pm 0.10	0.615	0.02 \pm 0.08
Ppy-015 ^a	TATG	60 \rightarrow 50	3	152–158	0.13 \pm 0.26	0.11 \pm 0.19	0.120	−0.04 \pm 0.15
Ppy-016	GGAT	60 \rightarrow 50	13	200–244	0.53 \pm 0.23	0.56 \pm 0.22	0.022	0.02 \pm 0.07

Statistics (\pm 1 SD) were calculated from 348 individuals in 11 populations

The microsatellite repeat unit is given alongside TouchDown annealing temperature gradient (*T_a*), number of alleles (*n_a*), allele range (*bp*), observed (*H_O*) and expected (*H_E*) heterozygosity, the probability of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (*p_{HWE}*) and null allele frequency (van Oosterhout et al. 2004). See Wenzel et al. (2011) for full characterisation

^a Locus also isolated by Jaari et al. (2008)

References

- Dávila JA, Morinha F, Blanco G (2014) Eleven new polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Red-billed chough (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*). Conserv Genet Resour. doi:10.1007/s12686-014-0293-6
- Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol Ecol Resour 10:564–567
- Jaari S, Välimäki K, Merilä J (2008) Isolation and characterization of 100 polymorphic microsatellite loci for the Siberian jay (*Perisoreus infaustus*). Mol Ecol Resour 8:1469–1474
- van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DP, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol Notes 4:535–538
- Wenzel MA, Webster LMI, Segelbacher G, Reid JM, Pieltney SB (2011) Isolation and characterisation of 17 microsatellite loci for the red-billed chough (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*). Conserv Genet Res 3:737–740