# **Cognitive Behavior Therapy for Anxious Adolescents: Developmental Influences on Treatment Design and Delivery**

Floor M. Sauter · David Heyne · P. Michiel Westenberg

Published online: 1 July 2009 © The Author(s) 2009. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Anxiety disorders in adolescence are common and disruptive, pointing to a need for effective treatments for this age group. Cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) is one of the most popular interventions for adolescent anxiety, and there is empirical support for its application. However, a significant proportion of adolescent clients continue to report anxiety symptoms post-treatment. This paper underscores the need to attend to the unique developmental characteristics of the adolescent period when designing and delivering treatment, in an effort to enhance treatment effectiveness. Informed by the literature from developmental psychology, developmental psychopathology, and clinical child and adolescent psychology, we review the 'why' and the 'how' of developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents. 'Why' it is important to consider developmental factors in designing and delivering CBT for anxious adolescents is addressed by examining the age-related findings of treatment outcome studies and exploring the influence of developmental factors, including cognitive capacities, on engagement in CBT. 'How' clinicians can developmentally tailor CBT for anxious adolescents in six key domains of treatment design and delivery is illustrated with suggestions drawn from both clinically and research-oriented literature. Finally, recommendations are made for research into developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents.

**Keywords** Cognitive behavior therapy · Adolescence · Anxiety · Development

## Introduction

Anxiety is one of the most common disorders among young people (Roberts et al. 2009), and higher rates of anxiety disorders have been reported in adolescence relative to childhood. For example, Newman et al. (1996) found an age-related increase in the prevalence of anxiety disorders in a birth cohort, increasing from 7.5% at 11 years of age to 20.3% at 21 years of age. Similarly, Essau Conradt and Petermann (2000) reported that rates of anxiety disorders increased with age, from 14.7% at 12–13 years, to 22.0% at 16–17 years of age. Although separation anxiety disorder is less prevalent in adolescence relative to childhood (Cohen et al. 1993), other anxiety disorders such as generalized anxiety disorder (Rapee 1991) and social anxiety disorder (Westenberg et al. 2007) are more prevalent in adolescence.

The presentation of anxiety in adolescence can be complex, chronic, and severe. Adolescents may be diagnosed with several concurrent anxiety disorders, as well as depression, conduct disorder, and alcohol abuse (Clark et al. 1994; Ollendick et al. 2008). Essau (2008) reported that the most common pattern of comorbidity in both community (n = 185) and clinical (n = 69) samples of adolescents aged 12-17 years was that of depression and anxiety, with comorbidity rates of 31.4 and 47.0% in the community and clinical samples, respectively. There is considerable evidence for the continuity of anxiety disorders into late adolescence and even adulthood (Costello et al. 2003; Kim-Cohen et al. 2003; Kovacs and Devlin 1998). The maladaptive coping mechanisms of anxious young people may become more entrenched over time (Hudson et al. 2002), which may intensify anxious symptoms with age. If left untreated, young people with problematic levels of anxiety often endure short- and long-term

F. M. Sauter  $(\boxtimes) \cdot D$ . Heyne  $\cdot P$ . Michiel Westenberg Unit Developmental and Educational Psychology, Leiden University Institute for Psychology, Wassenaarseweg 52, 2333 AK Leiden, The Netherlands e-mail: fsauter@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

difficulties in their personal, family, school, and social functioning (Essau et al. 2000; Keller et al. 1992).

The adolescent period is a developmental phase defined by transition. Many intrapersonal (e.g., cognitive development), interpersonal (e.g., seeking autonomy from parents), and contextual changes occur simultaneously in family, school, and other contexts; and biological, socialemotional, psychosocial, and cognitive development takes place (Holmbeck et al. 2006; Roeser et al. 1998). Developmental factors such as these are regarded as being important to the development, maintenance, and presentation of anxiety disorders in adolescence (Clark et al. 1994; Gosch et al. 2006). For example, the peak in incidence of social anxiety in adolescence coincides with normal increases in fears of negative evaluation and social embarrassment (Ollendick and Hirshfeld-Becker 2002). At the same time, growing independence may facilitate avoidance behaviors (Rao et al. 2007). These developmental transitions may also impact on a client's willingness and ability to engage in CBT. Interventions for anxious adolescents can therefore be enhanced by taking into account the unique developmental characteristics of the adolescent period.

Several reviews and reports of treatment outcome research allude to the importance of considering development in intervention with young people in general (e.g., Chronis et al. 2006; Kearney and Albano 2000; Kendall et al. 2005; Kendall and Williams 1986; Kinney 1991; Weisz and Hawley 2002) and with anxious young people in particular (Gosch et al. 2006; Kingery et al. 2006; Silverman et al. 2008). Indeed, examples of 'developmentally appropriate' treatments for anxious adolescents are beginning to emerge. These are interventions which seek to take into account the young person's biological, social-emotional, psychosocial, and cognitive development (e.g., Kendall et al. 2002; Sigueland et al. 2005). To date, however, there has been no comprehensive review of the impact that developmental issues may have upon the way in which CBT for adolescent anxiety is designed and delivered.

The purpose of the present review is to advance the use of developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents. We begin by presenting three main arguments for 'why' it is important to do so. Subsequently, drawing on clinical and research literature from developmental psychology, developmental psychopathology, and clinical child and adolescent psychology, we review and expand upon suggestions for 'how' CBT can be developmentally tailored for anxious adolescents. The review describes developmentally appropriate practice in relation to treatment with young people, developmentally appropriate practice in relation to CBT with young people, and, where possible, developmentally appropriate practice in relation to CBT with anxious adolescents. In the absence of suggestions from the literature, adaptations relevant to CBT for adolescents with anxiety disorders will be proposed. To conclude, we provide suggestions for future research into developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents.

# 'Why' Consider Developmental Factors when Designing and Delivering CBT for Anxious Adolescents?

Age and Developmental Level May Moderate Treatment Outcome

Cognitive behavior therapy (CBT)<sup>1</sup> is a widely implemented and evaluated intervention used to treat anxiety disorders. It is an amalgam of behaviorally and cognitively based strategies derived from behavioral and cognitive theories (Sanders and Wills 2005). In CBT, behaviorally based strategies involve the conceptualization of anxious symptoms in terms of conditioned responses to stimuli, with corresponding interventions emphasizing the blocking and extinction of avoidance behavior through exposure. Cognitive therapeutic techniques include self-monitoring of thoughts, feelings, and behavior and cognitive restructuring, aimed at modifying anxiety-related thought content and processes to produce changes in anxiety symptoms (Kendall 2000).

Several meta-analyses support the effectiveness of cognitive and behavioral treatments for adult anxiety disorders (Deacon and Abramowitz 2004; Norton and Price 2007) and several recent reviews conclude that there is increasing evidence for the short- and long-term efficacy of CBT for anxiety-related difficulties in childhood and adolescence (Cartwright-Hatton et al. 2004; James et al. 2005; Ollendick and King 1998; Silverman et al. 2008). On average, 60-80% of children and adolescents treated with CBT no longer meet the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) criteria for their primary anxiety disorder at post-treatment (Ginsburg and Kingery 2007). As noted by Ginsburg and Kingery (2007), while CBT provides relief of symptoms for many young people, it is clearly not a panacea. A significant proportion of young people treated with cognitive behavioral protocols continue to report clinical and statistical levels of anxiety symptoms post-treatment. In their review of 10 clinical trials examining the efficacy of CBT for anxiety in young

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{}$  Hereafter, the term 'CBT' will be used to refer to those interventions which comprise both cognitive and behavioral strategies for change, while the term 'cognitive therapeutic techniques' will be used when making specific reference to interventions aimed at cognitive change.

people, Cartwright-Hatton et al. (2004) revealed that anxiety diagnoses were still present after treatment in more than a third of participants. In fact, many studies report outcomes in terms of 'treatment completers', which may artificially elevate reported rates of symptom alleviation (Albano and Kendall 2002). As Cartwright-Hatton et al. (2004) aptly concluded, "There is clearly room for considerable improvement in the understanding and treatment of anxiety in this age group" (p. 430).

Age is one variable which has been suggested to be associated with CBT outcomes. However, whether older or vounger age is likely to be associated with enhanced outcomes is unclear (Hudson et al. 2002). Studies and metaanalyses investigating psychotherapy for internalizing disorders in young people (e.g., Durlak et al. 1991), and CBT for anxious youth specifically (e.g., Cobham et al. 1998), have indicated that poorer response to intervention was associated with younger age. Other studies investigating the outcomes of anxiety treatment in young people have found that adolescents fare less well than children. In a study examining predictors of CBT outcome for clinically anxious young people, Southam-Gerow et al. (2001) found that older age was associated with poorer outcome posttreatment, contrary to a priori expectations. In another study comparing individual and family-based CBT for anxious youth, younger participants (7-12 years) attained significantly better outcomes than their older counterparts across both conditions (13-18 years; Bodden et al. 2008). Yet again other studies report no age effects (e.g., Kendall et al. 2008).

The lack of clear and consistent age-related patterns in treatment response may be due to a range of factors. Firstly, the type of treatment may influence the outcomes, inasmuch as younger children seem to benefit from CBT with parent or family involvement (e.g., Barrett et al. 1998) while individual treatment seems to be more helpful for adolescents (e.g., Cobham et al. 1998). Secondly, when 'age' is investigated in treatment outcome studies, researchers use small samples with broad age ranges, which limits the extent to which more sophisticated agerelated moderation analyses can be conducted (Silverman et al. 2008). Researchers may combine young people of different ages into single categories (e.g., '8-13 year olds' vs. '14 years and older') or compare age categories derived from the sample mean or median, rather than applying theoretically driven age-related distinctions (Kendall and Williams 1986; Stallard 2002a). Thirdly, Creswell and Cartwright-Hatton (2007) noted that most treatment outcome studies on CBT for anxious youth are underpowered, reducing the reliability and validity of statistical analyses used to examine age effects on treatment outcome. Fourthly, relationships currently found between age and treatment response may in fact reflect factors which are merely associated with age, such as the severity and duration of psychopathology, rather than developmental processes (Daleiden et al. 1999; Shirk 1999). Large individual differences in developmental pathways and developmental capacities are characteristic of adolescence (Oetzel and Scherer 2003). Within the entire adolescent period, as well as amongst adolescents of the same chronological age, the number, nature, commencement, and length of the transitions experienced by young people vary (Holmbeck et al. 2006). As such, chronological age is regarded as a proxy for these developmental processes and an imperfect index of developmental level (Shirk 1999).

An even more important factor impeding our understanding of the efficacy of CBT for anxious adolescents is their under-representation in treatment outcome studies (Cunningham et al. 2007; James et al. 2005; Weisz and Hawley 2002). There are more published treatment outcome studies with children than with adolescents (Roberts et al. 2003; Shirk 1999) and most anxiety treatment outcome studies focus on youth between 7 and 14 years of age (Barrett 2000). A recent review of 21 studies evaluating the efficacy of CBT for anxious youth found that the average age of the participants was 9.85 years (Compton et al. 2004), calling into question the applicability of the review findings for adolescents with anxiety. While the prevalence of mental health problems, and specifically anxiety disorders, is very high amongst adolescents, many adolescents refrain from seeking professional help (Raviv et al. 2009; Zachrisson et al. 2006). Accordingly, recruiting adolescents for clinical trials can be very challenging (May et al. 2007). Anxious adolescents in particular may 'slip through the cracks' as they often do not present an immediate problem to school staff, parents, or others, unlike adolescents displaying externalizing problems. The lack of treatment outcome studies specifically focusing on anxious adolescents is one of the most significant obstacles to drawing conclusions about factors moderating the efficacy of CBT for this particular age group. However, there are some indications that adolescents may do less well, and these findings may reflect the influence of developmental factors on engagement in CBT (Hudson et al. 2002).

Developmental Factors May Influence Engagement in CBT

The developmental processes inherent to adolescence make the teenage years a 'window of opportunity' to alter negative developmental trajectories (Cicchetti and Rogosch 2002), but these same processes can impact upon the way in which young people engage with the treatment process. In turn, the extent to which a young person is engaged in the therapeutic process may influence treatment success

(Chu and Kendall 2004). For example, the developing need for autonomy can make it difficult for some young people to acknowledge the need for treatment and to accept 'help' (Edgette 1999, 2002). During treatment, strivings for autonomy can lead to resistance, detachment, or disengagement (Rubenstein 2003; Stallard 2002b), impairing both the therapeutic alliance and the adoption and generalization of skills outside of treatment. The behavior of adolescents with anxiety disorders may be particularly challenging for those associated with the treatment process-clinicians, parents, and school staff-due to a complex interaction between anxiety-motivated avoidance on the one hand and defiance fueled by strivings for autonomy on the other hand (Garland 2001). It is conceivable that high levels of anxiety in combination with these strivings for autonomy may lead some adolescents to resist accepting support when having to confront feared stimuli and may even contribute to ambivalence toward engaging in treatment and an evasion of exposure tasks.

The phase of identity development of the client may also influence their engagement in treatment. Marcia (1994) suggested, for example, that young people who are in the foreclosure phase (i.e., who are highly occupied with adopting the values of figures they identify with) may benefit from a slower tempo in treatment sessions. This is held to be important because the exploration of personal issues may reactivate anxieties regarding the process of identity formation. With particular reference to young people's engagement in CBT, Kendall and Williams (1986) suggested that strategies such as self-monitoring may help to further a young person's knowledge of themselves in the service of their identify development.

The way a client interprets, organizes, and acts on their experiences of the self, others, and the environment, or ego development, also may have implications for the engagement of adolescents in particular therapeutic techniques (Kroger 2004; Westenberg et al. 2004). Swensen (1980) suggested that behaviorally based treatment (e.g., contingency management) is most suitable for young people below the conformist ego stage, given their tendency to view behavior in terms of external causes. Adolescents who have achieved the self-aware stage, given their awareness of multiple perspectives, may benefit from cognitive therapeutic techniques such as the questioning of irrational beliefs (Swensen 1980).

Social-emotional development may also impact upon an adolescent's engagement in CBT. Rohde et al. (2006) found that depressed adolescents (aged 13–17 years) treated with CBT who had good coping skills had a faster recovery time than those who had less adequate coping skills. The authors suggested that treatment outcome may be associated with the augmentation or activation of good baseline coping skills. Given the overlap between CBTs for

anxiety and depression (Weersing et al. 2008), it is reasonable to expect that anxious adolescents who have more advanced coping repertoires would also have greater benefit from engagement in CBT. Additionally, the level of a young person's emotional development, in particular emotion recognition and regulation skills, can have a considerable impact on CBT participation. Recognizing and differentiating emotions is essential for understanding and applying the cognitive model, and better developed emotion regulation may allow young people to more quickly adopt adaptive coping strategies learned in CBT (Bailey 2001; Kingery et al. 2006; Suveg et al. 2009).

Holmbeck et al. (2006) and Kendall and Williams (1986) remind us to be mindful of the asynchronicity between physical development and other areas of adolescent development, and the need to tailor treatment content and delivery to the adolescent's abilities, and not their appearance. Physically mature adolescents, for example, may not necessarily have acquired the cognitive, verbal, or emotional capacities of same-age peers. In addition, clinical experience suggests that the physical development of the adolescent may have practical consequences for engagement in treatment: if they are reluctant to come to treatment sessions, parents often report that they cannot "pick them up and carry them to the car" as they might do with younger children.

In short, developmental factors can influence the young person's engagement in the therapeutic process in general as well as their engagement in specific therapeutic tasks (e.g., self-monitoring). Given the important role of cognitive therapeutic techniques in CBT, the development of CBT-relevant cognitive capacities may have particularly large implications for the engagement of adolescents in treatment, and thus the augmentation of treatment outcome (Friedberg and Gorman 2007; Oetzel and Scherer 2003).

Engagement in Cognitive Therapy Calls for Consideration of CBT-Relevant Cognitive Capacities

A major emphasis in the clinical and research literature on CBT with young people is the need to consider the development of cognitive capacities of the young person when designing and delivering treatment (Friedberg and Gorman 2007; Holmbeck et al. 2006; Stallard 2002a; Suveg et al. 2006). Typically, research into cognitive development has focused upon a selection of cognitive constructs (e.g., information processing skills), to the relative exclusion of other cognitive constructs (e.g., anxious self-talk) (Weisz and Hawley 2002). For the purposes of this review, CBT-relevant cognitive capacities are taken to include intellectual and executive functioning, as well as broader psychological constructs such as theory of mind and self-reflection (Grave and Blissett 2004).

There are many cognitive capacities implicated in the CBT approach to treatment. Metacognitive and socialperspective taking skills are most frequently mentioned (e.g., Grave and Blissett 2004; Holmbeck et al. 2006; Oetzel and Scherer 2003; Ouakley et al. 2004; Weisz and Hawley 2002; Weisz and Weersing 1999). Metacognitive skills such as psychological mindedness and self-reflection may allow young people to identify and discriminate their own thoughts, feelings and behaviors, and to objectively identify causal relations between them (McAdam 1986; Suveg et al. 2006a). Indeed, as noted by Grave and Blissett (2004), impairments in metacognitive skills may limit a young person's ability to understand and participate in CBT. Social perspective-taking is also positioned as a useful skill for engagement in CBT, given that young people participating in CBT are often asked to consider and anticipate the effects of their behavior on others (Kinney 1991). Other cognitive capacities mentioned in relation to delivering CBT with young people include abstract, consequential, and future thinking (e.g., Holmbeck et al. 2006), hypothetical and deductive thinking (e.g., Harrington et al. 1998; Shirk 2001), and logical and causal reasoning (e.g., Oetzel and Scherer 2003; Reynolds et al. 2006).

Awareness of a young person's metacognitive and social perspective-taking skills, together with the other nominated capacities, may help guide clinicians in their decisionmaking about the use of cognitive therapeutic techniques held to require these capacities. Unfortunately, there is very little in the way of scientific evidence to guide our thinking about which cognitive capacities warrant attention when designing and delivering CBT with young people, let alone with anxious adolescents. One potential lead is found in the work of Safran et al. (1993) with adults participating in cognitive therapy. The study found a relationship between a number of CBT-related cognitive capacities (e.g., the ability to access automatic thoughts) and a range of outcome measures. These results provide some preliminary evidence to support the notion that certain cognitive capacities are important for successful engagement in cognitive therapeutic techniques.

The cognitive development which takes place during the adolescent period may result in an increased 'receptiveness' for cognitive therapeutic techniques in CBT (Oetzel and Scherer 2003; Ollendick et al. 2001; Shirk 1988). Continuing neural and brain development during adolescence means that adolescents acquire and refine the cognitive capacities commonly regarded as essential to engagement in CBT, such as abstract reasoning and metacognitive skills (Blakemore and Choudhury 2006; Steinberg 2005). Piagetian theory (Piaget 2001) states that it is only when children reach the concrete operational period (7–12 years of age) that they are able to begin to reason abstractly, and only during the formal operational period (from 11 or 12 years of age, through to adulthood) do metacognitive skills mature, allowing the young person to reason hypo-deductively and think symbolically. In addition to an increase in abstract thinking capacities, adolescents develop an introspective thinking style which allows them to contemplate their thoughts, feelings, and behaviors (Blakemore and Choudhury 2006; Kingery et al. 2006; Schrodt and Fitzgerald 1987). Indeed, results of a recent empirical study with a population of socially phobic children and adolescents indicated that it was only adolescents who reported the presence of negative 'selfthoughts', while younger children more commonly confused emotions with anxious cognition (self-talk) (Alfano et al. 2006). From information processing research we know that adolescents develop greater processing capacity (e.g., memory), enhanced organizational strategies, and greater awareness and regulation of their own mental states (Keating 1990; Steinberg 2005).

Despite the identification of these developmental patterns, there remains little consensus in the clinical and research literature regarding the age at which young people acquire the 'minimum' level of cognitive skills needed to participate in CBT. Some researchers claim that even very young children are able to engage in 'basic' CBT techniques (e.g., Grave and Blissett 2004; Quakley et al. 2004; Reynolds et al. 2006; Stallard 2009). Others have argued that CBT may be more appropriate for young people aged 11 years and older (e.g., Durlak et al. 1991). Indeed, adolescents who have a greater capacity to consider multidimensional constructs, to think in a more organized manner, and to consider the perspectives of others may be better able to understand the purpose of treatment and to effectively engage in treatment, relative to children, because children are less cognitively advanced (Oetzel and Scherer 2003; Weisz and Hawley 2002).

However, even though adolescence is the period in which many of the cognitive capacities relevant to CBT are acquired, it is unhelpful to conclude that all adolescents are able to successfully engage in all cognitive therapeutic techniques. The pace of cognitive development varies considerably from one individual to the next (Everall et al. 2005; Schrodt and Fitzgerald 1987). Further, the threshold of these changes is not absolute; some adolescents will never acquire the highest levels of reflective thought and formal operational thinking (Werner-Wilson 2001). Even if a young person has developed these skills, they may still be relatively 'inexperienced' in applying them (Werner-Wilson 2001). The use of such skills may be context-dependent. For example, when adolescents are in challenging or emotionally demanding situations, they may use less sophisticated cognitive coping strategies for handling the situations (Kingery et al. 2006; Oetzel and Scherer 2003). In addition,

concurrent psychopathology (e.g., substance abuse) may delay or disrupt certain developmental processes, such that the cognitive capacities of anxious adolescents may differ considerably from those of non-anxious same-aged peers (Oetzel and Scherer 2003).

## 'How' Can Clinicians Developmentally Tailor CBT for Anxious Adolescents?

According to Wagner (2003), developmentally appropriate treatments for adolescents are those which "...take into account the unique developmental issues and problems characteristic of adolescence (e.g., ascendancy of the peer group, identity formation issues, propensity toward limit testing)" (Wagner 2003, p. 1349). In relation to CBT specifically, Grave and Blissett (2004) noted that a developmental perspective needs to be incorporated into cognitive behavioral models and treatment design, as well as the delivery of CBT. In sum, a developmentally appropriate CBT for adolescents will account for the young person's developmental context, their needs, and their capacities.

In discussions in the literature about treatment with adolescents, numerous suggestions have been made about how to take developmental factors into account when working with this group (e.g., Bedrosian 1981; Kendall and Williams 1986; Miller 1993; Wilkes et al. 1994). These suggestions are diverse and sometimes divergent, referring to just one or two developmental factors, as opposed to a broad spectrum of factors, or referring to specific protocols rather than making recommendations relevant to the design and delivery of CBT more generally. Few of the suggestions are specific to the treatment of anxiety in adolescents, and fewer still are empirically based. The lack of (empirically based) knowledge about how to account for developmental factors in the treatment of adolescent anxiety may be attributable in part to the 'developmental level uniformity myth' (Kendall 1984), which assumes that young people are a homogenous group. As a result, differences in the biological, social-emotional, psychosocial, and cognitive development of young people are overlooked. According to Holmbeck et al. (2006), a 'one size fits all' approach is often used in the design and delivery of treatment. Given the heterogeneity which characterizes the adolescent period, the assumption that 'one size fits all' may have particularly negative consequences for treatment outcomes.

Fortunately, researchers and clinicians have begun to pay greater attention to developmental factors when designing, delivering, and evaluating CBT for adolescents. In the most recent of Holmbeck et al.'s (2006) reviews of the application of CBT with adolescents, it was reported that 70% of the 29 empirical articles appearing between 1999 and 2004 mentioned developmental issues in treatment design and evaluation, an increase from 26% between 1990 and 1998. For the current review, a search of (English-language) empirical articles and treatment manuals was done for the period from 1990 to the present, using various combinations of the terms 'adolescence', 'cognitive behavioral therapy,' and 'anxiety'. The results of this search are presented in Table 1, which provides a descriptive overview of a number of CBTs for anxiety in adolescence which explicitly emphasized developmental factors in treatment design and/ or delivery.

This section on 'how' to conduct developmentally appropriate CBT with anxious adolescents is based on a review of the materials presented in Table 1, together with a review of other materials (e.g., book chapters) containing descriptions of developmentally appropriate practice in relation to treatment with young people, developmentally appropriate practice in relation to CBT with young people, and, where possible, developmentally appropriate practice in relation to CBT with anxious adolescents. Six key domains of developmentally appropriate treatment design and delivery were consequently identified, and are discussed below.

# Conducting Assessment of CBT-Relevant (Cognitive) Capacities

In the literature on clinical child and adolescent psychology, the inclusion of developmentally appropriate measures to assess pre- and post-treatment functioning is often stressed (e.g., Eyberg et al. 1998). In addition to developmentally appropriate outcome measures, Hudson et al. (2002) and Shirk (1999) recommended that clinicians and researchers should attempt to assess a range of developmental factors prior to starting CBT with an anxious adolescent client. While age is a frequently used developmental marker for both clinicians and researchers, specific indicators of development may be more informative and meaningful, given young people of the same age may vary greatly in developmental status. Including such measures could allow for an exploration of the way in which developmental factors influence engagement in treatment, and in turn treatment outcomes (D'Amico et al. 2005; Wagner 2003). There are many readily available pen-and-paper measures for a wide variety of developmental factors (e.g., the Pubertal Developmental Scale; Petersen et al. 1988; the Adolescent Autonomy Questionnaire; Noom et al. 2001).

The assessment of CBT-relevant cognitive capacities is also particularly useful prior to starting CBT. Clinicians will often 'estimate' a client's CBT-relevant cognitive capacities on the basis of a client's chronological age, their physical appearance, or their IQ, and then use this estimate

Author/Year	Type of publication	Age (years)	Treatment	Intervention focus	Developmentally informed adaptations
Angelosante et al. (2009)	Treatment description and case study (n = 2)	12–17	Adolescent panic control treatment with in vivo exposures with (APE + fam) or without family involvement (APE)	Panic disorder and agoraphobia	Briefer and more intensive treatment to allow young people to more quickly return to developmentally important activities
					Included clinician-assisted in vivo exposures, to guide the adolescents in their execution rather than letting them do them unsupervised at home
					Parents/caregivers (in APE + fam) engaged as coaches
					Assessment of motivation pre-treatment and motivational enhancement techniques used in session
					Manual adapted to include developmentally appropriate and concrete examples, less technical language, and sentence structure was simplified
					Gradual transfer of responsibility and ownership of the treatment from clinician to the adolescent
Cunningham et al. (2009)	Empirical study $(n = 5)$	14–16	Cool teens CD-ROM for anxiety disorders in adolescents (CBT)	Anxiety	Interactive multimedia presentation (text, audio, illustrations, cartoons, and live video) with examples and presentation relevant to adolescent clients
					Treatment delivered in a new media (computer-based treatment) suited to adolescents (allows for personal control and flexibility; reduces stigma of receiving treatment)
					Involvement of young people during content creation
Spence et al. (2008)	Treatment description	13-17	Online CBT for child and adolescent	Anxiety	Interactive multimedia presentation (online, via internet)
	and case study $(n = 2)$		anxiety (BRAVE-ONLINE)-Teenage version		Visually appealing and interesting (bright, eye-catching graphics including real-life pictures)
					More complex text, examples, and stories, more advanced graphics, and interspersed with a greater number of interactive exercises (e.g., "quizzes") than child version
					Aimed at a minimum reading level of age 12
					Use of teenage characters as "models" for the use of coping strategies to overcome anxiety problems
Siqueland et al.	Empirical study (Phase $i n = 8$ Phase $i$	12-18	Cognitive behavioral and attachment- based family therany	Anxiety	CBT components taught more quickly
	n = 11, $n = 11$ , $n = 10$ , $n =$		oused turns around		Cognitive therapeutic strategies emphasized
					Level of parent involvement in exposures negotiated as part of overall treatment focus of negotiating a balance of competency, autonomy, and attachment to parents
Nauta et al. (2003)	Empirical study $(n = 79)$	7–18	Dutch adaptation of the Coping Cat program (Kendall 1990)	Anxiety	Extra workbook pages added for adolescents (e.g., less childish; more in-depth explanation and application of cognitive techniques such as challenging thoughts)

Table 1 Examples of developmentally informed adaptations to CBT for anxious adolescents

Table 1 continued					
Author/Year	Type of publication	Age (years)	Treatment	Intervention focus	Developmentally informed adaptations
Ginsburg and Drake (2002)	Empirical study $(n = 6)$	14–17	School-based group CBT for African- American adolescents	Anxiety	Manual adapted to be developmentally appropriate and culturally sensitive
					Adolescent-relevant examples included
					Parents not included due to time constraints and scheduling conflicts
Kendall et al. (2002)	Treatment manual	14–17	The C.A.T Project (CBT)	Anxiety	Adolescent can choose their own name for the program (i.e., their own interpretation of the initials C.A.T.)
					More detailed psychoeducational material
					Reduced emphasis on affective education
					Cognitive therapeutic strategies emphasized
					Increased adolescent autonomy in the context of parental overprotection and control
Scapillato and	Treatment description	12 –15	Group CBT	Anxiety	Group format
Manassis (2002)					Cohesion-building introductory group activities
Barrett et al. (2000)	Treatment manual	12 –16	Friends for youth (CBT)	Anxiety	Group format
					Features age-appropriate content, activities, and illustrations
					More room for group discussion rather than didactic interaction in treatment session
					Less attention to affective education
					Emphasis on self-esteem building and friendship skills
					More attention to challenging negative thinking
Hoffman and Mattis (2000)	Case study $(n = 2)$	13	Panic control treatment (CBT-based)	Panic disorder	Clear, simplified language and verbal and visual examples used
					Lively examples of concepts incorporated
					New terms/analogies designed to help adolescents understand and recall concepts
					Parents involved in some sessions as 'coaches'
					Focus on active, experiential aspects of treatment over technical psychoeducational information
Albano (1995)	Treatment description	13-17	Cognitive-behavioral group treatment for	Social phobia	Group format
			adolescents		Protocol was a downward extension of the adult version of the treatment

Table 1 continued					
Author/Year	Type of publication	Age (years)	Treatment	Intervention focus	Developmentally informed adaptations
Albano et al. (1995	) Empirical study $(n = 5)$	<u> </u>			Fears and anxieties are evaluated within a developmental context
					Presentation of case formulation to increase motivation and normalize problems
					Parent involvement in four sessions (psychoeducation, how to support child)
					Inclusion of behavioral social skills training
					More modeling, role playing, and behavior shaping in the first four sessions, with a shift toward active participation later
					Use of workbooks and handouts
					Focus on typical feared situations for adolescents ('snack time practice')
Ollendick (1995)	Empirical study	13-17	CBT	Panic disorder with	Parent involvement in exposure practice
	(multiple baseline design; $n = 4$ )			agoraphobia	

to adjust the delivery of cognitive therapeutic techniques to the perceived capacities of the client. However, the young person's level of physical or intellectual development may not necessarily predict development in CBT-relevant cognitive capacities (Kendall and Williams 1986; Kinney 1991). Hence, such estimations can lead to inaccurate predictions about the extent of a young person's ability to engage in cognitive therapeutic techniques (Weisz and Hawley 2002; Weisz and Weiss 1989; Wilkes and Belsher 1994). As noted by Holmbeck et al. (2006), however, there is currently "...no straightforward user-friendly method of assessing level of cognitive development across different cognitive sub-domains" (p. 448). These authors proffered a number of suggestions for the assessment of cognitive capacities in adolescents. The clinician might make use of measures such as the similarities subtest of the WISC-IV (Wechsler 2003) in order to tap into abstract reasoning. The Selman's Interpersonal Understanding Interview (Selman and Lavin 1979) might be used to measure social perspective taking. A more recent development is the Self-Reflection and Insight Scale for Youth (Sauter et al. 2009). This psychometrically sound and developmentally appropriate self-report measure provides another means of exploring a young person's proficiency in cognitive capacities deemed relevant to CBT; namely self-reflection and insight.

A possible limitation inherent to such measures is that they tap into cognitive capacities which may only be distally related to the engagement of the young person in CBT, rather than assessing skills directly applicable to CBT (G.N. Holmbeck, personal communication, April 26, 2006). Holmbeck et al. (2006) suggested that the clinician also conduct informal assessment of cognitive capacities during their sessions with the young person. Several examples of the 'informal' assessment of cognitive capacities are found in the literature. To ascertain a young client's ability to access automatic thoughts, the clinician can ask the client in the assessment phase or early in treatment to recall and describe a recent, difficult situation they have experienced, and "what went through your mind when...?". If this proves too difficult for the young person, the clinician can ask about what thoughts and feelings the client is currently having, or ask the client "what would someone else think in the situation?" (Stallard 2002b). Visual aids such as thought bubbles or cognitive cartoons can also be applied to informally assess cognitive capacities relevant to CBT (Kendall 2000; McAdam 1986; Stallard 2009). A number of interactive tasks designed to tap into the cognitive capacities relevant to CBT have been evaluated with young children, and these may also be suitable for use with less mature and/or less verbal adolescents (Doherr et al. 2005; Quakley et al. 2004; Reynolds et al. 2006). Anxious adolescents may have particular difficulties in describing their feelings and thoughts, due to both fears of negative evaluation and performance-related anxiety (Hudson et al. 2002). Therefore, the use of more formal means of assessing cognitive capacities (i.e., structured tasks or questionnaires) could be used if the clinician thinks the client's anxiety levels may interfere with what is yielded during informal assessment.

## **Planning Treatment**

In the following sections, the impact that developmental factors have upon three facets of planning a CBT program is reviewed: the development of the cognitive behavioral case formulation; decision making around the selection, timing, and dosage of treatment components or 'modules'; and decision making associated with the application of behavioral vis-à-vis cognitive techniques.

## Preparing a Cognitive Behavioral Case Formulation

The cognitive behavioral case formulation summarizes accumulating information about the onset and maintenance of the young person's presenting problems, based on a cognitive behavioral model of psychopathology. This information is then used to inform decision making about treatment. A developmentally appropriate cognitive behavioral case formulation is one which elucidates the role of developmental factors and processes (e.g., school transition; escalating conflicts with parents associated with autonomy development) which are associated with the development and maintenance of the psychopathology (Drinkwater 2005; Dummett 2006).

When working with anxious children and adolescents, cognitive behavioral case formulations are developed in accordance with cognitive behavioral models of anxiety. These models are mostly drawn from research with anxious adults (Alfano et al. 2002; Cartwright-Hatton 2006; O'Connor and Creswell 2005). One of the well-known models is the Clark and Wells (1995) model of social anxiety. Recently, Hodson et al. (2008) tested the applicability of this model with a group of socially anxious adolescents aged 11-14 years. It was found that the key cognitive elements of the model predicted levels of social anxiety. In particular, the study revealed that negative interpretations of social stimuli, increased self-focused attention, and negatively biased pre- and post-event processing differentiated high and low socially anxious adolescents. On the basis of these findings, the authors concluded that the model can be used in the development of cognitive behavioral case formulations for socially anxious adolescents, to understand symptoms and thus to guide treatment planning. The clinician can use a case formulation based on such a model when working with socially anxious adolescents in order to determine the value of certain therapeutic techniques to deal with maintaining factors (e.g., task concentration training to manage selffocused attention; Bögels 2006). Studies into other cognitive models of anxious symptoms indicate that such models may also be relevant to adolescent clients. For example, Laugesen et al. (2003) reported that a previously developed adult model of the cognitive processes involved in worry (Dugas et al. 1998) could also effectively be applied to adolescents, and should be used to guide treatment of adolescent worry.

Currently, most models of anxiety only focus on a particular type of anxiety disorder and fail to include other comorbid problems such as depression (Ollendick et al. 2008). When working with anxious adolescents, such models may be less helpful in the preparation of the cognitive behavioral case formulation because it is commonly observed that anxious and depressive symptoms co-occur in young people (e.g., Schniering and Rapee 2004). Models which have been developed in accordance with the 'cognitive specificity hypothesis' of anxiety and depression may be more helpful. According to this hypothesis, certain cognitive content and cognitive processes may be specific to particular disorders (Beck and Perkins 2001). Therefore, when developing cognitive behavioral case formulations for anxious adolescents with comorbid depression, elements of cognitive models of depression can be combined with models of anxiety in order to best represent the problems experienced by the young person and provide links to suitable treatment strategies.

Some models of the development and maintenance of anxiety in young people pay special attention to family and parental factors (Ballash et al. 2006; Ginsburg and Schlossberg 2002; Rapee 1997) and the broader social context of the young person (Dummett 2006). According to Wood et al. (2003), for example, an important factor in anxiety in children and adolescents is parental intrusiveness, whereby parents take over tasks which children or adolescents are able to perform independently, resulting in low self-efficacy and a lack of mastery experiences in the young person. Wood et al. (2003) suggested that children with a history of parental intrusiveness may experience new situations as anxiety-provoking due to their beliefs about their own inability to deal with challenges. In contrast, autonomy-granting parents encourage their children to engage in new situations or tasks by themselves, thereby stimulating feelings of mastery and self-efficacy. Chorpita and Barlow (1998) similarly viewed parental control as an important factor which may contribute to the onset and maintenance of anxiety symptoms in children and adolescence. They suggested that such familial characteristics can increase the risk of a child developing cognitions relating to a low sense of control. In later development, these cognitions may become activated by negative life events and external stressors, resulting in the experience of anxiety. In the case of anxious adolescent clients, current *and* past parenting behaviors, in particular in relation to the developmental task of autonomy development, ought to be considered when preparing cognitive behavioral case formulations, in order to more fully understand their potential influence on the adolescent's current anxiety-related behaviors, cognitions, and emotions.

Depending on the developmental capacities of the young person, the extent of collaboration in the construction and presentation of the cognitive behavioral case formulation can vary. With less mature clients, or when the client does not believe in or understand the cognitive model, the clinician may choose not to explicitly share the formulation with the young person. Alternatively, the clinician can 'construct' the cognitive behavioral case formulation and share (parts of) it with the young person to help them to better understand their difficulties. For example, the clinician may initially only discuss emotions and automatic thoughts in reaction to situations with the young person, and share hypotheses about more abstract cognitive constructs such as core beliefs when it is judged that the young person is 'ready' (Drinkwater 2005). Involving the young person in the process of constructing a cognitive behavioral case formulation can promote a sense of control over the way in which their treatment progresses and the means used to achieve their own goals for treatment. This sense of control may be especially motivating for adolescents, given their strivings for autonomy (Drinkwater 2005).

## Selecting, Sequencing, and Dosing Treatment Components

It is widely understood that CBT is not a unitary treatment; rather, it consists of various components (represented by different techniques such as systematic desensitization, cognitive restructuring, etc.) which may or may not be employed with a specific client, and which may be applied to a greater or lesser extent with one client relative to another client (Kendall et al. 2008). Individual differences in biological, social-emotional, psychosocial, and cognitive development are important factors to consider when making decisions about the selection and dosing of the various components.

Weisz and Hawley (2002) recommend the 'modularization' of treatment as a way of planning treatment such that it best meets the individual needs of adolescent clients. According to the authors, a 'modularized' treatment protocol can be conceptualized as a collection of therapeutic techniques which can be selected and applied as modules, or "tools in a toolbox", based on the individual client's case formulation (p. 35). Using a modularized approach to CBT, the clinician can adjust the type of therapeutic techniques to be delivered, the extent to which a module is addressed during treatment (i.e., frequency and duration), as well as the sequence in which the various modules are introduced, according to the qualities and vulnerabilities of the client in question. For example, adolescent clients with both anxiety and depression can be offered a treatment module comprising activity scheduling, a module comprising exposure, and a module comprising cognitive restructuring.

Chorpita and colleagues (e.g., Chorpita et al. 2007; Chorpita and Daleiden 2004; Chorpita et al. 2005) are leaders in the field of modularized CBT for young people. They reviewed available treatments for many child and adolescent disorders and identified a number of 'common elements', the most frequently occurring discrete clinical techniques used as part of a larger intervention plan (Chorpita et al. 2005). They then developed evidencebased 'profiles' which 'matched' these common element components to certain child and adolescent psychopathology. According to Chorpita et al. (2007), the clinician can use these profiles to create developmentally appropriate, individually tailored, and empirically supported packages made up of a number of 'modules' which are based on elements of pre-existing manuals. This approach to planning treatment is presented in a recently published treatment manual for anxiety disorders in children and adolescents (Chorpita 2007). The treatment manual includes modules for the young person and parents which are aimed at tackling the anxiety symptoms, as well as other comorbid problems when present (e.g., oppositional behavior). Similarly, our modularized CBT for anxietybased school refusal in adolescence contains a number of standard or 'core' modules (e.g., psychoeducation, goalsetting, cognitive therapy) together with 'optional' modules selected on the basis of the cognitive behavioral case formulation (Heyne et al. 2008). For example, an optional model on 'activity scheduling' was incorporated in the CBT program because of the high levels of comorbidity between anxiety and depression, and the high levels of depression in adolescence (Essau 2008; Ferdinand et al. 2005; Lewinsohn et al. 1993).

## Tailoring the Selection and Delivery of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapeutic Techniques

It is often suggested that adolescents are well-suited to participation in CBT because of their growing cognitive capacities (e.g., Forehand and Wierson 1993; Weisz and Hawley 2002). For some young people, the clinician's use of cognitive therapeutic techniques will have the intended positive effect of stimulating the young person to deal with emotional and behavioral difficulties. For other young people, cognitive techniques may be confusing or cause frustration (Werner-Wilson 2001). We propose a nuanced perspective which takes account both the extent to which behavioral and cognitive techniques are differentially emphasized, and the selection and delivery of specific cognitive therapeutic techniques.

According to Willner (2006), it is not simply a question of 'whether or not' to employ cognitive therapeutic techniques. Rather, it is a question of the relative emphasis to be placed on behavioral techniques and cognitive therapeutic techniques. Unfortunately, the question of how important it is for young people to be engaged in behavioral techniques versus cognitive techniques has received very little empirical attention (Stallard 2009). Silverman et al. (1999) investigated the relative efficacy of behaviorally based contingency management (e.g., reinforcement and extinction) and more cognitively focused self-control procedures (e.g., self-evaluation) for anxious children and adolescents aged 6-16 years. Both treatments were equally effective in reducing parent and child-reported anxious symptoms at post-treatment and up to 12-month follow-up. However, between-condition differences were observed on some measures, in favor of the cognitively oriented selfcontrol treatment. Ultimately, the authors suggested that either of these approaches can be effective in treating anxiety in young people. In the absence of empirically informed guidelines for decision making about the use of cognitive techniques vis-à-vis behavioral techniques or their combination, alternative factors need to he considered.

Numerous authors have suggested that when an adolescent client seems to have difficulty engaging in cognitive therapeutic techniques, the clinician can include more concrete, behaviorally based activities and 'real-life' practice opportunities (D'Amico et al. 2005; Friedberg and McClure 2002; Henggeler et al. 1998; Stallard 2009; Zarb 1992). By "learning through doing", the young person's cognitions may be indirectly challenged (Stallard 2009, p. 160). In the same way that behaviorally based techniques are especially suited to younger anxious children (i.e., exposure, relaxation training, and modeling; Bouchard et al. 2004; Werner-Wilson 2001), anxious adolescents with lower cognitive capacity (i.e., similar to that of younger children) may also profit from a greater emphasis on behavioral techniques. An additional factor influencing the extent to which behavioral techniques and cognitive techniques are employed is the clinician's formulation of the presenting problems. Daleiden et al. (1999) argued that the internal processes which trigger psychopathology in less cognitively advanced young people may play less of a role in the continuation of the symptoms relative to socialization factors and environmental triggers. In such cases, the targeting of cognitions may be less relevant.

A second consideration concerning the tailoring of CBT delivery applies to the selection and delivery of the cognitive therapeutic techniques. The selection of techniques rests upon an understanding of the variability in how complex and cognitively demanding the various techniques are. As noted by DiGiuseppe (1981), "...therapy techniques may best be viewed along a continuum of procedures that can be used with [young people] of different cognitive ability" (p. 61). Holmbeck et al. (2006) similarly proposed that different 'levels' or versions of cognitive therapeutic techniques should be available within a CBT program. Less cognitively demanding strategies can be applied with less cognitively mature adolescents, while interventions requiring higher level cognitive capacities may be more relevant to adolescents who have attained greater proficiency in CBT-relevant cognitive capacities. A recent example of a treatment containing different levels of cognitive therapeutic techniques is Chorpita's (2007) CBT for anxious youth. This manual contains several modules which represent cognitive therapeutic techniques of differing complexity, selected according to the cognitive capacities of the young person.

Various authors have provided frameworks and suggestions as to which cognitive therapy techniques are more or less 'complex'. Merrell (2001) developed an index of intervention strategies (including cognitive therapeutic techniques) for depression and anxiety in young people. The strategies were organized according to their suitability for different ages and suggestions were made for adapting the techniques to increase their applicability for older or younger youth. According to Merrell (2001), the cognitive therapeutic technique 'cognitive replay' (for identifying automatic thoughts) can be used with young people of all ages, although less mature young people will need "more structure and feedback" (p. xix). Other 'less complex' cognitive therapeutic techniques seen to be suited to less cognitively advanced adolescents may include selfinstructional training (Friedberg and Gorman 2007; Ollendick et al. 2001), self-monitoring (Harrington 2005), and the use of coping statements (DiGiuseppe 1981; Kingery et al. 2006; Stallard 2009; Zarb 1992). Psychoeducation can also be a simple technique for correcting certain maladaptive or distorted beliefs, such as when the clinician provides information about the course, presentation, and prevalence of a disorder (Willner 2006). The 'more complex' cognitive therapeutic techniques regarded as most beneficial for adolescents with a higher level of cognitive development include identifying different levels of cognition (i.e., automatic thoughts as well as cognitive errors and unhelpful thinking styles; Stallard 2009), the 'downwardarrow technique' (Merrell 2001), Socratic questioning (Siqueland et al. 2005), formal examination of underlying beliefs and assumptions (Harrington 2005; Zarb 1992), as well as decatastrophization and logical analysis (DiGiuseppe 1981; Friedberg and Gorman 2007; Kearney 2005). Some adolescent clients with more sophisticated reasoning abilities may even experience the 'less complex' cognitive therapy techniques as irrelevant and unhelpful. As noted by several authors (DiGiuseppe 1981; Manassis et al. 2004), such adolescents may regard the use of coping self-statements as less useful if these statements are not derived in the context of cognitive restructuring.

In addition to the decision to employ specific cognitive techniques, decisions can be made about the manner in which the techniques are delivered. The clinician can consider the extent to which an adolescent client will need extra guidance (e.g., in the form of concrete instructions) and practice (Oathamshaw and Haddock 2006; Willner 2006). Some adolescents may benefit from earlier or greater attention to the cognitive therapy techniques in CBT. For example, the clinician may quickly socialize the young person into the cognitive therapy model in order to prepare them for earlier engagement in cognitive therapy techniques (Siqueland et al. 2005). Further, when the young person is able to identify and articulate their thoughts and feelings with minimal clinician guidance, the clinician might spend less time helping the young person learn techniques for identifying unhelpful thinking, and more time on complex and refined discrediting strategies (Kingery et al. 2006).

For some young people, the cognitive demands associated with acquiring new knowledge and skills may impede the acquisition and use of cognitive therapeutic techniques (Werner-Wilson 2001). Suggestions have been made about 'priming' CBT-relevant cognitive capacities in young people prior to engaging them in cognitive-behavioral interventions (Holmbeck et al. 2006; Shirk 1998). For example, very early on in CBT a young person might be provided with opportunities to practice the self-monitoring of thoughts in order to improve their receptiveness to cognitive interventions employed later on. Such priming has been described in reference to younger children (e.g., Grave and Blissett 2004) and adults with intellectual disabilities (e.g., Dagnan et al. 2000), and it is likely to be most helpful when the skill being trained is in the client's 'zone of proximal development' (Vygotsky 1978). In this way, we might regard the priming of cognitive capacities as a type of 'scaffolding' for cognitive therapy. The clinician works to enhance emerging CBT-relevant cognitive capacities prior to formally commencing cognitive therapeutic interventions. When delivering CBT with anxious children and adolescents, the young person may be helped to develop skills in monitoring and recording predicted anxiety levels (Bouchard et al. 2004). Given that many adolescents have a greater capacity for thinking about the future, the suggestion by Bouchard et al. may be particularly pertinent for this group.

Enhancing Motivation and Engagement in Treatment

The capacity to learn and to use the skills included in a CBT program is fundamental to engagement in CBT, but capacity is certainly not the only determinant of engagement. Engagement in CBT, as described by Shirk and Karver (2006), involves developing a therapeutic alliance; being open to applying strategies aimed at achieving changes to thoughts, feelings, and behaviors; and being actively involved in treatment during and between sessions. According to Willner (2006), a client's willingness or motivation to engage in treatment and to remain engaged in treatment may be just as important to treatment success as is having the capacity to use treatment skills. Weisz and Hawley (2002) proposed that low motivation for treatment may negatively influence the development of the therapeutic alliance between the adolescent client and the clinician, which in turn may reduce engagement in treatment and have a detrimental effect on treatment success.

An adolescent's motivation for treatment and for engagement in treatment can be influenced to some extent by developmental factors (Holmbeck et al. 2006). The social context impacts upon the life of the adolescent, and this is true with respect to participation in treatment. It is often others in the adolescent's context (e.g., parents or school staff) who make decisions about the adolescent getting help. When adolescents are referred for treatment by other parties they may not experience their 'problem' as one needing treatment (McAdam 1986; Rubenstein 2003; Weisz and Hawley 2002). Young people with anxiety may be afraid to give up inappropriate coping strategies (e.g., avoidance), play down or deny the negative consequences of their anxieties, and be reluctant to engage in treatment (Stallard 2009). Adolescent 'egocentrism' and a reduced capacity for self-reflection are other developmental factors that can make it difficult for some young people to accept their difficulties (Bedrosian 1981). According to Stallard (2002b), the adolescent's desire to function autonomously can lead to frustration regarding their inability to 'solve their own problems' which can lead to resistance, detachment or disengagement from treatment. As noted above, impairment in the therapeutic alliance can then affect the adoption and generalization of adaptive coping skills.

The adolescent client's motivation for treatment warrants early and continued attention. In the early phase of treatment, the clinician can assess motivation via selfreport measures (Weisz and Hawley 2002). Schmidt (2005) recommended incorporating an informal in-session investigation of motivation during CBT with young people.

Strategies to assess and stimulate motivation recommended by Schmidt include: (i) using a visual analogue scale to measure the willingness to change; (ii) providing extra psychoeducation; (iii) boosting the client's confidence in their ability to change; (iv) questioning around discrepancies between values and current behaviors; and (v) orienting the client to their own personal goals. With respect to this last point, Stallard (2002b) also noted that working together with the young person to set goals can increase motivation for engagement in treatment, as can encouraging the young person to offer input for the agenda for each session. Explaining clearly to the adolescent 'what is in it for them' in terms of the potential costs and benefits of treatment, and even proposing a time-limited agreement in which to evaluate the benefits of the sessions may help to engage even the most resistant young person in CBT (Angelosante et al. 2009; Bedrosian 1981; Oetzel and Scherer 2003; Wilson and Sysko 2006). Clinical experience suggests that using 'adolescent-relevant' means of communication before and between sessions (e.g., an email to invite the young person to attend the first session) can enhance their motivation for treatment. Many of the foregoing points are reflective of Motivational Interviewing techniques which have been recommended for increasing the engagement of anxious clients (Stallard 2009) and adolescent clients (Wilson and Sysko 2006).

CBT is in itself already oriented toward enhancing client motivation for change and engagement in treatment. An essential characteristic of CBT is the "collaborative empiricist stance" of the CBT clinician (McAdam 1986, p. 6), and this stance is regarded as a necessary ingredient for successfully building a therapeutic alliance (Friedberg and Gorman 2007; Kingery et al. 2006). Because adolescents differ in the degree to which they are able to co-operate with the clinician as an 'equal partner', the clinician would ideally modify their approach accordingly. Adolescents with a greater ability to self-reflect and to control their impulses can be encouraged to collaborate more with the clinician (e.g., increased involvement in, and control over, the treatment planning process; Chronis et al. 2006; Forehand and Wierson 1993). Less mature adolescents may benefit from the clinician's use of a more directive approach (e.g., setting the agenda and determining the session content; Friedberg and Gorman 2007; Friedberg and McClure 2002).

Oetzel and Scherer (2003) argued that a judicious use of empathy and positive regard is an essential tool to motivate adolescents for treatment. The clinician can help adolescent clients to 'save face' and to boost their self-esteem by empathically responding to their problems and paying attention to areas of the young person's life which are going well. By so doing, the clinician works with and not against the 'egocentrism' which often characterizes an adolescent's view of themselves and their position in the world (Stallard 2002b). However, too much empathy can seem less than genuine. Because adolescents seem to be able to detect insincerity and 'fakeness' from a mile away, they may respond better to "disciplined, benevolent frankness" (Edgette 1999, p. 40). The extent to which adolescent clients may be intrigued or else confused by such 'frankness' will vary, and the use of this motivational strategy needs to be carefully tailored to the individual client (Edgette 1999; Oetzel and Scherer 2003).

Clinical experience suggests a number of strategies that may help to motivate and engage young people in CBT for anxiety. Due to their strivings for autonomy, allowing adolescent clients to have input into the nature of exposure tasks to be conducted in-session and between-sessions, can enhance their co-operation with treatment plans (Kendall et al. 2005; Ollendick 1995). For example, Heyne and Rollings (2002) recommended giving adolescents with anxiety-based school refusal more input into the decisionmaking about the type of exposure to be engaged in (i.e., graded school return vis-à-vis immediate full-time return). While having a say in the type of exposure tasks may be useful to motivate some young people, Angelosante et al. (2009) suggested that adolescents may also value increased clinician guidance of exposure tasks, to give them an extra 'push' to confront anxiety-provoking stimuli. The authors also recommend reminding the anxious adolescents of the potential positive effects of treatment to reduce resistance to engaging in exposure.

Heyne and Rollings (2002) also noted that it can be particularly challenging to engage anxious adolescent school refusers in treatment. They used an acronym (i.e., HARD GOING) to encapsulate behaviors and attitudes which the clinician can employ to increase the likelihood that an adolescent client will be engaged in treatment. These include: honoring the client's perspectives; active listening; relating to the young person in an understanding and tolerant manner; demystifying the young person's experiences of the intervention process; (attending to broader) goals of the young person (the fostering of positive); opinions about the young person (informed); interpretations of a young person's behavior in treatment; negotiating with the young person about the process of treatment; and going about engaging the young person in treatment in a cautious and realistic manner.

Tailoring Treatment Language, Materials, Activities, and the Tempo of Treatment Delivery

It is often noted that many of the CBTs applied with adolescents have been downward extensions of treatment protocols designed for adults or upward extensions of protocols designed for children (D'Amico et al. 2005; Eyberg et al. 1998; Holmbeck et al. 2006; Weisz and Hawley 2002). Characteristics of these adult and child protocols-including language, materials, activities, and tempo of treatment delivery-do not automatically 'fit' the developmental needs of the adolescent age group. Adult protocols can be too 'taxing' for the adolescent, and as noted by Southam-Gerow et al. (2001), the exercises and assignments associated with child protocols may be experienced by older youth as "somewhat childish" (p. 432). For treatment to be "real and relevant" for the young person (Friedberg and Gorman 2007, p. 188), developmental tailoring would ideally occur with respect to language, materials, activities, and the tempo of treatment delivery. This tailoring can facilitate the adolescent client's engagement in treatment, which in turn increases the likelihood that the knowledge and skills addressed in sessions are understood and applied.

The question of language use in treatment has been discussed by many authors, including authors concerned with tailoring CBT for anxious adolescents (e.g., Siqueland et al. 2005). Complex therapeutic concepts can be made less adult-oriented and more 'adolescent-friendly' by employing the client's own vocabulary; using clear, simplified language; and by giving specific, task-orientated instructions (Ginsburg and Drake 2002; Kingery et al. 2006; Wilson and Sysko 2006). At the same time, adolescent 'slang' and idiom must be used carefully, as they may come across as unnatural or fake (Friedberg and McClure 2002). Likewise, simplification in the form of concrete examples and basic terms may appear condescending for some mature adolescents (Oetzel and Scherer 2003; Werner-Wilson 2001). These mature adolescents may profit more from a detailed rationale for why the therapeutic techniques are useful (Braswell and Kendall 2001; Ollendick et al. 2001; Zarb 1992). A further language-based consideration arises out of the tendency for adolescents to think in 'black-and-white' terms (e.g., "good" versus "bad"; "right" versus "wrong") (Wilkes et al. 1994). Stallard (2002b) suggested that the clinician use terms which imply dimensionality (e.g., "better" and "worse") rather than dichotomy, in order to neutralize such typical adolescent thinking. When delivering cognitive therapeutic interventions, the clinician may speak of "less anxiety-producing thoughts" and "more anxiety-producing thoughts."

Metaphors and mnemonic aids are other language-based strategies which can help young people to learn and remember the steps of certain therapeutic techniques (Kendall et al. 2002). Well known examples are the 'FEAR' and 'FRIENDS' acronyms representing the key steps for managing anxiety in respectively the Coping Cat (Kendall 2000) and Friends for Youth (Barrett, Lowry-Webster, and Turner 2000) CBT programs. Friedberg and McClure (2002) suggested the use of a 'caterpillar' (unhelpful) thoughts and 'butterfly' (helpful) thoughts metaphor for younger children. More adolescent-appropriate metaphors also exist. Automatic thoughts can be positioned as 'pop-ups', or 'spam' in your computer, and dealing with negative thoughts a process of "building a better firewall" (Stallard 2009, p. 160). A mnemonic like WWW.Problem-solved.com may be particularly relevant for adolescents, representing the steps of problem solving (What is the problem?; What are the options for solving the problem?; Which will I choose?; Is the Problem Solved?).

The extent to which therapeutic activities are verbally based or non-verbally based can be adapted to match individual differences in adolescent clients. For example, increases in social perspective taking skills and fears of negative evaluation may lead some adolescents to feel embarrassed about talking about their anxieties (Hudson et al. 2002; Stallard 2009). Some adolescents may therefore feel uncomfortable with face-to-face dialogues and with 'why' questions during treatment (Bedrosian 1981). For these young people, the suggestions made by Bailey (2001) and Bedrosian (1981) seem fitting. That is, it may be useful to reduce the number of didactic explanations and the amount of 'deep and meaningful time' to avoid awkward silences, choosing instead to engage the adolescent in informal but therapeutically relevant conversation during therapeutic activities. Other adolescents will be highly 'talkative' and their verbosity can have the potential to interfere with engagement in specific CBT-related activities. In these cases, the clinician can structure client ventilation through the application of interviewing skills such as summarizing, minimal encouragers, and reflections (Edgette 1999, 2002; McAdam 1986).

Treatment which is not solely verbally based, but which involves materials providing pictorial representations of treatment-related tasks, may help to engage children and adolescents in treatment and allow them to more effectively apply therapeutic tasks (Grave and Blissett 2004). Visually oriented materials which can be used when delivering CBT with adolescents include: (i) handouts, for example, presenting somatic anxiety symptoms (e.g., Stallard 2002b); (ii) a flip-over or a whiteboard; (iii) visual analogue scales for rating the strength of emotions or thoughts (e.g., Chorpita 2007); (iv) pictures/drawings to identify self-talk (e.g., thought bubbles; Kendall 2000); and (v) diagrams when challenging maladaptive thoughts (e.g., responsibility and tolerance pies, the awfulizing scale; Friedberg and McClure 2002). However, the clinician must ensure that these materials are matched to the developmental level of the young person; adolescents in particular may find some materials patronizing or juvenile (Stallard 2009).

Just as visually oriented materials can enhance engagement in treatment, so too can the use of enactive procedures. Activities involving real-life demonstrations, such as games, role plays, or visualization exercises can stimulate active participation in the therapeutic process (Hoffman and Mattis 2000; Siqueland et al. 2005). An activity like 'thought football' (Friedberg and McClure 2002), used to detect automatic thoughts, may be particularly appropriate for adolescents due to its interactive and playful approach. The clinician asks the young person to throw balls of paper into a hoop, and the young person must say what they think and feel about every attempt they have made. When combined with guided questioning by the clinician, this activity can help the young person to more quickly become aware of their inner dialogue. For example, the client can be asked to observe what happens to their thoughts and feelings when the clinician increases the pressure on the young person by making negative predictions (e.g., "you'll miss it for sure"). Stallard (2009) suggested that drawing, writing poetry, or composing songs may also be therapeutic activities which may by useful in allowing adolescents to describe their thoughts and feelings. Role plays, in which the client and clinician apply therapeutic techniques, can be especially helpful in preparing the client for challenging situations in 'real life'. In the case of social anxiety, adolescents can engage in in-session role plays to practice activities they find anxietyprovoking, such as initiating conversations, asking someone out on a date, or giving a talk (Albano et al. 1995). However, the young person's level of abstract reasoning may limit their ability to participate in role plays (Holmbeck et al. 2000). In these cases, the clinician may choose to firstly work with cartoon sequences which tell a story, prior to engaging the young person in short and structured role plays.

Two recent developments focused on CBT for anxious adolescents incorporate developmentally sensitive recommendations for treatment materials and activities. Cunningham et al. (2009) described the development of the Cool Teens program, CD-ROM-based CBT for anxious adolescents. This interactive media allows the adolescent to choose the order and tempo with which they cover the treatment modules. The high degree of personal control was regarded as particularly suited to adolescent clients in view of their strivings for independence. Further, the graphics (cartoons and animations), sound effects, and live video content were developed in consultation with adolescents to ensure that the materials would be relevant to the target age group. Another recent CBT for anxious young people is the BRAVE-ONLINE program developed by Spence et al. (2008). This program has a separate adolescent version for 13-17 year olds. Relative to the child version, the adolescent version includes more complex psychoeducational information, more advanced graphics, and more interactive activities such as quizzes.

Other developmentally oriented recommendations are found in the literature focused upon exposure, a major component of CBT for anxiety. Kendall et al. (2005) and Kingery et al. (2006) suggested that the clinician make developmentally informed decisions about: (i) the type of exposure tasks to focus upon (e.g., considering situations more likely to be avoided in adolescence, such as eating in the school canteen); (ii) the complexity of information provided in the rationale for engaging in exposure tasks (e.g., less mature young people may benefit from a clear and concise explanation of how exposure 'works'. Other young people may benefit from a detailed and theoretical explanation of the mechanisms of the technique, such that they understand how they themselves can be responsible for dealing with their distress); and (iii) the type of monitoring that the young person can carry out by themselves (e.g., less mature young people may require a simplified scale to indicate the intensity of anxious symptoms). Siqueland et al. (2005) also suggested that anxious adolescents may be encouraged to engage in more betweensession exposure tasks relative to anxious children (Siqueland et al. 2005). The question of parental involvement in exposure tasks with adolescents is addressed in "Involving Parents in Treatment".

Finally, consideration needs to be given to the tempo at which the CBT program is delivered with adolescent clients. According to Bailey (2001) and Bedrosian (1981), a reduced concentration span, combined with the cognitively demanding nature of self-disclosure and self-reflection, signal the value of conducting shorter CBT sessions with children and with adolescents. Session agendas are a common element of CBT, and these agendas are important for the optimization of treatment time. The process of developing a session agenda with an adolescent needs to account for the range of developmental issues already mentioned (e.g., the extent of participation in setting up the agenda in line with the adolescent's level of autonomy development; attention to important adolescent tasks and transitions in terms of agenda points) (McAdam 1986). An example in which clinicians have adjusted the tempo of a CBT program for anxious adolescents can be found in Siqueland et al.'s (2005) attachment-based family CBT. It was suggested that the primary skills addressed in the adolescent sessions (i.e., recognizing anxious symptoms; identifying anxious cognition; developing a plan to cope with the situation; and evaluating and reinforcing one's performance) can be taught more quickly to adolescents relative to children (i.e., in three to four sessions as opposed to the eight sessions specified in a related CBT manual for anxious children).

#### Involving Parents in Treatment

Parents play a significant role in the life and 'developmental trajectory' of their adolescent child. By the same token, parent and family factors may be associated with the development or maintenance of anxiety disorders. (For a more detailed discussion of the role of parent and family factors in the etiology of child anxiety, see Bögels and Brechman-Toussaint 2006 and Ginsburg and Schlossberg 2002). Understandably, it is argued that it is fruitful, and sometimes even necessary to involve parents in interventions for anxious adolescents (Bögels and Siqueland 2006; Ginsburg and Schlossberg 2002; Kendall and Holmbeck 1991).

Current conceptualizations of parent involvement in child and adolescent CBT can help to determine just what kind of role parents might have in the treatment of adolescent anxiety. A commonly cited conceptualization views the parent role as one of 'consultant' and 'facilitator', 'collaborator' and 'co-clinician', or 'co-client' (e.g., Barmish and Kendall 2005; Kendall 2000; Stallard 2009). When parents are involved as 'consultants' they do not actively participate in treatment per se, but they receive psychoeducation about the treatment principles and strategies applied by the clinician and help the clinician by providing information about the young person (Stallard 2009). This information is used to shape the course of treatment with the young person. Parents can also be responsible for getting the young person to treatment sessions (Kingery et al. 2006). As 'collaborators', parents can assist their child with the application of therapeutic skills outside of the clinical setting, conforming to the 'transfer of control' model (i.e., transfer of knowledge and skills from the clinician to the parents, and then from the parents to the young person; Silverman and Kurtines 1996). For example, the parents can coach their child through the exposure task by preventing evasion of the task, and by prompting and rewarding them upon successful completion. They can also play a key role in monitoring treatment gains (Barmish and Kendall 2005; Suveg et al. 2006b). Parents can also be involved in CBT as 'co-clients'. The clinician works with the parents to enhance their use of behavior management strategies aimed at modifying their child's problematic behaviors or their own behaviors which may be involved in the maintenance of the child's anxiety (Chronis et al. 2006; Hudson et al. 2002; Martin and Thienemann 2005; Suveg et al. 2006b). In addition, parental cognitions which impede the effective use of behavior management strategies can be explored and challenged (Heyne and Rollings 2002; Joyce 1994; Suveg et al. 2006b). Problematic thoughts and beliefs may relate to the developmental appropriateness of the child's behaviors, the perceived coping capacities of the child, and the ways in which parents should respond to a child's anxiety symptoms (Kingery et al. 2006; Nauta et al. 2003; Suveg et al. 2006b).

Current parenting behaviors need to be considered when making decisions about the nature of parent involvement in treatment for adolescents. 'Over-involved' or intrusive parents may have the tendency to 'rescue' their children from anxiety-provoking situations, which can result in the young person having fewer opportunities to deal with challenges in an autonomous manner (Suveg et al. 2006b; Wells and Albano 2005; Wood et al. 2003). It may therefore be desirable to engage these parents as 'co-clients' so they can learn skills to address these behaviors which may be involved in the maintenance of their child's anxiety. 'Under-involved' parents may believe that their teenage child is 'old enough and wise enough to solve their own problems' (Wells and Albano 2005). These beliefs may prevent parents giving the young person the supportive and firm guidance that they may need when they are unable to 'face their fears' by themselves. If the beliefs and behaviors of under-involved parents prove to be rigid, the clinician can shift clinical attention to increasing the young person's coping repertoire and exploring the social network for other sources of support for the young person (Wells and Albano 2005). In either case, extremes of parental under- or over-involvement are not conducive to treatment success, and a balance between the two is seen to be the most desirable (Suveg et al. 2006b).

Developmental factors also warrant close attention when determining whether and how to involve parents in CBTs for young people's problems (Albano and Kendall 2002; Barrett 2000; Kendall and Choudhury 2003; Stallard 2009). The large individual differences across the adolescent period and amongst adolescents of the same age are likely to influence what is optimal with respect to parent involvement. Less mature adolescents are more likely to have a stronger emotional orientation to and connection with their parents; these young people may have significant problems in managing their own anxieties if their parents are under-involved (Forehand and Wierson 1993; Martin and Thienemann 2005). According to Wolpert et al. (2005), parental prompting and monitoring of the child's use of cognitive-behavioral skills (i.e., parent as 'collaborator') is suitable for "younger children", and especially those with anxiety-related difficulties (p. 113). More mature adolescents are likely to identify more strongly with peers and to attempt to increase their autonomy from parents; these young people may rebel and resist offers of help if parents are (over-)involved (Kingery et al. 2006). The limited parent involvement associated with the 'consultative' role can be particularly relevant for this group (Stallard 2009). Indeed, adolescents may value highly the time spent alone with the clinician and become suspicious or resentful if the clinician meets regularly with their parents (Kingery et al. 2006). As noted by Wolpert et al. (2005), the limited involvement of parents has the potential advantage of empowering the young person. Wolpert and colleagues suggested that minimal parent involvement (i.e., parent as 'consultant') is best suited to "older children, who are highly motivated" (p. 112). Developmental factors may also influence decisions about *which* parent to involve: Bögels and Siqueland (2006) suggest that as fathers may be particularly important role models for adolescents, involving them in treatment may be essential in successfully combating adolescent anxiety.

In cases where parents of anxious adolescents have the tendency to be over-involved or under-involved, a number of recommendations may also be relevant. Wells and Albano (2005) recommended that the clinician working with over-involved parents recognize the parents' concerns, while simultaneously using psychoeducation to emphasize the developmental tasks of adolescence (e.g., autonomy development) and the implications for parenting (i.e., encouraging the young person in independent problem solving rather than solving the problem themselves). In working with under-involved parents, the clinician can use psychoeducation to emphasize the fact that parents can play an important role in helping adolescents to 'face their fears'. For example, although the young person may seem 'all grown up' in terms of independence from their parents, they are still developing, and they need the guidance of parents to help them in this process (Hudson et al. 2002). In addition, young people who are anxious may sometimes act 'younger' than their chronological age (e.g., failing to see the consequences of their behavior; displaying 'immature' behavior such as crying or running away), due to their desire to avoid anxiety-provoking situations or stimuli.

In the treatment of adolescent anxiety, it is particularly important to consider the question of parent involvement with respect to exposure-based tasks. In an earlier study, Barlow and Seidner (1983) recommended that parents be involved in exposure practice in a CBT for adolescent agoraphobia. The authors reported that the adolescent participants seemed to be less able than adult clients to challenge their irrational cognitions related to the panic complaints (i.e., fears of dying). During exposure tasks, the adolescents turned to their parents for 'help' with dealing with the anxiety symptoms. How parents react to such requests from their children during exposure practices can range from 'directive' responses (e.g., physically guiding the execution of exposure practices between sessions), to and autonomy-granting responses (e.g., 'supportive' transporting the client to the exposure setting). Indeed, Siqueland et al. (2005) developed and evaluated a treatment in which the parents of anxious adolescents were helped to achieve a balance between 'directive' parenting and the granting of developmentally appropriate autonomy. In the treatment, parents were engaged in discussions about their role in dealing with their teenage child's anxiety, and about the most appropriate type and level of involvement that the parents might have in their child's exposure practice. In addition, as co-clients, parents were helped to identify and reexamine beliefs about anxiety (i.e., as threatening, and something to be avoided) and beliefs about the role of parents with anxious children (e.g., to protect their adolescent child and themselves from anxiety-provoking experiences).

In a similar vein, a CBT program for anxiety-based school refusal in adolescence (Heyne et al. 2008) aims to help the parents of adolescent school refusers achieve a developmentally appropriate balance between 'directive' parenting and 'supportive' autonomy-granting. Depending on the case formulation, and in particular the role that parenting may play in the maintenance of the school refusal, parents are helped to employ a more supportive, autonomy-granting role or, as required, a more 'directive', authoritative role. In the autonomy-granting role, parents issue gentle prompts for appropriate behavior (e.g., successive steps toward school return) and reinforce such behavior in a developmentally appropriate way. At the same time, the adolescent is provided with opportunities to 'show that he/she can try to face the fear' without the direct involvement of parents. In the more authoritative role, parents are helped to employ a firmer approach should this be required. In particular, they learn skills with which to extinguish inappropriate behavior (e.g., arguments with parents about school return), and are helped to assume responsibility for determining the timing and process of their adolescent child's return to regular school attendance.

#### Involving Peers in Treatment

During adolescence, the peer group becomes increasingly influential in the life of the young person. Adolescents often seek the company of friends rather than parents, and it becomes more and more important for the young person to have skills to be able to 'fit in' (Geldard and Geldard 2004; Holmbeck et al. 2006). Given the sense of social isolation that many anxious young people experience, opportunities for involvement with peers can be especially important (Scapillato and Manassis 2002; Kearney 2005). Peers can significantly influence and impact on adolescent attitudes and behavior, and interventions that include peer involvement may have increased efficacy (Jelalian et al. 2006). In addition, feedback from peers can be more reinforcing than that from adults (Forehand and Wierson 1993) and it can be very useful to have source of constructive support in the treatment program for the young person aside from the parents and the clinician. To identify suitable peers (e.g., siblings, classmates, friends), the clinician can ask the young person to nominate a suitable 'peer assistant', or query parents or teachers. Well-functioning friends, classmates or siblings can be included in treatment sessions to provide an opportunity for life-like situations in which young clients can practice the skills learned in treatment while still under the supervision of the clinician (La Greca and Prinstein 1999). Peers could also be involved in between-session 'real-life exposures' to avoided social situations (e.g., walking to school together; spending time together in the lunch break).

Though the use of peers can be a powerful tool in the enhancement of social competencies, the clinician is advised to consider the level of the young person's social competency before involving a peer in treatment. For example, to maximize the success of a practice opportunity, Chorpita (2007) recommended that an anxious child or adolescent should have a basic level of competency before engaging in role playing with peers. For some young people, involving peers may be the last thing they would want, due to their desire to 'fit in' and the embarrassment and shame associated with being 'in therapy'. It is therefore important to involve adolescent clients in the decisionmaking around the (non)involvement of their peers.

Another way in which the clinician may capitalize on the influential role of the peer group during adolescence is to deliver of CBT in group format rather than in individual format. The results of a number of treatment outcomes studies with anxious children and adolescents indicate that group treatment is as efficacious as individual treatment (e.g., Liber et al. 2008). Group CBT with adolescents permits normalization of experienced difficulties and opportunities for positive social interaction (Scapillato and Manassis 2002). In the case of social anxiety, group members may participate in each other's exposures (Albano and Barlow 1996). Albano (1995) even argued that, given the nature of social anxiety disorder, individual treatment for socially anxious adolescents would be "counterintuitive and counterproductive" (pp. 276–277).

### **Future Research Directions**

Future research into developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents would ideally focus on three key research issues emerging from both the 'why' and the 'how' sections of the current review. The first of these issues is a need to continue to develop and test cognitivebehavioral models of adolescent anxiety. Empirically supported models can then be used to inform further developments in adolescent-focused CBT protocols. Until now there have been very few models of anxiety which emphasize developmental psychopathological concepts when delineating anxiety in younger age groups, and the relevance of these models for anxious adolescents is still to be determined. There are some exceptions (e.g., Wood et al. 2003), but these models are yet to be systematically tested in the practice of CBT for anxious adolescents. The next generation of empirical studies into the etiology of child and adolescent anxiety is underway, and such studies will ideally account for developmental factors (e.g., the relationship between autonomy strivings and avoidance behavior), contextual factors (e.g., the role of parental factors in the maintenance of the problem), and the comorbidity common to adolescent anxiety (e.g., cooccurring depressive symptoms).

A second research implication concerns the systematic evaluation of developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents. Researchers need to employ a developmental 'frame of mind' when planning clinical trials with this population. For example, barriers to adolescents' involvement in treatment outcome research need to be reduced. Parents, school staff, and others in the community can be educated about the 'signs' of anxiety (e.g., avoiding class presentations; avoiding social contact with peers; avoiding school) and encouraged to refer adolescents showing such signs. Clinicians involved in such clinical trials can use 'adolescent-appropriate' means, such as regular e-mail contact during treatment to increase the likely uptake of treatment by adolescent clients and to reduce drop-out. Developmentally appropriate clinical trials will also modify clinical diagnostics and assessment (e.g., including developmentally appropriate measures to assess pre- and post-treatment functioning); make use of developmentally appropriate treatment manuals (e.g., modular treatments); account for developmental factors in determining treatment delivery mode (e.g., group versus individual CBT); and provide clinicians with training and supervision around the six key domains described in "How Can Clinicians Developmentally Tailor CBT for Anxious Adolescents?" in this review. By monitoring the extent and quality of the clinicians' adherence to the six domains, researchers will be able to learn more about the merits of designing and delivering developmentally appropriate treatment.

Thirdly, it is important to explore the influence of developmental factors on the outcomes of developmentally appropriate CBTs for adolescents. As noted, researchers frequently use age in analyses aimed at predicting treatment response. Young people of the same chronological age may vary greatly in developmental status. It is for this reason that Hudson et al. (2002) argued that more meaningful prediction analyses would make use of "measures specific to the important developmental forces" (p. 837). Wagner (2003) recommended that, alongside age, at least one other indicator of developmental status be included in

developmentally appropriate research and practice. Variables representative of these developmental forces include pubertal changes, changes in peer interactions, autonomy development, and changes in parenting behaviors (D'Amico et al. 2005). It is particularly important to assess CBTrelevant cognitive capacities with respect to their impact on treatment outcome. Development in CBT-relevant cognitive capacities may influence the extent to which a young person extracts meaning from, and applies cognitive therapeutic strategies. It might even be that the refinement of cognitive capacities due to engagement in cognitive therapeutic strategies mediates therapeutic gains (Holmbeck et al. 2006). Thus, development in cognitive capacities could be examined as both a mediator and a moderator of treatment outcome (Eyberg et al. 1998; Hudson et al. 2002). However, future studies are needed to elucidate exactly which cognitive capacities are relevant to adolescents' successful participation in the cognitive therapeutic strategies encompassed in CBT, in which way these capacities can best be measured, and how valid the currently available tasks or questionnaires are.

## Conclusion

The purpose of this paper is to advance the use of developmentally appropriate CBT for anxious adolescents. Having considered the question of 'why' it is important to use developmentally appropriate CBT, we addressed the question of 'how' clinicians can best account for adolescent development. Our review of the literature suggested six key domains relevant to 'how' treatment can be designed or delivered in a developmentally appropriate way. Each domain encompasses numerous clinical implications, and the implications vary in terms of their specificity to the topic: (a) how to conduct treatment with young people at different levels of development; (b) how to conduct CBT with young people at different levels of development; and (c) how to conduct CBT with anxious adolescents.

In terms of the implications for designing and delivering treatment with young people at different levels of development, several key points deserve to be highlighted. Tailoring treatment language, materials, and activities, as well as the tempo of treatment delivery according to the developmental level of the young person is essential when engaging both children and adolescents in treatment. Attention to motivation for treatment is indispensable when working with adolescent clients in particular, given the influence that strivings for autonomy may have on engagement in the therapeutic process and on the therapeutic alliance. Peers may be able to play a supportive role in treatment, given the increasing influence of the peer group during the adolescent period. In addition, the flexibility that comes with modularized treatments may help the clinician respond to individual differences arising from biological, social-emotional, psychosocial, and cognitive development.

The clinical implications for designing and delivering CBT with young people at different levels of development are quite plentiful. The following key points are considered to be especially relevant to working with adolescents. When developing case formulations and determining targets for treatment, it is important that the cognitive-behavioral models take into account adolescent developmental tasks and transitions, contextual factors, and common comorbid disorders. Increased attention needs to be paid to the formal or informal assessment of CBT-relevant cognitive capacities. While the clinical judgments of some well-trained and highly experienced clinicians may be valid, standardized assessment tools and procedures are likely to increase the validity and reliability of estimates of the capacity to engage in cognitive therapeutic interventions. Further, due to large intra- and inter-individual differences in the development of CBT-relevant cognitive capacities, it is prudent to retain a dimensional rather than a categorical perspective on the selection and delivery of the cognitive and behavioral therapeutic techniques contained in CBT. That is to say, the clinician can differentially emphasize the extent to which behavioral and cognitive techniques are selected and delivered to best match the capacities of the adolescent client.

A key clinical implication emerging from the review is that clinicians designing and delivering CBT keep in mind what anxious adolescents 'want to do by themself' and 'what they are *able* to do by themself', in terms of both their developmental capacities and the tendency to avoid anxiety-provoking situations or stimuli. The interaction between adolescent strivings for autonomy on the one hand, and anxiety-motivated avoidance on the other, can lead to ambivalence toward the therapeutic process, and at worst, reluctance to collaborate with the therapist and carry out the therapeutic tasks. A developmentally appropriate balance between 'supportive' and 'directive' treatment delivery may best facilitate adolescents' engagement in treatment, and in particular, in exposure tasks. This 'developmentally appropriate balance' can be applied to all of the six key domains as described above. In particular, in view of the 'transfer of control' approach, the clinician should consider when it is best to involve parents in treatment in a more 'supportive', autonomy-granting role or a more 'directive', authoritative role in order to best stimulate the young person's participation in therapeutic tasks.

In short, the suggestions described in the current paper are an important response to the calls in the clinical and research literature for developmentally appropriate treatment. Suggestions associated with six domains of treatment design and delivery may serve as a guide for clinicians working with anxious adolescents, and for researchers involved in the creation and empirical evaluation of developmentally appropriate CBTs. In turn, the knowledge arising from empirical evaluations will allow for more informed and appropriate decisions as to 'how' one can best conduct developmentally appropriate CBT with anxious adolescents.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

#### References

- Albano, A. M. (1995). Treatment of social anxiety in adolescents. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice, 2, 271–298.
- Albano, A. M., & Barlow, D. H. (1996). Breaking the vicious cycle: Cognitive-behavioral group treatment of socially anxious youth. In E. B. Hibbs & P. S. Jensen (Eds.), *Psychosocial treatments for child and adolescent disorders: Empirically-based strategies for clinical practice* (pp. 43–62). Washington, DC: APA Press.
- Albano, A. M., & Kendall, P. C. (2002). Cognitive behavioral therapy for children and adolescents with anxiety disorders: Clinical research advances. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 14(2), 129–134.
- Albano, A. M., Marten, P. A., Holt, C. S., Heimberg, R. G., & Barlow, D. H. (1995). Cognitive-behavioral group treatment for social phobia in adolescents: A preliminary study. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 183, 685–692.
- Alfano, C. A., Beidel, D. C., & Turner, S. M. (2002). Cognition in childhood anxiety: Conceptual methodological and developmental issues. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22, 1209–1238.
- Alfano, C. A., Beidel, D. C., & Turner, S. M. (2006). Cognitive correlates of social phobia among children and adolescents. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 34(2), 182–194.
- Angelosante, A. G., Pincus, D. B., Whitton, S. W., Cheron, D., & Pian, J. (2009). Implementation of an intensive treatment protocol for adolescents with panic disorder and agoraphobia. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*. doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2009.03.002.
- Bailey, V. (2001). Cognitive-behavioural therapies for children and adolescents. Advances in Psychiatric Treatment, 7(3), 224–232.
- Ballash, N., Leyfer, O., Buckley, A., & Woodruff-Borden, J. (2006). Parental control in the etiology of anxiety. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 9(2), 113–133.
- Barlow, D. H., & Seidner, A. L. (1983). Treatment of adolescent agoraphobics: Effects on parent-adolescent relations. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 21, 519–526.
- Barmish, A. J., & Kendall, P. C. (2005). Should parents be co-clients in cognitive-behavioral therapy for anxious youth? *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 34(3), 569–581.
- Barrett, P. M. (2000). Treatment of childhood anxiety: Developmental aspects. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 20(4), 479–494.
- Barrett, P. M., Dadds, M. R., & Rapee, R. M. (1998). Family treatment of childhood anxiety: A controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64, 333–342.
- Barrett, P. M., Lowry-Webster, H. M., & Turner, C. (2000). Friends for youth: Group leader's manual and workbook for youth. Bowen Hills, QLD: Australian Academic Press.
- Beck, R., & Perkins, T. S. (2001). Cognitive content-specificity for anxiety and depression: A meta-analysis. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 25(6), 651–663.

- Bedrosian, R. C. (1981). The application of cognitive therapy techniques with adolescents. In G. Emery, S. D. Hollon, & R. C. Bedrosian (Eds.), *New directions in cognitive therapy: A casebook* (pp. 68–83). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Blakemore, S. J., & Choudhury, S. (2006). Development of the adolescent brain: Implications for executive function and social cognition. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47(3–4), 296–312.
- Bodden, D. H. M., Bögels, S. M., Nauta, M. H., de Haan, E., Ringrose, J., Appelboom, C., et al. (2008). Efficacy of individual versus family cognitive behavioral therapy in clinically anxious youth. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47(12), 1384–1394.
- Bögels, S. M. (2006). Task concentration training versus applied relaxation for social phobic patients with fear of blushing, trembling and sweating. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44, 1199–1210.
- Bögels, S. M., & Brechman-Toussaint, M. L. (2006). Family issues in child anxiety: Attachment, family functioning, parental rearing and beliefs. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(7), 834–856.
- Bögels, S. M., & Siqueland, L. (2006). Family cognitive behavioral therapy for children and adolescents with clinical anxiety disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 2, 134–141.
- Bouchard, S., Mendlowitz, S. L., Coles, M. E., & Franklin, M. (2004). Considerations in the use of exposure with children. *Cognitive* and Behavioral Practice, 11, 56–65.
- Braswell, L., & Kendall, P. C. (2001). Cognitive-behavioral therapy with youth. In K. S. Dobson (Ed.), *Handbook of cognitivebehavioral therapies* (pp. 246–294). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Cartwright-Hatton, S. (2006). Anxiety of childhood and adolescence: Challenges and opportunities. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(7), 813–816.
- Cartwright-Hatton, S., Roberts, C., Chitabesan, P., Fothergill, C., & Harrington, R. (2004). Systematic review of efficacy of cognitive behavior therapies for childhood and adolescent anxiety disorders. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 43, 421–436.
- Chorpita, B. F. (2007). Modular cognitive-behavioral therapy for childhood anxiety disorders. New York: Guilford.
- Chorpita, B. F., & Barlow, D. H. (1998). The development of anxiety: The role of control in the early environment. *Psychological Bulletin*, 124(1), 3–21.
- Chorpita, B. F., Becker, K. D., & Daleiden, E. L. (2007). Understanding the common elements of evidence based practice: Misconceptions and clinical examples. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46(5), 647–652.
- Chorpita, B. F., & Daleiden, E. L. (2004). Designs for instruction, designs for change: Distributing knowledge of evidence-based practice. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 11, 332– 335.
- Chorpita, B. F., Daleiden, E. L., & Weisz, J. R. (2005). Modularity in the design and application of therapeutic interventions. *Applied* and Preventive Psychology, 11, 141–156.
- Chronis, A. M., Jones, H. A., & Raggi, V. L. (2006). Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(4), 486–502.
- Chu, B. C., & Kendall, P. C. (2004). Positive association of child involvement and treatment outcome within a manual-based cognitive-behavioral treatment for children with anxiety. *Journal* of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 72(5), 821–829.
- Cicchetti, D., & Rogosch, F. A. (2002). A developmental psychopathology perspective on adolescence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70(1), 6–20.

- Clark, D. B., Smith, M. G., Neighbors, B. D., Skerlec, L. M., & Randall, J. (1994). Anxiety disorders in adolescence: Characteristics, prevalence, and comorbidities. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 14(2), 113–137.
- Clark, D. M., & Wells, A. (1995). A cognitive model of social phobia. In R. G. Heimberg, M. R. Liebowitz, D. A. Hope, & F. R. Schneier (Eds.), *Social phobia: Diagnosis, assessment and treatment* (pp. 69–93). New York: Guilford Press.
- Cobham, V. E., Dadds, M. R., & Spence, S. H. (1998). The role of parental anxiety in the treatment of childhood anxiety. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 66(6), 893–905.
- Cohen, P., Cohen, J., Kasen, S., Velez, C. N., Hartmark, C., Johnson, J., et al. (1993). An epidemiologic-study of disorders in late childhood and adolescence. 1. Age-specific and gender-specific prevalence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 34(6), 851–867.
- Compton, S. N., March, J. S., Brent, D., Albano, A. M., Weersing, V. R., & Curry, J. (2004). Cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy for anxiety and depressive disorders in children and adolescents: An evidence-based medicine review. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 43(8), 930–959.
- Costello, E. J., Mustillo, S., Erkanli, A., Keeler, G., & Angold, A. (2003). Prevalence and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60(8), 837–844.
- Creswell, C., & Cartwright-Hatton, S. (2007). Family treatment of child anxiety: Outcomes, limitations and future directions. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 10(3), 232–252.
- Cunningham, M. J., Rapee, R. M., & Lyneham, H. J. (2007). The cool teens CD-ROM: A multimedia self-help program for adolescents with anxiety. *Youth Studies Australia*, 25, 50–56.
- Cunningham, M. J., Wuthrich, V. M., Rapee, R. M., Lyneham, H. J., Schniering, C. A., & Hudson, J. L. (2009). The cool teens CD-ROM for anxiety disorders in adolescents: A pilot case series. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 18(2), 125–129.
- Dagnan, D., Chadwick, P., & Proudlove, J. (2000). Toward an assessment of suitability of people with mental retardation for cognitive therapy. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 24(6), 627–636.
- Daleiden, E. L., Vasey, M. W., & Brown, L. M. (1999). Internalizing disorders. In W. K. Silverman & T. H. Ollendick (Eds.), *Developmental issues in the clinical treatment of children* (pp. 261–278). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- D'Amico, E. J., Ellickson, P. L., Wagner, E. F., Turrisi, R., Fromme, K., Ghosh-Dastidar, B., et al. (2005). Developmental considerations for substance use interventions from middle school through college. *Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research, 29*(3), 474–483.
- Deacon, B. J., & Abramowitz, J. S. (2004). Cognitive and behavioral treatments for anxiety disorders: A review of meta-analytic findings. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60(4), 429–441.
- DiGiuseppe, R. (1981). Cognitive therapy with children. In G. Emery, S. D. Hollon, & R. C. Bedrosian (Eds.), *New directions in cognitive therapy: A casebook* (pp. 50–67). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Doherr, E., Reynolds, S., Wetherly, J., & Evans, E. H. (2005). Young children's ability to engage in cognitive therapy tasks. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 33, 201–215.
- Drinkwater, J. (2005). Cognitive case formulation. In P. J. Graham (Ed.), *Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families* (2nd ed., pp. 84–99). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dugas, M. J., Gagnon, F., Ladouceur, R., & Freeston, M. H. (1998). Generalized anxiety disorder: A preliminary test of a conceptual model. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 36, 215–226.
- Dummett, N. (2006). Processes for systemic cognitive-behavioural therapy with children, young people and families. *Behavioural* and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 34, 179–189.

- Durlak, J., Fuhrman, T., & Lampman, C. (1991). Effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy with maladjusted children: A metaanalysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110, 204–214.
- Edgette, J. S. (1999). Getting real: Candor, control and connection with adolescents. *Family Therapy Networker*, 23(5), 36–41.
- Edgette, J. S. (2002). Avoiding the responsibility trap. *Psychotherapy Family Therapy Networker*, 26(1), 25–26.
- Essau, C. A. (2008). Comorbidity of depressive disorders among adolescents in community and clinical settings. *Psychiatry Research*, 158(1), 35–42.
- Essau, C. A., Conradt, J., & Petermann, F. (2000). Frequency, comorbidity, and psychosocial impairment of anxiety disorders in German adolescents. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 14, 263–279.
- Everall, R. D., Bostik, K. E., & Paulson, B. L. (2005). I'm sick of being me: Developmental themes in a suicidal adolescent. *Adolescence*, 40, 693–708.
- Eyberg, S. M., Schumann, E. M., & Rey, J. (1998). Child and adolescent psychotherapy research: Developmental issues. *Jour*nal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 26(1), 71–82.
- Ferdinand, R. F., de Nijs, P. F. A., van Lier, P., & Verhulst, F. C. (2005). Latent class analysis of anxiety and depressive symptoms in referred adolescents. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 88(3), 299–306.
- Forehand, R., & Wierson, M. (1993). The role of developmental factors in planning behavioral interventions for children: Disruptive children as an example. *Behavior Therapy*, 24, 117–141.
- Friedberg, R. D., & Gorman, A. (2007). Integrating psychotherapeutic processes with cognitive behavioral procedures. *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*, 37(3), 185–193.
- Friedberg, R. D., & McClure, J. M. (2002). Clinical practice of cognitive therapy with children and adolescents. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Garland, E. J. (2001). Rages and refusals: Managing the many faces of adolescent anxiety. *Canadian Family Physician*, 47, 1023– 1030.
- Geldard, K., & Geldard, D. (2004). *Counselling adolescents: The proactive approach*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Ginsburg, G. S., & Drake, K. L. (2002). School-based treatment for anxious African-American adolescents: A controlled pilot study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41(7), 768–775.
- Ginsburg, G. S., & Kingery, J. (2007). Evidence-based practice for childhood anxiety disorders. *Journal of Contemporary Psycho*therapy, 37(3), 123–132.
- Ginsburg, G. S., & Schlossberg, M. C. (2002). Family-based treatment of childhood anxiety disorders. *International Review* of Psychiatry, 14(2), 143–154.
- Gosch, E., Flannery-Schroeder, E., Mauro, C., & Compton, S. (2006). Principals of cognitive-behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders in children. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 20, 247–262.
- Grave, J., & Blissett, J. (2004). Is cognitive behavior therapy developmentally appropriate for young children? A critical review of the evidence. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 24, 399–420.
- Harrington, R. C. (2005). Depressive disorders. In P. J. Graham (Ed.), Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families (2nd ed., pp. 263–280). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Harrington, R. C., Wood, A., & Verduyn, C. (1998). Clinically depressed adolescents. In P. Graham (Ed.), *Cognitive behavior therapy for children and their families* (pp. 163–200). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Henggeler, S. W., Schoenwald, S. K., Borduin, C. M., Rowland, M. D., & Cunningham, P. B. (1998). *Multisystemic treatment of*

*antisocial behavior in children and adolescents*. New York: The Guilford Press.

- Heyne, D., & Rollings, S. (2002). *School refusal*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Heyne, D., Sauter, F. M., van Hout, R., et al. (2008). The @School Program: Modular Cognitive Behaviour Therapy for School Refusal in Adolescence. Unpublished treatment manual. Leiden, the Netherlands: Faculty of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Leiden University.
- Hodson, K. J., McManus, F. V., Clark, D. M., & Doll, H. (2008). Can Clark and Wells' (1995) cognitive model of social phobia be applied to young people? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 36(4), 449–461.
- Hoffman, E. C., & Mattis, S. G. (2000). A developmental adaptation of panic control treatment for panic disorder in adolescence. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 7(3), 253–261.
- Holmbeck, G. N., Colder, C., Shapera, W., Westhoven, V., Kenealy, L., & Updegrove, A. (2000). Working with adolescents: Guides from developmental psychology. In P. C. Kendall (Ed.), *Child and adolescent therapy: Cognitive-behavioral procedures* (pp. 334–385). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Holmbeck, G. N., O'Mahar, K., Abad, M., Colder, C., & Updegrove, A. (2006). Cognitive-behavior therapy with adolescents: Guides from developmental psychology. In P. C. Kendall (Ed.), *Child and adolescent therapy: Cognitive-behavioral procedures* (pp. 419–464). New York: Guilford.
- Hudson, J. L., Kendall, P. C., Coles, M. E., Robin, J. A., & Webb, A. (2002). The other side of the coin: Using intervention research in child anxiety disorders to inform developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 14, 819–841.
- James, A., Soler, A., & Weatherall, R. (2005). Cognitive behavioural therapy for anxiety disorders in children and adolescents. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (4), CD004690. pub004692. doi:004610.001002/14651858.CD14004690.pub146 51852.
- Jelalian, E., Mehlenbeck, R., Richardson, E., Birmaher, V., & Wing, R. R. (2006). Adventure therapy combined with cognitive behavioral treatment for overweight adolescents. *International Journal of Obesity*, 30, 31–39.
- Joyce, M. (1994). Rational-emotive parent consultation. In M. E. Bernard & R. DiGiuseppe (Eds.), *Rational-emotive consultation in applied settings* (pp. 91–104). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kearney, C. A. (2005). Social anxiety and social phobia in youth: Characteristics, assessment, and psychological treatment. New York: Springer.
- Kearney, C. A., & Albano, A. M. (2000). When children refuse school: A cognitive-behavioral therapy approach. Therapist's guide. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Keating, D. P. (1990). Adolescent thinking. In S. Feldman & G. Elliott (Eds.), At the threshold: The developing adolescent (pp. 54–89). Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Keller, M. B., Lavori, P. W., Wunder, J. B., Beardslee, W. R., Schwartz, C. E., & Roth, J. B. (1992). Chronic course of anxiety disorders in children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31(4), 595–599.
- Kendall, P. C. (1984). Social cognition and problem solving: A developmental and child-clinical interface. In B. Gholson & T. L. Rosenthal (Eds.), *Applications of cognitive-developmental theory* (pp. 115–148). New York: Academic Press.
- Kendall, P. C. (1990). Coping cat workbook. Ardmore, PA: Workbook Publishing.
- Kendall, P. C. (2000). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for anxious children—therapist manual (2nd ed.). Ardmore, PA: Workbook Publishing.

- Kendall, P. C., & Choudhury, M. S. (2003). Children and adolescents in cognitive-behavioral therapy: Some past efforts and current advances, and the challenges in our future. *Cognitive Therapy* and Research, 27(1), 89–104.
- Kendall, P. C., Choudhury, M. S., Hudson, J. L., & Webb, A. (2002). The C.A.T. project manual: Manual for the individual cognitivebehavioral treatment of adolescents with anxiety disorders. Ardmore, PA: Workbook Publishing Inc.
- Kendall, P. C., Gosch, E., Furr, J. M., & Sood, E. M. (2008a). Flexibility within fidelity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47(9), 987–993.
- Kendall, P. C., & Holmbeck, G. N. (1991). Psychotherapeutic interventions for adolescents. In R. M. Lerner, A. C. Petersen, & J. Brooks-Gunn (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of adolescence* (Vol. 2). New York: Garland Publishing Inc.
- Kendall, P. C., Hudson, J. L., Gosch, E., Flannery-Schroeder, E., & Suveg, C. (2008b). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for anxiety disordered youth: A randomized clinical trial evaluating child and family modalities. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76(2), 282–297.
- Kendall, P. C., Robin, J., Hedtke, K., Suveg, C., Flannery-Schroeder, E., & Gosch, E. (2005). Considering CBT with anxious youth? Think exposures. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 12, 136– 150.
- Kendall, P. C., & Williams, C. L. (1986). Adolescent therapy: Treating the "marginal man". *Behavior Therapy*, 17, 522–537.
- Kim-Cohen, J., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., Harrington, H., Milne, B. J., & Poulton, R. (2003). Prior juvenile diagnoses in adults with mental disorder: Developmental follow-back of a prospectivelongitudinal cohort. Archives of General Psychiatry, 60(7), 709– 717.
- Kingery, J. N., Roblek, T. L., Suveg, C., Grover, R. L., Sherrill, J. T., & Bergman, R. L. (2006). They're not just "little adults": Developmental considerations for implementing cognitivebehavioral therapy with anxious youth. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 20(3), 263–273.
- Kinney, A. (1991). Cognitive-behavior therapy with children: Developmental reconsiderations. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 9(1), 51–61.
- Kovacs, M., & Devlin, B. (1998). Internalizing disorders in childhood. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 39, 47–64.
- Kroger, J. (2004). Identity in adolescence: The balance between self and other (3rd ed.). London: Routledge.
- La Greca, A. M., & Prinstein, M. J. (1999). Peer group. In W. K. Silverman & T. H. Ollendick (Eds.), *Developmental issues in the clinical treatment of children* (pp. 171–198). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Laugesen, N., Dugas, M. J., & Bukowski, W. M. (2003). Understanding adolescent worry: The application of a cognitive model. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 31(1), 55–64.
- Lewinsohn, P. M., Hops, H., Roberts, R. E., Seeley, J. R., & Andrews, J. A. (1993). Adolescent psychopathology: I. Prevalence and incidence of depression and other DSM-III-R disorders in high school students. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 102, 133–144.
- Liber, J. M., van Widenfelt, B. M., Utens, E. M., Ferdinand, R. F., van der Leeden, A. J., van Gastel, W., et al. (2008). No differences between group versus individual treatment of childhood anxiety disorders in a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(8), 886–893.
- Manassis, K., Avery, D., Butalia, S., & Mendlowitz, S. (2004). Cognitive-behavioral therapy with childhood anxiety disorders: Functioning in adolescence. *Depression and Anxiety*, 19(4), 209– 216.

- Marcia, J. E. (1994). Identity and psychotherapy. In S. L. Archer (Ed.), *Interventions for adolescent identity development* (pp. 29– 46). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Martin, J., & Thienemann, M. (2005). Group cognitive-behavior therapy with family involvement for middle-school-age children with obsessive-compulsive disorder: A pilot study. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 36(1), 113–127.
- May, D. E., Kratochvil, C. J., Puumala, S. E., Smith, L. S., Reinecke, M. A., Silva, S. G., et al. (2007). Factors associated with recruitment and screening in the Treatment for Adolescents with Depression Study (TADS). *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46(7), 801–810.
- McAdam, E. (1986). Cognitive behavior therapy and its application with adolescents. *Journal of Adolescence*, 9, 1–15.
- Merrell, K. W. (2001). *Helping students overcome depression and anxiety: A practical guide*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Miller, D. (1993). *The age between: Adolescence and therapy*. New York: Jason Aronson, Inc.
- Nauta, M. H. M. A., Scholing, A. P. D., Emmelkamp, P. M. G. P. D., & Minderaa, R. B. P. D. (2003). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for children with anxiety disorders in a clinical setting: No additional effect of a cognitive parent training. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42(11), 1270–1278.
- Newman, D. L., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., Magdol, L., Silva, P. A., & Stanton, W. R. (1996). Psychiatric disorder in a birth cohort young adults: Prevalence, comorbidity, clinical significance, and new case incidence from ages 11 to 21. *Journal of Consulting* and Clinical Psychology, 64(3), 552–562.
- Noom, M. J., Dekovic, M., & Meeus, W. (2001). Conceptual analysis and measurement of adolescent autonomy. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 30, 557–595.
- Norton, P. J., & Price, E. C. (2007). A meta-analytic review of adult cognitive-behavioral treatment outcome across the anxiety disorders. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 195(6), 521–531.
- Oathamshaw, S. C., & Haddock, G. (2006). Do people with intellectual disabilities and psychosis have the cognitive skills required to undertake cognitive behavioural therapy? *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 19(1), 35–46.
- O'Connor, T., & Creswell, C. (2005). Cognitive behavioural therapy in developmental perspective. In P. J. Graham (Ed.), *Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families* (2nd ed., pp. 25–47). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oetzel, K. B., & Scherer, D. G. (2003). Therapeutic engagement with adolescents in psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 40, 215–225.
- Ollendick, T. H. (1995). Cognitive-behavioral treatment of panic disorder with agoraphobia in adolescents—a multiple base-line design analysis. *Behavior Therapy*, 26(3), 517–531.
- Ollendick, T. H., Grills, A. E., & King, N. J. (2001). Applying developmental theory to the assessment and treatment of childhood disorders: Does it make a difference? *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 8(5), 304–314.
- Ollendick, T. H., & Hirshfeld-Becker, D. R. (2002). The developmental psychopathology of social anxiety disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 51, 44–58.
- Ollendick, T. H., Jarrett, M. A., Grills-Taquechel, A. E., Hovey, L. D., & Wolff, J. C. (2008). Comorbidity as a predictor and moderator of treatment outcome in youth with anxiety, affective, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and oppositional/conduct disorders. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 28(8), 1447–1471.
- Ollendick, T. H., & King, N. J. (1998). Empirically supported treatments for children with phobic and anxiety disorders: Current status. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 27, 156–167.

- Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L., Richards, M., & Boxer, A. (1988). A self-report measure of pubertal status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 17, 117–133.
- Piaget, J. (2001). *The psychology of intelligence*. London: Routledge Classics.
- Quakley, S., Reynolds, S., & Coker, S. (2004). Visual cues and age improve children's abilities to distinguish amongst thoughts, feelings and behaviours. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 42, 343–356.
- Rao, P. A., Beidel, D. C., Turner, S. M., Ammerman, R. T., Crosby, L. E., & Sallee, F. R. (2007). Social anxiety disorder in childhood and adolescence: Descriptive psychopathology. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45(6), 1181–1191.
- Rapee, R. M. (1991). Generalized anxiety disorder: A review of clinical features and theoretical concepts. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 11, 419–440.
- Rapee, R. M. (1997). Potential role of childrearing practices in the development of anxiety and depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 17(1), 47–67.
- Raviv, A., Raviv, A., Vago-Gefen, I., & Schachter Fink, A. (2009). The personal service gap: Factors affecting adolescents' willingness to seek help. *Journal of Adolescence*, 32, 483–499.
- Reynolds, S., Girling, E., Coker, S., & Eastwood, L. (2006). The effect of mental health problems on children's ability to discriminate amongst thoughts, feelings and behaviours. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 30, 599–607.
- Roberts, M. C., Lazicki-Puddy, T. A., Puddy, R. W., & Johnson, R. J. (2003). The outcomes of psychotherapy with adolescents: A practitioner-friendly research review. *Journal of Clinical Psychology/In Session*, 59(11), 1177–1191.
- Roberts, R. E., Roberts, C. R., & Chan, W. (2009). One-year incidence of psychiatric disorders and associated risk factors among adolescents in the community. *Journal of Child Psychol*ogy and Psychiatry, 50(4), 405–415.
- Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. J. (1998). Academic and emotional functioning in early adolescence: Longitudinal relations, patterns, and prediction by experience in middle school. *Development and Psychopathology*, 10, 321–352.
- Rohde, P., Seeley, J. R., Kaufman, N. K., Clarke, G. N., & Stice, E. (2006). Predicting time to recovery among depressed adolescents treated in two psychosocial group interventions. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74(1), 80–88.
- Rubenstein, A. K. (2003). Adolescent psychotherapy: An introduction. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 59(11), 1169–1175.
- Safran, J. D., Segal, Z. V., Vallis, T. M., Shaw, B. F., & Samstag, L. W. (1993). Assessing patient suitability for short-term cognitive therapy with an interpersonal focus. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 17(1), 23–38.
- Sanders, D., & Wills, F. (2005). Cognitive therapy: An introduction (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Sauter, F. M., Heyne, D., Blöte, A. W., van Widenfelt, B. M., & Westenberg, P. M. (2009). Assessing therapy-relevant cognitive capacities in young people: Development and psychometric evaluation of the Self-Reflection and Insight Scale for Youth. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Scapillato, D., & Manassis, K. (2002). Cognitive-behavioral/interpersonal group treatment for anxious adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41(6), 739–741.
- Schmidt, U. (2005). Engagement and motivational interviewing. In P. J. Graham (Ed.), *Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families* (2nd ed., pp. 67–83). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schniering, C. A., & Rapee, R. M. (2004). The structure of negative self-statements in children and adolescents: A confirmatory

factor-analytic approach. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 32, 95–109.

- Schrodt, G. R., & Fitzgerald, B. A. (1987). Cognitive therapy with adolescents. American Journal of Psychotherapy, 41(3), 402– 408.
- Selman, R. L., & Lavin, D. (1979). Assessing interpersonal understanding: An interview and scoring manual in five parts. Cambridge: Harvard-Judge Baker Social Reasoning Project.
- Shirk, S. R. (1988). Introduction: A cognitive-developmental perspective on child psychotherapy. In S. R. Shirk (Ed.), *Cognitive development and child psychotherapy* (pp. 1–16). New York: Plenum Press.
- Shirk, S. R. (1998). Developmental therapy. In W. K. Silverman & T. H. Ollendick (Eds.), *Developmental issues in the clinical treatment of children*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Shirk, S. R. (1999). Development and the search for prescriptive child treatments. *Rochester Symposium on Developmental Psychol*ogy, 9, 311–340.
- Shirk, S. R. (2001). Development and cognitive therapy. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy, 15(3), 155–163.
- Shirk, S. R., & Karver, M. (2006). Process issues in cognitivebehavioral therapy for youth. In P. C. Kendall (Ed.), *Child and adolescent therapy: Cognitive-behavioral procedures* (pp. 465– 491). New York: Guilford.
- Silverman, W. K., & Kurtines, W. M. (1996). Anxiety and phobic disorders: A pragmatic approach. New York: Plenum Press.
- Silverman, W. K., Kurtines, W. M., Ginsburg, G. S., Weems, C. F., Rabian, B., & Serafini, L. T. (1999). Contingency management, self-control, and education support in the treatment of childhood phobic disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 67, 675–687.
- Silverman, W. K., Pina, A. A., & Viswesvaran, C. (2008). Evidencebased psychosocial treatments for phobic and anxiety disorders in children and adolescents. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 37(1), 105–130.
- Siqueland, L., Rynn, M., & Diamond, G. S. (2005). Cognitive behavioral and attachment based family therapy for anxious adolescents: Phase I and II studies. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 19(4), 361–381.
- Southam-Gerow, M. A., Kendall, P. C., & Weersing, V. R. (2001). Examining outcome variability: Correlates of treatment response in a child and adolescent anxiety clinic. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 30(3), 422–436.
- Spence, S. H., Donovan, C. L., March, S., Gamble, A., Anderson, R., Prosser, S., et al. (2008). Online CBT in the treatment of child and adolescent anxiety disorders: Issues in the development of BRAVE-ONLINE and two case illustrations. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 36(4), 411–430.
- Stallard, P. (2002a). Cognitive behaviour therapy with children and young people: A selective review of key issues. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 30, 297–309.
- Stallard, P. (2002b). A clinicians guide to Think Good, Feel Good: Using CBT with children and young people. West Sussex: Wiley.
- Stallard, P. (2009). Anxiety: Cognitive behaviour therapy with children and young people. East Sussex: Routledge.
- Steinberg, L. (2005). Cognitive and affective development in adolescence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 2, 69–74.
- Suveg, C., Comer, J. S., Furr, J. M., & Kendall, P. C. (2006a). Adapting manualized CBT for a cognitively delayed child with multiple anxiety disorders. *Clinical Case Studies*, 5, 488–510.
- Suveg, C., Roblek, T., Robin, J., Krain, A., Aschenbrand, S., & Ginsburg, G. S. (2006b). Parental involvement when conducting CBT. *International Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 20, 191–203.
- Suveg, C., Sood, E., Comer, J. S., & Kendall, P. C. (2009). Changes in emotion regulation following cognitive-behavioral therapy for

anxious youth. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 38(3), 390–401.

- Swensen, C. H. (1980). Ego development and a general model for counseling and psychotherapy. *Personnel & Guidance Journal*, 58(5), 382–387.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Wagner, E. F. (2003). Conceptualizing alcohol treatment research for Hispanic/Latino adolescents. Alcoholism-Clinical and Experimental Research, 27(8), 1349–1352.
- Wechsler, D. (2003). *Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children* (4th ed.). San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Weersing, V. R., Gonzalez, A., Campo, J. V., & Lucas, A. N. (2008). Brief behavioral therapy for pediatric anxiety and depression: Piloting an integrated treatment approach. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 15(2), 126–139.
- Weisz, J. R., & Hawley, K. M. (2002). Developmental factors in the treatment of adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70(1), 21–43.
- Weisz, J. R., & Weersing, V. R. (1999). Psychotherapy with children and adolescents: Efficacy, effectiveness, and developmental concerns. In D. Cicchetti & S. L. Toth (Eds.), *Rochester* symposium on developmental psychopathology (Vol.9): Developmental approaches to prevention and intervention (pp. 341– 386). Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Weisz, J. R., & Weiss, B. (1989). Cognitive mediators of the outcome of psychotherapy with children. Advances in Clinical Child Psychology, 12, 27–51.
- Wells, K. C., & Albano, A. M. (2005). Parent involvement in CBT treatment of adolescent depression: Experiences in the Treatment for Adolescents with Depression study (TADS). *Cognitive* and Behavioral Practice, 12(2), 209–220.
- Werner-Wilson, R. J. (2001). Developmental-systemic family therapy with adolescents. New York: The Haworth Clinical Practice Press.
- Westenberg, P. M., Gullone, E., Bokhorst, C. L., Heyne, D. A., & King, N. J. (2007). Social evaluation fear in childhood and adolescence: Normative developmental course and continuity of individual differences. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 25, 471–483.
- Westenberg, P. M., Hauser, S. T., & Cohn, L. D. (2004). Sentence completion measurement of psychosocial maturity. In M. Hersen (Ed.), *Comprehensive handbook of psychological assessment* (pp. 595–616). New York: Wiley.
- Wilkes, T. C. R., & Belsher, G. (1994). The initial phase of cognitive therapy: From assessment to setting goals. In T. C. R. Wilkes, G. Belsher, A. J. Rush, & E. Frank (Eds.), *Cognitive therapy for depressed adolescents* (pp. 113–131). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Wilkes, T. C. R., Belsher, G., Rush, A. J., & Frank, E. (1994). Cognitive therapy for depressed adolescents. New York: Guilford Press.
- Willner, P. (2006). Readiness for cognitive therapy in people with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 19(1), 5–16.
- Wilson, G. T., & Sysko, R. (2006). Cognitive-behavioural therapy for adolescents with bulimia nervosa. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 14(1), 8–16.
- Wolpert, M., Doe, J., & Elsworth, J. (2005). Working with parents: some ethical and practical issues. In P. J. Graham (Ed.), *Cognitive behaviour therapy for children and families* (2nd ed., pp. 103–120). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wood, J. J., McLeod, B. D., Sigman, M., Hwang, W., & Chu, B. C. (2003). Parenting behavior and childhood anxiety: Theory, empirical findings, and future directions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 44, 134–151.

Zachrisson, H. D., Rödje, K., & Mykletun, A. (2006). Utilization of health services in relation to mental health problems in adolescents: A population based survey. *BMC Public Health*, *6*, 34–40. Zarb, J. (1992). Cognitive restructuring interventions for adolescents. In Cognitive-behavioral assessment and therapy with adolescents (pp. 65–85). New York: Bruner/Mazel.