

Erratum to: The chemistry of some foliar litters and their sequential proximate analysis fractions

Caroline M. Preston · J. A. Trofymow

Published online: 9 September 2016
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

Erratum to: Biogeochemistry (2015) 126:197-209
DOI 10.1007/s10533-015-0152-x

Except for fescue, the values in the right hand column of Table 1 (CT fr ext) were incorrectly assigned by

species. The correction (below) does not affect the relevant text but allows readers to generate correct values of extractable and residual tannins in each sample.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10533-015-0152-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0152-x).

C. M. Preston (✉) · J. A. Trofymow
Pacific Forestry Centre, Natural Resources Canada, 506
W. Burnside Rd., Victoria, BC V8Z 1M5, Canada
e-mail: caroline.preston@canada.ca

Table 1 Concentrations of C, N, condensed tannins (CT, extractable plus insoluble) and total phenolics (TP), their corresponding percent remaining (% REM), $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values and fraction of CT that is extractable (fr ext) for starting litters and PA (proximate analysis) residue

Species	PA	Mass		C		N		CT		TP		$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	
		Residue	% REM	(g kg ⁻¹)	% REM	(g kg ⁻¹)	% REM	(g kg ⁻¹)	% REM	(g kg ⁻¹)	% REM	(‰)	fr ext
Black spruce ^a	Litter	100	506	100	7.5	100	50	100	43	100	-28.2	0.88	
	NPR	89	500	88	7.8	93	54	96	47	98	-27.8	0.90	
	WSR	69	524	72	8.8	81	6.3	8.7	9.8	16	-27.4	0.27	
Jack pine ^a	AUR	28	668	37	5.5	21	0.2	0.1	6.6	4.3	-29.6	0.00	
	Litter	100	517	100	14.4	100	19	100	21	100	-28.0	0.70	
	NPR	93	512	92	13.7	89	16	78	20	88	-27.9	0.72	
Douglas-fir ^a	WSR	78	519	78	14.4	78	3.9	16	6.8	25	-27.7	0.30	
	AUR	33	655	42	9.0	21	0.9	1.6	5.4	8.5	-29.9	0.00	
	Litter	100	520	100	6.2	100	30	100	31	100	-27.4	0.74	
Tamarack ^a	NPR	88	500	85	6.4	91	30	88	33	93	-27.2	0.78	
	WSR	76	504	73	6.4	79	5.1	13	11	27	-27.0	0.30	
	AUR	31	645	38	4.3	21	0.3	0.3	6.1	6.0	-29.1	0.00	
Trembling aspen ^a	Litter	100	510	100	6.2	100	210	100	82	100	-29.5	0.73	
	NPR	91	488	87	6.2	91	194	84	86	96	-29.2	0.76	
	WSR	60	485	57	5.4	52	12	3.3	19	14	-28.4	0.54	
Salal	AUR	24	614	29	3.0	12	0.2	0.02	5.6	1.6	-30.6	0.00	
	Litter	100	494	100	7.3	100	121	100	108	100	-28.4	0.96	
	NPR	91	475	88	7.2	90	108	82	109	92	-28.1	0.95	
Plains rough fescue ^a	WSR	56	480	55	9.3	72	7.2	3.4	11	5.9	-27.4	0.42	
	AUR	14	670	19	6.7	13	0.3	0.03	3.8	0.5	-30.7	0.00	
	Litter	100	497	100	4.1	100	116	100	59	100	-30.9	0.61	
Tamarack ^a	NPR	92	485	90	4.1	91	117	92	66	102	-30.6	0.65	
	WSR	66	498	66	4.5	72	12	6.6	17	18	-30.2	0.32	
	AUR	26	625	33	3.3 ^b	21	0.8	0.2	11	4.8	-32.5	0.00	
Plains rough fescue ^a	Litter	100	437	100	9.1	100	0.15	100	6.8	100	-26.1	1.00	
	NPR	91	433	90	8.1	81	0.02	15	6.8	92	-25.9	1.00	
	WSR	78	444	80	5.7	49	0	0	2.8	33	-25.7	0.00	
Tamarack ^a	AUR	11	523	13	6.5	8	0	0	5.5	9.1	-29.3	0.00	

^a Litters used in CIDET decomposition study

^b This value was incorrectly reported as 0.3 g kg⁻¹ (Preston et al. 1997)