



Correction to: An Absurd Consequence of Stanford's New Induction Over the History of Science: A Reply to Sterpetti

Moti Mizrahi¹

Published online: 11 June 2019
© Springer Nature B.V. 2019

Correction to: Axiomathes

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10516-019-09424-3>

In the Introduction section, 6th point under the paragraph “Given the parallels between Stanford’s PUA and the PUO, and those between Stanford’s NIS and the NIP, I have sketched the following *reductio* against Stanford’s NIS (Mizrahi 2016a, pp. 63–64):..... should read as

(6) Scientific antirealism is a philosophical theory.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10516-019-09424-3>.

✉ Moti Mizrahi
motimizra@gmail.com

¹ School of Arts and Communication, Florida Institute of Technology, 150 W. University Blvd., Melbourne, FL 32901, USA