CrossMark

ERRATUM

Erratum to: Group harmony in the workplace: Conception, measurement, and validation

Chao C. Chen^{1,2} · Ali F. Ünal³ · Kwok Leung⁴ · Katherine R. Xin⁵

Published online: 9 March 2016

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Erratum to: Asia Pacific Journal of Management DOI 10.1007/s10490-016-9457-0

During the printing of the original version of the article some unfortunate errors occurred.

Table 1: Item #4 "AFF - Have feelings of safety and securityx" contained a typo in securityx, x is now deleted.

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10490-016-9457-0.

Chao C. Chen chaochen@business.rutgers.edu

Ali F. Ünal afunal@uludag.edu.tr

Kwok Leung leungkwok@baf.cuhk.edu.hk

Katherine R. Xin katherinexin@ceibs.edu

- Department of Management and Global Business, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA
- Nanjing University Business School, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China
- Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey
- Department of Management, Chinese University of Hong Kong, No.12, Chak Cheung Street, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
- ⁵ China Europe International Business School (CEIBS), 699 Hongfeng Road, Pudong, Shanghai 201206, People's Republic of China



936 C.C. Chen et al.

Table 4: 1) in Steps 3 and 4 the beta weights (.06** and .07** respectively) were duplicated and incorrectly appeared in the column for t values, 2) " R^2 " in Step 3 should be " ΔR^2 ". The original version is now corrected and the correct Table 4 is shown below.

Table 4 Results of hierarchical regression analysis for the interaction of harmony and task conflict (n = 97 groups)

		Team innovation	
		b weight	t
Step 1	Group size	02	38
	Age	03	-1.27
	Education	.75***	3.49
	Organizational tenure	.01	.34
	Age heterogeneity	-1.92	-1.25
	Gender heterogeneity	54	94
	Tenure heterogeneity	.47	1.36
	Education heterogeneity	.42	.74
	Position heterogeneity	41	88
	Function heterogeneity	20	56
	Firm size	.07	.74
	Firm type	.14	.65
	Firm ownership (d1)	.19	.71
	Firm ownership (d2)	.03	.11
	R^2	.22 [†]	
Step 2			
•	Harmony	$.34^{\dagger}$	1.73
	ΔR^2	.03 [†]	
Step 3			
-	Task conflict	42*	-2.67
	ΔR^2	.06**	
Step 4			
•	Harmony × Task conflict	.87**	2.94
	ΔR^2	.07**	
R^2	.38		
Adjusted R ²	.25		
F (17, 79)	2.88**		

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ p < .10, *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001

