CORRECTION



Correction to: On the strong universal consistency of local averaging regression estimates

Matthias Hansmann¹ \cdot Michael Kohler¹ \cdot Harro Walk²

Published online: 29 August 2018 © The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Tokyo 2018

Correction to: Ann Inst Stat Math https://doi.org/10.1007/s10463-018-0674-9

There is a gap at the end of the proof of Theorem 1, since there the application of the conditional McDiarmid inequality yields

 $J_n - \mathbb{E}\{J_n | X_1, \dots, X_n\} \to 0 \quad a.s.,$

where $J_n = \int \left| \sum_{i=1}^n W_{n,i}(x) \cdot (Y_i - m(X_i)) \right| \mu(dx)$, and not yet the assertion

$$J_n \to 0$$
 a.s.

in the last step of the proof of Theorem 1. This gap can be filled by adding into assumption (A3) the second condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int |W_{n,i}(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \to 0 \quad a.s.$$
(29)

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10463-018-0674-9.

Michael Kohler kohler@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

> Matthias Hansmann hansmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Harro Walk walk@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

- ¹ Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
- ² Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

Using this condition together with $|Y| \le L$ a.s., it is easy to see that one has

$$\mathbf{E}\{J_n|X_1,\ldots,X_n\}\to 0 \quad a.s.,$$

which is still needed to obtain the assertion.

In order to verify (29) in the applications of Theorem 1, for kernel estimation in the context of Lemma 6 one notices that, up to some constant factor, the left-hand side of (29) is majorized by

$$\int \frac{1}{1+\sum_{i=1}^n I_{S_{r_1}}\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h_n}\right)} \mu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

which can be treated similarly to the verification of (A4) in Lemma 6. The verification of (29) for partitioning estimation in the context of Lemma 9 is analogous.

Details

Last part of the proof of Theorem 1. It remains to show

$$J_n \cdot I_{B_n} \to 0$$
 a.s.

Application of the conditional McDiarmid inequality as in the proof of Theorem 1 yields

$$J_n \cdot I_{B_n} - \mathbf{E}\{J_n \cdot I_{B_n} | X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow 0 \quad a.s.$$

Hence, it suffices to show

$$\mathbf{E}\{J_n|X_1,\ldots,X_n\}\to 0 \quad a.s. \tag{30}$$

By the inequality of Jensen, the independence of the data and $|Y| \le L a.s.$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{E}\{J_n|X_1,\ldots,X_n\})^2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}\{J_n^2|X_1,\ldots,X_n\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}\left\{\int \left|\sum_{i=1}^n W_{n,i}(x) \cdot (Y_i - m(X_i))\right|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \left|X_1,\ldots,X_n\right.\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n W_{n,i}(X) \cdot (Y_i - m(X_i))\right|^2 \left|X_1,\ldots,X_n\right.\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n W_{n,i}(X) \cdot (Y_i - m(X_i))\right|^2 \left|X,X_1,\ldots,X_n\right.\right\} \left|X_1,\ldots,X_n\right.\right\} \end{aligned}$$

🖄 Springer

$$= \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{n,i}(X)^2 \cdot \mathbf{E} \left\{ (Y_i - m(X_i))^2 \middle| X, X_1, \dots, X_n \right\} \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right\}$$

$$\leq 4L^2 \cdot \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{n,i}(X)^2 \middle| X_1, \dots, X_n \right\}$$

$$= 4L^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int |W_{n,i}(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Thus, (30) follows from (29).

Proof of (29) in the context of Lemma 6. On the one hand, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{n,i}(x)^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)^{2}} \le 1.$$

On the other hand, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{n,i}(x)^{2} \leq c_{2} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)^{2}} \cdot I_{\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right) > 0\right\}}$$
$$\leq c_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{n,i}(x)^{2} &\leq \min\left\{1, c_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)}\right\} \\ &\leq \min\left\{1, \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)}\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{1, \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}\right\} \cdot \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)}\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{1, \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}\right\} \cdot \frac{2}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)}. \end{split}$$

Hence, it suffices to show

$$W_n := \int \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n I_{S_{r_1}}\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n}\right)} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \to 0 \quad a.s.$$
(31)

Deringer

For any bounded sphere S around 0, by Lemma 2a and by assumption (9), we get

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_{S} \frac{1}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)} \mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right\}$$
$$=\int_{S} \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{1}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x-X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)}\right\} \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$\leq \int_{S} \frac{1}{n \cdot \mu(x+h_{n} \cdot S_{r_{1}})} \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$\leq \frac{const}{n \cdot h_{n}^{d}} \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty),$$

where the last inequality holds because of equation (5.1) in Györfi et al. (2002). Thus, it suffices to show

$$W_n - \mathbf{E}\{W_n\} \to 0 \quad a.s. \tag{32}$$

Analogously to the proof of (A4), with $X'_1, X_1, ..., X_n$ independent and identically distributed and

$$W'_{n} := \int \frac{1}{1 + I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x - X'_{1}}{h_{n}}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} I_{S_{r_{1}}}\left(\frac{x - X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right)} \mu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

by Lemma 4.2 in Kohler et al. (2003), one has

$$\mathbf{E}\{|W_n - \mathbf{E}\{W_n\}|^4\} \le c_{11} \cdot n^2 \cdot \mathbf{E}\{(W_n - W'_n)^4\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Furthermore, by the second part of Lemma 5 one gets

$$\mathbf{E}\{|W_n - W'_n|^4\} \leq 16 \cdot \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int \frac{I_{S_{r_1}}\left(\frac{x - X_1}{h_n}\right)}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^n I_{S_{r_1}}\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n}\right)\right)^2}\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^4\right\} \leq 16 \cdot \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\int \frac{I_{S_{r_1}}\left(\frac{x - X_1}{h_n}\right)}{1 + \sum_{j=2}^n I_{S_{r_1}}\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n}\right)}\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^4\right\} \leq \frac{const}{n^4}.$$

From these relations, one obtains (32) by the Borel–Cantelli lemma and the Markov inequality.

Deringer

Proof of (29) in the context of Lemma 9. Analogously to above it suffices to show

$$V_n := \int \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n I_{A_{\mathcal{P}_n}(x)}(X_j)} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \to 0 \quad a.s.$$

For any bounded sphere S around zero, by assumption (12) we get

$$\int_{S} \frac{1}{n \cdot \mu(A_{\mathcal{P}_n}(x))} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty),$$

from which by Lemma 2a we can conclude analogously to above

$$\mathbf{E}V_n \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Hence, it suffices to show

$$V_n - \mathbf{E}\{V_n\} \to 0 \quad a.s.,$$

which follows analogously to above from the second part of Lemma 7.