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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish sufficient conditions for an asymptotically linear elliptic boundary value problem to have at least seven solutions. We use the mountain pass theorem, Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction arguments, existence of solutions that change sign exactly once, and bifurcation properties. No symmetry is assumed on the domain or the non-linearity.
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## 1 Introduction

In the quest for multiple solutions to equations without symmetries, a central role is played by the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u+\lambda f(u)=0 & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}\right.
$$
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[^0]where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N>2$, is a bounded and smooth domain, and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of class $C^{1}$. We denote by $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k} \leq \cdots$ the sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with zero Dirichlet boundary condition in $\Omega$, and by $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a corresponding complete orthonormal sequence of eigenfunctions in the Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. We assume that $f(0)=0$ and that
(f1) $f^{\prime}(0) \leq 0$
(f2) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(t)=1$
(f3) $t f^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$.
Our main result is:
Theorem 1.1 If $k \geq 3$ and $\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{k+1}$ then there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that if $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+1}+\epsilon\right)$ then (1) has at least seven solutions.

Corollary 1.2 If $\lambda=\lambda_{k+1}$ then (1) has at least five solutions.
The existence of three solutions for problems such as (1) was established in [6]. The results of [6] were extended in [7] using Morse theory. Also the results in [6] were extended in [3] to prove the existence of five solutions. Using the minmax principle developed in [4], further description of such five solutions was established in [5]. The main minmax principle proved in [4] was in turn motivated by the ideas in [14]. For a recent result on the existence of four solutions to problem (1) when $f$ is superlinear and $\lambda$ is near an eigenvalue, the reader is referred to [13]. When $f$ is an odd function, using Liusternik-Schnierelmann methods one can establish that (1) has $2 k+1$ solutions (see [12]). For related results where the Morse index of solutions to (1) is estimated and used to find additional solutions, the reader is referred to [1,2,8,9].

Our proofs use extensively the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, critical groups and Morse indices. We take advantage of the maxmin characterization of a solution obtained using the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method to establish estimates on the $L_{\infty}$ norm of such a solution depending on the location of $\lambda$ with respect to $\lambda_{k}$ and $\lambda_{k+1}$ (see Sect. 4). The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are found in Sect. 6.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ denote the Hilbert space of square integrable functions having generalized firstorder partial derivatives in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in this space and by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ the norm in $L^{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq+\infty$. The Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ will be denoted by $|\cdot|$.

The solutions to (1) are the critical point of the functional $J_{\lambda}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda F(u)\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $F(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} f(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Because of (f2), $J \in C^{2}$ (see [12]) and, moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
D J(u) v & =\langle\nabla J(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v-\lambda f(u) v) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{2}\\
\left\langle D^{2} J(u) v, w\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla v \cdot \nabla w-\lambda f^{\prime}(u) v w\right) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall u, v, w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume the critical points of $J$ to be isolated. Without this assumption, problem (1) has infinitely many solutions. In Sect. 7, we clarify the nature of such solutions.

We recall that, if $u_{0}$ is a critical point of $J$, the Morse index of $J$ at $u_{0}$ is the maximal non-negative integer $m\left(J, u_{0}\right)$, or $m\left(u_{0}\right)$, such that there exists an $m\left(J, u_{0}\right)$-dimensional subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ on which $D^{2} J\left(u_{0}\right)$ is negative-definite. The augmented Morse index $m_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)$ is defined in a similar fashion, changing "negative-definite" by non-positive definite in the previous definition (see Sect. 3).

## 3 One-sign solutions

Let us note that (1) has a positive and a negative solution when $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$. This is a well-known consequence of the Mountain Pass Theorem. For the sake of completeness, we outline a proof of this result (see $[3,7,10,12]$ ). Let $f^{+}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined in the following way: $f^{+}(t):=f(t)$, for $t \geq 0$, and $f^{+}(t):=f^{\prime}(0) t$, for $t<0$. Let $J_{\lambda}^{+}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined by

$$
J_{\lambda}^{+}(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda F^{+}(u)\right) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

where $F^{+}(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} f^{+}(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Because of (f1)-(f2), $J_{\lambda}^{+}$satisfies the hypotheses of the Mountain Pass Theorem. Thus, $J_{\lambda}^{+}$has a critical point $u_{+}$of mountain pass type. That is, there exists $U$ neighborhood of $u_{+}$such that if $V \subset U$ is neighborhood of $u_{+}$, then $\{u \in$ $B ; J(u)<J\left(u_{+}\right)$is not connected. By the Strong Maximum Principle, $u_{+}>0$ in $\Omega$ and $u_{+}$ is a critical point of mountain pass type of $J_{\lambda}$. A negative solution $u_{-}$is obtained in a similar fashion and the outline is complete.

Also, by Theorem 2 of [11], if $W_{+}$(respectively, $W_{-}$) is a region containing $u_{+}$(respectivley, $u_{-}$) and no other critical point, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\nabla J, W_{+}, 0\right)=-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(respectively, $\left.d\left(\nabla J, W_{-}, 0\right)=-1\right)$. See also (3.10) of [3].

## 4 An augmented Morse index $k$ solution

Let us recall a global version of the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method. We refer the reader to [6] for details.

Lemma 1 Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and let $J: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of the class $C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be closed subspaces of $H$ such that $H=X \oplus Y$. Suppose there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D^{2} J(u) y, y\right\rangle \geq c\|y\|_{H}^{2} ; \quad \forall u \in H \quad \forall y \in Y . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:
(i) There exists a function $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, of the class $C^{1}$, such that

$$
J(x+\phi(x))=\min _{y \in Y} J(x+y) .
$$

Moreover, given $x \in X, \phi(x)$ is the unique element of $Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\nabla J(x+\phi(x)), y\rangle=0 \quad \forall y \in Y . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Functional $\widetilde{J}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\widetilde{J}(x):=J(x+\phi(x))$ for $x \in X$, is of class $C^{2}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \widetilde{J}(x) h=\langle\nabla \widetilde{J}(x), h\rangle=\langle\nabla J(x+\phi(x)), h\rangle \quad \forall x, h \in X . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Given $x \in X, x$ is a critical point of $\widetilde{J}$ if and only if $u=x+\phi(x)$ is a critical point of $J$.
(iv) If $x_{0} \in X$ is an isolated critical point of $\widetilde{J}$, then the local Leray-Schauder degree is preserved under reduction, i.e.,

$$
d_{l o c}\left(\nabla \widetilde{J}, x_{0}\right)=d_{l o c}\left(\nabla J, u_{0}\right) .
$$

Let $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right), X$ is the subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ generated by $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}\right\}$ and $Y$ is the closed subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ generated by $\left\{\varphi_{k+1}, \ldots,\right\}$. Due to (f2)-(f3), $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies (5) with $c=1-\left(\lambda / \lambda_{k+1}\right)>0$. Also $\lim _{x \in X,\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty} J_{\lambda}(x)=-\infty$. Since $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda}(x) \leq J(x), \widetilde{J}_{\lambda}$ attains it maximum value at some $x_{\lambda}$. Therefore, the Eq. (1) has a non-zero solution $v_{\lambda}=$ $x_{\lambda}+\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \equiv v$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}(v)=\max _{x \in X}\left(\min _{y \in Y} J_{\lambda}(x+y)\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the augmented Morse index of $J$ at $v$ is $k$ and its local degree is $(-1)^{k}$ (see [6] and [3] for further details).

The following lemma allows us to distinguish $v_{\lambda}$ from the solutions $u_{-}, u_{+}$discussed in Sect. 3. Similar ideas are used to distinguish solutions that change sign exactly once from higher Morse index solutions, see Lemma 7.
Lemma 2 If $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2$ then $v_{\lambda}$ is not a critical point of mountain pass type.
Proof Let $v_{\lambda}=x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}$ with $x_{\lambda} \in X$ and $y_{\lambda} \in Y$. Since any neighborhood of $v_{\lambda}$ contains a neighborhood of the form $A_{\varepsilon}=\left\{x+y ;\left\|x-x_{\lambda}\right\|<\varepsilon,\|y-\phi(x)\|<\varepsilon\right\}$, it is sufficient to prove that $B_{\varepsilon} \equiv\left\{u \in A_{\varepsilon} ; J_{\lambda}(u)<J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)\right\}$ is connected.

Let $x_{1}+y_{1} \in B_{\varepsilon}, x_{2}+y_{2} \in B_{\varepsilon}$. Since, for $i=1,2, J_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}+y_{i}\right) \geq J_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)$ we have $x_{i} \neq x_{\lambda}$ for $i=1,2$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(X) \geq 2, B=\left\{x \in X ;\left\|x-x_{\lambda}\right\| \in(0, \varepsilon)\right\}$ is connected. Hence, there exists a continuous function $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow B$ such that $\sigma(0)=x_{1}, \sigma(1)=x_{2}$. Since $\widetilde{J}$ attains a strict local maximum at $x_{\lambda}$ and $x_{\lambda} \notin B, J_{\lambda}(\sigma(t)+\phi(\sigma(t)))=\widetilde{J}_{\lambda}(\sigma(t))<$ $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}\right)$. From (5), $J_{\lambda}\left(x_{i}+(1-s) y_{i}+s \phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \leq J_{\lambda}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right)<J_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}\right)$ for all $s \in[0,1]$. Hence,

$$
\sigma_{1}(t)= \begin{cases}x_{1}+y_{1}+(s+1)\left(\phi\left(x_{1}\right)-y_{1}\right) & s \in[-1,0]  \tag{9}\\ \sigma(s)+\phi(\sigma(s)) & s \in[0,1] \\ x_{2}+\phi\left(x_{2}\right)+(s-1)\left(y_{2}-\phi\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & s \in[1,2]\end{cases}
$$

defines a continuous path in $B_{\varepsilon}$ connecting $x_{1}+y_{1}$ with $x_{2}+y_{2}$. This proves that $B_{\varepsilon}$ is connected. Hence, $v_{\lambda}$ is not a critical point of mountain pass, proving the lemma.
Lemma 3 For $v=v_{\lambda}$ as in (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k}+}\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}=+\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Assuming to the contrary, there exists a sequence $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{j}$ in $\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$ converging to $\lambda_{k}$ and a real number $m$ such that $\left\|v_{\mu_{j}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq m$ for all $j^{\prime} s$. From (f2) to (f3), we see that $m_{1} \equiv \max \left\{f^{\prime}(u) ;|u| \leq m\right\}<1$. Hence, for $j$, sufficiently large $\left|\mu_{j} f^{\prime}\left(v_{j}(x)\right)\right| \leq m_{1} \mu_{j}<$
$\lambda_{k}$. Hence, $D^{2} J_{\mu_{j}}\left(v_{\mu_{j}}\right)$ is positive definite on the closed subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ spanned by $\left\{\varphi_{k}, \varphi_{k+1}, \ldots\right\}$ which contradicts that $v_{j}$ has augmented Morse index $k$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4 There exists a real number $M$ such that if $\lambda \in\left(\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k+1}\right) / 2, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|,\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}\right\} \leq M \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $\lambda_{k}<\alpha \leq \beta<\lambda_{k+1}$. Because $F(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\beta}\left(v_{\beta}\right) & =\max _{x \in X}\left(\min _{y \in Y} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla(x+y)|^{2}}{2}-\beta F(x+y)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \\
& \leq \max _{x \in X}\left(\min _{y \in Y} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla(x+y)|^{2}}{2}-\alpha F(x+y)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \\
& =J_{\alpha}\left(v_{\alpha}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, for $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right) & =\max _{x \in X}\left(\min _{y \in Y} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla(x+y)|^{2}}{2}-\lambda F(x+y)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \\
& \geq \min _{y \in Y} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla(y)|^{2}}{2}-\lambda F(y)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \geq \min _{y \in Y} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla(y)|^{2}}{2}-\lambda \frac{y^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \geq 0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $v_{\lambda}=x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}$ with $x_{\lambda} \in X, y_{\lambda} \in Y$. From (f2), we see that $F(t)=t^{2} / 2-G(t)$ with $G(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} G(t) / t^{2}=0$.

From the definition of $G$, we see that there exists a positive number $M_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \leq \frac{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}}{8 \lambda_{k+1}} t^{2}+M_{1} \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $\lambda \in\left[\left(\lambda_{k+1}+\lambda_{k}\right) / 2, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right) \leq J_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}-\lambda \frac{x_{\lambda}^{2}}{2}+\lambda G\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k}}\right)\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \lambda G\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta . \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k+1}}{2 \lambda_{k}}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}}{8 \lambda_{k}}\right)\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{k+1}|\Omega| M_{1} \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{k+1}}{8 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{k+1}|\Omega| M_{1}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves that $x_{\lambda}$ is bounded.

Now we write $y_{\lambda}=y_{\lambda, 1}+y_{\lambda, 2}$ with $\Delta y_{\lambda, 1}+\lambda_{k+1} y_{\lambda, 1}=0$ and $\int_{\Omega} y_{\lambda, 1} y_{\lambda, 2} \mathrm{~d} \zeta=0$. Letting $\bar{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k+1}\right) / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\bar{\lambda}}\left(v_{\bar{\lambda}}\right) \geq & J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right|^{2}-\lambda x_{\lambda}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta+\left(1-\lambda / \lambda_{k+1}\right)\left\|y_{\lambda, 1}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(y_{\lambda, 2}\right)\right|^{2}-\lambda y_{\lambda, 2}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta+\int_{\Omega} \lambda G\left(v_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right|^{2}-\lambda x_{\lambda}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta+\left[1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+j+1}}\right]\left\|y_{\lambda, 2}\right\|^{2}\right), \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j$ is the multiplicity of $\lambda_{k+1}$. Since $\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|$ is bounded, $\left\|y_{\lambda, 2}\right\|$ is also bounded. Replacing this in (16) and using that $1-\lambda / \lambda_{k+1}>0$ yields that $\int_{\Omega} G\left(v_{\lambda}\right) d \zeta$ is also bounded. Since $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} G(t)=+\infty$ and the $y_{\lambda, 1}$ 's belong to a finite dimensional subspace, we see that $\left\|y_{\lambda, 1}\right\|$ is bounded. Thus, $\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|$ is bounded. By standard regularity theory for second-order elliptic operators we have $\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}$ is bounded, which proves the lemma.

Lemma 5 For $\lambda=\lambda_{k+1}$ the Eq. (1) has an augmented Morse index $k$ solution.

Proof Let $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{j}$ be a sequence in $\left[\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k+1}\right) / 2, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$ converging to $\lambda_{k+1}$. From Lemma 4 , we may assume that the sequence $\left\{v_{\mu_{j}}\right\}$ converges weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Hence, $\left\{\lambda_{j} f \circ v_{\mu_{j}}\right\}$ converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Thus, by the regularity properties of second-order elliptic operators, $\left\{v_{\mu_{j}}\right\}$ converges strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ to some element $v$. Thus, for each $\psi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left\langle\nabla v_{\mu_{j}}, \nabla \psi\right\rangle-\mu_{j} f\left(v_{\mu_{j}}\right) \psi\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\langle\nabla v, \nabla \psi\rangle-\lambda_{k+1} f(v) \psi\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $v$ is a solution to (1) with $\lambda=\lambda_{k+1}$.
From Lemma 4, $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq M$. Since $m_{2} \equiv \max \left\{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| ;|t| \leq 2 M+1\right\}<1$, for $y \in Y$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle D^{2} J_{\lambda_{k+1}}(v) y, y\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla y|^{2}-\lambda_{k+1} f^{\prime}(v) y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla y|^{2}-\lambda_{k+1} m_{2} y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& >0 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $v$ is a critical point of $J_{\lambda_{k+1}}$ and $m_{a}\left(J_{\lambda_{k+1}}, v\right) \leq k$.

On the other hand, if $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle D^{2} J_{\lambda_{k+1}}(v) x, x\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla x|^{2}-\lambda_{k+1} f^{\prime}(v) x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla x|^{2}-\lambda_{k+1} f^{\prime}\left(v_{\lambda_{j}}\right) x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \leq 0 . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $m_{a}\left(J_{\lambda_{k+1}}, v\right)=k$, which proves Lemma 5 .
Let $\delta>0$ be such that $\lambda_{k+1}(1-\delta)>\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k+1}\right) / 2$. Let $\alpha_{+}>0$ be the solution to $f\left(\alpha_{+}\right)=(1-\delta) \alpha_{+}$, and $\alpha_{-}<0$ the solution to $f\left(\alpha_{-}\right)=(1-\delta) \alpha_{-}$(see (f3)). We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\max \left\{\frac{(1-\delta) \alpha_{+}^{2}}{2}-F\left(\alpha_{+}\right), \frac{(1-\delta) \alpha_{-}^{2}}{2}-F\left(\alpha_{-}\right)\right\} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n>1$ be an integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{1}{n}>\max \left\{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{+}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{-}\right)\right\}, \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_{k} \leq \lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{(n-1) \lambda_{k+j+1}}{n} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta_{+}>\alpha_{+}$be such that $f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{+}\right)=(n-1) / n$, and $\beta_{-}<\alpha_{-}$such that $f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{-}\right)=(n-1) / n$.
We then define $\widehat{f}_{n}(t) \equiv \widehat{f}(t)=f(t)$ for $t \in\left(\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right), \widehat{f}(t)=f\left(\beta_{+}\right)+(n-1)\left(t-\beta_{+}\right) / n$ for $t \geq \beta_{+}$, and $\widehat{f}(t)=f\left(\beta_{-}\right)+(n-1)\left(t-\beta_{-}\right) / n$ for $t \leq \beta_{-}$. Let $\widehat{F}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \widehat{f}(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Thus, for any $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{F}(t) \geq \frac{(1-\delta) t^{2}}{2}-A \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\widehat{f^{\prime}}(t) \leq(n-1) / n$ for all $t, \widehat{F}(t) \leq(n-1) t^{2} /(2 n)-(t-1)((n-1) / n-f(1))$ for $t \geq 1$. Similarly, $\widehat{F}(t) \leq(n-1) t^{2} /(2 n)+(t+1)((n-1) / n-f(-1))$ for $t \leq-1$. Hence, there exists $a>0, b \in \mathbb{R}$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{F}(t) \leq \frac{(n-1) t^{2}}{2 n}-a|t|+b \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\widehat{f}(u)| \leq|f(u)| \leq|u|$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, by elliptic regularity theory, there exists $K>0$ (independent of $n$ ) such that if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k+j+1}\right)$, where $j$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k+1}$, and $u$ is a solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Delta u+\lambda \widehat{f}(u)=0 & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{24}\\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq K\|u\|_{2} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A, a, b$, and $K$ are independent of $n$, in addition to (21), we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{4} \lambda_{k+j+1}^{N} C^{N+2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{k+j+1} \delta 4 A|\Omega| \lambda_{k}}{2 a \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}\right)}+(A+b)|\Omega|\right)^{N+2}<\left(\frac{1}{6} \min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\}\right)^{4} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the constant given by imbedding of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ into $L^{2 N /(N-2)}(\Omega)$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{2 N /(N-2)}} \leq C\|u\| \text { for all } u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{J_{\lambda}}(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-\lambda \widehat{F}(u)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \min \left\{\beta_{+},-\beta_{-}\right\}, u$ is a critical point of $\widehat{J_{\lambda}}$ if and only if $u$ is a critical point of $J_{\lambda}$. Now, for each $\lambda \in\left(n \lambda_{k} /(n-1), n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$, the functional $\widehat{J}_{\lambda}$ has a critical point $v_{\lambda}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{J_{\lambda}}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=\max _{x \in X}\left(\min _{y \in Y} \widehat{J_{\lambda}}(x+y)\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (22) and (29),

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right) \equiv \widehat{J_{\lambda}}\left(x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}\right) \leq \widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla x_{\lambda}\right|^{2}}{2}-\lambda \widehat{F}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla x_{\lambda}\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\lambda(1-\delta) x_{\lambda}^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta+A|\Omega| . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{k+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 A|\Omega| \lambda_{k}}{\lambda(1-\delta)-\lambda_{k}} \leq \frac{4 A|\Omega| \lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}} \equiv M . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and the definition of $v_{\lambda}$ give for $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) & \geq \widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla\left(x_{\lambda}+y_{\lambda}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\lambda(n-1)}{2 n}\left(x_{\lambda}^{2}+y_{\lambda}^{2}\right)+a\left|v_{\lambda}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta-b|\Omega| \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla x_{\lambda}\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\lambda(n-1) x_{\lambda}^{2}}{2 n}+a\left|v_{\lambda}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta-b|\Omega| . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

This and (30) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{1} & \leq \frac{1}{2 a} \lambda(\delta-(1 / n))\left\|x_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}+(A+b)|\Omega| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 a \lambda_{1}} \lambda_{k+j+1} \delta M+(A+b)|\Omega| \\
& \equiv M_{1} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by Holder's inequality and the continuous imbedding of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ into $L^{2 N /(N-2)}(\Omega)$ (see 27)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{4 /(N+2)}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{2 N /(N+2)} \mathrm{d} \zeta \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|\right)^{4 /(N+2)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{2 N /(N-2)} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right)^{(N-2) /(N+2)} \\
& \leq M_{1} C\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|^{2-4 /(N+2)} . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v_{\lambda}$ satisfies (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega} \lambda \widehat{f}\left(v_{\lambda}\right) v_{\lambda} \mathrm{d} \zeta \leq \lambda_{k+j+1}\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (34) and (35), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \leq \lambda_{k+j+1}^{N / 4}\left(M_{1} C\right)^{(N+2) / 4} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (see 25, 26, 33), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{6} \min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\} \equiv M_{2} \text { for all } \lambda \in \lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that, for each $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right), v_{\lambda}$ is a solution to (1) and its augmented Morse index is $k$.

Also for each $\lambda \in\left(n \lambda_{k} /(n-1), n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$ let $\phi_{\lambda}: X \equiv\left\langle\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k}\right\rangle \longrightarrow Y \equiv X^{\perp}$ be as in Lemma 1 for the functional $\widehat{J}_{\lambda}$ defined as above. For later purposes, we state the following lemma that says that $\phi_{\lambda}$ is also $L^{\infty}$-continuous.

Lemma 6 For all $x \in X, \phi_{\lambda}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Moreover, given $x_{0} \in X$ and $\eta>0$, there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<\epsilon \Rightarrow\left\|\phi_{\lambda}(x)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{\infty}<\eta .
$$

Proof For a given $x \in X, \phi_{\lambda}(x) \in Y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla \phi_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \nabla y-f\left(x+\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right) y\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta=0 \quad \forall y \in Y \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that, in the weak sense, $\phi_{\lambda}(x) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta\left(\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right)=P_{Y}\left(f\left(x+\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right)\right. \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{Y}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow Y \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$ is the projection operator. Using that $f$ is Lipschitzian (see (f2)) and standard regularity theory for elliptic operators, a boot-strap argument shows that $\phi_{\lambda}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Similarly, given $x, x_{0} \in X, \phi_{\lambda}(x)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta\left(\phi_{\lambda}(x)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=P_{Y}\left(f\left(x+\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right)-f\left(x_{0}+\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same kind of arguments and the continuity of $\phi_{\lambda}: X \longrightarrow Y \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ imply the second assertion of Lemma 6.

Given $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$, we assume that $v_{\lambda}$ is an isolated critical point of $\widehat{J}_{\lambda}$. Hence, there exists $\epsilon_{0}=\epsilon_{0}(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=\widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}+\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right)>\widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(x+\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right) \quad \forall x \in D_{\epsilon_{0}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \cap X \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D_{\epsilon_{0}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \cap X=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):\left\|u-x_{\lambda}\right\|<\epsilon_{0}\right\} \cap X$ contains no other critical point of $x \mapsto J_{\lambda}\left(x+\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right)$. We observe that without our assumption of isolation of this critical point, there would exist infinitely many solutions of (1).

By applying Lemma 6 , there exists $\epsilon_{1} \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x-x_{\lambda}\right\|<\epsilon_{1} \Rightarrow\left\|x+\phi_{\lambda}(x)-v_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{6} \min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 A Morse index 2 solution

First, we note that, for $\lambda>\lambda_{2}$, (f1)-(f3) imply the hypotheses Theorem 1.3 of [5]. Hence, we have:

Theorem 5.1 If $\lambda>\lambda_{2}$ the Eq. (1) has a solution $w_{\lambda}$ that changes sign exactly once and whose Morse index is two. If isolated its local degree is +1 .

Let $v_{\lambda}$ as defined in the previous section. In order to distinguish $w_{\lambda}$ from $v_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in$ [ $\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)$ ) (see 8$)$, we recall how $w_{\lambda}$ is obtained and characterized in [5]. Let us take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\}<m . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma \in(1,1+2 / N)$ and let us define function $f^{*}=f_{m}^{*}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f^{*}(t)=f(t)$ for $t \in[-m, m], f^{*}(t)=f(m)+f^{\prime}(m)(t-m)+(t-m)^{\sigma}$ for $t \geq m$, and $f^{*}(t)=$ $f(-m)+f^{\prime}(-m)(t+m)-|t+m|^{\sigma}$ for $t \leq-m$. Let $F^{*}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(s) \mathrm{d} s$. We consider functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}^{*}(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-\lambda F^{*}(u)\right) \mathrm{d} \zeta . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the results of [5] (see Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3), there exist a solution $w_{\lambda}$ of (1) and $\epsilon_{2} \in\left(0, \epsilon_{1}\right)$ such that
(a) If $W:=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{\lambda}^{+}, w_{\lambda}^{-}\right\}$,

$$
J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}+w\right)<J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \quad \forall w \in W \cap D_{\epsilon_{2}}(0)
$$

and $D^{2} J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}\right)$ is negative-defined on $W$.
(b) There exists $\delta=\delta\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)>0$ such that, if $Z:=W^{\perp}$,

$$
J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}+w+z\right)<J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \quad \forall w \in W \cap \partial D_{\epsilon_{2}}(0) \quad \forall z \in Z \cap D_{\delta}(0) .
$$

(c) There exists a continuous function $\psi: Z \cap D_{\delta}(0) \longrightarrow W \cap D_{\epsilon_{2}}(0)$ such that, for each $z \in Z \cap D_{\delta}(0)$,

$$
J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}+\psi(z)+z\right)=\max _{w \in W \cap D_{\epsilon_{2}}(0)} J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}+w+z\right)>J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) .
$$

(d) If $c_{W}>0$ is a constant such that $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq c_{W}\|\cdot\|$ in $W$,

$$
c_{W} \epsilon_{2}<\frac{1}{6} \min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\} .
$$

Let $c:=c_{\lambda}=J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}\right)$ and $\epsilon_{3}>0$ such that $\epsilon_{3}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\epsilon_{2}, \delta\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x-x_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{3} \Rightarrow\left\|\phi_{\lambda}(x)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\epsilon_{2}, \delta\right\} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7 If $v_{\lambda}=w_{\lambda}$ and

$$
\sigma:[0,1] \longrightarrow \partial D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W=\left\{w_{\lambda}+w \in W:\|w\|=\epsilon_{3}\right\} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

a parametrization of $\partial D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W$, then $\sigma$ is homotopic to a point (or contractible) in the set

$$
E:=\left(J_{\lambda}^{*}\right)^{-1}(-\infty, c) \cap\left\{w_{\lambda}+w+z: w \in W \cap \bar{D}_{\epsilon_{2}}(0), \quad z \in Z \cap D_{\delta}(0)\right\}
$$

Proof Given $t \in[0,1]$, let us write $\sigma(t)=\sigma_{X}(t)+\sigma_{Y}(t)$, where $\sigma_{X}(t)$ (respectively $\sigma_{Y}(t)$ ) is the projection of $\sigma(t)$ on $X$ (respectively on $Y$ ). First, we observe that for every $s \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sigma_{X}(t)+\left((1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|(1-s)\left(\sigma(t)-w_{\lambda}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \quad+\left\|s\left[w_{\lambda}-\left(\sigma_{X}(t)+\phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|w_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|\sigma_{X}(t)-x_{\lambda}\right\| \leq\left\|\sigma(t)-w_{\lambda}\right\|=\epsilon_{3}<\epsilon_{1}$, because of the (37), (42), (46) and (d),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{X}(t)+\left((1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h:[0,1] \times[0,1] \longrightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be defined by $h(s, t)=\sigma_{X}(t)+\left[(1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+\right.$ $\left.s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right]$. By definition (see 28, 43 and 44) $J_{\lambda}(u)=\widehat{J_{\lambda}}(u)=J_{\lambda}^{*}(u)$ if $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}<$ $\min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\}$. From this, (47) and (a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=J_{\lambda}\left(w_{\lambda}\right)=J_{\lambda}^{*}\left(w_{\lambda}\right)>J_{\lambda}^{*}(\sigma(t))=\widehat{J}_{\lambda}(\sigma(t)) . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convexity of $\widehat{J}_{\lambda}$ on $Y$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{J}_{\lambda}(\sigma(t)) \geq \widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)+\left((1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)\right) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, because of (47),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{J_{\lambda}}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)+\left((1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)\right)=J_{\lambda}^{*}(h(s, t)) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (48-50), we conclude that $h(s, t) \in\left(J_{\lambda}^{*}\right)^{-1}(-\infty, c)$ for every $(s, t)$. To prove that $h(s, t) \in E$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{X}(t)+\left((1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)-w_{\lambda}\right\|<\min \left\{\epsilon_{2}, \delta\right\} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From our choice of $\epsilon_{3}$ (see 45),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sigma_{X}(t)+\left((1-s) \sigma_{Y}(t)+s \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)\right)-w_{\lambda}\right\| \leq\left\|\sigma_{X}(t)-x_{\lambda}\right\| \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\|(1-s)\left(\sigma_{Y}(t)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right)\right)\|+\| s\left[\phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right)\right] \| \\
& \left.\quad \leq\left\|\sigma(t)-w_{\lambda}\right\|+(1-s)\left\|\sigma(t)-w_{\lambda}\right\|+s \| \phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(t)\right)-\phi_{\lambda}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right) \| \\
& \quad<\epsilon_{3}+(1-s) \epsilon_{3}+s \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\epsilon_{2}, \delta\right\} \leq \min \left\{\epsilon_{2}, \delta\right\} . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that $h(0, \cdot)=\sigma(\cdot)$ and $h(1, \cdot)=\sigma_{X}(\cdot)+\phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(\cdot)\right)$. Hence, it suffices to prove that this curve is homotopic to a point in $E$. Since $\sigma_{X}:[0,1] \longrightarrow X \cap \overline{D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)} \backslash\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}$ is a closed curve and $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 3, \sigma_{X}$ is homotopic to a point in $X \cap \overline{D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)} \backslash\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}$. Let $k:[0,1] \times[0,1] \longrightarrow X \cap \overline{D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)} \backslash\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}$ be a homotopy connecting $\sigma_{X}$ to a point. Define $H:[0,1] \times[0,1] \longrightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by

$$
H(s, t)=k(s, t)+\phi_{\lambda}(k(s, t)) .
$$

This is a homotopy between $h(1, \cdot)=\sigma_{X}(\cdot)+\phi_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{X}(\cdot)\right)$ and a point. To complete the proof of the lemma, it simply remains to verify that $H([0,1] \times[0,1]) \subset E$. If $(s, t) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$, then because of (41),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\widehat{J}_{\lambda}\left(w_{\lambda}\right)>\widehat{J}_{\lambda}(H(s, t)) . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $k(s, t) \subset X \cap \overline{D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)} \subset X \cap \overline{D_{\epsilon_{1}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)}$, as a consequence of (42) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|k(s, t)+\phi_{\lambda}(k(s, t))\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\frac{1}{3} \min \left\{-\beta_{-}, \beta_{+}\right\} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $c>\widehat{J}_{\lambda}(H(s, t))=J_{\lambda}^{*}(H(s, t))$. The fact that $H(s, t) \in E$ is again a consequence of (45) since $k(s, t) \in X \cap \overline{D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)}$, which completes the proof.

Theorem 5.2 For all $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right), v_{\lambda} \neq w_{\lambda}$.
Proof Suppose $v_{\lambda}=w_{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$. Because of Lemma 7, the function $\sigma:[0,1] \longrightarrow \partial D_{\epsilon_{3}}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W=\left\{w_{\lambda}+w \in W:\|w\|=\epsilon_{3}\right\}$ can be extended to a continuous function

$$
\tilde{\sigma}: \bar{D}_{1}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W \longrightarrow E .
$$

Let us write $\widetilde{\sigma}(T)=w_{\lambda}+\widetilde{\sigma}_{W}(T)+\widetilde{\sigma}_{Z}(T)$ where $T \in \bar{D}_{1}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W$. Hence, $\widetilde{\sigma}_{W}(T) \in$ $W \cap D_{\epsilon_{2}}(0)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_{Z}(T) \in Z \cap D_{\delta}(0)$. Let $G:[0,1] \times\left(\bar{D}_{1}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W\right) \longrightarrow E$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s, T)=w_{\lambda}+\left[(1-s) \widetilde{\sigma}_{W}(T)+s \epsilon_{2}\left(\frac{\psi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{Z}(T)\right)-\widetilde{\sigma}_{W}(T)}{\left\|\psi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{Z}(T)\right)-\widetilde{\sigma}_{W}(T)\right\|}\right)\right]+\widetilde{\sigma}_{Z}(T) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (c) and (d) above, we see that $\left\|\psi\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{Z}(T)\right)-\widetilde{\sigma}_{W}(T)\right\| \neq 0$. Hence, $G$ is welldefined and continuous. Also, for each $T \in \bar{D}_{1}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W, G(0, T)=\widetilde{\sigma}(T)$ and $G(1, T) \in$ $\left\{w_{\lambda}+w+z: w \in W \cap \partial D_{\epsilon_{2}}(0)\right.$ and $\left.z \in Z \cap D_{\delta}(0)\right\}$. Hence, $G\left(0, \bar{D}_{1}\left(w_{\lambda}\right) \cap W\right)$ is homotopic to $S_{W}^{1}$, which is a contradiction, which proves that $v_{\lambda} \neq w_{\lambda}$.

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

Let $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{k+1}, n \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$. From Sect. 3 and Theorem 5.1, we see that (1) has four solutions; $u_{0}=0$, a positive solution $u_{1}$, a negative solution $u_{2}$, and a solution $u_{3}=w_{\lambda}$ that changes sign exactly once. As established in [5], Theorem 1.2, the local degree of $\nabla J_{\lambda}$ at $u_{3}$ is +1 . From Sect. 4 , we see that (1) has a solution $u_{4}=v_{\lambda}$ whose augmented Morse index is $k$. Since $k \geq 3$, from Lemma 2 and Theorem 5.2, $u_{4} \notin\left\{0, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$.

Let $j$ be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k+1}$. For $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+j+1}\right)$, arguing as in (8), we see that (1) has a solution $z_{\lambda}$ with augmented Morse index $k+j$ and local degree $(-1)^{k+j}$. Moreover, $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k+1}}\left\|z_{\lambda}\right\|=+\infty$ (Lemma 3). Hence, there exists $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \lambda_{k+1} /(n-1)\right)$ such that $\left\|z_{\lambda}\right\|>M_{2}$ for $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+1}+\varepsilon\right)$ (see 37). Also, by Lemma 2 and Theorem $5.2, z_{\lambda}$ is not a critical point of mountain pass type or a solution that changes sign exactly once. Thus, $z_{\lambda} \equiv u_{5} \notin\left\{0, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4}\right\}$.

From (f1), if $B$ is a sufficiently large ball, $d\left(\nabla J_{\lambda}, B, 0\right)=(-1)^{k+j}$. Letting $\eta>0$ be such that the only critical point of $\nabla J_{\lambda}$ in the ball centered at $u_{i}$ with radius $\eta$ is $u_{i}$, by the excision property of the Leray Schauder degree we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d & \left(\nabla J_{\lambda}, B-\cup_{i=0}^{5} B\left(u_{i}, \eta\right)\right)=d\left(\nabla J_{\lambda}, B, 0\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{5} d\left(\nabla J_{\lambda}, \cup_{k=0}^{5} B\left(u_{i}, \eta\right), 0\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k+j}-\sum_{i=0}^{5} d\left(\nabla J_{\lambda}, B\left(u_{i}, \eta\right), 0\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k+j}-\left(1+(-1)+(-1)+1+(-1)^{k}+(-1)^{k+j}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k} . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have also used that $J_{\lambda}$ has a strict local minimum at $u_{0}=0$ and (4). Hence, by the existence property of the Leray-Schauder degree, $J$ has a seventh critical point $u_{6} \in$ $B-\cup_{k=0}^{5} B\left(u_{i}, \eta\right)$. This proves Theorem 1.1.

For $\lambda=\lambda_{k+1}$ the solutions $0, u_{+}, u_{-}, v_{\lambda}, w_{\lambda}$ persist, which proves Corollary 1.2.

## 7 Non-isolated solutions

Double checking the above developments, one notes that the existence of a positive solution, a negative solution, and a solution that changes sign exactly once is independent of the assumption that the solutions are non-isolated. Also the Morse index $k+j$ solution may be chosen larger in norm that those that change sign exactly once as they are uniformly bounded while those of Morse index $k+j$ tend to $\infty$ as $\lambda>\lambda_{k+1}$ approaches $\lambda_{k+1}$. Thus, we conclude that when we assume the solutions to (1) to be non-isolated not only it has infinitely many solutions but also at least five of them are geometrically different: 0 , a positive solution, a negative solution, a solution that changes sign exactly once, and a Morse index $k+j$ solution.

## References

1. Aftalion, A., Pacella, F.: Qualitative properties of nodal solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in radially symmetric domains. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 339(5), 339-344 (2004)
2. Bartsch, T., Weth, T.: A note on additional properties of sign-changing solutions to superlinear elliptic equations. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 22(1), 1-14 (2003)
3. Castro, A., Cossio, J.: Multiple solutions for a nonlinear Dirichlet problem. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25, 15541561 (1994)
4. Castro, A., Cossio, J., Neuberger, J.M.: A sign changing solution for a superlinear Dirichlet problem. Rocky Mt. J.M. 27, 1041-1053 (1997)
5. Castro, A., Cossio, J., Neuberger, J.M.: A minmax principle, index of the critical point, and existence of sign-changing solutions to elliptic boundary value problems. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. 1998(02), 1-18 (1998)
6. Castro, A., Lazer, A.: Critical point theory and the number of solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 70, 113-137 (1979)
7. Chang, K.C.: Solutions of asymptotically linear operator equations via Morse theory. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34(5), 693-712 (1981)
8. Cossio, J., Herrón, S.: Nontrivial solutions for a semilinear Dirichlet problem with nonlinearity crossing multiple eigenvalues. J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns. 16(3), 795-803 (2004)
9. Cossio, J., Herrón, S., Vélez, C.: Existence of solutions for an asymptotically linear Dirichlet problem via Lazer-Solimini results. Nonlinear Anal. 71(1-2), 66-71 (2009)
10. Cossio, J., Vélez, C.: Soluciones no triviales para un problema de Dirichlet asintóticamente lineal. Rev. Colombiana Mat. 37, 25-36 (2003)
11. Hofer, H.: The topological degree at a critical point of mountain pass type. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 45, 501-509 (1986)
12. Rabinowitz, P.H.: Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, number 65. AMS, Providence, R.I. (1986)
13. Rabinowitz, P.H., Su, J., Wang, Z.-Q.: Multiple solutions of superlinear elliptic equations. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 18(1), 97-108 (2007)
14. Wang, Z.Q.: On a superlinear elliptic equation. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 8, 43-57 (1991)

[^0]:    A. Castro ( $\boxtimes$ )

    Department of Mathematics, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, CA 91711, USA
    e-mail: castro@math.hmc.edu
    J. Cossio • C. Vélez

    Escuela de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Medellín, Apartado Aéreo 3840, Medellín, Colombia
    e-mail: jcossio@unal.edu.co
    C. Vélez
    e-mail: cauvelez@unal.edu.co

