CORRECTION



Correction to: Management and prognosis of acute traumatic cervical central cord syndrome: systematic review and Spinal Cord Society—Spine Trauma Study Group position statement

P. K. Karthik Yelamarthy¹ · H. S. Chhabra¹ · Alex Vaccaro² · Gayatri Vishwakarma¹ · Patrick Kluger³ · Ankur Nanda¹ · Rainer Abel⁴ · Wee Fu Tan⁵ · Brian Gardner⁶ · P. Sarat Chandra⁷ · Sandip Chatterjee⁸ · Serdar Kahraman⁹ · Sait Naderi¹⁰ · Saumyajit Basu¹¹ · Francois Theron¹²

Published online: 20 November 2020

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: European Spine Journal (2019) 28:2390-2407 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-019-06085-z

Unfortunately, the original version of this article has been published with misplaced data under the heading "Meta-analysis". The details of the corrections and the corrected Fig. 2 are given.

1. Page 10, Right Column, 4th Paragraph, Line 9

The text "Figure 2c shows Forest plot including three studies [7, 11, 18] with 109 subjects (54 in surgical and 55 in conservative management)." needs to be replaced by "Figure 2c shows Forest plot including two studies [7, 18] with 62 subjects (31 in surgical and 31 in conservative management)."

2. Page 10, Right Column, 4th Paragraph, Line 13-14

The text "[MD=2.35, 95%CI (-5.38-10.07); $I^2=98\%$, p value=0.550]" needs to be replaced by [MD=6.92, 95%CI (-5.58-19.41); $I^2=98\%$, p value=0.280]

3. Page 10, Right Column, 4th Paragraph, Line 16–17

The text "[MD = 19.70, 95%CI (9.24–30.16); I^2 = 99%, p value < 0.001]" needs to be replaced by [MD = 23.90, 95%CI (14.45–33.35); I^2 = 96%, p value < 0.001]

4. Page 10, Right Column, 4th Paragraph, Line 18–19

The text "[MD = 13.36, 95%CI (5.37–21.35); I^2 = 97%, p value = 0.001]" needs to be replaced by [MD = 17.57, 95%CI (7.25–27.88); I^2 = 95%, p value = 0.0008]

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-019-06085-z.

- H. S. Chhabra drhschhabra@isiconline.org
- Spine Unit, Indian Spinal Injuries Centre, Sector C, VasantKunj, New Delhi, India
- Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Richard H. Rothman Hospital, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, USA
- ³ Berlin, Germany
- Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Klinikum Bayreuth GmbH, Bayreuth, Germany
- Department of Neurology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

- 6 London, UK
- Department of Neurosurgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Delhi, India
- West Bengal Health University, Kolkata, India
- ⁹ Anadolu Medical Centre, Istanbul, Turkey
- Department of Neurosurgery, Umraniye Teaching and Research Hospital, Health Sciences University, Istanbul, Turkey
- Park Clinic, Kolkata, India
- Department of Orthopedics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa



(A)

	Expe	rimen	tal	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI			
Anderson et al. 2012	89.9	14.6	69	63.2	25.8	69	16.5%	26.70 [19.71, 33.69]				
Bose et al. 1984	79.9	3.8	14	58.5	6.9	14	17.5%	21.40 [17.27, 25.53]	-			
Chen et al. 2009	81.9	20.9	49	54.9	18.7	49	16.1%	27.00 [19.15, 34.85]				
Devorak et al. 2005	92.3	11.6	70	58.7	27.5	70	16.5%	33.60 [26.61, 40.59]				
Guest et al.2002	87.65	31.2	50	60.1	11.45	50	15.4%	27.55 [18.34, 36.76]				
Jin et al. 2016	97.41	1.73	17	88.41	2.67	17	18.0%	9.00 [7.49, 10.51]				
Total (95% CI)			269			269	100.0%	23.90 [14.45, 33.35]	-			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 Test for overall effect: 2					P < 0.00	0001); I	²= 96%		-20 -10 0 10 20			

(B)

	Post			Рге				Mean Difference	Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI					
Aarabi et al. 2011	94.1	7.5	42	63.8	23.2	42	30.4%	30.30 [22.93, 37.67]				-		
Bose et al. 1984	66.5	5.4	14	50.6	5.6	14	34.1%	15.90 [11.82, 19.98]				-		
Jin et al. 2016	96.76	1.56	17	88.53	4.53	17	35.4%	8.23 [5.95, 10.51]						
Total (95% CI)			73			73	100.0%	17.57 [7.25, 27.88]			-	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	76.81; 0	chi²=:	37.36,	df = 2 (P	< 0.0	0001);1	r= 95%			1		1	-	
Test for overall effect	Z = 3.34	(P = 0)	.0008)						-50	-25	U	25	50	

(C)




Fig. 2 Forest plots of ASIA scores. a ASIA Score-Surgical group, b ASIA Score-Conservative group, c Surgical versus Conservative group

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.