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#### Abstract

In this work we prove that the set of points at infinity $S_{\infty}:=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}}(S) \cap \mathrm{H}_{\infty}$ of a semialgebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ that is the image of a polynomial map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is connected. This result is no longer true in general if $f$ is a regular map. However, it still works for a large family of regular maps that we call quasi-polynomial maps.
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## 1 Introduction

A map $f:=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a polynomial map if each component $f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]:=\mathbb{R}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$. A subset $S$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a polynomial image of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if there exists a polynomial map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $S=f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. More generally, the map $f$ is regular if each component $f_{i}$ is a regular function of $\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right)$,

[^0]that is, $f_{i}:=\frac{g_{i}}{h_{i}}$ is a quotient of polynomials such that the zero set of $h_{i}$ is empty. Analogously, a subset $S$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a regular image of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if it is the image $S=f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by a regular map $f$.

The present work continues the general study of polynomial and regular images of Euclidean spaces already began in [3,4]. A celebrated Theorem of Tarski-Seidenberg [ $1,1.4]$ says that the image of any polynomial map (and more generally of a regular map) $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a semialgebraic subset $S$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, that is, it can be written as a finite boolean combination of polynomial equations and inequalities, which we will call a semialgebraic description. By elimination of quantifiers $S$ is semialgebraic if it has a description by a first order formula possibly with quantifiers. Such a freedom gives easy semialgebraic descriptions for topological operations: interiors, closures, borders of semialgebraic sets are again semialgebraic.

In an Oberwolfach week [10] Gamboa proposed to characterize the semialgebraic sets of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ that are polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$. The open ones deserve a special attention in connection with the real Jacobian Conjecture [14,15,18]. The interest of polynomial (and also regular) images arises because there exist certain problems in Real Algebraic Geometry that can be reduced for such sets to the case $S=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (see [8,9]). Examples of such problems are:

- Optimization of polynomial (and/or regular) functions on $S$,
- Characterization of the polynomial (or regular functions) that are positive semidefinite on $S$ (Hilbert's 17th problem and Positivstellensatz),
- Computation of trajectories inside $S$ parametrizable by polynomial (or regular) maps.


### 1.1 Main Result

We denote the projective space of coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{m}\right)$ with $\mathbb{R P}^{m}$. It contains $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ as the set of points with $x_{0}=1$. The hyperplane at infinity $\mathrm{H}_{\infty}$ has equation $x_{0}=0$. Given a semialgebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, the set of points at infinity of $S$ is $S_{\infty}:=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m} m}(S) \cap \mathrm{H}_{\infty}$. Our main result in this work is the following.

Theorem 1.1 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a non-constant polynomial map and denote $S:=f\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Then $S_{\infty}$ is non-empty and connected.

It seems a difficult matter to provide a full geometric characterization of all polynomial and/or regular images $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$. We only know it for the 1-dimensional case [2]. Nevertheless, we have approached the representation as polynomial or regular images of ample families of $n$-dimensional semialgebraic sets whose boundaries are piecewise linear. We have focused on: convex polyhedra, their interiors, their exteriors and the closure of their exteriors $[6,8,9,23]$. The proofs are constructive but the arguments are developed $a d$ hoc. Two main difficulties arise:

- To develop a strategy to produce an either polynomial or regular map whose image is the desired semialgebraic set.
- To prove the surjectivity of the constructed map.

In [3] appear some straightforward properties that a polynomial (resp. regular) image $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ must satisfy: $S$ must be pure dimensional, connected, semialgebraic and its Zariski closure must be irreducible. It follows from [5, 3.1] that $S$ must be irreducible in the sense proposed in [5]. All these properties follow readily from the fact [7, 3.6]:
(*) Given two points $p, q \in S$, there exists a polynomial (resp. regular) image $L$ of $\mathbb{R}$ (also known as parametric semiline) contained in $S$ and passing through $p, q$.

There are many examples of semialgebraic sets with property $(*)$ that are polynomial images of no $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Take $S:=\{0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y\} \cup\{0 \leq y \leq x\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, which satisfies (*). By Theorem $1.1 S$ is a polynomial image of no $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ because its set of points at infinity is disconnected. Consequently Theorem 1.1 provides a new obstruction to be a polynomial image of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We wondered in $[4,7.3]$ about the number of connected components of the exterior of a polynomial image of dimension $\geq 2$. The first author was convinced that the answer was one, but the second author showed in [22] that this number can be arbitrarily large. Nevertheless, Theorem 1.1 is in the vein of the wrong initial position concerning the number of connected components of the exterior of a polynomial image.

### 1.2 Strategy of the Proof and Structure of the Article

The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves techniques inspired by those employed by Jelonek in his works $[14,15]$ where he studies the geometry of the set of points $\mathcal{S}_{f}$ at which an either complex or real polynomial map $f: \mathbb{K}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{m}$ is not proper ( $\mathbb{K}$ denotes either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ ). We highlight the following:

- Resolution of indeterminacy of rational maps defined on projective surfaces.
- Sufficient conditions to guarantee that the intersection of two connected complex projective curves of a complex projective surface is either empty or a singleton.
- A "rational" curve selection lemma.

For the sake of the reader we include a careful exposition of these techniques in Sect. 2. The reader can proceed directly to Sect. 3 and refer to the Preliminaries only when needed. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 in the more general setting of quasipolynomial maps. In Sect. 4 we show that the set of points at infinity of the image of a general regular map does not need to be connected and we provide some enlightening examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

We write $\mathbb{K}$ to refer indistinctly to $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We denote the hyperplane at infinity of $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K}):=\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$. Clearly, $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ contains $\mathbb{K}^{m}$ as the set $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{m} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})=$ $\left\{x_{0}=1\right\}$. If $m=1$, we denote the point at infinity $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with $\left\{p_{\infty}\right\}:=\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ and if $m=2$, we write $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{K}):=\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}$ for the line at infinity of $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. We use freely that the real projective space $\mathbb{R}^{P} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ can be immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ for $k$ large enough as an affine non-singular real algebraic variety [1, 3.4.4]. Thus, the closure in $\mathbb{R P}^{m}$ of a
semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is again a semialgebraic set. It will be useful to understand real algebraic objects as fixed parts under conjugation of complex algebraic objects that are invariant under conjugation.

### 2.1 Invariant Projective Objects

For each $n \geq 1$ denote the complex conjugation with

$$
\sigma:=\sigma_{n}: \mathbb{C P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{n}, z=\left(z_{0}: z_{1}: \cdots: z_{n}\right) \mapsto \bar{z}=\left(\overline{z_{0}}: \overline{z_{1}}: \cdots: \overline{z_{n}}\right)
$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{R P}^{n}$ is the set of fixed points of $\sigma$. A set $A \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ is called invariant if $\sigma(A)=A$. If $Z \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ is an invariant non-singular (complex) projective variety, then $Z \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a non-singular (real) projective variety. We say that a rational map $h: \mathbb{C P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ is invariant if $h \circ \sigma_{n}=\sigma_{m} \circ h$. Of course, $h$ is invariant if its components are homogeneous polynomials with real coefficients, so it provides by restriction a real rational map $\left.h\right|_{\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}$. We use freely usual concepts of Algebraic Geometry such as: rational map, regular map, divisor, blow-up, etc. and refer the reader to $[11,17,19,20]$ for further details. For the sake of the reader we denote complex dimension with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)$ and real dimension with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\cdot)$. Recall the following fact concerning the regularity of rational maps defined on a non-singular projective curve [17, 7.1].

Lemma 2.1 Let $Z \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ be a non-singular projective curve and $F: Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m} a$ rational map. Then $F$ extends to a regular map $F^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}$. In addition, if $Z, F$ are invariant, so is $F^{\prime}$.

One of the main tools is the resolution of indeterminacy of an invariant rational map. We provide a careful presentation of this well-known tool keeping track of the invariance properties along the process.

### 2.2 Resolution of Indeterminacy of an Invariant Rational Map

Let $Z_{0} \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be an invariant non-singular projective variety of dimension $d$ and $F_{\mathbb{C}}:=\left(F_{1}: \cdots: F_{m}\right): Z_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}$ an invariant rational map. To compute the set of indeterminacy of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ one proceeds as follows [19, III.1.4]. Consider for each $i=0, \ldots, m$ the divisor $D_{i}$ in $Z_{0}$ defined by $F_{i}$ and let $|D|:=\operatorname{hcd}\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}\right\}$ be the highest common divisor of the divisors $D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$. The divisors $D_{i}^{\prime}:=$ $D_{i}-|D|$ are relatively prime. By $\left[19\right.$, III.1.4.Theorem 2] the map $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ fails to be regular exactly at the points of the invariant set $Y_{\mathbb{C}}:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{m} \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, which has dimension $\leq d-2$. As $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ is invariant, it can be restricted to a real rational map $F_{\mathbb{R}}: Z_{0} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ whose set of indeterminacy is $Y_{\mathbb{R}}:=Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

We assume that $Z_{0}$ has dimension 2. As it is well-known, $F_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}$ admits an invariant resolution. Namely,

### 2.2.1. There exist

(i) An invariant non-singular projective surface $Z_{1} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{k}$ for some $k \geq 2$.
(ii) An invariant (composition of a) sequence of blow-ups $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{1} \rightarrow Z_{0} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ such that $\left.\pi_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}: Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \rightarrow Z_{0} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a biregular isomorphism and $Y_{\mathbb{C}}=\left\{p \in Z_{0}: \# \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(p)>1\right\}$.
(iii) An invariant regular map $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}$ such that

$$
\left.\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}=\left.F_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)} .
$$

In addition, for each $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ the irreducible components of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ are nonsingular projective curves $K_{i, y}$ that are biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ (via regular maps $\Phi_{i, y}: \mathbb{C P}^{1} \rightarrow K_{i, y}$ that are invariant for invariant $K_{i, y}$ ) and satisfy
(iv) If $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\sigma\left(K_{i, y}\right)=K_{i, \sigma(y)}$ and $K_{i, y} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}=\varnothing$,
(v) If $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, then either $K_{i, y} \cap \mathbb{R P}^{k}=\varnothing$ and there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\sigma\left(K_{i, y}\right)=$ $K_{j, y}$ or $\sigma\left(K_{i, y}\right)=K_{i, y}$ and $C_{i, y}=K_{i, y} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is a non-singular projective curve biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^{1}{ }^{1}$ (via the restriction map $\phi_{i, y}:=\left.\Phi_{i, y}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ : $\left.\mathbb{R P}^{1} \rightarrow C_{i, y}\right)$.

A triple $\left(Z_{1}, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ satisfying the previous properties is an invariant resolution for $F_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let us recall some terminology and results concerning blow-ups of non-singular projective varieties at non-singular centers, from which 2.2.1. follows readily.

### 2.3 Blow-Up with a Non-singular Variety as Center

Let $Z_{0} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ be a non-singular irreducible projective variety and $Y \subset Z_{0}$ a nonsingular subvariety. Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{m}$ be a system of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree that generates an ideal $I$ whose saturation

$$
\bar{I}:=\left\{H \in \mathbb{C}[z]:=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]:(z)^{k} H \subset I \text { for some } k \geq 0\right\}
$$

equals the ideal $\mathcal{J}(Y)$ of (homogeneous) polynomials of $\mathbb{C}[z]$ vanishing identically on $Y$.
2.3.1. The blow-up $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ of $Z_{0}$ with center $Y$ is the closure in $Z_{0} \times \mathbb{C P}^{m-1}$ of the set

$$
\left\{\left(z ;\left(H_{1}(z): \cdots: H_{m}(z)\right)\right) \in\left(Z_{0} \backslash Y\right) \times \mathbb{C P}^{m-1}\right\}
$$

together with the projection $\pi: \operatorname{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right) \subset Z_{0} \times \mathbb{C P}^{m-1} \rightarrow Z_{0}, \quad(z ; y) \mapsto z$ (see [11, 7.18] and [20, VI.2.2]). Recall the following facts:

- $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ is a non-singular irreducible projective variety of the same dimension as $Z_{0}$, independent of the choices made in the process.
- $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ is a non-singular hypersurface of $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right)$.
- $\left.\pi\right|_{\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right) \backslash \pi^{-1}(Y)}: \mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right) \backslash \pi^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow Z_{0} \backslash Y$ is a biregular isomorphism.
- $Y$ admits a finite cover by affine open subsets $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ satisfying $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \cong$ $U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C P}^{r-1}$ where $r:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(Z_{0}\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)$. In particular, the fiber of each $y \in Y$ is a projective space $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.
- If $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ are the irreducible components of $Y$, then they are pairwise disjoint and non-singular and it holds

$$
\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right) \cong \mathrm{Bl}_{Y_{r}}\left(\cdots \mathrm{Bl}_{Y_{2}}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{Y_{1}}\left(Z_{0}\right)\right) \cdots\right)
$$

If $Z_{0}, Y$ are invariant, $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ can be assumed invariant, too, by choosing an ideal $I$ with saturation $\mathcal{J}(Y)$ and whose generators are invariant (given any family of generators, consider the real and the imaginary parts of all of them). If we consider the immersion of $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ in some $\mathbb{C P}^{N}$ using Segre's map, also the regular map $\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right) \rightarrow Z_{0}$ is invariant.
2.3.2. Assume that $Z_{0}$ is an invariant non-singular projective surface and $Y$ is a finite invariant subset. Consider the invariant blow-up $\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right), \pi\right)$ of $Z_{0}$ with center $Y$ :
(i) For each $y \in Y$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$. If $y \in Y \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{N}$, there exists an invariant biregular equivalence between $\pi^{-1}(y)$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$. If $y \in Y \backslash \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{N}$, then $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{N}=\varnothing$.
(ii) If $C \subset Z_{0}$ is a non-singular curve not contained in the center $Y$, its strict transform

$$
\widetilde{C}:=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}\left(Z_{0}\right)}\left(\pi^{-1}(C \backslash Y)\right) \subset \pi^{-1}(C)
$$

is as well a non-singular curve. In addition, if there exists an invariant biregular equivalence $\Phi: \mathbb{C P}^{1} \rightarrow C$, the strict transform $\widetilde{C} \subset \pi^{-1}(C)$ of $C$ under $\pi$ is invariant and there exists an invariant biregular equivalence $\Psi: \mathbb{C P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{C}$.

Proof (Sketch of proof of statement 2.2.1.) To solve the indeterminacy of the rational map $F_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{m}$, one blows the set of points of indeterminacy $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ up and considers the composition $G$ of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the previous sequence of blowing-ups [19, IV.3.3.Theorem 3]. If $G$ is regular, the process is concluded. Otherwise one applies the previous procedure to $G$. In finitely many steps one achieves a regular map and the process finishes. By 2.3 we may assume that each rational map involved in the process is invariant, so in the last step of the process we obtain

- An invariant non-singular projective surface $Z_{1} \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{k}$ for some $k \geq 2$,
- An invariant sequence of blow-ups $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{1} \rightarrow Z_{0} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ and
- An invariant regular map $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}$ such that

$$
\left.\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}=\left.F_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}
$$

The triple $\left(Z_{1}, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ satisfies conditions (i) to (iii). The fiber of each point of $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ under $\pi$ is a complex projective curve by 2.3 whose irreducible components are non-singular rational curves while the fiber of each point of $Z_{0} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ under $\pi$ is a singleton. Thus, $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the fundamental set of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$, so (ii) holds. Assertions (iv) and (v) are straightforward consequences of 2.3.

### 2.4 Projective Curves Intersecting Each Other in a Singleton

It will be useful to know sufficient conditions that guarantee that the intersection of two connected complex projective curves of a complex projective surface is either empty or a singleton. The following result is a reformulation of [14, 4.6] and [15, 3.1].

Lemma 2.2 Let $X$ be a complex projective surface. Assume that

- $U \subset X$ is a connected orientable manifold such that

$$
H_{1}(U ; \mathbb{Z})=H_{2}(U ; \mathbb{Z})=0,
$$

- $U$ is dense in $X$ and the complement $A:=X \backslash U$ is a complex projective curve.

Let $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset A$ be two connected, complex projective curves without common irreducible components. Then the intersection $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is either the empty set or a singleton.

Proof The proof is conducted in several steps:
2.4.1. We prove first that $H^{1}(A ; \mathbb{Z})=0$.

Assume that $X$ is a compact polyhedron of dimension 4 and $A$ a closed subpolyhedron of $X$. As $U$ is an orientable real manifold of dimension 4, by Lefschetz duality [21, 6.1.11 \& 6.2.19] we have $H^{i}(X, A ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{4-i}(U ; \mathbb{Z})$ for $i=0, \ldots, 4$. By the long exact sequence of cohomology [21, 5.4.13]

$$
H^{1}(X, A ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{1}(A ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2}(X, A ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2}(U ; \mathbb{Z})=0
$$

so $H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{1}(A ; \mathbb{Z})$ is an epimorphism. As $U$ is a connected open dense subset of $X$, there exists by $[14,4.7]$ an epimorphism

$$
0=H_{1}(U ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

so $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})=0$. By the universal-coefficient theorem for cohomology [21, 5.5.3]

$$
H^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})
$$

so by $[21,5.5 .1] H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})=0$. Consequently, $H^{1}(A ; \mathbb{Z})=0$.
2.4.2. Let $C \subset A$ be a projective algebraic curve. Then $H^{1}(C ; \mathbb{Z})=0$. In particular, it holds $H^{1}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$.

Let $C^{\prime}$ be the union of the irreducible components of $A$ not contained in $C$. Clearly, $\mathcal{F}:=C \cap C^{\prime}$ is a finite set. As $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are analytic sets, they are locally contractible, so for each $x \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a neighborhood $V^{x}$ in $A$ such that

- $V^{x} \cap C$ and $V^{x} \cap C^{\prime}$ have the singleton $\{x\}$ as a deformation retract,
- $V^{x} \cap C \cap C^{\prime}=\{x\}$ and
- $V^{x_{1}} \cap V^{x_{2}}=\varnothing$ if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$.

It holds that $V:=C \cup \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{F}}\left(V^{x} \cap C^{\prime}\right)$ and $W:=C^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{F}}\left(V^{x} \cap C\right)$ are open subsets of $A$ such that $V \cup W=A, V \cap W=\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{F}}\left(V^{x} \cap\left(C \cup C^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $C, C^{\prime}$ are respective deformation retracts of $V$ and $W$. In addition, $\mathcal{F}$ is a deformation retract of $V \cap W$. By Mayer-Vietoris' exact sequence for cohomology [21, 5.4.9]

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=H^{1}(A ; \mathbb{Z})=H^{1}(V \cup W ; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow H^{1}(V ; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^{1}(W ; \mathbb{Z}) \\
& \rightarrow H^{1}(V \cap W ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{1}(\mathcal{F} ; \mathbb{Z})
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set, $H^{1}(\mathcal{F} ; \mathbb{Z})=0$, so $H^{1}(C ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{1}(V ; \mathbb{Z})=0$.

### 2.4.3. $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is either empty or a singleton.

Assume $C_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq \varnothing$. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be two open subsets of $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ such that

- $C_{i} \subset V_{i}$ is a deformation retract of $V_{i}$ for $i=1,2$,
- $V_{1} \cup V_{2}=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ and $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is a deformation retract of $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$
(for the construction of $V_{1}, V_{2}$ proceed similarly to 2.4.2). By Mayer-Vietoris' exact sequence for reduced cohomology [21,5.4.8 \& p. 240] applied to the open subsets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ of $C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ (whose intersection $V_{1} \cap V_{2} \supset C_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq \varnothing$ ), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{H}^{0}\left(C_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus \tilde{H}^{0}\left(C_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \tilde{H}^{0}\left(V_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus \tilde{H}^{0}\left(V_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& \quad \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{0}\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \tilde{H}^{0}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& \quad \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{1}\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \tilde{H}^{1}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are connected, $\tilde{H}^{0}\left(C_{i} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$, so $\tilde{H}^{0}\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$. Thus, the finite set $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is connected, so it is a singleton.

Example 2.3 Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a finite set and $U:=\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{F}$ its complement. Then $H_{1}(U, \mathbb{Z})=H_{2}(U, \mathbb{Z})=0$.

By Hurewicz's theorem $H_{1}(U, \mathbb{Z})$ is the abelianization of $\pi_{1}(U)=0$, so $H_{1}(U, \mathbb{Z})=0$. We identify $\mathbb{C}^{2} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{4}$. To compute $H_{2}(U, \mathbb{Z})$, we may assume $\mathcal{F}:=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ where $p_{k}:=(2 k-1,0,0,0)$. Notice that $D_{r}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{S}_{p_{i}}^{3}$ where $\mathbb{S}_{p_{i}}^{3}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}:\left\|x-p_{i}\right\|=1\right\}$ is a deformation retract of $U \equiv \mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash \mathcal{F}$. Observe

$$
\mathbb{S}_{p_{i}}^{3} \cap \mathbb{S}_{p_{j}}^{3}= \begin{cases}\left\{p_{i j}:=\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}\right\} & \text { if }|i-j|=1 \\ \varnothing & \text { if }|i-j|>1\end{cases}
$$

Denote $D_{k}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{S}_{p_{i}}^{3}$ and observe $H_{2}\left(D_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{2}\left(\mathbb{S}_{p_{1}}^{3} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$. By induction hypothesis, we assume $H_{2}\left(D_{r-1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$. By Mayer-Vietoris' exact sequence for homology [21, Sect. 4.6]

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=H_{2}\left(D_{r-1}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus & H_{2}\left(\mathbb{S}_{p_{r}}^{3} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(D_{r} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{2}(U ; \mathbb{Z}) \\
& \rightarrow H_{1}\left(D_{r-1} \cap \mathbb{S}_{p_{r}}^{3}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{1}\left(\left\{p_{r-1, r}\right\} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $H_{2}(U ; \mathbb{Z})=0$, as required.

Remark 2.4 Lemma 2.2 applies if $U$ is homeomorphic to the complement in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of a finite subset.

### 2.5 Rational Curve Selection Lemma

To finish this section we present the following variation of the curve selection lemma adapted to the situations we will approach later. We refer the reader to [16, 4.7] for a result of similar nature.

Lemma 2.5 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a regular map and $S:=f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $S^{\prime} \subset S$ be a semialgebraic dense subset of $S$ and $p \in \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}}(S) \backslash S$. Then there exist (after reordering the variables of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) a rational path

$$
\alpha:=\left( \pm \mathrm{t}^{k_{1}}, \mathrm{t}^{k_{2}} \mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}^{k_{n}} \mathrm{p}_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}(\mathrm{t})^{n}
$$

where

- $k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, k_{1}=\min \left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}<0$,
- $\mathrm{p}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ and $\mathrm{p}_{i}(0) \neq 0$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$
and an integer $r \geq 1$ such that for each $\beta \in(\mathrm{t})^{r} \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]^{n}$
(i) $p=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(f \circ(\alpha+\beta))(t)$ and
(ii) $(f \circ(\alpha+\beta))(t) \subset S^{\prime}$ for $t>0$ small enough.

Before proving the previous result, we need a technical lemma.
Lemma 2.6 Let $F \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ be a polynomial that is not identically zero and let $g \in \mathbb{R}((\mathrm{t}))^{n}$. Then for each $s \geq 1$ there exists $r \geq 1$ such that if $h \in(\mathrm{t})^{r} \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]]^{n}$, we have $F(g)-F(g+h) \in(t)^{s} \mathbb{R}[[t]]$.

Proof Write $g:=\frac{g^{\prime}}{\mathrm{t}^{k}}$ where $k \geq 0$ and $g^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]]^{n}$. Let z and $\mathrm{y}:=\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{n}\right)$ be variables. Write $F(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{zy})=F(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{z} H(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$ where $H \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]$ is a polynomial of degree $d$. Let $r:=s+k d$ and observe that if $h \in(\mathrm{t})^{r} \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]]^{n}$, we may write $h:=\mathrm{t}^{r} h^{\prime}$ where $h^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]]$ and

$$
F(g+h)-F(g)=\mathrm{t}^{r} H\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{\mathrm{t}^{k}}, h^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}^{r}\right)
$$

Observe that the order of the series $F(g+h)-F(g)$ is $\geq r-k d=s$, as required. $\square$
Proof of Lemma 2.5 The proof is conducted in several steps:
2.5.1. We may assume: $S^{\prime}$ is open in $S$.

As $S^{\prime}$ is dense in $S$ and $S$ is pure dimensional because it is the image of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ under a regular map, it holds that $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left(S \backslash S^{\prime}\right)$ has dimension $\leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}(S)-1$. Thus, $S^{\prime \prime}:=S \backslash \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left(S \backslash S^{\prime}\right) \subset S^{\prime}$ is dense and open in $S$. Changing $S^{\prime}$ with $S^{\prime \prime}$, we may assume that $S^{\prime}$ is open in $S$.
2.5.2. There exists a Nash path $\lambda:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $(f \circ \lambda)(0,1) \subset S^{\prime}$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)=q \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(f \circ \lambda)(t)=p$.

As $p \in \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m} m}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \backslash S^{\prime}$, there exists by the Nash curve selection Lemma [1, 8.1.13] a Nash path $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ such that $\gamma((0,1)) \subset S^{\prime}$ and $\gamma(0)=p$. Let $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k} \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1} \subset(0,1)$ be sequences such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=0$ and $f\left(x_{k}\right)=\gamma\left(t_{k}\right)$ for all $k \geq 1$. We may assume that $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ converges to $q \in \mathbb{R P}^{n}$. As $S=f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $p=\gamma(0) \in \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}(S) \backslash S$, we have $q \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \backslash \mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

As $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(f^{-1}(\gamma((0,1)))\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\gamma((0,1)))=1$ and

$$
q \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{R P}^{p}}\left(f^{-1}(\gamma((0,1)))\right) \backslash\left(f^{-1}(\gamma((0,1)))\right)
$$

there exists a Nash path $\lambda:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\lambda((0,1)) \subset f^{-1}(\gamma((0,1)))$ and $\lambda(0)=q$.
2.5.3. Construction of the integer $k_{1}$. After reparametrizing $\gamma$, we may assume $f \circ \lambda=$ $\gamma$, so there exist $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m} \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ such that

$$
f \circ \lambda=f\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{0}}\right)=\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\gamma_{m}}{\gamma_{0}}\right)=\gamma
$$

$\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(1: \lambda(t))=q$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(1: \gamma(t))=p$. As $q \in \mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we may assume after reordering the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ that the order

$$
k_{1}:=\omega\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}}\right)=\min \left\{\omega\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{0}}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}<0 .
$$

After a change of the type $t \mapsto t u(t)$ where $u \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ is a unit, we assume $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}}= \pm \mathrm{t}^{k_{1}}$ with $k_{1}<0$. Write

$$
\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{0}}=t^{k_{i}^{\prime}} \rho_{i} \quad \text { where } \begin{cases}k_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}, \rho_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]] \text { and } \rho_{i}(0) \neq 0 & \text { if } \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{0}} \neq 0 \\ k_{i}^{\prime}=0 \text { and } \rho_{i}=0 & \text { if } \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{0}}=0\end{cases}
$$

2.5.4. Construction of the integer $r$. Write $f:=\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{f_{m}}{f_{0}}\right)$ where $f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ and $f_{0}$ does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By Lemma 2.6 there exists $r_{0} \geq 1$ such that if $\mu:=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right) \in(\mathrm{t})^{r}{ }^{0} \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]]^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(1: \lambda(t)+\mu(t))=q \\
& \quad \text { and } \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(1: \frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}(\lambda(t)+\mu(t)): \cdots: \frac{f_{m}}{f_{0}}(\lambda(t)+\mu(t))\right)=p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S^{\prime}$ is open in $S$, also $f^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. As

$$
\lambda((0,1)) \subset f^{-1}(\gamma((0,1))) \subset f^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)
$$

there exist finitely many polynomials $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{q} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$ such that

$$
\lambda\left(\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right)\right) \subset\left\{g_{1}>0, \ldots, g_{q}>0\right\} \subset f^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)
$$

for some $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ small enough. Thus, $g_{i} \circ \lambda=a_{i} t^{\ell_{i}}+\cdots$ where $a_{i}>0$ and $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.6 there exists $r \geq \max \left\{r_{0}, k_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, k_{n}^{\prime}\right\}+1$ such that if $\eta \in(\mathrm{t})^{r} \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]]$, then

$$
\left(g_{i} \circ(\lambda+\eta)\right)-\left(g_{i} \circ \lambda\right) \in(\mathrm{t})^{s} \mathbb{R}[[\mathrm{t}]],
$$

where $s:=\max \left\{0, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{q}\right\}+1$. Consequently, if $\eta \in(\mathrm{t})^{r} \mathbb{R}[[t]]$, each series $g_{i} \circ(\lambda+\eta)>0$ for $t>0$ small enough, so $(\lambda+\eta)(t) \in f^{-1}(S)$ for $t>0$ small enough.
2.5.5. Construction of the rational path $\alpha$. Choose $\mu:=\left(0, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right) \in$ (t) $\mathbb{R}^{r}[[\mathrm{t}]]^{n}$ such that $\mathrm{t}^{-k_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{0}}+\mu_{i}\right)=\rho_{i}+\mathrm{t}^{-k_{i}^{\prime}} \mu_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}] \backslash\{0\}$. Write

$$
\mathrm{t}^{k_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{i}+\mathrm{t}^{-k_{i}^{\prime}} \mu_{i}\right)=\mathrm{t}^{k_{i}} \mathrm{p}_{i},
$$

where $k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{p}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ and $\mathrm{p}_{i}(0) \neq 0$. The rational path

$$
\alpha:=\left( \pm \mathrm{t}^{k_{1}}, \mathrm{t}^{k_{2}} \mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}^{k_{n}} \mathrm{p}_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}(\mathrm{t})^{n}
$$

and the integer $r$ satisfy the conditions in the statement.

## 3 Connectedness of the Set of Points at Infinity of a Polynomial Image

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. We approach this result in the more general framework of quasi-polynomial maps. Given a regular map

$$
f:=\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}, \cdots, \frac{f_{m}}{f_{0}}\right): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

where each $f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$, consider the invariant rational map

$$
F_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}, x:=\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(F_{0}(x): F_{1}(x): \cdots: F_{m}(x)\right),
$$

where $F_{i}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}: \mathrm{x}_{1}: \cdots: \mathrm{x}_{n}\right):=\mathrm{x}_{0}^{d} f_{i}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{\mathrm{x}_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\mathrm{x}_{n}}{\mathrm{x}_{0}}\right)$ and $d:=\max _{i=0, \ldots, m}\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)\right\}$. Let $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the set of indeterminacy of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ and write $F_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{0}^{e} F_{0}^{\prime}$ where $e \geq 0$ and $F_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}\left[\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$ is a homogeneous polynomial that is not divisible by $\mathrm{x}_{0}$. Observe that $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be restricted to a rational map $F_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}^{P^{n} \rightarrow} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}$ whose set of indeterminacy is $Y_{\mathbb{R}}:=Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}$.

Definition 3.1 We say that $f$ is a quasi-polynomial map if $F_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}^{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \subset \mathrm{H}_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{C})$ and no real indeterminacy point of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ belongs to $\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\}$, that is, $Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n} \cap$ $\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\}=\varnothing$.

Remarks 3.2 (i) The condition $F_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}^{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \subset \mathrm{H}_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{C})$ is equivalent to

$$
e:=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)\right\}-\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)>0
$$

(ii) If the polynomials $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are relatively prime, then

$$
Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cap\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\}=\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0, F_{1}=0, \ldots, F_{m}=0\right\}
$$

(ii) Quasi-polynomial maps include polynomial maps, but also more general regular maps. If $e>0$ and $\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}=\varnothing$, then $f$ is a quasi polynomial map. This occurs for instance if $e>0$ and $f_{0}:=b_{0}^{2}+\left(b_{1}^{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2 k}+\cdots+b_{n}^{2} \mathrm{x}_{n}^{2 k}\right)^{\ell}$ where $k, \ell \geq 0$ and $b_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
(iv) If $n=1$, the condition $e:=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)\right\}-\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)>0$ characterizes quasi-polynomial maps.
Theorem 3.3 Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a semialgebraic set that is the image of a quasipolynomial map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then $S_{\infty}$ is connected.

Proof of Theorem 3.3 for the case $n=2$ Write

$$
f:=\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}, \cdots, \frac{f_{m}}{f_{0}}\right): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

where each $f_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right]$ and $f_{0}$ has an empty zero set. Keep notations from 3.1 and assume $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)=1$, so the set of points of indeterminacy of $F_{\mathbb{K}}$ (where $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ ) is

$$
Y_{\mathbb{K}}=\left\{x_{0} F_{0}^{\prime}=0, F_{1}=0, \ldots, F_{m}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

By 2.2 $Y_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite subset of $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{K}) \cup\left(\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{K} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. As $f$ is quasi-polynomial, $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\}$. The proof is conducted in several steps:
Step 1. Initial Preparation. Let $\left(Z_{1}, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ be an invariant resolution for $F_{\mathbb{C}}$. Keep notations from 2.2.1. and denote

- $X_{1}:=Z_{1} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$, which is a non-singular real projective surface.
- $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}:=\left.\pi_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{X_{1}}: X_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, which is the composition of a sequence of finitely many blow-ups and its restriction $\left.\pi_{\mathbb{R}}\right|_{X_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{R}}\right)}: X_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a biregular isomorphism.
- $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}:=\left.\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{X_{1}}: X_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{m}$, which is a real regular map and satisfies

$$
\left.\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\right|_{X_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{R}}\right)}=\left.F_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{R}}\right|_{X_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{R}}}{ }^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{R}}\right)
$$

As $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, it holds $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for each point $x \in$ $X_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Thus,

$$
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(x)=F_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{R}}(x)=f\left(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(x)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

so $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right) \subset \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.
3.1.1. The strict transform $\mathrm{K}_{\infty}$ under $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ is an invariant non-singular rational curve and $\left.\pi_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{K_{\infty}}: \mathrm{K}_{\infty} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \equiv \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ is an invariant biregular isomorphism. Consequently, $\mathrm{C}_{\infty}:=\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is a real non-singular rational curve and it is the strict transform under $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
3.1.2. Define $\mathrm{E}:=\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}(z)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{2}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$ be its strict transform under $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is an invariant projective curve. As $f_{0}$ has empty zero set and $x_{0}$ does not divide $F_{0}^{\prime}$, the intersection $\mathrm{E} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a finite subset of $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. In addition $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathrm{E})=$ $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cup \bigcup_{y \in \mathrm{E} \cap Y_{\mathbb{C}}} \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$. We have:

### 3.1.3. $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}} \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)=\varnothing$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k} \subset \mathrm{C}_{\infty}$.

Let $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let us show $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)=\varnothing$. Otherwise, there exists $x \in \widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap$ $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$, so $y=\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(x) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{E}})=\mathrm{E}$. Consequently,

$$
y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathrm{E}=Y_{\mathbb{R}} \cap\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\}=\varnothing
$$

which is a contradiction. As $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \mathbb{R P}^{k}\right) \subset \mathrm{E} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k} \subset \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right) \backslash \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}} \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(y) \subset \mathrm{C}_{\infty}
$$

3.1.4. As $\left.\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}=\left.F_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}\right|_{Z_{1} \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)}$, it holds

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cup \mathrm{~K}_{\infty} \subset \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cup \mathrm{~K}_{\infty} \cup \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)
$$

Thus, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is an invariant projective curve whose irreducible components different from $\widetilde{E}$ are by 2.2.1. either singletons or non-singular rational curves.
3.1.5. The following diagram summarizes the achieved situation:


Step 2. We prove next:
3.1.6. $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is connected. Consequently, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ does not contain isolated points and its irreducible components different from $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$ are non-singular rational curves.

Indeed, by Stein's factorization theorem [13, III.11.5] applied to the projective morphism $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P} \mathbb{P}^{m}$, there exist a projective variety $V$ and projective morphisms $G_{1}: Z_{1} \rightarrow V$ and $G_{2}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{m}$ such that

- $G_{1}$ is surjective and its fibers are connected,
- $G_{2}$ is a finite morphism and
- $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}=G_{2} \circ G_{1}$.

To prove 3.1.6. it is enough to show that $\mathrm{H}:=G_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ and $G_{1}^{-1}(\mathrm{H})=$ $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ are connected.

### 3.1.7. H is connected.

As $G_{2}$ is finite, it is by [13, II.5.17] an affine morphism. Thus,

$$
G_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}\right)=G_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{m}\right) \backslash G_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)=V \backslash \mathrm{H}
$$

is an affine algebraic variety. As $V$ is a complete manifold, we deduce by [12, 6.2, p. 79] that $\mathrm{H}=V \backslash G_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ is connected because it is the complement in $V$ of an affine open subvariety.

### 3.1.8. $G_{1}^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is connected.

Suppose that $G_{1}^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is the disjoint union of two closed subsets $A_{1}, A_{2}$. As $G_{1}$ is proper and surjective, $G_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), G_{1}\left(A_{2}\right)$ are closed subsets of H and $\mathrm{H}=G_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup$ $G_{1}\left(A_{2}\right)$. In case $G_{1}\left(A_{i}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for $i=1,2$, the intersection $G_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap G_{1}\left(A_{2}\right) \neq \varnothing$ because H is connected. If $x \in G_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap G_{1}\left(A_{2}\right)$, the fiber

$$
G_{1}^{-1}(\{x\})=\left(G_{1}^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A_{1}\right) \sqcup\left(G_{1}^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A_{2}\right)
$$

is the disjoint union of two non-empty closed sets, so $G_{1}^{-1}(\{x\})$ is disconnected, which is a contradiction because the fibers of $G_{1}$ are connected.

Step 3. In the following we use Lemma 2.2 several times. To ease the procedure we point out the key facts. By 2.3.2. $A:=\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \cup Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is an algebraic curve whose irreducible components are non-singular rational curves. Observe that $U:=Z_{1} \backslash A=$ $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is a dense subset of $Z_{1}$ biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ (that is, the complement in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of a finite subset).
3.1.9. Let $B_{1}, B_{2} \subset A$ be two connected compact algebraic curves without common irreducible components. Then the intersection $B_{1} \cap B_{2}$ is either empty or a singleton by Lemma 2.2, Example 2.3 and Remark 2.4.

Step 4. By Zariski's Main Theorem [13, III.11.4] applied to the birational projective morphism $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}: Z_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{2}$, the fiber $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ is connected for each $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}$. We prove next:
3.1.10. If $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, the invariant projective variety $T_{y}:=\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is connected and $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T_{y}$ is a singleton. In addition, if $T_{y}$ has dimension 1 and $\mathrm{K}_{1, y}, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}_{r_{y}, y}$ are the invariant irreducible components of $T_{y}$, the projective curve $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{i, y}$ is connected and $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T_{y}=\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{i, y}$ is a singleton contained in $X_{1}$.

The clue to prove 3.1.10. is the following:
3.1.11. Let $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $T$ be an invariant connected union of irreducible components of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$. Let $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}$ be the invariant irreducible components of $T$ and denote $C_{i}:=K_{i} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$, which is by 2.2.1(v) a real non-singular rational curve for $i=$ $1, \ldots, r$. We have:
(i) The projective curve $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}$ is connected.
(ii) Suppose moreover $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T \neq \varnothing$. Then the intersection

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T=\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}
$$

is a singleton contained in $X_{1}$. In particular, $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}$ is connected.
(iii) We may order the indices $i=1, \ldots, r$ in such a way that $\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap C_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T$ is a singleton and $C_{i} \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{j}$ is a singleton for $i=2, \ldots, r$. In particular, the real projective curve $C:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} C_{i}$ is connected and $\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap C \neq \varnothing$.
We prove first 3.1.11.(i). If $T=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}$, there is nothing to prove.
Otherwise, denote the non-invariant irreducible components of $T$ with $K_{r+1}$, $\ldots, K_{S}$. Denote

$$
t:=\max \left\{\# \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F} \subset\{1, \ldots, r\}, \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{F}} K_{i} \text { is connected }\right\}
$$

and let us check $t=r$. Suppose by contradiction $t<r$. We may assume that $K:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{i}$ is connected. As each $K_{\ell}$ is connected, each intersection $K \cap K_{\ell}=\varnothing$ for $t<\ell \leq r$. As $T$ is connected and invariant and $K \cap \bigcup_{i=t+1}^{r} K_{i}=\varnothing$, we may assume $K \cap K_{r+1} \neq \varnothing$ and $\sigma\left(K_{r+1}\right)=K_{r+2}$. As $K$ is invariant, $K \cap K_{r+2} \neq \varnothing$, so $K \cup K_{r+1} \cup K_{r+2}$ is connected and invariant. Repeating the previous argument recursively, we find indices $r<r+2 j_{0} \leq s$ and $t<\ell \leq r$ such that (after reordering the indices $i=r+1, \ldots, s$ )

$$
K_{\ell} \cap\left(K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2 j_{0}} K_{j}\right) \neq \varnothing
$$

and for each $1 \leq j \leq j_{0}$ it holds

- $K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2 j} K_{i}$ is connected and invariant and
- $\sigma\left(K_{r+2 j-1}\right)=K_{r+2 j}$.

Such indices $r+2 j_{0}, \ell$ exist because $T=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} K_{i}$ is connected.
The invariant connected projective curves $K_{\ell}$ and $K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2 j} K_{j}$ are contained in $A:=\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \cup Y_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ because $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$. The non-empty invariant intersection $K_{\ell} \cap\left(K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2 j} K_{j}\right)$ is by 3.1.9. a singleton $\left\{p_{\ell}\right\} \subset X_{1}=Z_{1} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$. As $K_{i} \subset$ $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{k} \backslash \mathbb{R}^{k}$ for $i=r+1, \ldots, s$ (see 2.2.1(iv)), we have $p_{\ell} \in K_{\ell} \cap K \neq \varnothing$, which is a contradiction. Then $r=t$, so $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}$ is connected.

Next we prove 3.1.11.(ii). Since $\mathrm{K}_{\infty}, T \subset A$ are invariant connected projective curves, the non-empty invariant intersection $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T$ is by 3.1.9 a singleton $\{p\} \subset X_{1}$. Thus, $p \in T \cap \mathbb{R}^{k} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}$ because the non-invariant irreducible components of $T$ are by 2.2.1(v) contained in $\mathbb{C P}^{k} \backslash \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}$. Consequently, $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T=\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}=$ $\{p\}$.

Finally, we show 3.1.11(iii). As $p \in X_{1}=Z_{1} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}$,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap C=\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} C_{i}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}\right) \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}=\{p\} \neq \varnothing .
$$

We may assume $\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap C_{1} \neq \varnothing$, that is, $\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap C_{1}=\{p\}=\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap T$. As $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{r}$ is connected, we claim: We may order the indices $i=2, \ldots, r$ in such a way that $K_{i}$ intersects the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{j}$ for $i=2, \ldots, r$.

Indeed, as $K=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{i}$ is connected, the intersection $K_{1} \cap \bigcup_{i=2}^{r} K_{i} \neq \varnothing$ and we assume $K_{1} \cap K_{2} \neq \varnothing$. As $K$ is connected, the intersection of $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ and $\bigcup_{i=3}^{r} K_{i} \neq \varnothing$ is non-empty. We may assume that $K_{3}$ intersects $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ and proceeding this way we prove the claim.

Next, since $K_{i}$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{j}$ are invariant connected projective curves contained in $A$, the non-empty invariant intersection $K_{i} \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{j}$ is by 3.1.9. a singleton $\left\{q_{i}\right\} \subset X_{1}$. Thus,

$$
q_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k} \cap K_{i} \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{j}=C_{i} \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{j}
$$

so $C_{i} \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{j} \neq \varnothing$ for $i=2, \ldots, r$. As each $C_{i}$ is a non-singular curve biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{1}$, we deduce that the projective curve $C:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} C_{i}$ is connected.
3.1.12. Now we are ready to prove 3.1.10. As $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\infty}\right)=\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$, we deduce $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \mathrm{K}_{\infty} \neq \varnothing$. If $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$, the intersection $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)=\varnothing$ and by 3.1.3. $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)=\varnothing$. Consequently, by 3.1.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{y} \cap \mathrm{~K}_{\infty} & =\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right) \cap \mathrm{K}_{\infty} \\
& =\left(\left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \mathrm{K}_{\infty}\right) \cup \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)\right) \cap \mathrm{K}_{\infty}=\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \mathrm{K}_{\infty} \neq \varnothing
\end{aligned}
$$

so by 3.1.11.(ii) $T_{y} \cap \mathrm{~K}_{\infty}$ is a singleton. Denote

$$
R_{y}:=\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cup \mathrm{~K}_{\infty} \cup \bigsqcup_{z \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}, z \neq y}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(z) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)\right)
$$

and observe $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)=T_{y} \cup R_{y}$ by 3.1.4. Using again $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)=\varnothing$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)=\varnothing$ if $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$, we deduce $T_{y} \cap R_{y}=T_{y} \cap \mathrm{~K}_{\infty}$, which is a singleton. Consequently, if $T_{y}$ was disconnected, then $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right.$ ) would have been disconnected, which contradicts 3.1.6. Thus, the first part of claim 3.1.10. holds. The second part follows readily from 3.1.11.

Step 5. Next we show:
3.1.13. $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is connected. Consequently, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)$ is also connected.

As $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is invariant, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)=\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$. By 3.1.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \cup \mathrm{~K}_{\infty} \cup \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and consider $T_{y}:=\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. If $T_{y}$ is a singleton, we deduce by 3.1.10 that $T_{y} \subset \mathrm{~K}_{\infty}$. Otherwise we denote the invariant irreducible components of $T_{y}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{1, y}, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}_{r_{y}, y}$. As the non-invariant irreducible components of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ do not intersect $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ by $2.2 .1(\mathrm{v})$, we deduce $T_{y} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{i, y} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}$.

In addition by 2.2 .1 (iv) $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}=\varnothing$ for all $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote the subset of points of $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $T_{y}$ is not a singleton with $Y_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}$. If we intersect expression (3.1) with $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}$, we deduce by 3.1.3

$$
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)=\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})\right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}=\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cup \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{i, y} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}
$$

By 3.1.10. the projective curve $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{i, y}$ is connected and the intersection $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{i, y}$ is a singleton $\left\{p_{y}\right\}$ for each $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}$. By 3.1.11(iii) each projective curve $\mathrm{C}_{y}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{C}_{i, y}$ is connected and each intersection $\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cap \mathrm{C}_{y} \neq \varnothing$. As $\mathrm{C}_{\infty}=$ $\mathrm{K}_{\infty} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{k}$ is by 3.1.1. connected, we conclude that

$$
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)=\mathrm{C}_{\infty} \cup \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{y}} \mathrm{C}_{i, y}
$$

is connected too.

Final Step. Conclusion. As $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)$ is connected, to prove that $S_{\infty}$ is connected, too, it is enough to show that both sets are equal, that is,

$$
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)=S_{\infty}
$$

As $X_{1}=Z_{1} \cap \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is compact, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, so the restriction

$$
\left.\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\right|_{\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}: \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

is also proper. As $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is dense in $X_{1}$ and

$$
S=f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=F_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right) & =\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)\right)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left(f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}(S),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}(S)$. As $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}(S) \sqcup S_{\infty} & =\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}}(S)=\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{X_{1}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)\right)=\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(X_{1}\right) \\
& =\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right) \sqcup \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequenlty, $S_{\infty}=\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)$, which is by 3.1.13. connected, as required.
We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We present an independent proof from the one of Theorem 3.3. This is enlightening for the proof of Theorem 3.3 for $n \geq 3$.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.1) For $n=1$ the result follows from [2, 1.1]. To prove that $S_{\infty}$ is connected if $n \geq 2$, it is enough to show that for any given pair of points $p, q \in S_{\infty}$ there exists a connected subset of $S_{\infty}$ containing $p$ and $q$. By Lemma 2.5 there exist polynomials $\mathrm{p}_{i}, \mathrm{q}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ such that $\mathrm{p}_{i}(0), \mathrm{q}_{i}(0) \neq 0$ and integers $k_{i}, \ell_{i}$ such that the rational paths $\alpha:=\left(\mathrm{t}^{k_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}^{k_{n}} \mathrm{p}_{n}\right)$ and $\beta:=\left(\mathrm{t}^{\ell_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}^{\ell_{n}} \mathrm{q}_{n}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(f \circ \alpha)(t)=p \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(f \circ \beta)(t)=q
$$

At least one couple $\left(k_{i}, \ell_{j}\right)$ is of negative integers. Consider the polynomials
$P_{i}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{y}^{\left|k_{i}\right|} \mathrm{p}_{i}(\mathrm{x}) & \text { if } k_{i}<0, \\ \mathrm{x}^{k_{i}} \mathrm{p}_{i}(\mathrm{x}) & \text { if } k_{i} \geq 0\end{array}\right.$ and $Q_{i}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}(-\mathrm{y})^{\left|\ell_{i}\right|} \mathrm{q}_{i}(\mathrm{x}) & \text { if } \ell_{i}<0, \\ \mathrm{x}^{\ell_{i}} \mathrm{q}_{i}(\mathrm{x}) & \text { if } \ell_{i} \geq 0\end{array}\right.$
and let

$$
h:=\frac{\mathrm{xy}+1}{2}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)+\frac{1-\mathrm{xy}}{2}\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right) .
$$

Consider the polynomial map $g:=f \circ h: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and observe

- $T_{0}:=\operatorname{im}(g) \subset \operatorname{im}(f)=S$, so $T_{0, \infty} \subset S_{\infty}$.
- $p=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g\left(t, \frac{1}{t}\right) \in T_{0, \infty}$ and $q=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g\left(t,-\frac{1}{t}\right) \in T_{0, \infty}$.

As $T_{0, \infty}$ is connected for $n=2$ by Theorem 3.3, we are done.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.3

Now we prove Theorem 3.3 for an arbitrary $n$.
Proof (Proof of Theorem 3.3 for an arbitrary n.) The case $n=1$ follows from $[2,1.4]$. Assume $n \geq 2$ and write $f:=\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{f_{m}}{f_{0}}\right)$ where each $f_{j} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}]$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)=1$ and $f_{0}$ does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The proof is conducted in several steps:
3.2.1. Initial assumptions to simplify the proof. Assume $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j}\right)$ for $j=$ $1, \ldots, m$. After a change of the type $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \mapsto\left(y_{1}, y_{2}+b_{2} y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}+b_{m} y_{1}\right)$ where $b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ we can suppose

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)=\cdots=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)=d>\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)=d-e
$$

for some $e \geq 1$. Denote

$$
F_{j}:=\mathrm{x}_{0}^{d} f_{j}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{\mathrm{x}_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\mathrm{x}_{n}}{\mathrm{x}_{0}}\right)
$$

and $F_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{0}^{e} F_{0}^{\prime}$ where $e \geq 1$ and $F_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}\left[\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$ is not divisible by $\mathrm{x}_{0}$. Notice that $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ does not divide $F_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$ because $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{j}\right)=d$. After a change of the type $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+a_{2} x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+a_{n} x_{1}\right)$ where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ we can suppose $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(f_{j}\right)$ for each $j$.
3.2.2. Equivalent formulation for the statement. To prove that $S_{\infty}$ is connected, it is equivalent to show: There exists a point $p_{0} \in S_{\infty}$ such that for any other point $q \in S_{\infty}$ there exists a connected subset of $S_{\infty}$ containing $p_{0}$ and $q$.

We will prove this last fact. Fix

$$
p_{0}:=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(F_{0}: F_{1}: \cdots: F_{m}\right)\left(1: \frac{1}{t}: 0: \cdots: 0\right) \in S_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})
$$

and let $q \in S_{\infty}$. By Lemma 2.5 there exist a rational path

$$
\alpha:=\left(t^{k_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}^{k_{n}} \mathrm{p}_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}(\mathrm{t})^{n}
$$

where

- $k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, k_{i_{0}}:=\min \left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}<0$,
- $\mathrm{p}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ with $\mathrm{p}_{i}(0) \neq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\mathrm{p}_{i_{0}}= \pm 1$
and an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $q=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(f \circ(\alpha+\beta))(t)$ for each $\beta \in(\mathrm{t})^{r} \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]^{n}$. After the change $t \rightarrow t^{6}$ we may assume $k_{i_{0}} \leq-6$ and even. We keep all initial notations.
3.2.3. Construction of an auxiliary regular map. Write $\mathrm{p}_{i}:=\sum_{j=0}^{d_{i}} a_{i j} \mathrm{t}^{j}$ where $d_{i}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathrm{p}_{i}\right)$ and consider the formula

$$
P_{i}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=\sum_{j+k_{i}<0} a_{i j} Y^{\left|k_{i}+j\right|}+\sum_{j+k_{i} \geq 0} a_{i j} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{e_{j}} \mathrm{Y}}{\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{q_{j}}}
$$

where $j+k_{i}+1=4 q_{j}+e_{j}, q_{j} \geq 0$ and $0 \leq e_{j} \leq 3$ for each $0 \leq j \leq d_{i}$ such that $j+k_{i} \geq 0$. Observe $P_{i}\left(\mathrm{t}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\mathrm{t}^{k_{i}} \mathrm{p}_{i}(\mathrm{t})$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $P_{i_{0}}=\mathrm{p}_{i_{0}} \mathrm{Y}^{\left|k_{i}\right|}=$ $\pm y^{\left|k_{i}\right|}$.

Denote $\ell_{0}:=\max _{i}\left\{q_{d_{i}}: d_{i}+k_{i} \geq 0\right\}$ and notice $\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]$ for each $\ell \geq \ell_{0}$. In addition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{i}\right) & \leq \max \left\{-k_{i}+4 \ell, 4 \ell-4 q_{j}+e_{j}+1: k_{i}+j \geq 0\right\} \\
& \leq 4 \ell+\max \left\{-k_{i}, 4\right\} \leq 4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equality $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{i}\right)=4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|$ holds if and only if $k_{i}=k_{i_{0}}$. As $\left|k_{i_{0}}\right| \geq 6$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{i}\right) \\
\quad \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2\left(\ell-q_{d_{i}}\right)+3 \text { if } k_{i}+d_{i} \geq 0 \\
2 \ell
\end{array} \quad \text { if } k_{i}+d_{i}<0\right.
\end{array}\right\}<2 \ell+4<4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right| .
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\ell:=\max \left\{\ell_{0}, r\right\}$ and define

$$
h_{i}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):= \begin{cases}\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} & \text { if } i=0 \\ \left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{p}_{1}(0) \mathrm{x}^{4 \ell+\left|k_{i}\right|} & \text { if } i=1 \\ \left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{i}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) & \text { if } i=2, \ldots, n\end{cases}
$$

Consider the regular map $h:=\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{h_{n}}{h_{0}}\right): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\mathrm{t}, 0) & =\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}(0) \mathrm{t}^{4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
\text { and } h\left(\mathrm{t}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}\right) & =\alpha(\mathrm{t})+\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}(0) \mathrm{t}^{8 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|}, 0, \ldots, 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(f \circ h)\left(\frac{1}{t}, 0\right)=p_{0}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(f \circ h)\left(t, \frac{1}{t}\right)=q$.
3.2.4. Construction of an auxiliary quasi-polynomial map such that $p_{0}, q$ belong to the set of points at infinity of its image. Let $g:=f \circ h: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and denote
$g_{i}:=F_{i}\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}\left[\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}\right]$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, m$. Observe $g=\left(\frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{g_{m}}{g_{0}}\right)$ and $g_{0}$ does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We claim: $g$ is a quasi-polynomial map and $p_{0}, q \in$ $g\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{\infty}$.

First we have

- $T_{0}:=\operatorname{im}(g) \subset \operatorname{im}(f)=S$, so $T_{0, \infty} \subset S_{\infty}$.
- $p_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g\left(\frac{1}{t}, 0\right) \in T_{0, \infty}$ and $q=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g\left(t, \frac{1}{t}\right) \in T_{0, \infty}$.
- $g_{0}=\left(\left(u_{1} \mathrm{u}_{2}-1\right)^{2}+\mathrm{u}_{2}^{4}\right)^{e \ell} F_{0}^{\prime}\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$.

In addition,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{0}\right)=d\left(4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|\right)-e\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|<d\left(4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|\right)=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{m}\right)\right\}
$$

Indeed, since

- $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(f_{0}\right)=d-e$,
- $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(f_{j}\right)=d$,
- $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right) \leq 4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|, \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|$ and
- $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)(\mathrm{t}, 0)=\left(1, \mathrm{p}_{1}(0) \mathrm{t}^{4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{0}\right) & =4 e \ell+(d-e)\left(4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|\right)=d\left(4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|\right)-e\left|k_{i_{0}}\right| \\
& <d\left(4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $j=1, \ldots, m$.
Let $\mu:=4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|$ and write $H_{i}:=\mathrm{u}_{0}^{\mu} h_{i}\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}, \frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right)$ and $G_{j}:=\mathrm{u}_{0}^{d \mu} g_{j}\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}, \frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right)$, which are homogeneous polynomials. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{j} & =\mathrm{u}_{0}^{d \mu} F_{j}\left(h_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}, \frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right), h_{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}, \frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right), \ldots, h_{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}, \frac{\mathrm{u}_{2}}{\mathrm{u}_{0}}\right)\right) \\
& =F_{j}\left(H_{0}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $G_{0}=u_{0}^{e\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|} G_{0}^{\prime}$ where $G_{0}^{\prime}:=\left(\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{2}-\mathrm{u}_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{u}_{2}^{4}\right)^{e \ell} F_{0}^{\prime}\left(H_{0}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right)$. Counting degrees one realizes: $u_{0}$ does not divide $G_{0}^{\prime}$.

In the following all zero sets are considered in $\mathbb{R P}^{n}$. To prove that $g$ is quasi-polynomial it only remains to check $\left\{G_{0}^{\prime}=0, G_{1}=0, \ldots, G_{m}=0\right\}$ $=\varnothing$.

Indeed, as $\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0, F_{1}=0, \ldots, F_{n}=0\right\}=\varnothing$, the following equality holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{G_{0}^{\prime}=0, G_{1}=0, \ldots, G_{m}=0\right\}=\left\{H_{0}=0, \ldots, H_{n}=0\right\} \\
& \quad \cup\left\{\left(\left(u_{1} u_{2}-u_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+u_{2}^{4}\right)^{e \ell}=0, G_{1}=0, \ldots, G_{m}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & =u_{0}^{\left|k_{i}\right|}\left(\left(u_{1} u_{2}-u_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+u_{2}^{4}\right)^{\ell}, \\
H_{1}\left(0, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & = \begin{cases}p_{1}(0) u_{1}^{4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|} & \text { if } k_{i 0}<k_{1}, \\
p_{1}(0)\left(\left(u_{1}^{2} u_{2}^{2}+u_{2}^{4}\right)^{\ell} u_{2}^{\left|k_{i}\right|}+u_{1}^{4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|}\right) & \text { if } k_{i 0}=k_{1},\end{cases} \\
H_{i}\left(0,0, u_{2}\right) & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \geq 2 \text { and } k_{i 0}<k_{i}, \\
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(0) u_{2}^{4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|} & \text { if } i \geq 2 \text { and } k_{i 0}=k_{i} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left\{H_{0}=0, \ldots, H_{n}=0\right\}=\varnothing$ because

- $H_{0}=0$ provides $u_{0}=0$,
- $H_{1}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=0$ provides $\mathrm{u}_{1}=0$ (we have used here that $k_{i_{0}}$ is even) and
- $H_{i_{0}}\left(0,0, u_{2}\right)=0$ provides $u_{2}=0$.

On the other hand $\left(\left(u_{1} u_{2}-u_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+u_{2}^{4}\right)^{e \ell}=0$ provides $u_{0}=0, u_{2}=0$. As $G_{1}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =G_{1}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}, 0\right) \\
& =F_{1}\left(H_{0}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}, 0\right), H_{1}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}, 0\right), \ldots, H_{n}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}, 0\right)\right)=F_{1}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}^{\mu}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last equality use that $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\left((\mathrm{xy}-1)^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{4}\right)^{\ell} P_{i}\right)<4 \ell+\left|k_{i_{0}}\right|$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. As $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(f_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)$, we obtain $a:=F_{1}(0,1,0, \ldots, 0) \neq 0$. As

$$
0=F_{1}\left(0, \mathrm{u}_{1}^{\mu}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=a \mathrm{u}_{1}^{d \mu}
$$

we get $u_{1}=0$, so $\left\{\left(\left(u_{1} u_{2}-u_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}+u_{2}^{4}\right)^{e \ell}=0, G_{1}=0, \ldots, G_{m}=0\right\}=\varnothing$. Therefore $\left\{G_{0}^{\prime}=0, G_{1}=0, \ldots, G_{m}=0\right\}=\varnothing$, so $g: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a quasi-polynomial map.
3.2.5. Conclusion. By Theorem 3.3 for the case $n=2$ (already proved in 3.1) applied to the quasi-polynomial map $g$, we deduce that $T_{0, \infty}=\left(g\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)_{\infty} \subset S_{\infty}$ is connected and since $p_{0}, q \in T_{0, \infty}$, we are done.

The set of points at infinity of a semialgebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a semialgebraic subset of the hyperplane of infinity $\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{m}$. It seems reasonable to ask the following.

Question 3.4 Let $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ be a connected closed semialgebraic subset of $\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Is there a polynomial (or a quasi-polynomial) map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)_{\infty}=\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ?

For $m=2$ the answer is positive but for higher dimension we have no further information.

Example 3.5 For each connected closed semialgebraic subset $\mathrm{S}_{0} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a polynomial map $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)=2$ and $\left(f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)_{\infty}=$ $\mathrm{S}_{0}$.

If $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ is not a singleton, the assertion follows from [11,12,23]. On the other hand, the polynomial map $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(x, y^{2}+x^{2}\right)$ satisfies $\left.f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)_{\infty}=\{(0: 1: 0)\}$, which is a singleton.

Remarks 3.6 We have introduced quasi-polynomial maps to understand the limitations of the image of a regular map to have a connected set of points at infinity. The following examples show that they do not enjoy a very nice behavior.
(i) The composition of the quasi-polynomial maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(x, y^{2}\right) \\
& \text { and } f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{x^{3}}{1+x^{2}+y^{2}}, \frac{y^{3}}{1+x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is not a quasi-polynomial map.
(ii) The image of the quasi-polynomial map $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, t \mapsto\left(\frac{1}{1+t^{2}}, 1+t^{2}\right)$ is the semialgebraic set $S=\{x y=1, y \geq 1\}$, which is not a polynomial image of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## 4 Set of Points at Infinity of a Regular Image of $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$

We have proved in Sect. 3 that the set of points at infinity of the image of a quasipolynomial map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is connected. This is no longer true in general for regular maps even if $n=1$.

### 4.1 Preliminary Examples

We present some examples to illustrate the previous fact and to show that the conditions in the statement of Theorem 3.3 are sharp.

Examples 4.1 (i) The image of the regular map

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left((x y-1)^{2}+x^{2}, \frac{1}{(x y-1)^{2}+x^{2}}\right)
$$

is $S:=\{a>0, a b=1\}$, so $S_{\infty}=\{(0: 1: 0),(0: 0: 1)\}$ is disconnected.
(ii) The image of the regular map

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{x^{2}}{1+y^{2}}, \frac{y^{2}}{1+x^{2}}\right)
$$

is $S:=\{a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a b<1\}$, so $S_{\infty}=\{(0: 1: 0),(0: 0: 1)\}$ is disconnected. If we write $f:=\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}, \frac{f_{2}}{f_{0}}\right)$ where each $f_{i}$ is a non-zero polynomial, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)=$ $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}\right)\right\}$.
(iii) The image of the regular map

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{\left(1+x^{4}\right) y^{6}}{\left(1+y^{4}\right)^{2}}, \frac{\left(1+y^{4}\right) x^{4}}{\left(1+x^{4}\right)^{3}}\right)
$$

is a semialgebraic set $S$ such that $S_{\infty}=\{(0: 1: 0),(0: 0: 1)\}$ is disconnected. If we write $f:=\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}, \frac{f_{2}}{f_{0}}\right)$ where each $f_{i}$ is a non-zero polynomial, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)<$
$\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}\right)\right\}$. The set $Y_{\mathbb{C}}=\{(0: 1: 0),(0: 0: 1)\}$ of indeterminacy of the rational map

$$
F_{\mathbb{C}}:=\left(F_{0}: F_{1}: F_{2}\right): \mathbb{C P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{2}
$$

is contained in $\left\{F_{0}^{\prime}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ where $F_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}^{4}+\mathrm{x}_{1}^{4}\right)^{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}^{4}+\mathrm{x}_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}$ and $F_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{0}^{2} F_{0}^{\prime}$.
Proof Observe that $f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \subset\left\{a^{2} b \leq 1, a \geq 0, b \geq 0\right\}$ because

$$
\left(\frac{\left(1+x^{4}\right) y^{6}}{\left(1+y^{4}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\left(1+y^{4}\right) x^{4}}{\left(1+x^{4}\right)^{3}}\right)=\left(\frac{y^{4}}{1+y^{4}}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{x^{4}}{1+x^{4}}\right) \leq 1
$$

Thus, $S_{\infty} \subset\{(0: 1: 0),(0: 0: 1)\}$. To prove the converse inclusion it is enough to pick two rational paths $\alpha_{i}:(0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\alpha_{i}(t)\right\|^{2}=+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)=+\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\left(\alpha_{1}(t)\right)=0, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{g_{1}}{g_{0}}\left(\alpha_{2}(t)\right)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{g_{2}}{g_{0}}\left(\alpha_{2}(t)\right)=+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

For instance, $\alpha_{1}(\mathrm{t}):=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}, 1\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}(t):=\left(1, \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ do the job.
The following question arises naturally.
Question 4.2 Given a closed semialgebraic subset $\mathrm{S}_{0} \subset \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R P}^{2}:$ Is there a regular map $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\left(f\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)_{\infty}=\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ?

In case $S_{0}$ is either connected or a finite set, the answer to Question 4.2 is by Example 3.5 above and Proposition 4.3 below affirmative.

### 4.2 More Sophisticated Examples

If $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ is a finite set, we proceed as follows.
Proposition 4.3 Let $H_{i}:=c_{i} \mathrm{x}-d_{i} \mathrm{Y}$ be linear equations such that $c_{i} d_{i} \neq 0$ and the lines $\ell_{i}:=\left\{H_{i}=0\right\}$ are pairwise different. Denote $p_{i}:=\left(0: d_{i}^{2}: c_{i}^{2}\right)$. Then the image of the regular map

$$
h:=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{x^{2}}{1+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}(x, y)^{2}}, \frac{y^{2}}{1+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}(x, y)^{2}}\right)
$$

is a semialgebraic set $S$ such that $S_{\infty}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$.
Proof Observe first

$$
h\left(\frac{d_{i}}{t}, \frac{c_{i}}{t}\right)=\left(\frac{d_{i}^{2}}{t^{2}}, \frac{c_{i}^{2}}{t^{2}}\right) \equiv\left(t^{2}: d_{i}^{2}: c_{i}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(0: d_{i}^{2}: c_{i}^{2}\right)=p_{i}
$$

so $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\} \subset S_{\infty}$. Next, we prove the converse inclusion $S_{\infty} \subset\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$.
The Jacobian of $h$ is not identically zero, so $S:=h\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ has dimension 2. In addition, $S$ is pure dimensional because it is a regular image of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Thus,

$$
S^{\prime}:=S \backslash(h(\{x=0\}) \cup h(\{y=0\}) \cup h(\{\operatorname{Jac}(h)=0\}))
$$

is dense in $S$. Fix a point $p \in S_{\infty}$. After interchanging the variables and changing x with -x if necessary, there exists by Lemma 2.5 a rational path $\alpha:=\left(\mathrm{t}^{-k}, \mathrm{t}^{\ell} \mathrm{p}\right)$ where $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z},-k=\min \{-k, \ell\}<0, \mathrm{p} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ and $\mathrm{p}(0) \neq 0$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(h \circ \alpha)=p$ and $(h \circ \alpha)((0, \varepsilon)) \subset S^{\prime}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. Our change of variables does not modify the structure of $h$, so we keep the same notations. As $p \in \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, one of the following limits is infinity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(h_{1} \circ \alpha\right)(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t^{2 k(r-1)}}{t^{2 k r}+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}\left(1, t^{k+\ell} \mathrm{p}(t)\right)^{2}} \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(h_{2} \circ \alpha\right)(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t^{2 k r+2 \ell} \mathrm{p}(t)^{2}}{t^{2 k r}+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}\left(1, t^{k+\ell} \mathrm{p}(t)\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice the following:
(1) The first limit is infinity if and only if

$$
t^{2 k r}+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}\left(1, \mathrm{t}^{k+\ell} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})\right)^{2}=\mathrm{t}^{\nu_{1}} \mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})
$$

for some $\mathrm{q}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ and an integer $\nu_{1} \geq 2 k(r-1)+1$.
(2) The second limit is infinity if and only if $\ell<0$ and

$$
t^{2 k r}+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}\left(1, \mathrm{t}^{k+\ell} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})\right)^{2}=\mathrm{t}^{\nu_{2}} \mathrm{q}_{2}(\mathrm{t})
$$

for some $\mathrm{q}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{t}]$ and an integer $\nu_{2} \geq 2 k r+2 \ell+1$.
As $k+\ell \geq 0$, we deduce in both cases that there exists an index $i=1, \ldots, r$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} H_{i}\left(1, t^{k+\ell} \mathrm{p}(t)\right)=0$. Since by hypothesis $c_{i}, d_{i} \neq 0$, we conclude $\ell=-k<0$ and $\mathrm{p}(0)=\frac{c_{i}}{d_{i}}$. Denote $\mu:=v_{j}-2 k(r-1)-1 \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{q}:=\mathrm{q}_{j}$ in both cases, so

$$
\mathrm{t}^{2 k r}+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}\left(1, \mathrm{t}^{k+\ell} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})\right)^{2}=\mathrm{t}^{2 k(r-1)+1} \mathrm{t}^{\mu} \mathrm{q}
$$

We deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(t^{2 k r}+\prod_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}(1, \mathrm{p}(t))^{2}: t^{2 k(r-1)}: t^{2 k r+2 \ell} \mathrm{p}(t)^{2}\right) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(t^{2 k(r-1)+1} t^{\mu} \mathrm{q}(t): t^{2 k(r-1)}: t^{2 k(r-1)} \mathrm{p}(t)^{2}\right) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(t^{\mu+1} \mathrm{q}(t): 1: \mathrm{p}(t)^{2}\right)=\left(0: 1: \frac{c_{i}^{2}}{d_{i}^{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $S_{\infty} \subset\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$.
We present next an example of a regular image $S$ such that $S_{\infty}$ has exactly two 1 -dimensional connected components.

Lemma 4.4 There exists a regular map $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose image $S$ satisfies

$$
S_{\infty}=\left\{(0: u: 1): 0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{(0: 1: v): 0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} .
$$

Proof We build $f$ as the composition of two regular maps that we construct next:
4.B.1. Let $T:=\{0<a \leq 1, b>0\} \cup\{0<b \leq 1, a>0\}$. The image of the regular map

$$
g: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2},(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{x^{2}+1}{1+x^{2} y^{2}}, \frac{y^{2}+1}{1+x^{2} y^{2}}\right)
$$

is a semialgebraic set $S_{1}$ such that $T \subset S_{1} \subset T \cup[0,2]^{2} \subset\{a>0, b>0\}$. In particular, $S_{1, \infty}=\{(0: 1: 0),(0: 0: 1)\}$.

We check first $S_{1} \subset T \cup[0,2]^{2}$. Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and write $f_{3}(x, y)=:(a, b)$. We claim: If $b>2$, then $0<a \leq 1$. If $a>2$, we will have by symmetry $0<b \leq 1$, so $S_{1} \subset T \cup[0,2]^{2}$.

It is clear that $a>0$. Suppose by contradiction $a>1$. Then $x^{2}>x^{2} y^{2}$ and $y^{2}>1+2 x^{2} y^{2}$, so

$$
x^{2}<x^{2}+2 x^{4} y^{2}<x^{2} y^{2}<x^{2}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Next, we check $T \subset S_{1}$. It is enough to prove by symmetry that

$$
T_{1}:=\{0<a \leq 1, b>0\} \subset S_{1} .
$$

Let $(a, b) \in T_{1}$ and consider the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x ^ { 2 } + 1 = a ( 1 + x ^ { 2 } y ^ { 2 } ) } \\
{ y ^ { 2 } + 1 = b ( 1 + x ^ { 2 } y ^ { 2 } ) }
\end{array} \leadsto \left\{\begin{array}{l}
b\left(x^{2}+1\right)-a\left(y^{2}+1\right)=0, \\
a y^{4}+(a-1-b) y^{2}+b-1=0 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

A simple discussion shows that both systems are equivalent. The discriminant $\Delta$ of the biquadratic equation $a y^{4}+(a-1-b) y^{2}+b-1=0$ is

$$
(a-1-b)^{2}-4 a(b-1)=(b-3 a+1)^{2}+8 a(1-a)
$$

which is $\geq 0$ because $0<a \leq 1$. As $a-1-b<0$, the real number

$$
z_{0}:=\frac{b+(1-a)+\sqrt{(b-3 a+1)^{2}+8 a(1-a)}}{2 a}
$$

is positive and has a square root $y_{0}$, which is a solution of the biquadratic equation

$$
a y^{4}+(a-1-b) y^{2}+b-1=0 .
$$

The equation $b\left(x^{2}+1\right)-a\left(y_{0}^{2}+1\right)=0$ has a real solution $x_{0}$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<2\left(a\left(y_{0}^{2}+1\right)-b\right)= & 2 a z_{0}+2 a-2 b=-b+1+a \\
& +\sqrt{(b-3 a+1)^{2}+8 a(1-a)}
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently if

$$
0<(b-3 a+1)^{2}+8 a(1-a)-(b-1-a)^{2}=4 b(1-a) .
$$

As $b>0$ and $a<1$, it holds $4 b(1-a)>0$, so we deduce $(a, b) \in S_{1}$, as required.
4.2.2. Let $B_{1}:=\{0<2 a \leq b\}$ and $B_{2}:=\{0<2 b \leq a\}$. Write also $A_{1}:=\{0<x \leq 1,4 \leq y\}$ and $A_{2}:=\{0<y \leq 1,4 \leq x\}$. Then the image of $A:=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ under the regular map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
& (x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{x\left((y-1)^{2} y^{2}+2(x-1)^{2} x\right)}{1+x(x-1)^{2} y(y-1)^{2}}, \frac{y\left((x-1)^{2} x^{2}+2(y-1)^{2} y\right)}{1+x(x-1)^{2} y(y-1)^{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

is a semialgebraic set $S_{2}$ contained in $B:=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$, which satisfies

$$
S_{2, \infty}=B_{1, \infty} \cup B_{2, \infty}=\left\{(0: u: 1): 0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{(0: 1: v): 0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} .
$$

Write $h:=\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}, \frac{h_{2}}{h_{0}}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{0}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=1+\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x}-1)^{2} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{y}-1)^{2} \\
& h_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=\mathrm{x}\left((\mathrm{y}-1)^{2} \mathrm{y}^{2}+2(\mathrm{x}-1)^{2} \mathrm{x}\right), \\
& h_{2}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=\mathrm{y}\left((\mathrm{x}-1)^{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}+2(\mathrm{y}-1)^{2} \mathrm{y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $h_{1}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=h_{2}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ and $h_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=h_{0}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, we have $\frac{h_{1}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})}{h_{0}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})}=\frac{h_{2}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})}{h_{0}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})}$, so it is enough to prove: $h\left(A_{1}\right) \subset B_{1}$ and $\left(h\left(A_{1}\right)\right)_{\infty}=B_{1, \infty}$.

Let $(x, y) \in A_{1}$. It holds $h_{1}(x, y)>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2}(x, y)-2 h_{1}(x, y) & =y\left((x-1)^{2} x^{2}+2(y-1)^{2} y\right)-2\left(x\left((y-1)^{2} y^{2}+2(x-1)^{2} x\right)\right) \\
& =2(1-x)(y-1)^{2} y^{2}+(y-4)(x-1)^{2} x^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

because $0<x \leq 1$ and $y \geq 4$, so $h(x, y) \in B_{1}$. Therefore, $\left(h\left(A_{1}\right)\right)_{\infty} \subset B_{1, \infty}$ and it only remains to check $B_{1, \infty} \subset\left(h\left(A_{1}\right)\right)_{\infty}$.

Indeed, for each $0<\lambda \leq 1$ consider the half-line $x=\lambda, y=t \geq 4$ and the curve $C_{\lambda} \subset h\left(A_{1}\right)$ parametrized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\lambda}(t) & :=\left(\alpha_{\lambda 1}(t), \alpha_{\lambda 2}(t)\right):=g(\lambda, t) \\
& =\left(\frac{\left.\lambda(t-1)^{2} t^{2}+2 \lambda \mu_{\lambda}\right)}{1+\mu_{\lambda} t(t-1)^{2}}, \frac{2(t-1)^{2} t^{2}+\lambda \mu_{\lambda} t}{1+\mu_{\lambda} t(t-1)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{\lambda}:=\lambda(\lambda-1)^{2}$. As

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha_{\lambda 1}(t)=+\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha_{\lambda 2}(t)=+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\lambda 1}(t)}{\alpha_{\lambda 2}(t)}=\frac{\lambda}{2}
$$

we deduce $C_{\lambda, \infty}=\left\{\left(0: \frac{\lambda}{2}: 1\right)\right\}$, so

$$
B_{1, \infty}=\bigcup_{0<\lambda \leq 1} C_{\lambda, \infty} \subset\left(\bigcup_{0<\lambda \leq 1} C_{\lambda}\right)_{\infty}=\left(h\left(A_{1}\right)\right)_{\infty}
$$

as required.
4.2.3. The image of the regular map $f:=h \circ g: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a semialgebraic set $S$ such that $S_{\infty}=\left\{(0: u: 1): 0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{(0: 1: v): 0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, as required.

Question 4.5 Let $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ be a closed semialgebraic subset of the hyperplane of infinity $\mathrm{H}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{R P}^{m}$. Is there a regular map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $\left(f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)_{\infty}=\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ?
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