ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Expression of polyamine biosynthesis genes during parthenocarpic fruit development in *Citrus clementina*

Marta Trénor · Miguel A. Perez-Amador · Juan Carbonell · Miguel A. Blázquez

Received: 5 January 2010 / Accepted: 25 February 2010 / Published online: 25 March 2010 © Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract Polyamines have been attributed a general role in fruit development in several plants like pea and tomato. To investigate the involvement of these compounds in parthenocarpic fruit development in Citrus clementina, we have isolated three genes encoding aminopropyl transferases in this species: CcSPDS, CcSPM1 and CcACL5. The unambiguous identity of the proteins encoded by these genes was confirmed by phylogenetic analysis and by heterologous expression in yeast mutants deficient in aminopropyl transferase activity. The expression of these genes in C. clementina is not restricted to ovaries and fruits, but it is also detectable all throughout the plant. More importantly, gibberellin-induced parthenocarpic fruit set caused a decrease in CcSPDS expression in ovaries, paralleled by a decrease in spermidine; while the expression of CcSPM1 and CcACL5 was basically unaffected, resulting in the maintenance of spermine concentration during early fruit development. In addition, the variation in putrescine content was paralleled by changes in the expression of one of the two putative CcODC paralogs.

Keywords Citrus · Fruit development · Parthenocarpy · Polyamines

Abbreviations

PAs	Polyamines
Put	Putrescine

M. Trénor \cdot M. A. Perez-Amador \cdot J. Carbonell \cdot

M. A. Blázquez (🖂)

Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (CSIC-UPV), Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Ingeniero Fausto Elio s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain e-mail: mblazquez@ibmcp.upv.es

Spd	Spermidine
Spm	Spermine
Syn	Synefrine
Tspm	Thermospermine
Tyr	Tyramine
M-Tyr	Methyl-tyramine

Introduction

Polyamines (PAs) are small polycationic molecules which have been attributed roles in multiple processes related to plant growth and stress resistance. In particular, PAs are viewed as modulators of plant development (Galston 1983). Accordingly, high levels of PAs are usually found in actively growing tissues suggesting that they are growth limiting factors (Smith 1985; Bagni and Torrigiani 1992). They also change in response to colder temperatures and during pathogenesis (Bellés et al. 1991; Kasukabe et al. 2004), suggesting a generic role in stress resistance. The most common PAs in the plant kingdom are the aliphatic amines putrescine (Put), spermidine (Spd), and spermine (Spm). Several other amines are particularly abundant in a species-specific manner, such as synephrine, tyramine, and methyl-tyramine in Citrus (Wheaton and Stewart 1970). Recently, thermospermine (Tspm), first found in prokaryotes (Oshima 1979), has also been suggested to be present in plants as it is the product of the in vitro activity of ACL5 (Knott et al. 2007).

In plants, Put can be synthesized from arginine or ornithine via arginine and ornithine decarboxylase (ADC and ODC), respectively, and changes in both enzyme activities generally seem to regulate changes in Put levels (Perez-Amador and Carbonell 1995; Alabadí et al. 1996). Spd and Spm are synthesized by the subsequent addition of an aminopropyl moiety, derived from decarboxylated *S*-adenosylmethionine (dcSAM), to Put or Spd, respectively. These reactions are catalyzed by Spd synthase (SPDS) and Spm synthase (SPMS), and regulation of their activity correlates with the actual concentration of the corresponding PAs in different organs and developmental situations (Alabadí and Carbonell 1999; Alcázar et al. 2006; Carbonell and Blázquez 2009). An additional asymmetrical tetraamine, Tspm, can also be synthesized through the activity of an alternative Spd aminopropyltransferase, Tspm synthase (tSPMS), which is present only in plants and several prokaryotic genera (Minguet et al. 2008).

Aminopropyltransferase genes have been isolated from several plant species (Minguet et al. 2008). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, two genes have been demonstrated biochemically and genetically to encode SPDS (Panicot et al. 2002; Imai et al. 2004), SPMS is encoded by one gene (Hanzawa et al. 2002; Panicot et al. 2002), and there is an additional gene, *ACL5*, which encodes another Spd aminopropyltransferase with tSPMS activity (Hanzawa et al. 2000; Knott et al. 2007; Kakehi et al. 2008).

Several lines of evidence support the involvement of PAs in fruit development. On the one hand, Spm concentration in pea and tomato ovaries is associated with their fate: it accumulates in unpollinated ovaries preceding senescence, while this increase can be reverted by treatments that trigger fruit development, either fertilization or gibberellin (GA) application (Carbonell and Navarro 1989; Alabadí et al. 1996). On the other hand, the function of ODC and ADC in fruit development seems to be associated with cell division and expansion (Fraga et al. 2004; Acosta et al. 2005). Exogenous supply of Spd overcomes the growth restriction caused by a deficiency in GA biosynthesis in tomato fruits (Fos et al. 2003). Finally, during ripening, a drop in the concentration of all PAs has been reported in a number of species, such as tomato (Saftner and Baldi 1990), apple (Biasi et al. 1988), and pepper (Saftner and Baldi 1990) among others.

In Citrus, seedless fruits are obtained from naturally occurring parthenocarpic varieties, or by exogenous application of GAs (El-Otmani et al. 2000). In fact, endogenous GA concentration has been correlated with parthenocarpic ability (Talón et al. 1990a, b, 1992), and although changes in the content of Put, Spd and Spm have been found during early fruit development (Nathan et al. 1984; Kushad et al. 1990), it is not clear whether this variation is causally connected to fruit set or fruit growth, since the examination of PA content in parthenocarpic and non-parthenocarpic Citrus varieties did not render any significant difference (Arias et al. 2005).

To get a deeper insight into the mechanism that regulates PA content during fruit set, we have isolated the genes encoding the enzymes that catalyze the last steps in PA biosynthesis, *SPDS*, *SPMS*, and *tSPMS*. We have found that, in citrus, SPDS, SPMS, and tSPMS are encoded each by a single gene, homologous to *SPDS*, *SPM1*, and *ACL5*, respectively, in other plant species. Furthermore, we have studied the variation in their expression level during early fruit set, in comparison with the changes observed in the corresponding PA levels.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Five-year-old trees of *Citrus clementina* Hort. Ex Tanaka grafted onto Carrizo Citrange hybrid rootstock (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck × *Poncirus trifoliata* Blanco) growing with drop irrigation in orchards located in Moncada (Valencia, Spain) were used. Terminal flowers between anthesis and 1 week before anthesis were emasculated (i.e. petals and stamens were removed). Fruit set was induced by dipping for 10 s emasculated flowers in a 500- μ M gibberellic acid (GA₃) solution with 0.2% Tween-20 as wetting agent. Fruits were harvested at the times indicated in the figures, between anthesis and 21 days after anthesis, when fruit set was evaluated. Sampled ovaries and fruits were immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until analysis.

Quantification of polyamine content

Extraction and quantification of PAs were performed on samples homogenized in 0.2 M perchloric acid, dansylation, and detection by HPLC as previously described (Arias et al. 2005), using 1,6-diaminohexane as internal standard (Carbonell and Navarro 1989). This method does not distinguish between Spm and Tspm, so both aliphatic tetraamines are identified simultaneously.

Cloning of polyamine genes

To isolate the *C. clementina* genes encoding SPDS, SPMS, and tSPMS activities, protein sequences from different plant species were aligned using CLUSTALX (Thompson et al. 1997), and degenerate oligonucleotides were designed targeted to conserved regions (Table 1). The following pairs were used as primers on PCR reactions using a mixture of cDNAs prepared from *C. clementina* ovaries at anthesis and 1, 2, and 3 weeks after treatment with 500 μ M GA₃: SPDSdg1 and SPDSdg2 for *CcSPDS*, SPMSdg1 and SPDSdg2 for *CcSPM1*, and ACL5dg1 and ACL5dg2 for *CcACL5* (Table 1). The identity of the amplified fragments was confirmed by sequencing. The full-length cDNA clones corresponding to the three genes were isolated by

Table 1	Oligonucleotides	used	in	this	study
---------	------------------	------	----	------	-------

	Sequence $(5'-3')^a$
SPDdg1	CCTGGNTGGTTYTCNGARATNAGYCC
SPDdg2	CWCCRTCWCCTCCWCCAATNACCARNAC
ACL5dg1	GAYCTCAARTGGTCNTTYGC
ACL5dg2	TCACCWCCTCCCATDATRAA
SPMdg1	TAYTTYAAYAAYCCNATGTGG
SPD-qRT-f	CCAATTGGTCCTGCACAAGA
SPD-qRT-r	CCCCTGGACGAAGAGCTTTA
ACL5-qRT-f	TTGTGTCACCAGAATCCCAAAA
ACL5-qRT-r	TTCCCTCGCCGCAGAAC
SPM-qRT-f	TCAGCTGCCTTTGCATTGC
SPM-qRT-r	TTGGAGTTGGAGAATCACCAAGT
TUB-qRT-f	TTGTTTGAAACAACTTTTCGACG
TUB-qRT-r	AAAACGAGCACATGCAAACG
ADC-qRT-f	CCATGCCTGGGCCGTCTTGT
ADC-qRT-r	GCAAGGCTGCTAGCTAAAGC
ODC1-qRT-f	GAATCTTGCTGGTGTTAG
ODC1-qRT-r	CAGCATTTCCGTGCTTTTGACT
ODC2-qRT-f	GGTAACCTGCGATGAA GCA
ODC2-qRT-r	CGTATAGGCACCCATTTCG
SAMDC1-qRT-f	GCCTGACCAAGGCCCAGCT
SAMDC1-qRT-r	CAGGATGGGTGGGATTGA
SAMDC2-qRT-f	CGGCTACAGCATTGAAGA
SAMDC2-qRT-r	CTACTACTCTACGCTATCG
SAMDC3-qRT-f	TGCTTGCTTGCTTCAAACC
SAMDC3-qRT-r	CACGATTTCAAGATTGACCT
SAMDC4-qRT-f	GGAGTGAATCTAGCTTGTTCA
SAMDC4-aRT-r	CAACTTCGAATGAAGAGTGC

^a In degenerate positions, N: (A, T, C, G); Y: (C, T); R: (A, G); W (A, T)

plaque-screening of the OF1 and OF3 cDNA libraries constructed from *C. clementina* ovaries (Forment et al. 2005).

A search of several available Citrus databases, including Citrus Functional Genomic Project (http://bioinfo.ibmcp. upv.es/genomics/cfgpDB/), provided us with the sequences of the *CcADC*, *CcODC*, and *CcSAMDC* genes used in this study. The actual sequences used to design primers for expression analysis were *CcADC* (aCL1233), *CcODC-I* (aC31206E10), *CcODC-II* (aC02010H01), *CcSAMDC-I* (aCL3contig3), *CcSAMDC-II* (aCL3229contig1), CcSAMDC-III (aCL368contig1), and *CcSAMDC-IV* (ortholog of *AtBUD2*) (aC31206C08).

Yeast complementation studies

To express the *C. clementina* polyamine biosynthesis enzymes in yeast, two sets of plasmids for yeast expression were constructed, based on YEpACT (Rández-Gil et al. 1995) and pAN10 (Navas et al. 1993). The *Eco*RI–*Xho*I

fragment from the full-length cDNA clones for CcSPDS, CcSPM1, and CsACL5 were cloned into the filled-in HindIII restriction site in YEpACT (ACT1 promoter, LEU2 marker) and pAN10 (ADH1 promoter, URA3 marker). YEpACT-derived plasmids were transformed into yeast strain YN158 [MATalpha his6 leu2 ura3-52 spe3::URA3; kindly provided by N. Hamasaki-Katagiri (Hamasaki-Katagiri et al. 1997) and H. Tabor (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA)] using the lithium acetate transformation method (Gietz and Sugino 1988). Transformants were selected in minimal medium [2% Suc and 0.7% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (Difco)] supplemented with His (30 mg/L) and Spd (100 µM; free of other polyamines) at 30°C. An isogenic wild-type yeast strain, 2602 (MATalpha his6 leu2 ura3-52 SPE3), was used as a control (Hamasaki-Katagiri et al. 1997). For the complementation of spe4 mutants, pAN10-derived plasmids were transformed into Y504 (MATalpha his6 leu2 ura3-52 spe4::LEU2) (Hamasaki-Katagiri et al. 1998).

Gene expression analyses

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis for quantitative PCR were carried out as previously described (Forment et al. 2005). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was done with a Perkin Elmer thermocycler using specific oligonucleotides for each gene (Table 1), and normalized by the expression of a tubulin gene (Gimeno et al. 2009).

For Northern-blot analyses, total RNA (10 µg) was analyzed by electrophoresis on 6% formaldehyde/1.2% agarose gels and blotted onto nylon membranes Nytran Plus (Schleicher and Schuell, Keene, NH, USA). DNA probes were labeled with $[\alpha^{-32}P]dCTP$ by the Ready-to-Go DNAlabeling kit (Amersham Biosciences, Barcelona, Spain), and purified with probe purification columns QuickSpin (Roche, Madrid, Spain). The RNA blots were hybridized as previously described (Church and Gilbert 1984), using ³²Plabeled probes. The ethidium bromide staining of the RNA gel was used as a control for equal loading of all lanes. Blots were stripped between hybridizations in 0.1% SDS at 90–95°C for 1 h. Quantification of hybridization signals was achieved using a FujiBass (Fujifilm, Dusseldorf, Germany).

Results

Variation of polyamine content during fruit set in *Citrus clementina*

To know if PAs have a role in fruit set in clementine mandarin, we analyzed PA content in ovaries of *C. clementina* at anthesis and at short-time intervals after the induction of

Table 2 Polyamine content	in	ovaries	at	anthesis
----------------------------------	----	---------	----	----------

	Year 1		Year 2		
	μg/g FW	SD	μg/g FW	SD	
Putrescine	32.7	4.5	42.1	3.4	
Spermidine	40.9	1.6	51.4	0.6	
Spermine	8.7	0.9	15.8	0.6	
Synephrine	1,350.5	93.4	2,503.7	281.5	
Tyramine	3,115.2	144.6	5,117.7	225.9	
Methyl-tyramine	246.9	10.2	438.5	40.5	

FW fresh weight, SD standard deviation of three replicates

fruit development with GA_3 treatments (see "Materials and methods"). As expected, the most abundant PAs in Citrus ovaries were Put, Spd, Spm, synephrine, tyramine, and methyl-tyramine (Table 2). However, the relative variations in the content of each compound during fruit set displayed a rather different profile (Fig. 1). While spermine content hardly changed during the first 3 weeks of fruit development after anthesis, synephrine and methyltyramine experienced a gradual increase in the content, spermidine and tyramine decreased around 50%, and the content of putrescine suffered a transient drop during the first 7 or 15 days after anthesis before the level was

Fig. 1 Variation of the content of polyamines during GAinduced fructification in two consecutive years: 2003 (a, c) and 2004 (b, d). Values are normalized as 100% at anthesis (see Table 2 for the actual contents). Ovaries were treated with GA3 at anthesis and harvested as described in "Materials and methods". a, b Blue, green, and red dots represent Put, Spd, and Spm content in senescent ovaries. c, d Orange, yellow, and red dots represent Syn, Tyr, and M-Tyr, respectively, in senescent ovaries

re-established (depending on the year that the experiment was carried out).

Interestingly, the decrease in Spd and tyramine might not be related to fruit set, since the same drop in the content of these two polyamines was also found in ovaries that had not accomplished fruit development 21 days after the treatment and showed early senescence symptoms (Fig. 1a, c). On the contrary, the content of Put, Spm, synephrine, and methyl-tyramine was much lower in senescent ovaries than in young fruits 21 days after anthesis (Fig. 1a, c).

Identification of aminopropyl-transferase genes from *Citrus clementina*

To find out if the variation of PA concentration during fruit set is caused by equivalent changes in the transcription of the genes encoding aminopropyltransferases, we decided to isolate these genes from *C. clementina*. Using a molecular strategy based on PCR with degenerated primers (see "Materials and methods"), we were able to isolate three cDNAs (*CcSPDS*, *CcSPM1*, and *CcACL5*) that displayed a very high sequence identity to the *SPDS*, *SPMS*, and *ACL5* genes from Arabidopsis, and even from a monocot like rice (Fig. 2). In particular, *CcSPDS* which was 346 aminoacids long, showed 78, 76, and 77% identity with *AtSPDS1*, *AtSPDS2*, and *OsSPDS*, respectively; the 355-aminoacid-



Fig. 2 Alignments of protein sequences corresponding to CcSPDS (a), CcSPM1 (b), and CcACL5 (c). Citrus, Arabidopsis and rice full-length sequences were aligned with CLUSTALX (Thompson et al.

1997) and the results displayed with BOXSHADE. *Black boxes* represent identical amino acids, while *gray color* is applied to chemically related residues

~			
а	CcSPD	0	MAETGSAAATDLPUKRPRDDGEKEANNNNNGSVLMEMDSNKQPDCISSVIPGWFSEISPM
	AtSPD1	0	- MDAKETSATDLKRPREEDDNGGAATMETENGD-QKKEPACFSTVIPGWFSEISPM
	AtSPD2	0	MSSTQEASVTDLPVKRPREAEEDNNGGAMETENGGGEIKEPSCMSSTIPGWFSEISPM
	OsSPDS	0	- MEAEAAAKRARESGDAAAAGAG
	CcSPD	60	WPGEAHSLKVEK <mark>I</mark> LFQGKSDYQ <mark>NVM</mark> VFQSSTYGKVLILDGVIQLTERDECAYQEMITHLP
	AtSPD1	54	WPGEAHSLKVEKVLFQGKSDYQDVIVFQSATYGKVLVLDGVIQLTERDECAYQEMITHLP
	AtSPD2	58	WPGEAHSLKVEKILFQGKSDYQDVIVFQSATYGKVLVLDGVIQLTERDECAYQEMITHLP
	OsSPDS	41	WPGEAHSLKVEKVLFQGKSDYQNVMVFQSSTYGKVLVLDGVIQVTERDECAYQEMITHLP
	CcSPD	120	L C S I PNP K KVLV I GGGDGGVLR EV <mark>S</mark> RHS S V E <mark>K</mark> I D I C E I DKMVVD V S KQFF PD V A VG <mark>F</mark> ED P
	AtSPD1	114	L C S I PNP K KVLV I GGGDGGVLR EV <mark>ARHA</mark> S I EQ I DMC E I DKMVVD V S KQFF PD V A <mark>I</mark> GYED P
	AtSPD2	118	L C S I <mark>SNP K KVLV I GGGDGGVLR EVA</mark> RHS S V EQ I D I C E I DKMVVD VAKQ <mark>Y</mark> FP <mark>N</mark> V A VGYED P
	OsSPDS	101	L C S I <mark>KD</mark> P K KVLV I GGGDGGVLR EV <mark>S</mark> RHS S V EQ I D I C E I DKMVVD V S KQFF PH <mark>L</mark> A VG <mark>F</mark> ED P
	CcSPD	180	R VILH I GDGVAFLKAVPEGTYDAV I VDS S D P I GPAQELFEK PFFESVAKALR PGGVVSTQ
	AtSPD1	174	R VNLVIGDGVAFLKNAAEGSYDAV I VDS S D P I GPAKELFEK PFFQSVARALR PGGVVCTQ
	AtSPD2	178	R VNLI I GDGVAFLKNAAEGTYDAV I VDS S D P I GPAKELFEK PFFESVNRALR PGGVVCTQ
	OsSPDS	161	R VSLH I GDGVAFLKNAPEGTYDAV I VDS S D P I GPAQELFEK PFFQSVARALR PGGVVCTQ
	CcSPD	240	A E S I WLHMHI I ED I VANCRQI FKGS VNYAWTTVP TYP SGV I GFMLCS TEGPPVD FKHP VN
	AtSPD1	234	A E S I WLHMDI I ED I VSNCRE I FKGS VNYAWTSVP TYP SGV I GFMLCS TEGPPVD FKHP∐N
	AtSPD2	238	A E S I WLHMDI I ED I VSNCRDI FKGS VNYAWTSVP TYP SGV I GFMLCSSEGPOVD FKKPVS
	OsSPDS	221	A E S I WLHMHI I ED I VANCROVFKGS VNYAWTTVP TYP SGV I GFMLCS TEGPTVD FQHPIIF
	CcSPD	300	PIDADDSHCNSSKGPLKFYNSEIHTAAFCLPTFAKKVIESKNEKIZ
	AtSPD1	294	PIDESSSK-SNGPLKFYNAEIHSAAFCLPSFAKKVIESKAN
	AtSPD2	298	LIDTDESSIK-SHCPLK∐YNAEIHSAAFCLPSFAKKVIDSKAN
	OsSPDS	281	NIE-DNEFSTKSKGPLKFYNSEIHSASFCLPSFAKRVIGSKAN
b	CcSPM1	0	MDGKESNKNGSAGAIPSCCLKARASAPELDAKCHSTVVSGWFSESQ
	AtSPM1	0	MEGDVGIGLVCQNTMDGKASNGNGLEKTVPSCCLKAMACVPEDDAKCHSTVVSGWFSEPH
	OsSPM1	0	MEGG-GAKDGSAAAAQTRGSGDDGSHKPLPPCCLKAKAAAAESEAKCHATVVSGWFTEPR
	CcSPM1	46	STSDKTG-KTMYFNNPMWPGEAHSLKVKEILFKGKSEYQEVLVFESLAYGKVLVLDGIVQ
	AtSPM1	60	PRSGKEGEKAYYFNNPMWPGEAHSLKVEKYLFKDKSDEQEVLVFESATYGKVLVLDGIVQ
	OsSPM1	59	SHSGKTS-KVQYFNNPMWPGEAHSLKVENILYQGKSPYQELVFESSTYGNVLVLDGIVQ
	CcSPM1	105	LTEKDECAYQEMIAHLPLCSIPSPKTVLVVGGGDGGVLREVSRHPSTEVIDICEIDKMVI
	AtSPM1	120	LTEKDECAYQEMIAHLPLCSISPKNVLVVGGGDGGVLREISRHSSVEVIDICEIDKMVI
	OsSPM1	118	LTEKDECAYQEMVTHLPLCSIPSPKSVLVVGGGDGGVLREIARHASVENIDICEIDQTVI
	CcSPM1	165	DVSKKMFPELAVGFEDPRVRLHIGDAVEFLROVPRGEYDAIIVDSSDPVGPAQELVEKPF
	AtSPM1	180	DVSKKFFPELAVGFDDPRVQLHIGDAAEFLRKSPEGKYDAIIVDSSDPVGPALALVEKPF
	OsSPM1	178	DVCKDFFPQLSVGFKDPRVQLHVGDAVDFLRNAPEGKYDAIIVDSSDPMGPAQELVEKPF
	CcSPM1	225	FDTIAMALRPGGVLCNMAESMWLHTHLIEDMISICRETFKGSVHYACASVPTYPSGIIGF
	AtSPM1	240	FETJARALKPGGVLCNMAESMWLHTHLIEDMISICRQTFKSVHYAWSSVPTYPSGVIGF
	OsSPM1	238	FQTIARALKPGGVLCNQAESMWLHTHLIQDMISICRETFKGAVHYAWTSVPTYPSGVIGF
	CcSPM1	285	LICSTEGPHVDFVNPINPIEKLEGADKHKRELRFYNSEIHSAAFALPAFLVREVSVLGDS
	AtSPM1	299	VLCSTEGPAVDFVNPINPIEKLDGAMTHKRELXFYNSDMHRAAFALPTFLRREVASLLAS
	OsSPM1	298	LLCAXEGPAVNFLSPVNPIEKLEGAMEAGREIRFYNSEVHRAAFVLPTFVRRELESHNTC
	CcSPM1 AtSPM1 OsSPM1	345 359 358	P T P S Q R I C V S · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
c	CcACL5	0	•••MGTEAVEILFENGESKVC••NDTDP•••NINGNLQDCCWEEEVIDDDLKWSFALNRVL
	AtACL5	0	•••MG•EAVEVMFGNGFPEIH••KATSPTQTLHSNQQDCHWYEETIDDDLKWSFALNSVL
	OsACL5	0	MVGAVQEGIVREMNGGFEVMSGYDRSSMAPKQQQREEESKWYEEEIDDDLKLCYALNSVL
	CcACL5	53	HKGTSE⊒QDIALLDTKRFGKVLVIDGKMQSAEVDEFIYHECLIHPPLL <mark>C</mark> HQNPKTVFIMG
	AtACL5	54	HQGTSEYQDIALLDTKRFGKVLVIDGKMQSAERDEFIYHECLIHPPALLFHPNPKTVFIMG
	OsACL5	60	HR <mark>GASKYQ⊡IALDTK⊞</mark> FGKALDIDGKMQSTEVDEFIYHESSLIHPPLLFHPNPKTVFIMG
	CcACL5	113	GGEGSAAR E <mark>ALKHKSLES</mark> VVMCDIDQEVVDFCRRFLTVNQEAFCSKKLNUVVNDAKAELE
	AtACL5	114	GGEGSAARE <mark>ILKHTTIEK</mark> VVMCDIDQEVVDFCRRFLTVNSDAFCNKKLELVIKDAKAELE
	OsACL5	120	GGEGSAAREVLRHNTVHRVVMCDIDQEVVDFCRTYLTVNWDAFASDKLCLIINDARAELE
	CcACL5	173	KRNEKFDV I <mark>E</mark> GD LADPVEGG PCYQLYTK S FY <mark>ER</mark> I LKPKLN DNG I FVTQAGPA G I FTHKEV
	AtACL5	174	KREEKFD II I VGD LADPVEGG PCYQLYTK S FYQN I LKPKL <mark>S P</mark> NG I FVTQAGPA G I FTHKEV
	OsACL5	180	K <mark>SR</mark> EKFDV I VGD LADPVEGG PCYQLYTK S FYQH I <mark>W</mark> KPKLN DRG <mark>V</mark> FVTQAGPA G <mark>VL</mark> THKEV
	CcACL5	233	FSSIYNTIKQVFKHV <mark>V</mark> AYTAHVPSFADTWGWVMASD <mark>OPFSINABEIDNRIKSRINA</mark> ELLY
	AtACL5	234	FISIYNTMKQVFKYVKAYTAHVPSFADTWGWVMASDHEFDVEVDEMDRRIERVNGELMY
	OsACL5	240	FSSIYNT <mark>URH</mark> VFKYVKAYTAHVPSFADTWGWVMASD <mark>Y</mark> PFSMNAQQI <mark>NE</mark> RIKERIDGELVY
	CcACL5	293	LNGAS FUS STTMNKAVYLS LLNETHVYT E ED AR FINGHGV A Y <mark>NNS H</mark>
	AtACL5	294	LNAPS FVS AATLNKTISLALEKETEVYSE ENARFINGHGV A YRHI-
	OsACL5	300	LSGESLUS STTLNKSVYCS LLNETHVYT EDD ARFINGHGRARCA



Fig. 3 Dendrogram of aminopropyltransferase genes. All available sequences from various plant sources were aligned using CLUSTALX, and a tree was constructed using the Neighbor Joining method. Ah, Arabidopsis halleri; At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Ca, Coffea arabica; Cc, Citrus clementina; Cs, Cucumis sativus; Ds, Datura stramonium; Hv, Hordeum vulgare; Hn, Hyosciamus niger; Ms, Malus sylvestris; Mt, Medicago truncatula; Ns, Nicotiana sylvestris; Nt, Nicotiana tabacum; Os, Oryza sativa; Ps, Pisum sativum; Sl, Solanum lycopersicum; St, Solanum tuberosum; Td, Taxodium distichum; Zm, Zea mays

long *CcSPM1* protein was 78 and 70% identical with *AtSPMS* and *OsSPMS*, respectively; and *CcACL5* contained 339 aminoacids and was 76 and 68% identical with *AtACL5* and *OsACL5*. As shown in Fig. 2, the identity at the amino acid level is maintained throughout the whole sequence for the three enzymes, including the residues that have been suggested to be essential for enzyme activity and substrate specificity, based on crystallographic analysis (Korolev et al. 2002) and protein modeling (Minguet et al. 2008).

Comparison of aminopropyl transferase genes from *C. clementine* with other known sequences from plants is shown in Fig. 3. *Cc*ACL5 and *Cc*SPM1 showed a high degree of similarity to those from *Malus silvestris*, while *Cc*SPDS showed a quite different distance with SPDs from other origins.

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae spe3 mutant, deficient in SPDS, is unable to grow in a Spd-free medium, and transformation with *CcSPDS*—but not with *CcSPM1* or *CcACL5*—under the control of a yeast constitutive



Fig. 4 Complementation of yeast polyamine mutants by expression of C. clementina polyamine genes. a Growth curve of yeast cells in minimal medium depleted of polyamines. S. cerevisiae wild type, spe3 mutant (deficient in SPDS activity), and spe3 transformed with CcSP-DS, CsSPM1, and CcACL5 under the control of the constitutive yeast ACT1 promoter, were selected in minimal medium containing Spd, and aliquots of the preinocculum were diluted into minimal medium without Spd. Growth was monitored by absorbance of the culture at 660 nm during the following 2 days. b Tetraamine content in yeast mutants devoid of SPMS activity. S. cerevisiae wild type, spe4 mutant (deficient in SPMS activity), and spe4 transformed with CcSPDS, CsSPM1 and CcACL5 under the control of the constitutive yeast ADH1 promoter, were grown on minimal medium and cells harvested at OD_{660 nm} = 0.8. Polyamines were extracted as described in "Materials and methods" from 100 mg (yeast wet weight) samples, and tetraamines quantified by HPLC. The molecular nature of Spm and Tspm impairs their separation in this assay

promoter could restore growth (Fig. 4a). On the other hand, the *S. cerevisiae spe4* mutant is perfectly viable, but unable to synthesize any tetraamine unless it is transformed with



Fig. 5 Expression of polyamine biosynthesis genes in Citrus tissues. Total RNA was extracted as described in "Materials and methods". *CcSPDS, CcACL5,* and *CcSPM1* transcripts were analyzed by hybridization with the corresponding full-length cDNA probes as indicated. *R* roots, *In* internodes, *A* apices, *L* leaves; *Fl* flowers, *O* ovaries; *gF* 3-week-old developing fruits

the *CcSPM1* or *CcACL5* genes under the control of a yeast promoter (Fig. 4b).

Expression of polyamine biosynthesis genes in *Citrus clementina*

Given that the three genes were isolated from an ovary- and fruit-specific cDNA library, it was important to find out at which level they were expressed in other plant tissues. Contrary to what has been reported for Arabidopsis (Hanzawa et al. 2002), expression of *CcSPDS*, *CcSPM1*, and *CcACL5* was rather low or undetectable in roots and mature leaves (Fig. 5). Besides, *CcSPDS* and *CcSPM1* were expressed ubiquitously in all the other tissues examined, but preferentially in internodes and ovaries. In the case of *CcACL5*, maximal expression was detected in apices and ovaries.

To investigate whether the variation in Spd and Spm contents observed during fruit set (Fig. 1) was caused by equivalent changes in the expression of the genes encoding the corresponding biosynthetic enzymes, we analyzed by qRT-PCR the mRNA level of CcSPDS, CcSPM1, and CcACL5 in ovaries that had been induced to produce fruits with GA₃ treatments at anthesis, as previously described (see "Materials and methods"). Expression of CcACL5 did not exhibit any alteration during the first three weeks of fruit set (Fig. 6). On the other hand, expression of CcSPDS underwent a transient decrease during the first week, although it recovered the initial level three weeks after anthesis. More interestingly, expression of CcSPM1 was upregulated almost twofold in the first weeks after the initiation of fruit development, and it was maintained at this level for the following 2 weeks. This behavior, also confirmed by northern analysis (Fig. 6c), contrasts with the lower expression level showed by 21-day-old senescent ovaries.

To contemplate the involvement of other known genes that encode enzymes participating in PA biosynthesis, we

Fig. 6 Variation in the expression of aminopropyl transferase genes in Citrus during GA-induced fructification. a, b gRT-PCR analysis of the expression of CcSPDS, CcSPM1, and CcACL5 in two consecutive years: 2003 (a), 2004 (b). Colored symbols represent the expression in 21-day-old senescent ovaries. c CcSPM1 expression during GA-induced fructification, analyzed by northern blot. CF ovaries of closed flowers, A ovaries at anthesis, PL ovaries at petal loss, dpa days post anthesis, 21 s are 3-week old senescent ovaries (for comparison with ovaries undergoing fructification)



Fig. 7 Variation in the expression of decarboxylase genes in Citrus during GA-induced fructification. qRTPCR analysis of the expression of *CcADC*, *CcODC-1* and *CcODC-II* (**a**, **b**), or *CcSAMDC* genes (**c**, **d**) in two consecutive years: 2003 (**a**, **c**), 2004 (**b**, **d**). *Colored symbols* represent the expression in 21-day-old senescent ovaries



screened the available Citrus genomic databases and found one *ADC* gene encoding arginine decarboxylase, two *ODC* paralogs encoding ornithine decarboxylase, and four *SAMDC* genes encoding *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase. As supposed, the expression of one of the *ODC* genes, *CcODC-II*, mirrored the increase in Put content in ovaries that were undergoing fruit development, compared with those that did not (Fig. 7a, b), while the expression of *CcADC* gene decreased in all cases. On the other hand, the expression of the most abundant *SAMDC* gene, *CcSAMDC-II*, did not vary during the first 21 days of fruit development (Fig. 7c, d), which suggests that dcSAM might not be limiting in this phase, and is in agreement with the results previously shown in apple trees (Hao et al. 2005).

Discussion

Three genes, *CcSPDS*, *CcSPM1*, and *CcACL5*, coding for the aminopropyl transferases that catalyze the synthesis of spermidine, spermine, and thermospermine, have been identified and cloned from *C. clementina*. Two pieces of evidence support the unambiguous identity of the encoded proteins as aminopropyl transferases. First, it has been shown that all aminopropyl transferases are evolutionarily related (Minguet et al. 2008) and the three *C. clementina* sequences clustered with the corresponding subgroups when they were analyzed together with the protein sequences from diverse plant species (Fig. 3), including the reported apple enzymes (Zhang et al. 2003; Kitashiba et al. 2005) and the sequences available in databases from several monocots. Second, complementation assays of yeast mutants impaired in SPDS or SPMS activities (Hamasaki-Katagiri et al. 1997, 1998) confirmed that the cDNAs isolated from *C. clementina* encode functional enzymes with the expected activities (Fig. 4).

The most relevant observation derived from this study is that the different changes in the transcript levels of the three aminopropyl transferases—transient decrease in *CcSPDS*, increase in *CcSPM1*, and no significant changes in *CcACL5* (Fig. 5)—could be correlated with the decrease of Spd and the relative maintenance of tetraamine levels (Spm + Tspm) (Fig. 1), suggesting that transcriptional regulation could indeed play a role in the control of PA concentration during parthenocarpic growth, although this correlation is not always maintained in all physiological situations (Rambla et al. 2010). However, additional points of regulation might exist; for instance, the transient decrease in Put concentration preceding the Spd decrease suggests an influence of substrate availability for Spd biosynthesis. In this respect, it is important to note the existence of certain degree of coordination in the regulation of the expression of the different genes involved in PA biosynthesis, including at least CcODC-II and CcSAMDC-II (Fig. 7). Finally, although we have not considered the contribution of the expression of polyamine oxidase genes to final PA homeostasis in the context of fruit set, it cannot be excluded that they participate here as in other developmental and stress-related processes (Kamada-Nobusada et al. 2008; Rodríguez et al. 2009).

Given all the observed changes, it is difficult to discern whether it is the variation in Spd content or the maintenance of tetraamine levels the more determinant factor in fruit set and fruit development. Physiological studies in tomato have demonstrated a crucial role for Spm in fruit development, especially during the early stage of fruit development (Egea-Corines et al. 1993), so it is possible that CcSPM1 plays an important role at the cell-division stage. In pea, all correlative evidence points to Spm having a decisive role in the establishment of fruit set versus ovary senescence (Carbonell and Navarro 1989). Similarly, the expression of CcSPM1 and the content of Spm remain high and unaltered during the first weeks after fruit induction (Figs. 1, 5, 6), and decrease in senescent ovaries. However, the lack of genetic evidence prevents a clearcut conclusion. Furthermore, it is important to remark that other PAs like synephrine, tyramine, and methyl-tyramine, which are fairly abundant in Citrus ovaries, also experience variations in their concentration during fruit set, suggesting a regulatory role for these compounds in fruit development, which has not been elucidated yet. In fact, these precursors of alkaloid biosynthesis have been found in several other species, such as in barley roots and seeds (Mann et al. 1963), still without any attributed function, except a possible role in the response to wounding (Guillet and De Luca 2005).

Although the scope of this work was focused on the mechanism for regulation of PA concentration during fruit set, the isolation of *CcSPDS*, *CcSPM1*, and *CcACL5* genes from Citrus opens the possibility to study the function of PAs in other processes of interest for Citrus biology, as prompted by the observation that the expression of these genes, isolated from ovary-specific libraries, is not restricted to this organ and developing fruits (Fig. 5). Based on the phenotype of the corresponding knockout lines in Arabidopsis, two processes seem particularly worth of future analysis in this woody plant: the involvement of *CcSPM1* in drought- and salt-stress resistance (Yamaguchi

et al. 2006, 2007), and the regulation of vascular development by *Cc*ACL5 (Muñiz et al. 2008; Vera-Sirera et al. 2010).

Acknowledgments We thank F. Tadeo and M. Talón (IVIA, Valencia, Spain) for their valuable advice on Citrus biology and for providing us with the trees used in this study. We also appreciate the technical help of M^a Angeles Argomániz and helpful discussions with D. Alabadí (IBMCP, Valencia, Spain). This work was funded by the Generalitat Valenciana and the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (GEN2001-4885-C05).

References

- Acosta C, Perez-Amador MA, Carbonell J, Granell A (2005) The two ways to produce putrescine in tomato are cell-specific during normal development. Plant Sci 168:1053–1057
- Alabadí D, Carbonell J (1999) Differential expression of two spermidine synthase genes during early fruit development and in vegetative tissues of pea. Plant Mol Biol 39:933–943
- Alabadí D, Aguero MS, Perez-Amador MA, Carbonell J (1996) Arginase, arginine decarboxylase, ornithine decarboxylase, and polyamines in tomato ovaries (changes in unpollinated ovaries and parthenocarpic fruits induced by auxin or gibberellin). Plant Physiol 112:1237–1244
- Alcázar R, Cuevas JC, Patrón M, Altabella T, Tiburcio AF (2006) Abscisic acid modulates polyamine metabolism under water stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Physiol Plant 128:448–455
- Arias M, Carbonell J, Agustí M (2005) Endogenous free polyamines and their role in fruit set of low and high parthenocarpic ability citrus cultivars. J Plant Physiol 162:845–853
- Bagni N, Torrigiani P (1992) Polyamines: a new class of growth substances. In: Karssen CM, Van Loon LC, Vreugdenhil D (eds) Progress in plant growth regulation. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 264–275
- Bellés JM, Carbonell J, Conejero V (1991) Polyamines in plants infected by citrus exocortis viroid or treated with silver ions and etephon. Plant Physiol 96:1053–1059
- Biasi R, Bagni N, Costa G (1988) Endogenous polyamines in apple and their relationship to fruit set and fruit growth. Physiol Plant 73:201–205
- Carbonell J, Blázquez MA (2009) Regulatory mechanisms of polyamine biosynthesis in plants. Genes Genomics 31:107–118
- Carbonell J, Navarro JL (1989) Correlation of spermine levels with ovary senescence and with fruit set and development in *Pisum sativum* L. Planta 178:482–487
- Church GM, Gilbert W (1984) Genomic sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81:1991–1995
- Egea-Corines M, Cohen E, Arad S, Bagni N, Mizrahi Y (1993) Polyamine levels in pollinated and auxin-induced fruit of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) during development. Physiol Plant 87:14–20
- El-Otmani M, Coggins CW, Agusti J, Lovatt CJ (2000) Plant growth regulators in citriculture: world current uses. Crit Rev Plant Sci 19:395–447
- Forment J, Gadea J, Huerta L, Abizanda L, Agustí J, Alamar S, Alós E, Andrés F, Arribas R, Beltrán JP, Berbel A, Blázquez MA, Brumós J, Cañas LA, Cercós M, Colmenero-Flores JM, Conesa A, Estables B, Gandía M, García-Martínez JL, Gimeno J, Gisbert A, Gómez G, González-Candelas L, Granell A, Guerri J, Lafuente MT, Madueño F, Marcos JF, Marqués MC, Martínez F, Martínez-Godoy MA, Miralles S, Moreno P, Navarro L, Pallás V, Perez-Amador MA, Pérez-Valle J, Pons C, Rodrigo I, Rodríguez PL, Royo C, Serrano R, Soler G, Tadeo F, Talón M, Terol J, Trénor M,

Vaello L, Vicente O, Vidal C, Zacarías L, Conejero V (2005) Development of a citrus genome-wide EST collection and cDNA microarray as resources for genomic studies. Plant Mol Biol 57:375–391

- Fos M, Proaño K, Alabadí D, Nuez F, Carbonell J, García-Martínez JL (2003) Polyamine metabolism is altered in unpollinated parthenocarpic *pat-2* tomato ovaries. Plant Physiol 131:359–366
- Fraga MF, Berdasco M, Diego LB, Rodríguez R, Cañal MJ (2004) Changes in polyamine concentration associated with aging in *Pinus radiata* and *Prunus persica*. Tree Physiol 24:1221–1226
- Galston AW (1983) Polyamines as modulators of plant development. Bioscience 33:382-388
- Gietz RD, Sugino A (1988) New yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking sixbase pair restriction sites. Gene 74:527–534
- Gimeno J, Gadea J, Forment J, Pérez-Valle J, Santiago J, Martínez-Godoy MA, Yenush L, Bellés JM, Brumós J, Colmenero-Flores JM, Talón M, Serrano R (2009) Shared and novel molecular responses of mandarin to drought. Plant Mol Biol 70:403–420
- Guillet G, De Luca V (2005) Wound-inducible biosynthesis of phytoalexin hydroxycinnamic acid amides of tyramine in tryptophan and tyrosine decarboxylase transgenic tobacco lines. Plant Physiol 137:692–699
- Hamasaki-Katagiri N, Tabor CW, Tabor H (1997) Spermidine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisae*: polyamine requirement of a null mutant of the *SPE3* gene (spermidine synthase). Gene 187:35–43
- Hamasaki-Katagiri N, Katagiri Y, Tabor CW, Tabor H (1998) Spermine is not essential for growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: identification of the *SPE4* gene (spermine synthase) and characterization of a *spe4* deletion mutant. Gene 210:195–201
- Hanzawa Y, Takahashi T, Michael AJ, Burtin D, Long D, Piñeiro M, Coupland G, Komeda Y (2000) ACAULIS5, an Arabidopsis gene required for stem elongation, encodes a spermine synthase. EMBO J 19:4248–4256
- Hanzawa Y, Imai A, Michael AJ, Komeda Y, Takahashi T (2002) Characterization of the spermidine synthase-related gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS Lett 527:176–180
- Hao YJ, Zhang Z, Kitashiba H, Honda C, Ubi B, Kita M, Moriguchi T (2005) Molecular cloning and functional characterization of two apple S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase genes and their different expression in fruit development, cell growth and stress responses. Gene 350:41–50
- Imai A, Matsuyama T, Hanzawa Y, Akiyama T, Tamaoki M, Saji H, Shirano Y, Kato T, Hayashi H, Shibata D, Tabata S, Komeda Y, Takahashi T (2004) Spermidine synthase genes are essential for survival of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 135:1565–1573
- Kakehi JI, Kuwashiro Y, Niitsu M, Takahashi T (2008) Thermospermine is required for stem elongation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol 49:1342–1349
- Kamada-Nobusada T, Hayashi M, Fukazawa M, Sakakibara H, Nishimura M (2008) A putative peroxisomal polyamine oxidase, AtPAO4, is involved in polyamine catabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol 49:1272–1282
- Kasukabe Y, He L, Nada K, Misawa S, Ihara I, Tachibana S (2004) Overexpression of spermidine synthase enhances tolerance to multiple environmental stresses and up-regulates the expression of various stress-regulated genes in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 45:712–722
- Kitashiba H, Hao YJ, Honda C, Moriguchi T (2005) Two types of spermine synthase gene: *MdACL5* and *MdSPMS* are differentially involved in apple fruit development and cell growth. Gene 361:101–111
- Knott JM, Romer P, Sumper M (2007) Putative spermine synthases from *Thalassiosira pseudonana* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* synthe-

size thermospermine rather than spermine. FEBS Lett 581:3081–3086

- Korolev S, Ikeguchi Y, Skarina T, Beasley S, Arrowsmith C, Edwards A, Joachimiak A, Pegg AE, Savchenko A (2002) The crystal structure of spermidine synthase with a multisubstrate adduct inhibitor. Nat Struct Biol 9:27–31
- Kushad MM, Ovros AR, Yelenosky G (1990) Relative changes in polyamine during citrus flower development. HortScience 25:946–948
- Mann JD, Steinhart CE, Mudd SH (1963) Alkaloids and plant metabolism. V. The distribution and formation of tyramine methylpherase during germination of barley. J Biol Chem 238:676–681
- Minguet EG, Vera-Sirera F, Marina A, Carbonell J, Blázquez MA (2008) Evolutionary diversification in polyamine biosynthesis. Mol Biol Evol 25:2119–2128
- Muñiz L, Minguet EG, Singh SK, Pesquet E, Vera-Sirera F, Moreau-Courtois CL, Carbonell J, Blázquez MA, Tuominen H (2008) ACAULIS5 controls Arabidopsis xylem specification through the prevention of premature cell death. Development 135:2573–2582
- Nathan R, Altman A, Monselise SP (1984) Changes in activity of polyamine biosynthetic enzymes and in polyamine contents in developing fruit tissues of 'Murcot' mandarin. Sci Hortic 22:359–364
- Navas MA, Cerdan S, Gancedo JM (1993) Futile cycles in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains expressing the gluconeogenic enzymes during growth on glucose. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:1290– 1294
- Oshima T (1979) A new polyamine, thermospermine, 1,12-diamino-4,8-diazadodecane, from an extreme thermophile. J Biol Chem 254:8720–8722
- Panicot M, Minguet EG, Ferrando A, Alcázar R, Blázquez MA, Carbonell J, Altabella T, Koncz C, Tiburcio AF (2002) A polyamine metabolon involving aminopropyl transferase complexes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14:2539–2551
- Perez-Amador MA, Carbonell J (1995) Arginine decarboxylase and putrescine oxidase in ovaries of *Pisum sativum* L. (changes during ovary senescence and early stages of fruit development). Plant Physiol 107:865–872
- Rambla JL, Vera-Sirera F, Blázquez MA, Carbonell J, Granell A (2010) Quantitation of biogenic tetraamines in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Anal Biochem 397:208–211
- Rández-Gil F, Prieto J, Murcia A, Sanz P (1995) Construction of baker's yeast strains that secrete *Aspergillus oryzae* α -amylase and their use in bread making. J Cereal Sci 21:185–193
- Rodríguez AA, Maiale SJ, Menéndez AB, Ruiz OA (2009) Polyamine oxidase activity contributes to sustain maize leaf elongation under saline stress. J Exp Bot 60:4249–4262
- Saftner RA, Baldi BG (1990) Polyamine levels and tomato fruit development: possible interaction with ethylene. Plant Physiol 92:547– 550
- Smith TA (1985) Polyamines. Annu Rev Plant Biol 36:117-143
- Talón M, Zacarías L, Primo-Millo E (1990a) Gibberellins in *Citrus* sinensis: a comparison between seeded and seedless varieties. J Plant Growth Reg 9:201–206
- Talón M, Zacarías L, Primo-Millo E (1990b) Hormonal changes associated with fruit set and development in mandarins differing in their parthenocarpic ability. Physiol Plant 79:400–406
- Talón M, Zacarías L, Primo-Millo E (1992) Gibberellins and parthenocarpic ability in developing ovaries of seedless mandarins. Plant Physiol 99:1575–1581
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 25:4876–4882
- Vera-Sirera F, Minguet EG, Singh SK, Ljung K, Tuominen H, Blázquez MA, Carbonell J (2010) Role of polyamines in plant

vascular development. Plant Physiol Biochem. doi:10.1016/ j.plaphy.2010.01.011

- Wheaton TA, Stewart I (1970) The distribution of tyramine, *N*-methyltyramine, hordenine, octopamine, and synephrine in higher plants. Lloydia 33:244–254
- Yamaguchi K, Takahashi Y, Berberich T, Imai A, Miyazaki A, Takahashi T, Michael A, Kusano T (2006) The polyamine spermine protects against high salt stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS Lett 580:6783–6788
- Yamaguchi K, Takahashi Y, Berberich T, Imai A, Takahashi T, Michael AJ, Kusano T (2007) A protective role for the polyamine spermine against drought stress in Arabidopsis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 352:486–490
- Zhang Z, Honda C, Kita M, Hu C, Nakayama M, Moriguchi T (2003) Structure and expression of spermidine synthase genes in apple: two cDNAs are spatially and developmentally regulated through alternative splicing. Mol Genet Genomics 268:799–807