ERRATUM

Erratum to: Thermo-optic coefficients of Nd-doped anisotropic KGd(WO₄)₂, YVO₄ and GdVO₄ laser crystals

P. A. Loiko¹ · K. V. Yumashev¹ · N. V. Kuleshov¹ · A. A. Pavlyuk²

Published online: 27 April 2016

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Erratum to: Appl Phys B (2011) 102:117–122 DOI 10.1007/s00340-010-4143-2

In the original publication of the article, the reported values of the thermo-optic coefficients dn/dT for tetragonal vanadate laser crystals, Nd:YVO₄ and Nd:GdVO₄, are underestimated. The values of dn/dT were measured by a laser beam deviation method for a medium with a linear thermal gradient [2]. An error occurred during the measurements of the temperature gradient ΔT in the studied sample. Vanadates have a relatively large thermal conductivity ($\kappa \sim 10$ W/mK [3]). In addition, we used relatively small samples (height: ~ 4 mm). This resulted in an unexpected and strong heat flow through the sample leading to a reduction of the ΔT value.

To overcome this problem, we have modified the experimental set-up. To produce a linear thermal gradient in the sample, two massive copper blocks were used. They were attached to the two opposite lateral faces of the sample. A heat grease was used to provide the thermal contact. We drilled two small holes (~1 mm in diameter) in the sample/block interface (one hole for the "cold" block and second one for the "hot" block). Two sensitive calibrated

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00340-010-4143-2.

- K. V. Yumashev k.yumashev@tut.by
- Center Optical Materials and Technologies (COMT), Belarusian National Technical University, 65/17 Nezavisimosti Ave., 220113 Minsk, Belarus
- Nikolaev Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Lavrentyev Ave., Novosibirsk, Russia 630090

thermocouples (Type K, chromel-alumel) were inserted into these holes which were subsequently filled with heat grease. The precision of the determination of the ΔT was ~1 K. All remaining features of the set-up and description of the experiment can be found in [1]. We have used the same samples from Nd:YVO₄ and Nd:GdVO₄ as in [1]. With the modified set-up, we carefully repeated the measurements of dn/dT. The temperature of the "cold" sample surface was ~273 K, and the temperature of the "hot" one was ~303 K.

In [1], due to the absence of reliable data on thermal expansion coefficients α which are needed for the derivation of dn/dT, we used values averaged over several publications. In the present erratum, we have used original data obtained by a high-precision dilatometry, $\alpha_a = 1.90$ and $\alpha_c = 8.34 \times 10^{-6} \ \text{K}^{-1}$ for Nd:YVO₄, $\alpha_a = 1.19$ and $\alpha_c = 8.10 \times 10^{-6} \ \text{K}^{-1}$ for Nd:GdVO₄ [4]. The precision was ~0.05 \times 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹. These values are in good correlation with an independent study by Sato and Taira [5].

The results on thermal coefficients of the optical path (TCOP) and dn/dT coefficients for Nd: YVO₄ and Nd:GdVO₄ crystals at 633 nm are presented in Table 1. The error of their determination is ~0.5 × 10^{-6} K⁻¹, see [4] for details. For both vanadates, dn/dT coefficients are positive and follow the relation $dn_o/dT > dn_e/dT$. This is in agreement with the original publication [1]. However, their values are larger than ones reported in [1]. At 633 nm, $dn_o/dT = 18.3$ and $dn_e/dT = 12.3 \times 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹ for Nd:YVO₄, $dn_o/dT = 19.1$ and $dn_e/dT = 13.8 \times 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹ for Nd:GdVO₄.

These values are now in good agreement with dn/dT coefficients in YVO₄ and GdVO₄ reported by Zelmon et al. [6, 7] and determined by a conventional minimum deviation method, $dn_o/dT = 18.6$ and $dn_e/dT = 13.6 \times 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹ for



129 Page 2 of 2 P. A. Loiko et al.

Nd:YVO₄, d n_0 /dT=19.0 and d n_e /d $T=14.2\times 10^{-6}~{\rm K}^{-1}$ for Nd:GdVO₄ at 600 nm.

The corrected version of Table 1 is given below.

Table 1 New thermal coefficients of the optical path (TCOP) and thermo-optic coefficients dn/dT for Nd:GdVO₄ and Nd:YVO₄ laser crystals at 633 nm

Polarization	Nd:GdVO ₄		Nd:YVO ₄	
	$\overline{k \parallel c}$	$k \perp c$	$k \perp c$	
$TCOP (10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1})$)			
$E \parallel c$	_	15.3	14.6	
$E \perp c$	27.5	20.0	20.2	
$dn/dT (10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1})$				
$E \parallel c$	_	13.8	12.3	
$E \perp c$	19.3	18.8	18.3	

k denotes light propagation direction (it is equivalent to the crystal cut), and E is light polarization

References

- P.A. Loiko, K.V. Yumashev, N.V. Kuleshov, A.A. Pavlyuk, Appl. Phys. B 102, 117 (2011)
- S. Vatnik, M.C. Pujol, J.J. Carvajal, X. Mateos, M. Aguiló, F. Díaz, V. Petrov, Appl. Phys. B 95, 653 (2009)
- 3. Y. Sato, T. Taira, Opt. Express 14, 10528 (2006)
- P.A. Loiko, K.V. Yumashev, V.N. Matrosov, N.V. Kuleshov, Appl. Opt. 54, 4820 (2015)
- 5. Y. Sato, T. Taira, Opt. Mater. Express 4, 876 (2014)
- D.E. Zelmon, J.J. Lee, K.M. Currin, J.M. Northridge, D. Perlov, Appl. Opt. 49, 644 (2010)
- D.E. Zelmon, J.M. Northridge, J.J. Lee, K.M. Currin, D. Perlov, Appl. Opt. 49, 4973 (2010)

