

ERRATUM

## Erratum to: Visions of Restoration in Fire-Adapted Forest Landscapes: Lessons from the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program

Lauren S. Urgenson<sup>1</sup> · Clare M. Ryan<sup>1</sup> · Charles B. Halpern<sup>1</sup> ·  
Jonathan D. Bakker<sup>1</sup> · R. Travis Belote<sup>2</sup> · Jerry F. Franklin<sup>1</sup> · Ryan D. Haugo<sup>3</sup> ·  
Cara R. Nelson<sup>4</sup> · Amy E.M. Waltz<sup>5</sup>

Published online: 10 January 2017  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Erratum to: Environmental Management**  
**DOI 10.1007/s00267-016-0791-2**

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. A value in Table 1 was incorrect in the

original publication of the article. The original Deschutes project boundary was 52,609 ha.

The corrected Table 1 is given below.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under  
doi: [10.1007/s00267-016-0791-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-016-0791-2).

✉ Lauren S. Urgenson  
lsu@uw.edu

<sup>1</sup> School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, College of the Environment, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

<sup>2</sup> The Wilderness Society, Bozeman, MT 59715, USA

<sup>3</sup> The Nature Conservancy, Yakima, WA 98901, USA

<sup>4</sup> College of Forestry and Conservation, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA

<sup>5</sup> Ecological Restoration Institute, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011-5017, USA

**Table 1** Characteristics of the six CFLRP landscapes in this study

Project name	Collaborative group	USFS region	State	National forests	Area of landscape in acres (hectares)	Percent (%) of landscape in coniferous forest by LANDFIRE biophysical setting—historic fire regime group <sup>a</sup>		
						Low severity	Mixed severity	High severity
Four Forests Restoration Initiative	4FRI Collaborative	3	AZ	Apache-Sitgreaves, Coconino, Kaibab, Tonto	5,970,000 <sup>b</sup> (2,415,973)	50	1	1
Selway-Middle Fork Clearwater Project	Clearwater Basin Collaborative (Clearwater)	1	ID	Nez Perce, Clearwater, Bitterroot	1,400,000 (566,560)	11	46	37
Deschutes Skyline Landscape	Deschutes Collaborative Forest Project (Deschutes)	6	OR	Deschutes	130,000 <sup>c</sup> (52,609)	64	0.3	29
Southwest Jemez Mountains	Southwest Jemez Mountains Collaborative (Southwest Jemez)	3	NM	Santa Fe, Valles Caldera National Preserve	210,000 (84,984)	43	16	1.5
Southwestern Crown of the Continent	Southwest Crown of the Continent Collaborative (SWCC)	1	MT	Lolo, Flathead, Helena	1,449,670 (586,661)	29	24	27
Tapash Sustainable Forest Collaborative	Tapash Sustainable Forest Collaborative (Tapash)	6	WA	Okanogan-Wenatchee	1,629,959 (659,621)	30	40	14

<sup>a</sup> Conifer forest types are based on Biophysical Settings and historic (pre-European settlement) Fire Regime Groups from the National LANDFIRE Program (Barrett et al. 2010). Low severity includes LANDFIRE Fire Regime Groups I and II; mixed severity includes LANDFIRE Fire Regime Group III; high severity includes LANDFIRE Fire Regime Groups IV and V

<sup>b</sup> This area represents the administrative project boundary of the four forests in the 4FRI project; however, the 4FRI Collaborative Proposal is for restoration of 2.4 million acres (971,246 ha), all low severity LANDFIRE Fire Regime Group I, within those administrative boundaries

<sup>c</sup> The Deschutes project boundary expanded to 257,850 acres (104,348 ha) in 2013. Percentages are based on the original project area