

Erratum to: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a pilot study of quantitative perfusion and diffusion-weighted breath-hold magnetic resonance imaging

Hyunki Kim,^{1,2,6,9} Pablo J. Arnoletti,⁷ John Christein,³ Martin J. Heslin,³
James A. Posey III,⁴ Amol Pednekar,⁸ T. Mark Beasley,⁵ Desiree E. Morgan^{1,6}

¹Departments of Radiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

²Biomedical Engineering, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

³Department of Surgery, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

⁵Department of Biostatistics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

⁶Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

⁷The Center for Specialized Surgery, Orlando, FL 32804, USA

⁸Philips Medical Systems, Bothell, WA 98021, USA

⁹G082 Volker Hall, 1720 2nd Avenue South, Birmingham, AL 35294-0019, USA

Erratum to: Abdom Imaging (2014) 39:744–752 DOI 10.1007/s00261-014-0107-z

The original version of this article unfortunately included the incomplete versions of Tables 2 and 3. A column in the Tables 2 and 3 were missed during the production process. The complete tables are given below.

Table 2. Physiological parameters of primary pancreatic tumors (P Tumor), non-tumorous adjacent parenchyma (NAP), liver metastases (Liver MET), and normal liver tissues (Liver)

	P Tumor	NAP	Liver MET	Liver
K^{trans} (10^{-3} min^{-1})	7.3 ± 4.2	25.8 ± 14.9	8.1 ± 5.9	45.1 ± 15.6
k_{ep} (10^{-2} min^{-1})	3.0 ± 0.9	7.4 ± 3.1	5.2 ± 2.0	12.1 ± 2.8
ADC ($10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$)	1.3 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1

Table 3. Physiological parameters of primary pancreatic tumors (P Tumor) or liver metastases (Liver MET) relative to those of non-tumorous adjacent parenchyma (NAP) and/or normal liver tissues (Liver)

	P Tumor/NAP	P Tumor/Liver	Liver MET/Liver
Relative K^{trans} (%)	32 ± 14%	16 ± 7%	26 ± 21%
Relative k_{ep} (%)	45 ± 17%	26 ± 9%	41 ± 18%
Relative ADC (%)	81 ± 14%	96 ± 19%	86 ± 11%

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:
[10.1007/s00261-014-0107-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-014-0107-z).

Correspondence to: Hyunki Kim; email: Hyunki@uab.edu