

## Use of cyclodextrin and its derivatives for increased transformation efficiency of competent bacterial cells

Finn Lillelund Aachmann · Trond Erik Vee Aune

Published online: 4 August 2009  
© Springer-Verlag 2009

**Erratum to: Appl Microbiol Biotechnol (2009) 83:589–596.**  
**DOI 10.1007/s00253-009-1907-x**

p. 592, Fig. 2. The order of the panels is not correct. Panel A is on top, then panel C in the middle and at the bottom panel B. Please see updated Fig. 2.

p. 592: The sentence “ *$\beta$ -CyD displayed the strongest effect even though, due to its low solubility, only 5 mM was applied to the DNA mixture*” is a remnant from an earlier draft and therefore it should be disregarded.

p. 592: The sentence “*Only Ac- $\beta$ -CyD showed an adverse effect on the transformation efficiency for RV308 cells*” should be read as

“*Only Ac- $\beta$ -CyD suggested an adverse effect on the transformation efficiency for RV308 cells.*”

p.592 The sentence “*Both QA- $\beta$ -CyD and HP- $\beta$ -CyD reduced the transformation efficiency significantly for BL21 (DE3).*”

should be read as

“*Both QA- $\beta$ -CyD and HP- $\gamma$ -CyD reduced the transformation efficiency significantly for BL21(DE3).*”

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-1907-x>

---

F. Lillelund Aachmann (✉) · T. Erik Vee Aune  
NOBIPOL, Department of Biotechnology,  
Norwegian University of Science and Technology,  
Sem Sælands vei 6/8,  
7491 Trondheim, Norway  
e-mail: [finn.aachmann@biotech.ntnu.no](mailto:finn.aachmann@biotech.ntnu.no)

**Fig. 2** The transformation efficiencies for different in-house *E. coli* strains with eight different CyD derivatives. **a** DH5 $\alpha$ . **b** BL21(DE3) **c** RV308. The obtained results were normalized by taking the ratio between the averages for each CyD-treated sample divided with the average for the control experiment. The error bars indicate the standard deviation between the four replicates

