

Reply to Dr. Oestreich's letter regarding the metaphyseal collar

Andy Tsai · Anna G. McDonald · Andrew E. Rosenberg ·
Rajiv Gupta · Paul K. Kleinman

Received: 28 April 2014 / Accepted: 6 May 2014 / Published online: 5 June 2014
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Sir,

We thank Dr. Oestreich for his comments regarding recent publication in Pediatric Radiology [1, 2]. We are in complete agreement with him regarding the age range of visualization of the metaphyseal collar in normal children. We are particularly impressed that Dr. Oestreich actually received his information directly from the source, Professor Laval-Jeantet. We also thank Dr. Oestreich for laying the important foundation for our studies since a grasp of the anatomy of the subperiosteal bone collar is a prerequisite to understanding the morphology and the biomechanics of the classic metaphyseal lesion.

Conflicts of interest None

References

1. Oestreich AE (2014) The metaphyseal collar is also present until later childhood and at birth. *Pediatr Radiol* doi:[10.1007/s00247-014-3034-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-014-3034-9)
2. Tsai A, McDonald AG, Rosenberg AE et al (2014) High-resolution CT with histopathological correlates of the classic metaphyseal lesion of infant abuse. *Pediatr Radiol* 44:124–140

A. Tsai (✉) · P. K. Kleinman
Department of Radiology, Boston Children's Hospital,
300 Longwood Ave., Boston, MA 02115, USA
e-mail: andy.tsai@childrens.harvard.edu

A. G. McDonald
Office of the Chief Medical Examiner,
300 Longwood Ave., Boston, MA 02115, USA

A. E. Rosenberg
Department of Pathology, University of Miami Hospital,
Miami, FL 33010, USA

R. Gupta
Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital,
Boston, MA 02115, USA